

Statistical Mechanics
Prof. Dipanjan Chakraborty
Department of Physical Sciences
Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Mohali

Lecture - 35
Grand Canonical Ensemble Ideal Gas- Part II

(Refer Slide Time: 00:28)

Grand Canonical Ensemble

$\langle \Delta N^2 \rangle \sim N$ $\langle \Delta E^2 \rangle \sim N$

Grand partition function

$$Q = \sum e^{-\beta(E - \mu N)} = \int dE dN \Omega(E, N) e^{-\beta(E - \mu N)}$$

$\Omega(E, N) dE dN \rightarrow \# \text{ of microstates between } E \text{ \& } E + dE$

$$= \int dE dN e^{\frac{S(E, N)}{k_B}} e^{-\frac{(E - \mu N)}{k_B T}} = \int dE dN e^{-\frac{(E - TS - \mu N)}{k_B T}}$$



So, now our job is essentially to relate to the; to relate to thermodynamics right. So, in grand canonical ensemble and we have already established that ΔN square goes as N ΔE square goes as N . So, all the averages are meaningful and now I want to relate to thermodynamics. For that we once again go back and start with our grand partition function this Q is called a grand partition function.

Unfortunately, we have used capital Q for a single particle function in the canonical ensemble, but we will modify that syntax later on right. So, this quantity is some over all state is minus beta E minus mu N which is integral $dE dN \Omega(E, N) e^{-\beta(E - \mu N)}$

minus βE minus μN , where $\omega(E, N)$ is the number of microstates between E and $E + dE$ and N and $N + dN$.

Again, this root is pretty straightforward as we had done a little bit more elaborate because now we have two variables is E to the power $S(E, N)$ minus βE minus μN over $k_B T$ times e to the power E minus μN over $k_B T$. So, that this becomes integral dE times dN e to the power minus βE minus μN over $k_B T$.

Once again you see that the energy internal energy E the entropy S and the particle number N are all extensive quantities and therefore, they scale as N and therefore, I can try to figure out this particular integral using a subtle point approximation, where I maximize the quantity in the argument of the exponential.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:56)

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial E} (E - TS - \mu N) &= 0 \quad \text{at } E = \bar{E} & \bar{E} &\equiv \langle E \rangle \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial N} (E - TS - \mu N) &= 0 \quad \text{at } N = \bar{N} & \bar{N} &\equiv \langle N \rangle \\ \frac{1 - T \frac{\partial S}{\partial E}}{\bar{E} = \bar{E}} &= 1 & \frac{1}{T} &= \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \right|_{E=\bar{E}, N=\bar{N}} \\ -T \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial N} \right|_{N=\bar{N}} &= \mu = 0 & -\frac{\mu}{T} &= \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial N} \right|_{N=\bar{N}} \end{aligned}$$

$$E - TS - \mu N = \bar{E} + (E - \bar{E}) - \mu N + \mu \bar{N} - \mu \bar{N}$$



Which means I will have $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial E}\right)_{N, S} (E - TS - \mu N) = 0$ at $E = \bar{E}$ and $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial N}\right)_{E, S} (E - TS - \mu N) = 0$ at $N = \bar{N}$ sorry right. So, please note that this \bar{E} is identical to average of E . We will interchangeably use this symbols would not get confused that they are something different right.

So, therefore, this condition the first condition gives you $1 - T \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial E}\right)_{N, S} = 0$ at $E = \bar{E}$ is equal to 1 and you recover the thermodynamic relation $1/T = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial E}\right)_{N, S}$ at $E = \bar{E}$ with V and N held constant.

The second relation gives you $T \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial N}\right)_{E, S} - \mu = 0$ at $N = \bar{N}$ is going to be minus μ is equal to 0, which implies $-\mu/T = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial N}\right)_{E, S}$ at $N = \bar{N}$ so you recover the two thermodynamic relation. Then let us write down $E - TS - \mu N$ around \bar{E} and \bar{N} and that idea is very straightforward we have done this $E - \bar{E} - \mu N + \mu \bar{N} - \mu \bar{N}$.

(Refer Slide Time: 05:01)

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 - T \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \Big|_{E=\bar{E}} &= 1 & \frac{1}{T} &= \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \Big|_{E=\bar{E}, N=\bar{N}} \\
 -T \frac{\partial S}{\partial N} \Big|_{N=\bar{N}} &= \mu = 0 & \Rightarrow & -\frac{\mu}{T} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial N} \Big|_{N=\bar{N}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E - TS - \mu N &= \bar{E} - T \bar{S} - \mu \bar{N} + \Delta E - T \left[S(\bar{E}, \bar{N}) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \Delta E + \frac{\partial S}{\partial N} \Delta N \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E^2} \Delta E^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial N^2} \Delta N^2 + \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E \partial N} \Delta E \Delta N \right] \\
 &\quad + \text{Higher order terms} \\
 &= \left[\bar{E} - T \bar{S} - \mu \bar{N} \right] + \Delta E \left[1 - T \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \right] \\
 &\quad - \Delta N \left[\mu + \frac{\partial S}{\partial N} \right] \\
 &\quad - \frac{T}{2} \left[\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E^2} \Delta E^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E \partial N} \Delta E \Delta N \right]
 \end{aligned}$$



Plus sorry minus T S of E bar times N bar plus del S del E delta E plus del S del N delta N plus del 2 S del E 2 delta E square plus del 2 S del N 2 delta N square there is going to be a half factor here plus del 2 S del E del N delta N delta E. Of course, you will have higher order terms which we will ignore. So, this expansion essentially assumes that your system size is very very small and delta E.

Since it is exponentially unlikely to find the system in a state other than E bar N bar therefore, the fluctuations in delta E are extremely small. So, this gives you E bar plus mu minus mu N bar. So, that you have and this quantity we denote as S bar where S bar is identical to S of E bar comma N bar.

The first term is this, this is delta E and I have a delta E over here so this becomes delta E times 1 minus T del S del E minus mu N and plus mu N bar gives you minus mu delta N not

mu delta N we will take delta N outside this gives you mu and plus del S del N. And then you have minus T by 2 del 2 S del E 2 delta E square plus 2 del 2 S del the mixed derivative delta E delta N.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:35)

$$\begin{aligned}
 E - TS - \mu N &= (\bar{E}) - T(\bar{S}) - \mu(\bar{N}) - T \left[\frac{\partial S(\bar{E}, \bar{N})}{\partial E} \Delta E + \frac{\partial S(\bar{E}, \bar{N})}{\partial N} \Delta N + \text{higher order terms} \right] \\
 \bar{S} &\equiv S(\bar{E}, \bar{N}) \\
 &= \left[\bar{E} - T\bar{S} - \mu\bar{N} \right] + \Delta E \left[-T \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \right] - \Delta N \left[\mu + T \frac{\partial S}{\partial N} \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[-T \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E^2} \right] \Delta E^2 - T \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E \partial N} \Delta E \Delta N - T \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial N^2} \Delta N^2 \\
 Q &= \int dE dN e^{-\beta(\bar{E} - T\bar{S} - \mu\bar{N})} e^{-\frac{1}{2} [\lambda_1 \Delta E^2 + \lambda_2 \Delta E \Delta N + \lambda_3 \Delta N^2]}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\lambda_1 > 0$
 $\lambda_3 > 0$



Plus del 2 S del N 2 delta N square. What we will do is will make this plus and bring a minus sign all in front of it. In fact, we will also absorbed T inside. So, that [vocalized- noise] will cost this expression as minus T by 2 T by 2 with a minus sign T by 2 with a minus sign sorry not the half we will keep the half outside so, that the structure remains as a Gaussian fluctuation, right.

So, your omega of this is 0 from the thermodynamic relation that we have calculated. So, your Q of E N this becomes integral e to the dE dN e to the power minus beta E bar minus T of S

bar minus mu of N bar times e to the power minus half lambda 1 delta E square plus lambda 2 delta E delta N plus lambda 3 delta N square.

I made the mistake here this should not be delta E delta E, but delta E delta N plus lambda 3 delta N square. So, one can easily do the calculations a little bit more to realize that lambda 1 is positive lambda 2 lambda 3 is positive and lambda 1 lambda 3 is greater than lambda 2 this you can do. This is the conditions kind of we derive when we did stability criteria.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:34)

$$Q = \int dE dN e^{-\beta(E-TS-\mu N)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}[\lambda_1 \Delta E^2 + \lambda_2 \Delta E \Delta N + \lambda_3 \Delta N^2]}$$

$$= e^{-\beta(E-TS-\mu N)} \int dE dN e^{-\frac{1}{2}[\lambda_1 \Delta E^2 + \lambda_2 \Delta E \Delta N + \lambda_3 \Delta N^2]}$$

$\lambda_1 > 0$
 $\lambda_3 > 0$
 $\lambda_1 \lambda_3 > \lambda_2^2$

$$\ln Q = -\beta[E-TS-\mu N] + \ln \int dE dN e^{-\frac{1}{2}[\lambda_1 \Delta E^2 + \lambda_2 \Delta E \Delta N + \lambda_3 \Delta N^2]}$$

$E-TS-\mu N = -PV$

$$-k_B T \ln Q = [E-TS-\mu N]$$

$$-k_B T \ln Q = -PV$$

$PV = k_B T \ln Q$



So, this becomes minus beta E bar minus T of S bar minus mu of N bar integral dE one can now convert it to d of delta E d of delta N because this is a shift of variables e to the power minus half lambda 1 delta E square plus lambda 2 delta E delta N, lambda 1 would be the inverse of the specific heat lambda delta N square will be the del N del mu that we did.

The coefficient of δN^2 will be $\frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial E^2 \partial N^2}$ that we did and one can verify this very easily right. So, these fluctuations essentially this is a Gaussian probability distribution Gaussian distribution of joint distribution of E and N and this can be integrated very very easily and the integral you see that is going to be a function of E and N is going to be dependent on N because δE^2 because you have λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_3 which are all dependent on N therefore, the integral is going to be an extensive quantity.

If you take a log in contrast this gives you $-\beta \bar{E} - \beta \bar{TS} - \beta \bar{\mu} N + \log \Omega$, we will write this as order of $\log \Omega$ whereas, this quantity is of the order of N because all of them are extensive quantity and in the thermodynamic limit I can drop out this term, this term does not mean compared to the first term.

So, I will remove the bar and I will write that $-\beta (E - TS - \mu N)$ is $-\beta (E - TS - \mu N)$ where all these quantities referred to your averages and therefore, you see that $E - TS - \mu N$ is going to be $-P V$. So, this becomes $-P V = -k_B T \ln \Omega$. So, that pressure times volume is $k_B T \ln \Omega$ and this is the connection with thermodynamics.

(Refer Slide Time: 11:55)

$$-k_B T \ln Q = [E - TS - \mu N]$$

$$-k_B T \ln Q = -PV$$

$$\Omega = -PV \Rightarrow \Omega = -k_B T \ln Q$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{k_B T}$$

$$\Omega = \Omega(T, V, \mu)$$

$$d\Omega = -SdT - PdV - Nd\mu$$

$$-\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial T}\right)_{V, \mu} = S \quad \left| \quad -\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial V}\right)_{T, \mu} = P \quad \right| \quad -\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \mu} = N$$

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_E E e^{-\beta(E - \mu N)}$$

$$\langle N \rangle = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_N N e^{-\beta(E - \mu N)}$$

$$-k_B T \ln Q = \langle E \rangle - T \langle S \rangle - \mu \langle N \rangle$$

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_E E e^{-\beta(E - \mu N)} + \frac{\mu}{Q} \sum_N N e^{-\beta(E - \mu N)}$$

But we do not usually stop here I mean I know that the grand potential Ω is minus P times V and this implies that Ω is minus $k_B T \ln Q$, but what is Ω function of Ω is what? Is a function of T , V and μ and therefore, $d\Omega$ is going to be minus SdT minus PdV minus $Nd\mu$. Recall from your thermodynamics that this is the differential in Ω looks like.

So, that $\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial T}$ is minus of that is the entropy $\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial V}$ what is being held constant V, μ is being held constant $\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \mu}$ V, T, μ is being held constant is minus of P and $\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \mu}$ minus of that is going to be N right. So, I have three relations one can validate it also from starting from the fundamental relation right because I know the expression for average E , I know the expression for average N , correct.

You also know that $k_B T \ln Q$ is minus $k_B T$ average E minus T average S minus μ average N .

So, this is going to be sum over 1 by Q $E e$ to the power minus βE minus μN and this E one has to be careful now is average of E is going to be 1 over Q sum over $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}$ of e to the power minus βE minus μN . But then that actually brings out if you take a derivative with respect to β that actually brings out a factor which is E minus which is plus E minus plus μN . So, one has to subtract $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \frac{1}{\beta}$ e to the power minus βE minus μN .

(Refer Slide Time: 14:47)

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{1}{Q} \sum \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} + \frac{\mu N}{\beta} e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} (-\beta(E-\mu N)) = -E e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} + \frac{\mu N}{\beta} e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)}$$

$$S = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} (k_B \ln Q)$$

$$P = \frac{\partial}{\partial V} (k_B \ln Q)$$



Let us see whether we are correct in our hypothesis if I do this then this gives me e to the power minus βE minus μN times minus βE minus μN . So, first of all this has to

be divided by $1/\beta$ right. So, $1/\beta$ of this is going to be $e^{-\beta E}$ minus μN minus E plus μ over β sorry β has been taken care of so μ times.

So, if I do it with a minus β over here then you see minus of β is this is plus and this becomes minus. So, I have to add this particular term and this particular term is essentially μ times $\frac{\partial}{\partial N} \ln Z$ minus βE minus μN . So, then if I am doing a minus over here this has to be μ over β $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln Z$ minus βE minus μN right.

So, this should be your average E and you can manipulate this similarly you can also write down average of N and you can see that this is the relation that you are going to come up with.

So, straightforward S is going to be $\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \ln Z$ of $k_B T \ln Q$, pressure is going to be $-\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \ln Z$ of $k_B T \ln Q$ which is very very straightforward to validate over here because $-\frac{\partial}{\partial V}$ of the left hand side gives you pressure and this is the right hand side you see $k_B T \ln Q$ is equal to the thermodynamic pressure.

(Refer Slide Time: 17:01)

$$\begin{aligned}
 N &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} (k_B T \ln Q) \\
 \langle E \rangle &= \frac{1}{Q} \sum \left[-\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} \right] \\
 &= -\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{Q} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \sum e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{1}{Q} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \sum e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \mu} \\
 &= -\frac{k_B T^2}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \mu}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \beta} = -k_B^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T}$$



And finally, N is going to be $k_B T \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \mu}$ right good. So, one can continue this relation average of E is $\frac{1}{Q} \sum \left[-\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} \right]$ minus $\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta}$ plus $\frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \mu}$ right.

And this you see is going to be $-\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \mu}$ sorry $-\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta}$ plus $\frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \mu}$. This is very straightforward this becomes $-\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \mu}$ right.

But $\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta}$ is identical to $\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \beta}$ which is $-\frac{k_B T^2}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial T}$. So, this becomes $-\frac{k_B T^2}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial T} + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \mu}$. However, we did not observe this is somewhere horribly wrong because I have a $\frac{1}{\beta}$

over here because then it makes me $k_B T$ cube. So, when did we go wrong so, let us go back and check our calculation once again and we see that here is where we went wrong this beta factor is not going to be there.

(Refer Slide Time: 19:56)

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{1}{Q} \sum \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} + \frac{\mu N}{\beta} e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{Q} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} (-E + \mu N) + \mu N e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{Q} \left[-e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} (-E + \mu N) + \mu N e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{Q} \left[e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} (E - \mu N) + \mu N e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{Q} \left[e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} (E - \mu N + \mu N) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{Q} \left[e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} E \right]$$

$$S = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} (k_B T \ln Q)$$

$$P = \frac{\partial}{\partial V} (k_B T \ln Q)$$

$$N = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} (k_B T \ln Q)$$



So, we are going to remove this beta factor and we are going to keep it as minus, minus. So, this $1/\beta$ vanishes over here and the rest of it is fine because if this is the case then you see minus of e to the power minus beta del del beta of this is going to be. So, just this particular term is going to be minus of this right.

And that becomes e to the power minus beta E minus μN and then you have a minus μN e to the power minus beta E minus μN , but this particular term is del del μ e to the power minus beta E minus μN because if you take a derivative with respect to μ now, you will bring out bring down beta times N .

(Refer Slide Time: 20:54)

$$\begin{aligned}\langle E \rangle &= \frac{1}{Q} \sum \left[-\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} e^{-\beta(E+\mu)} + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} e^{-\beta(E+\mu)} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{Q} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \sum e^{-\beta(E+\mu)} + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{1}{Q} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \sum e^{-\beta(E+\mu)} \\ &= -\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \mu}\end{aligned}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \beta} = -k_B T^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T}$$

$$\langle E \rangle = -\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \mu}$$



And so I am dividing by beta and therefore, this is not going to be there and the answer in simplest form is average energy is going to be minus this is the simplest form it is going to be minus del del beta ln Q plus mu over beta del mu del ln Q good.

(Refer Slide Time: 21:23)

Connection with Canonical partition function

$$Q = \sum_{\text{all states}} e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)} = \sum_{N_j} e^{\beta\mu N_j} \sum_{\{E, N_j\}} e^{-\beta E}$$

$\sum_{\{E, N_j\}} e^{-\beta E} \propto Z(V, \mu)$

$N_j \rightarrow$ Sum over all Energy states



Our final task in this part in this lecture is to look at connection with canonical partition function. So, Q is sum over all states $e^{-\beta(E-\mu N)}$ right, which means that this is going to be $\sum_{N_j} e^{\beta\mu N_j} \sum_{\{E, N_j\}} e^{-\beta E}$, but this particular well to be very explicit over here one has to be saying that $\sum_{\{E, N_j\}} e^{-\beta E} \propto Z(V, \mu)$.

So, this sum I can split into two sums right what does this mean that means, I take a system with a fixed number of particles N_j and then I sum over all energy states and once I have taken this I sum over the second step is to I sum over than all possible system sizes which means you sum over the particle numbers right. But this quantity is very very familiar to us and this quantity is nothing, but the canonical partition function we will say $Z(V, \mu, N)$.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:07)

$$Q = \sum_{\text{all states}} e^{-\beta E} = \sum_{N_j} e^{-\beta E(\{E, N_j\})}$$

$N_j \rightarrow$ Sum over all Energy states

$$Q = \sum_N e^{-\beta \mu N} Z_N$$

$Z_N = q^N$
 $q \rightarrow$ single particle partition function

$$q = \left(\frac{V}{\Lambda_T^3}\right) \Rightarrow Z_N = \frac{1}{N!} \left(\frac{V}{\Lambda_T^3}\right)^N = \frac{q^N}{N!}$$

$\frac{q^N}{N!} \rightarrow$ indistinguishable particles

$$Q = \sum_N e^{-\beta \mu N} \frac{q^N}{N!}$$



So, we will simply denote this by Z_N . So, therefore, you see we have come up with a very nice thing that this is $e^{-\beta \mu N}$ times Z_N . Now, recall in the canonical form ensemble we did non interacting systems and in the non interacting systems we said that for an N particle partition function or can be expressed in terms of a single particle partition function, where q is my single particle partition function where q is the single particle partition function.

Note: here is where I am changing the notation when we did canonical ensemble I had represented this single particle partition function with capital Q right and depending on whether you have a distinguishable set of particles you will have q to the power N or you will have q to the power N over N factorial for indistinguishable particle right.

So, for example, when we looked at an ideal gas my q was V over λT and therefore, this will imply that Z_N was 1 over N factorial V over λT raised to the power N which will simply write as q over N raised to the power N factorial and therefore, your grand canonical partition function become e to the power $\beta \mu N$ q to the power N over N factorial sum over N .

(Refer Slide Time: 25:07)

$$\text{Ideal Gas } q = \left(\frac{V}{\lambda T}\right) \Rightarrow Z_N = \frac{1}{N!} \left(\frac{V}{\lambda T}\right)^N = \frac{q^N}{N!} \quad \text{para.}$$

$$Q = \sum_N e^{\beta \mu N} \frac{q^N}{N!} = 1 + e^{\beta \mu} q + e^{2\beta \mu} q^2 + \dots$$

$$= \exp\left[e^{\beta \mu} q\right] = \exp\left[e^{\beta \mu} \left(\frac{V}{\lambda T}\right)\right]$$

$$\ln Q = e^{\beta \mu} \left(\frac{V}{\lambda T}\right)$$



If I expand this sum this is going to be 1 plus e to the power $\beta \mu$ let us say q plus e to the power $2 \beta \mu$ q square so on and so forth, and this is going to be e to the power we will write exponential of e to the power $\beta \mu$ times q which is exponential e to the power $\beta \mu$ V over λT , $\ln Q$ is going to be e to the power of $\beta \mu$ V over λT right. So, this is the case for an ideal gas in the grand canonical ensemble right good.

one which we have to carefully do. This derivative is minus 1 over lambda T square del lambda T del beta.

(Refer Slide Time: 28:12)

$$\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} e^{\beta \mu} \left(\frac{V}{\lambda T} \right) = \mu e^{\beta \mu} \frac{V}{\lambda T} + e^{\beta \mu} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda T} \right) \right)$$

$$\ln \lambda T = \frac{D}{\nu} \ln \beta + \text{Constant}$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda T} \frac{\partial \lambda T}{\partial \beta} = \frac{D}{\nu} \frac{1}{\beta}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} e^{\beta \mu} \frac{V}{\lambda T} = \beta e^{\beta \mu} \frac{V}{\lambda T}$$

$$\langle E \rangle = - \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \mu}$$

$$= \left[\mu e^{\beta \mu} \frac{V}{\lambda T} - e^{\beta \mu} \frac{V}{\lambda T} \frac{D}{\nu \beta} \right] + \frac{\mu}{\beta} \beta e^{\beta \mu} \frac{V}{\lambda T}$$



Log lambda T is going to be D over nu ln over beta plus a constant which we are not worried about. So, therefore, del del beta 1 over lambda T is going to be del lambda T is going to be D over nu beta. So, which means this I can write as minus 1 over lambda T 1 over lambda T del lambda T del beta correct. I am little bit worried about the negative sign yeah that is ok that is ok.

So, this means this derivative is now minus 1 over lambda T D over nu beta, because this is the derivatives which we are plugging in from here. So, therefore, the average energy if you take the expression over here from top is minus del del beta of ln Q plus mu over beta del del mu of ln Q del del mu of ln Q is quite trivial to do is del del mu of e to the power beta mu V

over lambda T neither volume depends on the chemical potential neither lambda T depends on the chemical potential.

And therefore, you just have beta times e to the power beta mu, V over lambda T right. Wait, I think there has been some mistake over here because this beta does not I am terribly sorry because this is del del beta of beta mu so that this is going to be mu times this.

So, you have mu e to the power beta mu plus this and this is correct. So, therefore, you have mu e to the power beta mu V over lambda T plus e to the power beta mu V there is a minus sign outside. So, we have to take care of this we will use this term and put in back as the derivative. So, that V over lambda T there is a minus sign. So, the plus become a minus and then I have D over nu times beta plus mu over beta and this derivative comes from over here beta e to the beta V over lambda T.

(Refer Slide Time: 31:17)

4

$$= -N e^{\beta \mu} \frac{V}{\lambda T} + \left(e^{\beta \mu} \frac{V}{\lambda T} \right) \frac{D}{V \beta} + \mu e^{\beta \mu} \frac{V}{\lambda T}$$

$$\langle E \rangle = \langle N \rangle \frac{D}{V} k_B T$$

$$E = N \frac{D}{V} k_B T$$

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{D}{V} \langle N \rangle k_B T$$

$$Z_N = q^N$$

$$Q = \sum_N e^{\beta \mu} q^N = \frac{1}{1 - e^{\beta \mu} q}$$

$$|q e^{\beta \mu}| < 1$$



This, this gets cancelled out and you have minus μ e to the power $\beta \mu V$ over λT plus e to the power $\beta \mu V$ over λT . We will enclose in bracket you will see in a movement why this is so D over ν plus $\beta \mu e$ to the power μV over λT . This and this cancels out and if you recall just a little while ago the quantity within the brackets within the parenthesis is nothing, but the average N .

So, essentially your average energy then becomes D over ν average of N times $k B T$. In the canonical ensemble you had N times D over $\nu k B T$ because your N was fixed right. Here, this relation is replaced by average of E is D by ν average of $N k B T$. So, this is how the relation gets modified in the grand canonical ensemble. I invite you to calculate the entropy and you will see the same phenomenon that averaged the N that and the E is replaced by average E and the average N .

Finally, very quickly if my single particle partition function is just sorry if my N particle partition function Z of N is just q to the power N then, the grand canonical partition function becomes sum over $N e$ to the power $\beta \mu q$ to the power N , which is 1 by 1 minus e to the power $\beta \mu$ times q with the understanding that e to the power $\beta \mu q$ is less than 1 right. So, we will conclude the our section on canonical ensemble here.