

Statistical Mechanics
Prof. Dipanjan Chakraborty
Department of Physical Sciences
Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Mohali

Lecture - 41
Quantum Statistical Mechanics Density Matrix

(Refer Slide Time: 00:14)

Quantum Statistical Mechanics

In classical stat mech $\rho(E)$

$S = k_B \ln \Omega$

$\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) \leftarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N \text{ particles in a box} \\ \{ \vec{r}_i, \vec{p}_i \} \rightarrow \text{microstate} \\ \text{trajectory as phase space} \end{array} \right.$

E, V, N
 E, \vec{X}

Consider a hydrostatic system (E, V, N)



Welcome back. So, in this lecture our focus is going to be on Quantum Statistical Mechanics. In classical stat mech we had already derived an expression for the probability of being in the micro state with an energy E , right. And, in classical stat mech we also realize that essentially for a given macro state which is characterized by E , V and N for a hydrostatic system.

And, more generally E and X , where X denotes a generalized coordinates they will rise an infinite possible number of microstates. And, from the basic in the from the foundation of first principle of statistical mechanics that is identifying the entropy $K B$ as \ln times omega

we could derive the probability density of the system being in a microstate with an energy E , right.

But, in quantum stat mech things are little different. For a hydrostatic system if you take N particles in a box then the classical stat mech I know that r_i and p_i the set of coordinates and the momenta form a microstate. But, in quantum stat mech we cannot have such an identification because it is not possible to simultaneously measure the coordinates and the momenta.

Further whereas, we had a trajectory in phase space in a classical stat mech in the classical picture this is replaced in quantum mechanics, this is replaced by a wave function.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:38)

15

$\psi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N) \leftarrow$ (trajectory in phase space)

Isolated Consider a hydrostatic system (E, V, N) $\psi(\vec{r}^N, \vec{p}^N)$

$\vec{r}^N \equiv \{\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_N\}$

$\vec{p}^N \equiv \{\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_N\}$

$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \hat{H} \psi$

$\hat{H}(\vec{r}^N, \vec{p}^N)$ is the Hamiltonian of the system.

$\psi(\vec{r}^N, \vec{p}^N) = \psi_E(\vec{r}^N, \vec{p}^N) e^{-iEt/\hbar} \rightarrow$ Energy E of the system is conserved.

$\hat{H} \psi_E(\vec{r}^N, \vec{p}^N) = E \psi_E(\vec{r}^N, \vec{p}^N)$



Now, consider a hydrostatic system with the macroscopic variables E , V and N right. The wave function of this system is denoted by ψ where r_N is the set r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N and this defines the set of momenta of these particles.

This is an isolated system we right. We will consider it to be an isolated system and the evolution of the wave function follows a Schrodinger equation which is well known to all of you is given by $\hat{H}\psi = E\psi$, where \hat{H} is also a function of r_N, p_N is the Hamiltonian of the system.

The evolution the time evolution of this I can solve this equation and I can simply write it down is equal to $\psi(r_N, p_N) e^{-iEt/\hbar}$ because the energy E of the system is conserved so that the time independent Schrodinger equation base. So, this is the eigenvalue of the energy equation. So, you write it down as E times $\psi(r_N, p_N)$ right.

So, one has to identify that this is the eigen function of the energy and therefore, this becomes the eigen function of the energy.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:45)

$\hat{H} \psi_E(\vec{r}^N, \vec{p}^N) = E \psi_E(\vec{r}^N, \vec{p}^N) \rightarrow$ Discrete energy levels.

Macroscopic system: \rightarrow Continuous spectrum of energies.
 $\Delta E \rightarrow$ uncertainty in energy. $E, E+\Delta E$
 \downarrow
 A lot of microstates between these energies.

$\Omega(E) = g(E) \Delta E$
 \rightarrow Density of States.

Quantum Stat Mech: \rightarrow average over all the wavefunctions that exist within the energies E & $E+\Delta E$.

However a microstate $\psi_E^{(i)}$ does not yield a definite value of



Now, typically the solutions might have discrete energy levels. So, this can have discrete energy levels if you consider one particle or two particle systems. However, if you are considering a macroscopic system if you are considering a macroscopic system with a large number of degrees of freedom, then the difference between the respective energy levels is discrete energy levels decreases and you have a continuous spectrum of energies.

There are often degeneracy's also and for a given energy therefore, you can have a more than one wave function, right. So, it is also worth noting that in a microscopic system it is not always possible to know the exact values of the energies. So, you can always allow for a certain uncertainty delta E in your energy, just as we had allowed in the classical case.

So, essentially you are looking at an energy between E and E plus delta E, right and there exists a lot of microstates between these two energies. So, the micro canonical ensemble in

quantum systems in quantum stat mech is essentially counting these number of microstates that lies between the two energy levels E & $E + \Delta E$. So, we will write down $\Omega(E)$ as $g(E) \Delta E$ where this function that we have is essentially known as the density of states.

Now, therefore, in quantum mechanics or rather saying that in quantum stat mech instead of averaging of a all the phase points that we did in the classical case, what we do is we average over all the wave functions that exist within and energy within the energies E and $E + \Delta E$.

(Refer Slide Time: 08:06)

Microscope experiment

$\Delta E \rightarrow$ uncertainty in energy. $E, E + \Delta E$

$\Omega(E) = g(E) \Delta E$ Density of States

A lot of microstates between these energies.

Quantum Stat Mech \rightarrow average over all the wavefunctions that exist within the energies E & $E + \Delta E$.

However a microstate $\psi_E^{(i)}$ does not yield a definite value of a physical observable $f(\vec{r}, \vec{p})$. You get a value



However, one should note very very carefully that if you are measuring that if you are measuring. However, a micro state $\psi_i(E)$ or let us say we will just denote it as $\psi_i(E)$ does

not yield a definite value of a physical observable, right. And, let us say we denote that observable as r_i rather what you get is you get an average with a certain probability.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:10)

Microscope

$\Delta E \rightarrow$ uncertainty in energy.

$\Omega(E) = g(E) \Delta E$ → Density of States.

$E, E + \Delta E$
↓
A lot of microstates between these energies.

Quantum Stat Mech → average over all the wavefunctions that exist within the energies E & $E + \Delta E$.

However a microstate $\psi_E^{(i)}$ does not yield a definite value of a physical observable $f(\vec{r}_i, \vec{p}_i)$. You measure a value with a certain probability.



So, you get a value with a certain so, you measure a value with a certain probability.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:33)



Tuesday, 15 December 2020 4:38 PM

$f(\vec{r}_i, \vec{p}_i) \rightarrow$ corresponds to a Hermitian operator \hat{f}

$$\hat{f} \phi_n^f = f_n \phi_n^f$$

Each eigenvalue f_n of $\hat{f} \rightarrow$ measurable value of the observable quantity $f(\vec{r}_i, \vec{p}_i)$

In a microstate $\psi_E^{(i)}$ we measure f_n with probability

$$\langle \phi_n^f | \psi_E^{(i)} \rangle = \int d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \dots d^3r_N \phi_n^{f*}(\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_N) \psi_E^{(i)}(\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_N)$$



To be more explicit about this we consider this phase space observable f of r_i, p_i and this corresponds to a Hermitian operator \hat{f} . Why Hermitian? Because it is a physical observable and therefore, you realize that the operator must be Hermitian. So, with a eigenvalues are real.

It has so since it is a Hermitian operator therefore, one can write down its eigenvalue equation $\hat{f} \phi_n^f = f_n \phi_n^f$. Now, each eigenvalue of f_n corresponds to a possible measurement of f , right. So, each eigenvalue of \hat{f} this corresponds to a measurable value of the observable quantity f of r_i, p_i .

Now, in a measurable state, sorry in a microstate $\psi_E^{(i)}$ let us say the measure for damn it. Therefore, in a microstate $\psi_E^{(i)}$ one measures f_n with the probability $|\langle \phi_n^f | \psi_E^{(i)} \rangle|^2$ which is

integral $d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \dots$ so on $\psi_n^* \psi_i$ E_n . One can see this just by expanding the wave function in the basis.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:35)

Tuesday, 15 December 2020 4:49 PM

$|\psi_i^{(1)}\rangle = \sum C_n |\phi_n^+\rangle$ where $|\phi_n^+\rangle$ form a complete set.
 and C_n the probability amplitudes given by $C_n = \langle \phi_n | \psi_i^{(1)} \rangle$

$f(\vec{r}_i, t_i) \rightarrow$ measure in a set of systems with identical microstate.

NPTEL

ψ_i is equal to $\sum C_n \phi_n$ where this one ϕ_n the eigen functions form a complete set and C_n are the probability amplitudes. They are the probability amplitudes given by $\phi_n^* \psi_i$ right. Now, if one performs measurement of the observable f of r_i t_i ; so, if I performs the measurement of this observable let us say in a set of identical systems with the same microstate ψ_i . So, measure this in a set of systems with identical microstate.



(Refer Slide Time: 14:16)

Tuesday, 15 December 2020 4:49 PM

$|\psi_E^{(i)}\rangle = \sum_n c_n |\phi_n^+\rangle$ where $|\phi_n^+\rangle$ form a complete set.
 and c_n the probability amplitudes given by $c_n = \langle \phi_n | \psi_E^{(i)} \rangle$

$f(\vec{r}_i, \vec{r}_i) \rightarrow$ measure in a set of identical systems with microstate $\psi_E^{(i)}$

each eigen value occur with a probability amplitude of $\langle \phi_n | \psi_E^{(i)} \rangle$.

$$\langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \hat{f} | \psi_E^{(i)} \rangle = \int d\vec{r}_1 d\vec{r}_2 \dots d\vec{r}_N \psi_E^{*(i)}(\vec{r}^N) f(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_1) \psi_E^{(i)}(\vec{r}^N)$$



So, you will see identical systems with the microstate in a set of identical systems with microstate in the same microstate ψ_E of i then each of the eigenvalues occur with the probability amplitude each of the eigenvalues with the probability amplitude of $\phi_n \psi_E^{(i)}$. In quantum statistics so, one can write down this $\psi_E^{(i)}$ if is $d\vec{r}_1, d\vec{r}_2, \dots, d\vec{r}_N \psi_E^{*i}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_1) \psi_E^{(i)}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_1)$, right.

Now, in quantum statistics another average adds to this one is no longer able to tell which specific microstate $\psi_E^{(i)}$ the system assures.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:07)

Tuesday, 15 December 2020 4:53 PM



When I measure $f(\vec{r}_i, \vec{p}_i)$ f_n

$\langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \hat{f} | \psi_E^{(i)} \rangle \Leftarrow \psi_E^{(i)}$

One can only give a probability S_i of finding the system in the microstate $\psi_E^{(i)}$.

$\langle \hat{f} \rangle = \sum S_i \langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \hat{f} | \psi_E^{(i)} \rangle$

$\langle \hat{f} \rangle = \sum_{i,k} S_{ki} \langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \hat{f} | \psi_E^{(k)} \rangle$

So, on top of it, so, when I measure this operator, this physical variable I get a value the eigenvalue with the average ψ_E $\langle \hat{f} \psi_E | \psi_E \rangle$ but, on top of this you will have an in quantum statistic you will have another average that adds to it and why is that? Because you cannot see which microstate the system assures, right.

So, one can only give a probability. So, there is a one can only give a probability ρ_i with which well, the system will ρ_i of finding the system in the microstate, right. If now one performs a measurement of the observable in a set of such identical systems one can measure only the average of the quantum mechanical operator f cap or f hat weighted by this probability.

So, the average the expectation value of this is going to be sum over $\rho_i \psi_E$ I right. This, however, is not the general expression. So, I hope it is now clear that may the difference that

this average comes in right. So, if I start measuring a physical observable f in identical systems with the same microstate, then it is not I will get the eigenvalues f_n we saw f_n with a with a certain probability, right.

So, I measure this, but now the problem is you have prepared the system when you write down this you have prepared the system in a given microstate, but in reality when you measure it in a system this may not be. So, the system can be in any microstate, right and one cannot definitely say look the system is in this particular microstate ψ_E of i . It can be in a different microstate.

So, therefore, one can only give a probability of ρ_i you are finding the system in a given microstate and once you have this ρ_i this probability, then your actual expectation value of the average that you measure is a weighted average of this and this weight factor ρ_i is the probability of finding the system in the microstate ψ_E of i , right. The most general expression of course, is given by \hat{f} is $\sum_K \rho_K \psi_E$ of K .



(Refer Slide Time: 19:49)

System in the microstate $\psi_E^{(i)}$.

Statistical average $\langle \hat{f} \rangle = \sum_i \rho_{ii} \langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \hat{f} | \psi_E^{(i)} \rangle$ QM average.

$\langle \hat{f} \rangle = \sum_{i,k} \rho_{ki} \langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \hat{f} | \psi_E^{(k)} \rangle$.

$\rho_{ki} \rightarrow$ the probability with which the matrix element $\langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \hat{f} | \psi_E^{(k)} \rangle$ contributes towards the statistical average $\langle \hat{f} \rangle$

The ρ_{ki} is now to be interpreted as a probability which the matrix element is the probability with which the matrix element contributes. So, the matrix element of this that we had written down $\langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \hat{f} | \psi_E^{(k)} \rangle$ contributes towards the statistical average.

So, this quantity that you have written down over here is the statistical average and this is a quantum mechanical average that we are familiar with.

(Refer Slide Time: 21:20)

$$\langle \hat{f} \rangle = \sum_i p_i \langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \hat{f} | \psi_E^{(i)} \rangle$$

\downarrow microstate

\rightarrow Hermitian operator
 corresponding $f(\vec{r}_i, \vec{p}_i)$

$$= \sum_{i,k} p_{ik} \langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \hat{f} | \psi_E^{(k)} \rangle$$



So, we have the statistical average of an physical observable which was given by sum over i $\rho_i \psi_E^{(i)}$. This was the microstate in which we assume the system to be and this is the Hermitian operator corresponding to the microstate. Sorry, corresponding to the physical observable $f(\vec{r}_i, \vec{p}_i)$ and we said that the general expression this is not the general expression. The general expression can be written down as $\rho_{ik} \psi_E^{(i)} \hat{f} \psi_E^{(k)}$.

(Refer Slide Time: 22:29)

Tuesday, 10 December 2023 5:53 PM

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \hat{f} \rangle &= \sum_i p_i \langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \hat{f} | \psi_E^{(i)} \rangle \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{microstate} \\ \text{Corresponding } f(\vec{r}_i, \vec{p}_i) \end{array} \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{i,k} p_{ki} \langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \hat{f} | \psi_E^{(k)} \rangle \\
 |\psi_E^{(i)}\rangle &= C_n^{(i)} |\phi_n\rangle \quad \langle \psi_E^{(i)} | = C_n^{*(i)} \langle \phi_n | \\
 C_n^{(i)} &= \langle \phi_n | \psi_E^{(i)} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad C_n^{*(i)} = \langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \phi_n \rangle \\
 \langle \hat{f} \rangle &= \sum_i p_i \sum_{n,n'} C_n^{(i)} C_n^{*(i)} \langle \phi_n | \hat{f} | \phi_{n'} \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$



And, this is the my general expression the general expression is $\sum_{i,k} p_{ki} \langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \hat{f} | \psi_E^{(k)} \rangle$ to see how we can go from this to this one can expand the microstate as $C_n^{(i)} |\phi_n\rangle$, right and therefore, one has $C_n^{*(i)} \langle \phi_n |$, where $C_n^{(i)}$ is equal to $\langle \phi_n | \psi_E^{(i)} \rangle$ and $C_n^{*(i)}$ is equal to $\langle \psi_E^{(i)} | \phi_n \rangle$.

So, if we use this now and expand then we come up with $\langle \hat{f} \rangle = \sum_i p_i \sum_{n,n'} C_n^{(i)} C_n^{*(i)} \langle \phi_n | \hat{f} | \phi_{n'} \rangle$ and the $C_n^{(i)}$, right.

(Refer Slide Time: 24:02)

15

$$= \sum_{n, n'} \rho_{n'n} \langle \phi_n | \hat{f} | \phi_{n'} \rangle$$

Where the matrix elements
 $\rho_{n'n} = \sum_i \rho_i C_n^{(i)} C_n'^{* (i)}$

Interpret $\rho_{n'n}$ as the matrix elements of an operator in the basis $|\phi_n\rangle$

$$\rho_{n'n} = \langle \phi_n | \hat{\rho} | \phi_{n'} \rangle$$

Statistical average

$$\langle \hat{f} \rangle = \sum_{n, n'} \langle \phi_{n'} | \hat{\rho} | \phi_n \rangle \langle \phi_n | \hat{f} | \phi_{n'} \rangle$$

$\langle \hat{f} \rangle = \sum_{n'} \langle \phi_{n'} | \hat{\rho} \hat{f} | \phi_{n'} \rangle$

 $\langle \hat{f} \rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{f})$



Sum over n comma n prime rho of n prime n phi n f hat phi n prime, where the matrix elements $\rho_{n' n}$ is given by sum over i rho i $C_n^{(i)} C_n'^{* (i)}$, right. Sorry, this has to be please be careful when this $C_n^{(i)} C_n'^{* (i)}$. Now, if I look at this particular expression now I can interpret $\rho_{n' n}$ as the matrix elements of an operator in the basis ϕ_n s.

So that we can write $\rho_{n' n}$ is equal to ϕ_n prime rho hat phi of n in which case this average this statistical average this is please note that this is the statistical average that we have said earlier also. This quantity then becomes sum over n n prime phi n prime rho hat phi n phi n f phi n prime. One can use one sum over the n and use the completeness of the basis to come up with the result n prime phi n prime rho hat f hat phi of n the statistical average of this physical observable becomes this, right.

In other words, this quantity is trace of rho hat f hat and it is to be noted that the trace is independent of the choice of the basis.

(Refer Slide Time: 26:38)

15

$$\langle \hat{f} \rangle = \sum_k \langle \phi_k | \hat{f} | \phi_k \rangle \quad | \langle \hat{f} \rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{f})$$

General expression $\langle \hat{f} \rangle$ becomes diagonal if one uses the eigen functions of \hat{f} or $\hat{\rho}$ as a basis.

$$\langle \hat{f} \rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{f}) = \sum_k \langle \phi_k | \hat{\rho} \hat{f} | \phi_k \rangle$$

$\hat{\rho}$ performs the same role as the classical probability density.

$$\langle f \rangle_{cl} = \frac{1}{h^{3N}} \int d\vec{x}^N f(\vec{x}^N) f(\vec{x}^N)$$



Further, the average the general expression the general expression for this quantity f hat becomes diagonal if one uses the eigen functions of f hat or rho hat as a basis. It is important to realize. So, therefore, what we have over here is essentially trace of rho hat f hat which is sum over K phi K rho cap f cap phi K, right.

It is important to realize therefore, that this quantity rho hat performs the same role as the classical probability density right, where we had the classical average f classical was 1 over h to the power n d of X N rho of X N times f of X N.

So, this was my classical average and this is my statistical average and I mean you can write it down in terms of integrals over coordinates that is also ok, then you will see that this performs the same role as the classical probability density.

(Refer Slide Time: 28:28)

Slide 28:28:28 3:30 PM

Pure and Mixed state

If a quantum mechanical system is in a single state $|\psi_E\rangle$
Pure state.

If a system can be in any of states $\psi_E^{(i)}$ with probabilities P_i
Mixed state.



So, now we want to discuss pure and a mixed state. So, if a quantum mechanical system is in a given is in a single state ψ of E , right. Then this is called a pure state. In contrast, if a system can be in any of the states ψ of E_i with probabilities ρ_i , then this is called a mixed state.

Now, given the system is either in a pure state or in a mixed state all the quantum mechanical and statistical averages can be calculated can be determined for any observable provided we know the form of the density matrix, right.



(Refer Slide Time: 29:55)

If the probabilities $\rho_{k'k}$ are known then $\hat{\rho}$ in any arbitrary basis can be written down as

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{k,k'} |\phi_{k'}\rangle \rho_{k'k} \langle \phi_k|$$

the Diagonal elements $\langle \phi_k | \hat{\rho} | \phi_k \rangle$
Denote the probability of the system to be in the state $|\phi_k\rangle$

In contrast the off diagonal element $\langle \phi_{k'} | \hat{\rho} | \phi_k \rangle$
Denote the transition probabilities of the system to go from state $|\phi_k\rangle$ to $|\phi_{k'}\rangle$:



If the probabilities $\rho_{k'k}$ are known, then $\hat{\rho}$ the density matrix in any arbitrary basis can be written down as $\hat{\rho} = \sum_{k,k'} |\phi_{k'}\rangle \rho_{k'k} \langle \phi_k|$. Now, here the diagonal elements which is $\langle \phi_k | \hat{\rho} | \phi_k \rangle$, they denote the probabilities for the system of the system to be in the state $|\phi_k\rangle$.

In contrast the off diagonal elements which are essentially $\langle \phi_{k'} | \hat{\rho} | \phi_k \rangle$ they denote the transition probability of the system to go from state $|\phi_k\rangle$ to $|\phi_{k'}\rangle$.

(Refer Slide Time: 32:00)

Suppose you prepare the system $|\psi^{(i)}\rangle$ → orthonormal set.

$\rho_{ii} \neq 0$ but $\rho_{ik} = 0$

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_i |\psi^{(i)}\rangle \rho_{ii} \langle \psi^{(i)}|$$

For a pure state $\rho_{ii} = 1$ for only one index
 $= 0$ for all others.

$$\hat{\rho} = |\psi^{(i)}\rangle \langle \psi^{(i)}|$$



You prepare this system ψ_i right and the set ψ_i they form an orthonormal set such that if you prepare the system in ψ_i such that your ρ_{ii} is nonzero, the diagonal elements are nonzero, but ρ_{ik} is equal to 0 which means there is no transition between the states which are allowed then your ρ hat is sum over i $\psi_i \rho_{ii} \psi_i$ right.

So, this sets they are an orthonormal set right which can always be done if you start off not with an orthonormal set you can always orthonormalize them by using the Gram Schmidt orthonormalization procedure, right. Please note that these do not form a complete set they do not span the whole of the Hilbert space.

But, be a subspace of the Hilbert space in which this state vector is precisely the state vector is not precisely determinable. For a pure state; for a pure state rho ii is equal to 1 for only one index and is equal to 0 for all others.

In this case, your density matrix takes the form psi E, sorry we will now simply the sum is now removed because rho ii is 0 for all others and for only a given value of i you have this rho ii is equal to 1 which means a probability of finding the system in that particular state is equal to 1 you are certain to find that there and all the other probabilities are 0. Therefore, for a pure state your density matrix take this particular form.

(Refer Slide Time: 34:45)

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_i |\psi^{(i)}\rangle \rho_{ii} \langle \psi^{(i)}|$$

For a pure state $\rho_{ii} = 1$ for only one index
 $= 0$ for all others.

$\hat{\rho} = |\psi^{(i)}\rangle \langle \psi^{(i)}|$

→ Form a the projection operator for this state and the subspace is one-dimensional.



Now, this quantity essentially forms a projection. So, projection operator for this state. So, $\hat{\rho}$ is the projection operator for the state and the respective and the subspace is one-dimensional.