

**Cryogenic Hydrogen Technology**  
**Prof. Indranil Ghosh**  
**Cryogenic Engineering Centre**  
**Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur**  
**Week - 05**  
**Lecture 24**  
**Cryogenic Liquefaction... contd.**

Welcome to this lecture class on Cryogenic Hydrogen Technology. We were talking about hydrogen liquefaction. In that context, we have already learned about the Linde-Hampson cycle and we have identified some problem with the Linde-Hampson cycle. So, based on that we will now go into the precooled Linde-Hampson cycle and we will be talking ah mostly about the analysis of the precooled Linde-Hampson cycle. So, we understand that ah I mean we have in the last class we talked about the precooled Linde-Hampson cycle in the context of simple Linde-Hampson cycle.

So, and also we have analyzed this ah simple Linde-Hampson cycle. We have also calculated the liquid yield for this analysis I mean for this cycle and we found that the liquid yield is depending on ah the enthalpy of the incoming gas, the enthalpy of the compressed ah gas and also it depends on the enthalpy of the liquefied gas. But we also understood that this cycle is not good enough ah this is the work requirement per unit mass of gas compressed. But this cycle works for gases like ah nitrogen, oxygen, but it is not recommended for the gases like helium, hydrogen and neon and we understood that this is because of the fact that this gases helium, hydrogen and neon they are having the maximum inversion temperature ah below the ambient temperature.

So, you can see that if we assume that the ambient temperature is around 300 Kelvin. So, all these temperatures are below the ambient temperature or ambient ah you know 300 Kelvin. So, that means, if we compress and cool it ah these gases at nearly room temperature if we have refrigerant or coolant available at room temperature ah this cycle is not good enough. So, we have seen that ok this simple in the Hampson cycle is not recommended for hydrogen, but what happens if we put hydrogen that also we have seen and we have seen that you know instead of giving any cooling ah it will start getting heated up. ah Just for an example on the numerical part if we look at if we are compressing the gas from 1 atmosphere to ah I mean 50 bar or 50 atmospheric pressure and keeping the temperature constant that is isothermal compression we are doing here.

So, we are rejecting heat and this is the work requirement for this compressor. So, ah if we look at it we find that the corresponding enthalpies at  $h_1$  that is here at atmospheric

pressure and 300 Kelvin this is 8484 joule per mole ah and this is the according to the software that I am using. For the gas you know when it is getting compressed it is that 8528 joule per mole. So, that means, when you have compressed it and cooled it at 300 Kelvin its enthalpy is like this and the enthalpy of the liquefied hydrogen is minus 517 joule per mole. So, ah now if we try to calculate the liquid yield ah you can understand that this part  $h_1$  is 8484 joule per mole and  $h_2$  is 8528 giving you negative value for the y.

In the denominator you have of course,  $h_1$  is 8484 plus 517 minus of minus will become 5 ah plus 517. So, basically ah it is giving also I mean from this part numerical part also we are finding that the liquid is negative that indicates that means, you know there is no liquefaction or liquid production by this simple Linde-Hampson cycle. But this process is not you know ah I mean if it is a precooled Linde-Hampson cycle that means, if we are ah changing the enthalpy of this gas at after compression you know if we have some mechanism to change its enthalpy before it is you know expanded in this Joule Thompson valve or because this is completely you know there is no turbine there is no isenthalpic expansion ah isentropic expansion it is completely based on isenthalpic expansion. So, we have to you know cool it before it is expanding we understood that it has to be cooled below the maximum inversion temperature. So, so that is what is done in the precooled Linde-Hampson cycle and still you know we are having this compressor and we are rejecting the heat of compression we are isothermally compressing it from 1 to state point 1 to state point 2 that is you know from here to here.

But we we are not you know ah directly expanding it from this point 2 onwards. When the process is starting you know at the initial stage what is happening this compressed gas will be coming over here where we have this liquid nitrogen bath where liquid nitrogen is coming in and the vapor ah it is coming out and it is cooling the incoming ah high pressure gas this is hydrogen and this hydrogen is again finally, you know it is passed through ah ah coil which is dipped in liquid nitrogen. So, you may ah ah you know argue why should we dip it in liquid nitrogen why not to directly dip it in light nitrogen ah what is the role of this exchanger ah this three-stream exchanger it could have been avoided as well. But the purpose of this ah you know ah to save or you know economically ah use this liquid nitrogen because this liquid nitrogen is not coming freely and ah of course, this liquid nitrogen is getting evaporated. So, we want to use this evaporated nitrogen.

So, that you know nitrogen vapor which is also at low temperature that cold we want to utilize and that you know will slightly cool this incoming high-pressure hydrogen. So, that when it comes over here ah this its enthalpy is slightly lower than the completely gaseous enthalpy at ah state point 2. So, we will have ah less load on the liquid nitrogen you can say. So, that is why you know we try to instead of you know just throwing it out to the ambient ah which is that cold condition we want to retrieve its cold and utilize it for high

pressure incoming gas cooling. So, now, ah when the process is starting you know it has come to a point state point 4 which is you know that the cooling ah it is the boiling point of the ah coolant or the refrigerant here in this case it is liquid nitrogen.

And if the liquid nitrogen is boiling at normal boiling point or NBP 77 kelvin we can ah assume that it will be coming to nearly ah that same temperature if it is an ideal heat exchanger at this moment we are considering all these things are ideal. So, here it would come at around 77 Kelvin. So, now, from here if it is getting expanded you can understand that it will give you some degree of cooling when the process is getting started. So, we have some ah you know ah some cold vapor at the first stage or cold gas you know when it is expanded isenthalpically. And later on this gas you know high pressure sorry low pressure return stream will cool the incoming high pressure gas and that will ah come to a stage when this will give you ah you know some portion of the liquid in the steady state.

So, this is the steady state situation when 1, 2, 3, 4 and ah there would be say 5, 5 is missing here somewhere here 5 would be there ah I am sorry. So, I am sorry this ah this is wrong this is wrong this is 5 from state point 5 we are expanding it to state point 6 ah fine this is in 2 phase region and the vapor part is going back the liquid part is you know stored here this is the separator and we are separating or taking out the liquid from here. So, please note that this is state point 5 ah it is not the one ok fine. So, this is ah why the Linde Hampson cycle ah you know it is possible ah with the pre-coolant like LN2. So, now, we will try to analyze this cycle ah numerically.

So, for that ah first of all let us ah try to ah put a control volume around it and let this be ah you know encompassing the all the ah heat exchangers ah the 3-stream exchanger the 2-stream exchanger this reservoir and the liquid nitrogen that is coming in and the vapor or the gaseous nitrogen which is ah moving out. So, we have the  $\dot{m}$  mass that is getting compressed from state point 1 to state point 2 isothermally the heat rejected by this is  $QR$  this is the  $W$  amount of work that has been given ah there is of course, this liquid nitrogen is not coming freely as I have told you for liquid nitrogen production we need to spend energy that will be you know ah calculated ah separately not in this ah I mean along with this. Let us try to first calculate the liquid yield which is ah possible with the precooled Linde Hampson cycle. So, we have this liquid nitrogen gaseous nitrogen and ah this is the compressed hydrogen that is coming in and this is the compressed you know the liquefied hydrogen that is moving out. So, first of all let us try to identify the streams ah which are going in and out and the corresponding enthalpy values.

So, here ah let us assume that ah this must flow rate for the liquid nitrogen is ah  $\dot{m}_{N2}$  and the corresponding enthalpies for the liquid and the vapour are  $h_{Ng}$  for the vapour and  $h_{Nf}$  for the liquid. So, this enthalpy is  $h_f$  here we have told that this enthalpy will be  $h_2$

and what is the mass flow rate through this stream that is getting compressed and if we have taken out the compressed part. So, we have  $\dot{m}$  minus  $\dot{m}_f$  that is the stream that is going back to the compressor and in the compressor, there is makeup gas of  $\dot{m}_f$  and that will be combined to give you this  $\dot{m}$ . So, we have mostly identified the streams and the corresponding enthalpies. So, here  $h_2$  this is this enthalpy is  $h_1$  and  $h_1$  corresponds to again you know this is assuming it to be an ideal condition.

So, that this gas leaving this heat exchanger is at the same temperature at the inlet of this high-pressure gas. So, that that is an idealization if it is not we have to take the appropriate temperature for this three fluid stream heat exchanger. So, now with this let us just try to put them in the control volume. So, these are the incoming enthalpies sorry the flow I mean streams and these are the streams which are leaving this control volume and if you look back you will find that there is no work you know in this control volume that is no work or no heat input I mean assuming that there is no external heat leak and the work requirement that is there associated with the compressor we are not taking into account in this calculation because here we are trying to calculate the liquid yield and if we put the corresponding enthalpy values we will as we have said that this is  $h_f$  this is  $h_1$  sorry  $h_g$  and this is  $h_2$  and this is  $h_1$ . So, now let us apply the first law of thermodynamics for the open cycle then we will find that  $Q$  minus  $W$  is that is equals to 0 and then we can write it as the summation of the enthalpies of the outgoing stream.

$$\dot{m}_f (h_f - h_1) + \dot{m} (h_1 - h_2) + \dot{m}_{N_2} (h_{N_g} - h_{N_f}) = 0$$

So, what are the streams leaving  $\dot{m}_f$  and  $h_f$  and then it is not the summation this is first this plus and then outgoing enthalpies are  $\dot{m}$  minus  $\dot{m}_f$  plus  $\dot{m}$  minus  $\dot{m}_f$  into  $h_1$  then another stream that is leaving is  $\dot{m}_{N_2}$  and  $h_g$ . So, these are the outgoing streams then you have the incoming streams which are basically minus the incoming is  $\dot{m}_{N_2}$  for  $h_f$  and then you have the incoming one is minus  $\dot{m}$   $h_2$ . So, this is what we have for this control volume without any work or any  $W$  is 0 and work is 0. So, now, if you know something or you know if you rearrange this let us put the  $\dot{m}_f$  terms together then you will have  $h_f$  minus  $h_1$  then you have the  $\dot{m}$  terms  $\dot{m}$  of  $h_1$  minus  $h_2$  this is one term and this is another term here then we have another term involving  $\dot{m}_{N_2}$  then you will have the  $h_g$  minus  $h_f$  that is equals to 0. Now, if we want to calculate the liquid yield that is  $\dot{m}_f$  by  $\dot{m}$  that is equals to  $y$ .

$$y = \frac{\dot{m}_f}{\dot{m}} = \frac{h_1 - h_2}{h_1 - h_f} + \frac{\dot{m}_{N_2}}{\dot{m}} \left( \frac{h_{N_g} - h_{N_f}}{h_1 - h_f} \right)$$

So, we have to take  $\dot{m}_f$  by  $\dot{m}$  and then we can you know put it to the other side

and if you take it to this side I mean on other side if you take it it would become  $h_1 - h_2$  by  $h_1 - h_f$  this is exactly what we have seen for the case of a simple Linde Hampson cycle along with that we have an additional term which involves the amount of liquid nitrogen that is given here along with  $h_{Ng} - h_{Nf}$  divided by  $h_1 - h_f$ . So, that is  $ah$  is the amount of  $ah$  you know liquid yield here  $ah$  this is  $y$  and this is this term we have learned earlier that this is a negative term, but this term  $ah$   $h_{Ng}$  that is the  $ah$  stream leaving with this  $ah$  nitrogen that is the enthalpy this is the positive term. So, that means,  $ah$  liquid yield completely  $ah$  depends on this part because this is giving you a negative contribution and this is giving you a positive contribution from the  $ah$  enthalpy or the enthalpy drop or the cooling that has been obtained with the liquid nitrogen. So, is there any  $ah$  if it is giving basically a negative contribution why not to drop this term, but that will  $ah$  you know  $ah$  I mean in that case we have to  $ah$  remove the compressor itself. So, that is not the idea because finally, we know that we will be expanding the gas  $ah$  from high pressure to low pressure and it has to be there always.

So, this term will be there, but this term you know is  $ah$  actually producing the required amount of cooling. So, this term we  $ah$  term it as  $z$  that is the liquid nitrogen requirement per unit mass of gas compressed and this is of course, the  $h_1 - h_2$  by  $ah$   $h_1 - h_f$  and then  $ah$  this  $z$  multiplied by  $ah$   $h_{fg} - h_{ah}$  of  $N_f$  by  $h_1 - h_f$ . So, that means, if you have to  $ah$  calculate the liquid yield  $ah$  you need to know what is the fraction of  $ah$  you know nitrogen per unit mass of gas or hydrogen compressed is used and then you can put it in this expression, but  $ah$  always it may not be possible you know to have this expression known to you unless otherwise specified. Rather  $ah$  we would be interested to find out this  $ah$  liquid nitrogen requirement, but if we have one equation and two unknowns then it is not  $ah$  you know something we can calculate. So, we have to  $ah$  use maybe you know we will be using this expression to calculate  $ah$  the nitrogen requirement.

Let us look  $ah$  some other equation to calculate the liquid yield ok. So, if we go back to our  $ah$  I mean cycle  $ah$  we we find that it is possible  $ah$   $ah$  to identify another control volume involving this this cold end exchanger  $ah$  that is  $ah$  the two-stream exchanger and from there we can see that this could be the control volume. As a matter of fact, this  $ah$  part if we remove from this end this is basically just very similar to the Linde Hampson cycle, but only thing is that here this is  $ah$  coming at state point 4 which is at cold condition and this is at the temperature corresponding to the boiling point of this coolant. And this heat exchanger if it is 100 percent effective then it is coming out at the same temperature as you know as with which it is entering the heat exchanger at the cold I mean warm end. This is the warm end of the heat exchanger, this is the cold end of the heat exchanger and this is the vapor  $ah$  you know nitrogen sorry  $ah$  gaseous hydrogen vapor that is moving in.

So, now, if we try to analyze this cycle already we have  $ah$  done it this is where you have

the mass of hydrogen going in corresponding to an enthalpy of  $h_4$  and this is the stream that is leaving  $\dot{m}$  minus  $\dot{m}$  sorry  $\dot{m}$  minus  $\dot{m}$  at  $h_7$ . So, we need to know the enthalpy at state point 7 and as we have learnt that this is the low pressure I mean return steam we know what is that suction pressure of this compressor. And of course, we have also assumed that there is no pressure drop in any components like a heat exchanger or a JT except that you know there is this JT is the only one which is giving the pressure drop we are neglecting the pressure drop in the heat exchangers and all. So, now, or piping etcetera. So, now, if you look at what are the terms involved in it we have you know this control volume and in that control volume this  $\dot{m}$  is entering at we have learnt that this is entering at  $h_4$  and the stream which are leaving is  $\dot{m}_f$   $h_f$  and this is  $\dot{m}$  minus  $\dot{m}_f$  that is leaving at  $h_7$ .

$$y\left(\frac{\dot{m}_f}{\dot{m}}\right) = \frac{h_7 - h_4}{h_7 - h_f}$$

So, the I mean it is not very difficult to find out that liquid yield from here you have the experience of solving the Linde Hampson cycle or simple Linde Hampson cycle with that similarity or you know if you just do the energy balance you will find that it would give you  $y$  equals to just nothing, but this is the outgoing enthalpy. So,  $h_7$  minus  $h_4$  divided by  $h_7$  minus  $h_f$ . So, imagine that this is just it is a very similar to the precooled Linde Hampson cycle this was where it was earlier it was termed as  $h_2$  and this was living at  $h_1$  and this was of course,  $h_f$ . So, earlier this expression was  $h_1$  minus  $h_2$ . So, here this  $h_2$  is basically  $h_4$  and  $h_7$  is  $h_1$ .

So,  $h_1$  minus  $h_2$  by  $h_1$  minus  $h_f$ . So, here  $h_1$  corresponds to  $h_7$  and  $h_2$  corresponds to  $h_4$ . So, we can write or just you know following the steps you have done in case of simple Linde Hampson cycle you would be able to calculate the liquid yield. Now, there is another parameter which is called the work requirement because that is again very important particularly for hydrogen because this hydrogen boiling point is quite low and we will need a good amount of energy we have to spend for liquefying it. So, let us summarize it that you know the performance parameter is of course, the first one I mean this is where you can calculate this liquid yield using this factor and the other expression that we have used or initially that you know the control volume that we have used that also involves this liquid yield, but along with that there was a fraction that is called that the mass of nitrogen liquid I mean mass of nitrogen used per unit mass of the hydrogen compressed.

So, it is  $\dot{m}_{N_2}$  by  $\dot{m}_{N_2}$  by  $\dot{m}$  that is equals to  $z$ . So, this gives you the requirement of the liquid nitrogen per unit mass of gas hydrogen gas compressed. So, from this expression we can calculate the liquid nitrogen requirement where the liquid yield can be obtained from this expression. And the work requirement part this is the requirement of work

requirement  $w$  corresponding to  $w$  the hydrogen liquefaction part this work requirement per unit mass of gas compressed this is where you have the work requirement for  $w$  basically this is the work requirement for the nitrogen per unit mass of nitrogen compressed and multiplied by this  $z$  which is just nothing, but the nitrogen requirement you will find that if we are neglecting at some point  $w$  we  $w$  will be giving you this value or sometimes we will be for calculation purpose we will be asking you to neglect this part. And in that time you know this if this is considered to be  $w$  you know negligible compared to this work requirement we have to take into account of this value only and we have to neglect this part, but otherwise we are supposed to calculate or include this work requirement also.

So, that is about the performance parameters and we will be solving some numerical problems  $w$  with the help of this numbers or these expressions. So, these are the reference you are supposed to you can follow the Barron and the Thomas Flynn in the book  $w$  and. So, let us look into the conclusions part we have for the hydrogen liquefaction the precooled Linde Hampson cycle with LN2 as the refrigerant  $w$  we have seen and we find that the liquid yield depends on the boiling point of the LN2 refrigerant. So, this is an important  $w$  part. So, maybe you know we would like to use this liquid nitrogen under reduced pressure  $w$  whenever it is possible.

So, that will lower the boiling point of the liquid and as a result we can improve the liquid yield. So,  $w$  with this we will conclude for this part of the session. Thank you for your  $w$  attention.