

Fundamentals of Acoustics
Prof. Nachiketa Tiwari
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Lecture – 36

Comparison of Impedances for a Radially Propagating Wave and a Planar Wave

Hello. Welcome to Fundamentals of Acoustics. Today is the last day of the six week of this course. And what we will do today is essentially continuation of what we were doing yesterday that is discussion of Kundt's tube. And, the first part of this lecture will be essentially summarizing whatever we have discussed in terms of impedance for open tube, close tube, as well as the Kundt's tube. And then in the second part what we will do is we will also go back to spherically propagating waves and compare the radial the impedance of a radially propagating wave with that of a planar wave.

So, that will set the ball rolling for more detailed discussion on spherical propagating waves which we will restart in the next week; that is week 7.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:19)

	CLOSED TUBE	OPEN TUBE	SEMI-INFINITE TUBE
Z_L	∞ (imag)	0	$\rho_0 c$
η	0	$-\pi$	-
ρ	∞	∞	-
$ \gamma $	1	1	-

A diagram showing a horizontal tube with a vertical piston at the left end labeled $x=0$ and Z_L .

$$\eta = \frac{2\omega d \sin \theta}{c} - \pi$$

$$\gamma = \frac{P_-}{P_+}$$

So, in the first part of this lecture we will develop a summary. So, we had discussed closed tube, and then we had discussed an open tube and then semi-infinite tube. So, we will summarize for these systems the value of Z eta, rho, and also the magnitude of gamma. So closed tube, then we have open tube, and then we have finally semi-infinite tube. So, what we will do is we will construct a table for Z which is specific acoustic

impedance η , ρ , and ρ is the ratio of maximum pressure amplitude and minimum pressure amplitude as I travel in the tube, and then finally γ .

So for a closed tube this is infinity, Z is infinity why because, Z is defined. So, actually this is ZL . So, it is the tube, so x is equal to 0 and this is ZL . So, ZL is 0 is infinite because the complex amplitude of pressure is non zero and complex amplitude of velocity is 0, so this is infinite. But it is not a real number it is an imaginary number. So, if I plot it on the complex plane it will be positioned that at a infinite distance from origin, but on the y axis. Then we look at η and this is equal to $2\omega d_{\min}$ divided by $c \sin \pi$.

Now, d_{\min} is the location where pressure is going to be minimum and if we do the math; in this case d_{\min} will correspond to half of the wave length of the system. So, if I put in d_{\min} as $\lambda/2$ in this equation. Then this entire term $2\omega d_{\min}$ divided by c comes to be π , so $\pi - \pi$ is 0. And then ρ is the ratio of maximum pressure amplitude divided by minimum pressure amplitude, so maximum pressure amplitude is non zero and minimum pressure amplitude is 0 which is at some specific location; so this is infinity. And then γ , so γ was defined as P_{\min} over P_{\max} . And for a closed tube this ratio P_{\min} and P_{\max} is 1; P_{\min} is equal to P_{\max} so it is 1.

For an open tube the value of ZL is 0, because pressure at the open end is 0. While the velocity is non zero, so 0 divided by non zero entity is 0. η is negative π and its negative π because the value of d_{\min} is 0, when d_{\min} is 0 then η becomes negative π . The ratio of ρ ratio which is the ratio of maximum pressure amplitude divided by minimum pressure amplitude, maximum pressure amplitude is some number, but minimum it goes down to 0, so this is infinite. And then the value of γ for an open tube it is P_{\max} equals negative of P_{\min} , but I am taking just the absolute value so it is a still 1. And then for a semi-infinite tube this is $\rho_{\text{naught } c}$, and all these terms they are not necessarily relevant in context of this table.

So, this is the discussion in context of impedance.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:00)

COMPARISON OF Z_{RADIAL} * Z_{PLANAR} ← Z for a 1-D wave in a Cartesian frame for a semi-infinite tube.

$$\frac{Z_{\text{RADIAL}}}{Z_{\text{PLANAR}}} = \left[\frac{j\omega r}{c + j\omega r} \right] \times \frac{Z_0}{Z_0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{c}{j\omega r}} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{j c}{\omega r}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - j \frac{\lambda}{2\pi r}}$$

If $\frac{\lambda}{2\pi r} \ll 1$ or $\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \ll r$ then

$$\frac{Z_{\text{RADIAL}}}{Z_{\text{PLANAR}}} = 1$$

$\frac{c}{\omega} = \frac{f \cdot \lambda}{2\pi f} = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi}$

And the next thing which we will do today is we will compare of impedance for our radially propagating wave, so I will call it Z_{radial} and planar wave which is a typically a wave which is traveling in a duct. And if the duct is infinitely long then it will be Z_{planar} . So, Z_{radial} divided by Z_{planar} . This Z_{planar} is Z for a 1-D wave in a Cartesian frame for a semi-infinite tube. So, this ratio is what. So, Z_{radial} is $j\omega r$ divided by C plus $j\omega r$ into Z_{naught} and Z_{planar} is Z_{naught} . So, this Z_{naught} cancel out, so I am left with 1 over 1 plus c divided by $j\omega r$. This is equal to if I take j on the numerator I get 1 minus $j c$ divided by j ; no excuse me divided by ωr .

Now c over ω equals, so c is frequency times wave length divided by $2\pi f$; so this is equal to λ over 2π . So, this becomes 1 over 1 minus j times λ over $2\pi r$. While we are doing this we must remind ourselves once again that Z_{planar} we are taking as Z_0 , because what we are comparing it with is it is the Z for a 1-D wave in a Cartesian frame for a semi-infinite tube; for a semi-infinite tube Z_{planar} so those are planar waves. And the impedance is same as Z_{naught} . We had developed that relation earlier in the in last couple of classes.

So, if it was a closed tube then the Z_{planar} will change, but for a semi-infinite tube it is same as Z_{naught} . So, it is a 0 you know, but I am just equating this Z_{planar} as Z_{naught} . And I have defined, explained that Z_{planar} is in context of a semi-infinite tube, I have written it here. So, this is there. Then the ratio; so if λ over $2\pi r$ is very small

compare to 1 or if $\lambda / 2\pi$ is very small compared to r then Z_{radial} divided by Z_{planar} is equal to 1.

So what we will do is, we will actually do an example and get a feel of what this mean.

(Refer Slide Time: 11:38)

EXAMPLE

$f = 100 \text{ Hz}$ $c = 345 \text{ m/s}$ $\lambda = 3.45 \text{ m}$

$\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} = 0.5491$ $\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \ll r$

$$\left| \frac{Z_{\text{RAD}}}{Z_{\text{PLANAR}}} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{1 - \frac{j\lambda}{2\pi r}} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi r}\right)^2}}$$

r	$\lambda/2\pi r$	$\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi r}\right)^2}$	$Z_{\text{RAD}}/Z_{\text{PLANAR}}$
0.10	5.49	5.48	0.179
1.0	0.549	1.141	0.876
10.0	0.0549	1.002	0.998 ←

So, we do an example. We consider that frequency is 100 hertz and we know that velocity of sound is 345 meters per second. So, λ comes to be 3.45 meters. So, $\lambda / 2\pi$ equals 0.5491. Now Z_{radial} divided by Z_{planar} , if I have to find its amplitude it is equal to $1 / (1 - j \lambda / 2\pi r)$. So I have to take the amplitude of this entire thing, and this is equal to $1 / \sqrt{1 + (\lambda / 2\pi r)^2}$, and the entire thing as to be put under a square root sign.

So, we know that $\lambda / 2\pi$ is 0.5491 if f is 100 hertz so we will compute, we will construct a table that if we are at a distance of 10 centimeters away we will calculate the value of $\lambda / 2\pi r$. Then we will also calculate $1 + (\lambda / 2\pi r)^2$ whole square, and then we will calculate Z_{radial} by Z_{planar} its magnitude. So, when r is equal to 10 centimeters this term becomes 5.49, this is 5.48, and this works out to be 0.179. So, when we are 10 centimeters away from the source for 100 hertz wave the radial impedance and the planar impedance are not more or less; they are significantly different.

Let us see what happens at when we are 1 meter away. So, this becomes 0.549, this becomes 1.141, and the ratio of radial impedance and planar impedance it becomes 0.876, so it is reasonably close to 1 it is about 88 percent they are. But, if we are 10 meters away then this becomes 0.0549 and the number under the square root sign becomes 1.002 and the overall ratio becomes 0.998.

So lambda is 3.45 meters, this r is about 10 meters, so if roughly if we are 3 times away from the sources compare to lambda then the impedance for the radially propagating wave is pretty close to the to direct for a planar wave. So, the point is that this condition that $\lambda / 2\pi r$ should be very small compared to r; does not necessarily mean that this whole thing as to be extremely, this r as to be extremely large in an absolute sense. What matters is that this term $\lambda / 2\pi r$, actually not just this actually its square should be very small compared to 1. So, even if it is moderately small number when I square it becomes even smaller and I get this kind of a result.

So, this concludes the discussion for this week. And in the next week which is the seventh week of this course we will start discussing radially propagating waves in far more detailed, and that is what I look forward. So, I hope that you have a wonderful weekend, please do review whatever we have been talking and discussing over these last 6 weeks. This is a week, this is course which is 12 weeks long, and so we are more or less half wave through the course.

So, this is an important milestone and I would specially encourage you to go back and review all the concepts and details which we have discussed over these lectures these last 6 weeks. And that kind of a review will be extremely helpful to what we will discuss in the second half of this course. So, with that I once again hope that you have a great week end and we will meet once again on the coming Monday.

Thank you and have a great day. Bye.