

**Tapestry of Field theory: Classical & Quantum, Equilibrium & Nonequilibrium Perspectives**

**Prof. Mahendra K. Verma**

**Department of Physics**

**Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur**

**Week - 10**

**Lecture – 59**

So, I did flux for shell model, you can do similar thing for Navier Stokes. So, what is the idea? So, the formula is so is that wrote so this is a sphere of radius  $k$  naught. So,  $\pi$   $k$  naught is two sums. So, give her  $p$  is within the sphere  $p$  less than  $k$  naught less than equal to  $k$  greater than  $k$  naught  $k$  dot  $u$   $q$   $u$   $p$  dot  $u$  star of  $k$  imaginary part of this. So, this is a formula derived by various authors. We have some interpretation of this which is interesting, but I will not it is not required here I need to compute this interval ok.

This formula we derived it ok. So, let me say this is let me take a claim that this is this form is done by first time by Dar my PhD student Eswaran and me in 2001. So, anyway so we use this formula to compute flux. Now I will not do the full algebra which is very involved, but you can see that there are three  $u$ 's right.

You have  $u$   $q$   $u$   $p$  and  $u$  of  $k$  they are vectors. So, I need to do this tensor stuff, but essentially we have this three  $u$ 's and this is a pre factor there will be a vortex term. So, if you understand the shell model calculation properly then you can do this calculation here as well. So, to zeroth order assuming  $u$  to be Gaussian if I do the average so I want average of this. If I do average what will I get to zeroth order is Gaussian.

So, odd order should give you 0. So, zeroth order this integral is this product is 0 ok. This is equilibrium solution, but not the non equilibrium solution. So, zeroth order it gives you 0. So, I want non zero value for the flux.

So, what do I do? I expand it using Green's function. So, idea is so there are three Green's function sorry there are three Feynman diagrams. So, one of them look like that. So, this  $G$  of  $q$   $t$  minus  $t$  prime and the two legs will come right. So, like before so Green's function and two  $u$ 's.

Navier Stokes equation has this two  $u$ 's in the right hand side and you get non zero values only when this is up and the  $u$  of minus  $p$ . This  $u$  of  $q$  the  $u$  of minus  $q$  and the two integrals the two sums right. So, that becomes integral. So, the two integrals here. Of course, there

are two more Feynman diagrams which are like this plus like this.

So, what is this integral? So, let us write the integral ok. So,  $\int dp dk$  so this  $p$  sum and  $k$  sum of course, one of them is within the sphere other one is outside the sphere ok that will involve complications, but we ignore that part. So, that two correlation functions  $C_p C_q t - t'$   $C_q t - t'$   $C_p$  sorry  $C_p$  Green's function  $q t - t'$ . Integral  $\int dt' 0$  to  $t$  plug in again the same form Anzat's assumptions. So,  $\int dp dk dt'$  prime exponentials right exponential minus  $\nu p^2 t - t'$ .

I am just going to write them together  $\nu p^2 t - t'$  what about this plus  $\nu q^2$  and the two correlation functions. So,  $C_p C_q$ , but they are equal time correlation for which I am going to substitute Kolmogorov. Understand this is an equal time and unequal time. So, unequal time has this exponential factor multiplied by equal time equal time is  $t$  and  $t$  equal to  $t'$ . So, that is a spectrum know that is a spectrum and the Green's function will give you  $k^2$  Green's function this  $k$ .

So,  $k^2 \nu k$  ok. If I integrate with time what will I get like before  $\int dp dk$ . So, integral always gives you in the denominator  $\nu p^2 + \nu q^2 + \nu k^2$  and  $C_p C_q$  and there will be some pre factors ok. If I do the other sums I will get again two correlation function, but denominator is the same. So, these guys will basically here I will get  $p^2 q^2 k$  and the other guy will give me  $C_p C_k$  and the pre factors are different know these pre factors are different ok.

Now, what do I do next? I substitute Kolmogorov formula for the correlation function and it turns out I will not do algebra here it turns out the right hand side is proportional to  $\epsilon$  and a constant and low and behold key Kolmogorov  $3/2$  by  $\nu^*$  ok. It is exactly same form because dimensionally we should get the same answer ok this constant will be different by stuff. So, this  $\epsilon$  so that means the flux is constant and equal to  $\epsilon$  multiplied by a constant. So, this will cancel, but this constant this guy must be equal to 1 know. So, I know  $\nu^*$  this constant will come from the integral complex integral.

So, that will give me  $k$  Kolmogorov and that is approximately 1.6 1.7 ok. So, if I do all these algebra correctly then I get this Kolmogorov constant ok. So, that is a outcome of flux calculation ok.

So, let us summarize I think it is the RG analysis gives me  $\nu k$  it turns out  $\nu k$  is not just for fun, this  $\nu k$  is also very useful for real simulation which you call large-eddy simulation. So, we can define viscosity at different scales also for modeling diffusion in in atmosphere right. So, I need  $\nu k$  for atmospheric diffusion. So, all that can be done. So,

enhance viscosity a flux analysis shows the flux is constant in the initial range ok.

I showed you for field theory and you can compute Kolmogorov constant. So, this scheme I believe gives me around 1.6 something, but if I use some different field theory then I get different numbers, but they are all lying in this band ok which we find in simulations as well if you do carefully ok. These are non-equilibrium feature. Equilibrium feature exactly like what I argued for shell model we can show that this correlations will be 0 for the flux.

So, the energy flux will be 0 and other well for the viscosity is pretty somewhat complicated, but we can also show that  $\nu k$  is not renormalized for Euler equation ok. The proof is bit complicated, but it can be shown. So, we basically field theory is telling us same story what we expect. So, this is a non-equilibrium field theory for turbulence ok. So, let us stop.