

Computational Continuum Mechanics
Dr. Sachin Singh Gautam
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati

Kinetics – 2
Lecture – 18-20
Work conjugacy, Different stress tensors, Stress rates

So, in this module we are going to further discuss about Work conjugacy, we will discuss Different stress tensors and finally, we will look into some of the Stress rate measures and with this module we will complete our discussion on stresses, equilibrium equations that is the whole topic of kinetics.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:07)

Contents	2
1. Work Conjugacy	
2. First Piola-Kirchhoff Stress Tensor	
3. Second Piola-Kirchhoff Stress Tensor	
4. Decomposition of Stress	
5. Objective Stress Measures	

So, the contents of this module are as follows. We will first look into the concept of work conjugacy, followed by a detailed discussion on First Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor and this we will follow it up with discussion on Second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensors.

And then we will look into various ways in which the different stress tensors can be decomposed and this will be finally, followed by a detailed discussion on some of the objective stress measures and if the time permits, in this module we will solve some examples in the end ok.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:02)

1. Work Conjugacy 3

- Recall that the spatial virtual work equation was given by

Spatial virtual work expression $\delta W = \int_B \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \delta \mathbf{d} dV = \int_{\partial B} \mathbf{t} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} da + \int_B \mathbf{b} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} dV = 0$

$\Rightarrow \delta W = \delta W_{\text{int}} - \delta W_{\text{ext}} = 0$ Eq. (104)

Internal virtual work expression $\delta W_{\text{int}} = \int_B \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \delta \mathbf{d} dV$ Eq. (105)

External virtual work expression $\delta W_{\text{ext}} = \delta W_{\text{ext,force}} + \delta W_{\text{ext,body}}$ Eq. (106)

External traction virtual work expression $\delta W_{\text{ext,force}} = \int_{\partial B} \mathbf{t} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} da$ Eq. (107)

External body virtual work expression $\delta W_{\text{ext,body}} = \int_B \mathbf{b} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} dV$ Eq. (108)

- Now, let us focus on the internal virtual work expression $\delta W_{\text{int}} = \int_B \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \delta \mathbf{d} dV$

So, let us begin. So, recall that from our previous lectures that the spatial virtual work equation was given by following expression ok. The internal virtual work was equal to the

external virtual work ok. So, this is the internal virtual work and this is the external virtual work.

So, the total virtual work was the difference of the internal virtual work and the external virtual work. So, the internal virtual work was defined as the integration of the internal energy over the current configuration of the body. So, the internal energy is nothing but the double contraction of the Cauchy stress with the rate of deformation tensor.

The external virtual work can be thought of as having two contributions as we discussed in the previous lectures and this I am here just recapitulating what we already discussed so, that we have a flow for today's lecture. So, the external virtual work is the sum of external virtual work because of the externally applied traction and the virtual work because of the body forces.

Now, the external traction virtual work is given by the summation over the current surface of the work done by the externally applied tractions on the physical surfaces of the body and the external body virtual work expression is nothing, but the work done by the body forces over the current volume of the body.

So, now, let us now concentrate on the internal virtual work expression which is given by following expression.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:21)

1. Work Conjugacy 4

- The pair of second order tensors σ and d are said to be work conjugate with respect to the current volume B .

$$\delta W_{int} = \int_B \sigma : \delta d \, dV$$
- Work conjugate means that the product of the two tensors – here Cauchy stress σ and rate of deformation tensor d – gives the work per unit current volume B .
- If Eq. (105) is expressed in the (reference configuration) then alternative work conjugate pairs of stresses and strain rates will emerge.
- Recall that $dV = JdV_0$. So, substituting this in Eq. (105), (107), and (108) we get

$$\delta W_{int} = \int_B \sigma : \delta d \, dV = \int_{B_0} J \sigma : \delta d \, dV_0 = \int_{B_0} \tau : \delta d \, dV_0$$

Kirchhoff stress tensor $\tau = J\sigma$

Eq. (110)
- Therefore, the Kirchhoff stress tensor τ is work conjugate to the rate of deformation tensor d with respect to the initial volume B_0 .

So, this pair of second order tensors which is the Cauchy stress and the rate of deformation tensor d , they are said to be work conjugate with respect to the current volume B . So, if you notice this expression of internal virtual work that was nothing, but integration over the current volume $\sigma : d \, dV$.

So, this pair of second order tensors σ and d are said to be work conjugate with respect to the current volume because the integration is being carried out over the current volume ok. So, what does work conjugate mean? Work conjugate means that the product of the two tensors ok, in our case the Cauchy stress tensor σ and the rate of deformation tensor d gives us the work per unit current volume.

So, if you have two tensors and the double contraction of the two tensors give you the work per unit current volume of reference volume, it is called these pair of second order tensors will

be called the work conjugate tensors ok. From this internal virtual work expression let us see how we can get some other measures of stresses.

So, this equation 105 is expressed in the reference configuration ok. So, now, this expression 105, see this is expressed in the current configuration. So, this is the current configuration.

Now, if you express this expression in the reference configuration, then we can get alternative work conjugate pair of stresses and strain rates. So, now, if we just change the domain of integration from the current configuration to the reference configuration, we will get some other measures of work conjugate stresses and strain rates. So, our objective is to see what are these different stress and strain rates.

So, recall that the spatial volume element is related to the material volume element by following relation; dV equal to Jacobian times dV_0 . So, now, if we substitute this expression in equation 105, 7 or 8 then what we will get? We will get the internal virtual work as so, this was the expression and if dV becomes JdV_0 then the domain of integration changes from the current configuration to the reference configuration which is B_0 ok. So, we get integration over B_0 $J \sigma$ double contracted with the rate of deformation tensor times dV_0 .

Now, we can define $J \sigma$ as another tensor called the Kirchhoff stress tensor. So, this τ is defined as the Kirchhoff stress tensor why we have doing this is because if you look closely this expression let see we had only stress contracted with a strain measure.

So, here in the next expression what we are getting there is a Jacobian which also comes. So, what we do? To make it consistent with this expression, we define what is called Kirchhoff stress tensor. So, Kirchhoff stress tensor is given by J times σ .

So, therefore, if you see Kirchhoff stress tensor is work conjugate with the rate of deformation tensor, but over the reference volume ok. So, Kirchhoff stress tensor is work conjugate to the rate of deformation tensor d with respect to the initial volume or the reference volume ok.

So, sigma or the Cauchy stress were work conjugate with the rate of deformation tensor with respect to the current volume and the Kirchhoff stress tensor is work conjugate with the rate of deformation tensor in the reference configuration ok. So, that is the difference. Although body stress measures the Cauchy stress and the Kirchhoff stress they are both work conjugate with the rate of deformation tensor but one is work conjugate with respect to the current configuration while the other is work conjugate with respect to the reference configuration ok. So, that is what we need to remember.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:53)

5

1. Work Conjugacy

- Similarly the external virtual work corresponding to the body forces can be written in the reference configuration is given by

$$\delta W_{\text{ext, body}} = \int_B \mathbf{b} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} \, dV = \int_{B_0} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{b} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} \, dV_0 = \int_{B_0} \mathbf{b}_0 \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} \, dV_0 \quad \text{Eq. (111)}$$
- Similarly the external virtual work corresponding to the surface traction can be written in the reference configuration is given by

$$\delta W_{\text{ext, force}} = \int_{\partial B} \mathbf{t} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} \, da = \int_{\partial B_0} \mathbf{t}_0 \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} \, dA \quad \text{Eq. (112)}$$

where

$$\mathbf{t}_0 = \mathbf{t} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dA} \right)$$

Nanson's
 $d\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T} dA$
 $n d\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T} \mathbf{N} dA$ Eq. (113)

$$d\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{J} \sqrt{\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{N}} dA \quad \text{Eq. (114)}$$

Now, similarly we can express the external virtual work corresponding to the body forces in terms of the reference configuration. So, now, we know that the external virtual work because of the body forces is given by following expression.

And in this if we substitute dV as $J dV_0$, we get $\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{b}_0$ dot with virtual velocities integrated over the reference configuration. So, we can define \mathbf{j}_B as \mathbf{b}_0 ok to be consistent so that this equation is consistent with this expression ok. So, you have one vector dot with another vector ok. So, that \mathbf{b}_0 is $\mathbf{J} \mathbf{b}_0$ ok.

Now, the external virtual work also we can express in terms of reference configuration. So, this is the external virtual work because of the surface forces and is given by integration of the work done by the tractions over the current surface.

Now, I can transform this to integration of the what we say as the reference tractions doing work over the reference configuration dA . So, how did we get this? This we got by using what is called the this formula that we derive. Remember from Nanson's formula da equals $\mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T} \mathbf{n} dA$ or nda was $\mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T} \mathbf{N} dA$.

So, if you remember when we are discussing kinematics this was one of the examples that we did. So, this reference traction is nothing, but the actual traction times the ratio of the areas ok. So, this you can very well show now. So, this if you substitute here, if you substitute it here you will be able to obtain this particular expression. So, this da can be obtained by following relation and this we derived earlier when doing kinematics.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:59)

1. Work Conjugacy 6

- Note that the work per unit current volume is not equal to the work per unit initial volume
- However, from continuity equation $\rho_0 = J\rho$ and Eq. (110) we get

$$\tau = J\sigma$$

$$\tau = \frac{\rho_0}{\rho}\sigma$$

$$\frac{\tau}{\rho_0} = \frac{\sigma}{\rho}$$

$$\delta W_{int} = \int_B \frac{\sigma}{J} : \delta d \, dV$$

$$= \int_{B_0} \frac{\sigma}{\rho_0} : \delta d \, dM$$
- Taking double contraction with δd on both the sides we get

$$\frac{\tau}{\rho_0} : \delta d = \frac{\sigma}{\rho} : \delta d$$
- This shows that work per unit mass is invariant!

$$\delta W_{int} = \int_B \frac{\sigma}{\rho} : \delta d \, dm = \int_{B_0} \frac{\tau}{\rho_0} : \delta d \, dM$$

$$dm = dM$$

So, we note that the work done per unit current volume is not equal to the work per unit initial volume that we will see ok. However, from the continuity equation that we discussed in the previous lectures, the reference density is connected to the current density by this relation.

So, and the Kirchhoff stress is related to the Cauchy stress using following relation therefore, Kirchhoff stress. So, from here J is ρ_0 by ρ ok. So, that is what we substitute here and we get Kirchhoff stress in terms of the densities in the reference and the current configuration and in terms of the Cauchy stress ok.

So, the ratio of the Kirchhoff stress tensor and the current density is same as the ratio of the Cauchy stress with respect with the current density.

So, now if you double take the double contraction with respect to the virtual variation of the rate of deformation tensor, you will get $\tau_{ij} \delta u_{ij}$ double contracted with δu_{ij} by ρ double contracted with δu_{ij} ok. Now if you use this in the internal virtual work expression remember δw ok. So, internal virtual work was $B \sigma$ contracted with $\delta u_{ij} dV$.

Now, if I divide by ρ and I multiply by ρ then ρdV is nothing, but the mass that is what we have here you have the mass ok. Similarly we had shown that the internal virtual work is $\tau_{ij} \delta u_{ij} dV$. So, if I take multiply and divide by ρ . So, I have this and this relation over here nothing, but the reference mass which is here.

So, now you can see because both the integrals are same therefore, the integrands. So, are same therefore, this shows that work per unit mass remains invariant because mass dM is same as d capital M . So, therefore, you will have work per unit mass which will remain invariant.

So, remember work per unit volume is not equal to the work per unit current volume is not equal to the work per unit initial volume ok. However, work per unit mass will always remain invariant because mass is neither created nor destroyed. So, this is how we have show.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:40)

2. First Piola-Kirchhoff Stress Tensor 7

- The transformation given by Eq. (109) is still not consistent as it relies on spatial quantities τ and d integrated over the reference configuration
- Let us now try to alleviate this inconsistency

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W_{int} &= \int_B \sigma : \delta d \, dV \\ &= \int_{B_0} \sigma : \delta d \, J dV_0 \\ &= \int_{B_0} \sigma : \delta l \, J dV_0 \\ &= \int_{B_0} J \sigma : (\delta \dot{F} F^{-1}) \, dV_0 \\ &= \int_{B_0} \text{tr} \left(J \sigma (\delta \dot{F} F^{-1})^T \right) dV_0 \\ &= \int_{B_0} \text{tr} \left(J \sigma F^{-T} \delta \dot{F}^T \right) dV_0 \\ &= \int_{B_0} J \sigma F^{-T} : \delta \dot{F} \, dV_0 \end{aligned}$$

$dV = J dV_0$ $\sigma^T = \sigma$ $\sigma : \delta \omega = 0$

$\sigma : \delta l = \sigma : (\delta d + \omega) \rightarrow \sigma : \delta l = \sigma : \delta d$

$\dot{F} = lF \rightarrow l = \dot{F}F^{-1} \Rightarrow \delta \dot{F} = \delta \dot{F} F^{-1}$

$A : B = \text{tr}(AB^T) = \text{tr}(A^T B)$

$A = J \sigma \quad B = \delta \dot{F} F^{-1}$

$A = J \sigma F^{-T} \quad B^T = \delta \dot{F}^T \quad \text{tr}(AB^T) = A : B$

Eq. (118)

Now, this relation over here ok. So, 109 if we go back to this equation, if you see this expression over here you see that these quantities ok. So, this integrand is in the spatial configuration while the integration is being carried out in the reference configuration ok. So, you have to integrate the spatial quantity in the reference configuration and this is a little inconsistent.

So, what we will try to do now is, we will try to remove this inconsistency. So, what we do is to alleviate this inconsistency, we start with the internal virtual work expression which is given by this and then we note that dV is $J dV_0$. So, this I can substitute here and then I can get integral over the reference configuration called double contraction of Cauchy stress with the rate of deformation tensor times $J dV_0$.

Now, another point to notice the double contraction of σ with respect to the variation of the velocity gradient tensor can be written as σ double contraction with virtual variation of rate of deformation tensor plus the virtual variation of the spin tensor.

Now, remember d is a symmetric tensor and w is an anti-symmetric tensor and also we have shown from law of conservation of angular momentum that in the absence of body couples σ is also symmetric which means σ is equal to σ^T .

So, we can show that the double contraction of a symmetric tensor with a symmetric tensor is not zero; however, the double contraction of a symmetric tensor σ with the anti-symmetric tensor $\text{del } w$ will be equal to 0. So, $\text{del } \sigma \text{ del } w$ will be equal to 0 ok.

Therefore, this when you open up this bracket we get that the double contraction of Cauchy stress with the variation of rate of deformation tensor is same as the double contraction of the Cauchy stress with the velocity variation of the velocity gradient tensor. So, this is same as this and this is what we substitute here and this is what we get. So, we now have this particular expression.

Now, further we note that the material time derivative of the rate of the deformation gradient tensor ok. \dot{F} is say is equal to lF , this we had already derived. From here I can say that the velocity gradient tensor l is equal to $\dot{F} F^{-1}$. So, therefore, $\text{del } l$ will be same as $\text{del } \dot{F} F^{-1}$.

See variation will not be over F , variation will be over \dot{F} because it is the \dot{F} which is changing with time it is not F which is changing with time ok. So, F you know at time t . Now when you apply a small displacement F is not going to change, but \dot{F} is going to change ok. Therefore, $\text{del } l$ is $\text{del } \dot{F} F^{-1}$ and this is what we have it here ok. So, $J \sigma$ double contraction with $\text{del } \dot{F} F^{-1}$ and this integrated over the reference configuration.

Now, we recall the property of double contraction of two tensors A and B ok. What it says is that the double contraction of two tensors A and B is nothing, but trace of A into B transpose and it is also same as trace of A transpose B we are going to use this property in our integrand ok.

Now, let us identify. So, if you see we have double contraction between two tensors ok. So, one second order tensor is $J \sigma$, the other second order tensor is $\text{del } F \text{ dot } F \text{ inverse}$. So, now, if I take A as $J \sigma$ and B as $\text{del } F \text{ dot } F \text{ inverse}$ therefore, I can write $j \sigma$ contracted with $\text{del } F \text{ dot } F \text{ inverse}$ as trace of AB transpose or trace of this is our A and this is B transpose ok. So, I have written trace of AB transpose.

Now, trace of AB transpose is same as trace of A transpose B ok. So, now, I can write this as trace of I can open up this transpose and I can write $J \sigma F \text{ inverse transpose del } F \text{ dot transpose}$ ok. Now I can say A now is $J \sigma F \text{ inverse transpose}$ and if I say B transpose is now $\text{del } F \text{ dot transpose}$ therefore, what we have here in the bracket is nothing, but trace of AB transpose and trace of AB transpose is same as see this is trace of AB transpose is same as A contraction with B where A is $J \sigma F \text{ inverse transpose}$ and B is nothing, but $\text{del } F \text{ dot}$.

So, using this here I can write that the internal virtual work when integrated over the reference volume can be written as $J \sigma F \text{ inverse transpose double contracted with del } F \text{ dot}$.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:39)

2. First Piola-Kirchhoff Stress Tensor 8

$$\delta W_{int} = \int_{B_0} J \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{F}^{-T} : \delta \dot{\mathbf{F}} dV_0$$

$$\delta W_{int} = \int_{B_0} \mathbf{P} : \delta \dot{\mathbf{F}} dV_0 \quad \text{Eq. (119)}$$

where

$$\mathbf{P} = J \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{F}^{-T} \rightarrow \underline{\underline{P}} = (J \underline{\underline{\sigma}} \underline{\underline{F}}^{-T})^T = J (\underline{\underline{F}}^{-T})^T \underline{\underline{\sigma}}^T = J \underline{\underline{F}}^{-1} \underline{\underline{\sigma}} \neq \underline{\underline{P}} \quad \text{Eq. (120)}$$

- In Eq. (119) we see that the tensor \mathbf{P} is work conjugate with the rate of the deformation gradient tensor.
- \mathbf{P} is called the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor (1st P.K. stress tensor).
- \mathbf{P} is an unsymmetric two-point tensor
$$\mathbf{P} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 P_{ij} \mathbf{E}_i \otimes \mathbf{E}_j \quad \text{Eq. (121)}$$
- In indicial notation Eq. (120) can be written as
$$P_{ij} = J \sigma_{ij} F_{lj}^{-1} \quad \text{Eq. (122)}$$

Now, I can write this expression as P. I can denote this J sigma F inverse transpose as another tensor P and then the internal virtual work becomes P double contracted with del F dot dV 0 where P is given by this particular expression.

So, in equation 119 this tensor P is work conjugate with the rate of deformation gradient tensor ok. So, it is P is work conjugate with the rate of deformation gradient tensor with respect to the reference volume. So, P is what we call as the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor some type people also write it as first PK stress ok. Sometimes people also referred to as PK stress or explicitly we can call first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor.

Now, you can easily verify that P is a unsymmetric two-point tensor ok. So, first of all if you take a transpose P transpose, it will be $J \sigma F^{-1}$ transpose. So, if you open this J is the scalar. So, there is no transpose, F^{-1} transpose σ transpose.

And then you what you get is $J F^{-1} \sigma$ ok. σ transpose is same as σ and this is not same as first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor. So, P transpose is not equal to P therefore, P is a unsymmetric two-point tensor ok. So, it is a two-point tensor that therefore, P in terms of it basis will be $P_i I E_i$ tensor product E_i .

So, equation number 120 can be written in indicial notation as follows ok. $P_i I = J \sigma_{ij} F^{-1} I_j$ and you see P has one lowercase index and has a uppercase index. So, it has two indexes therefore, it is a second order tensor and also it has one index which is lower case and one index which is in the upper case.

Therefore, it is a two point tensor you can see from its basis also that one basis vector is from the reference configuration E_i and the other basis vector small e_i is in the deform configuration ok. So, it has it connects two different configuration therefore, it is a two point tensor.

And its, but natural that a two-point tensor is work conjugate with another two-point tensor which is nothing, but the rate of deformation gradient tensor because deformation gradient tensor itself is a two-point tensor.

(Refer Slide Time: 27:05)

9

2. First Piola-Kirchhoff Stress Tensor

- The virtual work expression can now be expressed in terms of the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor as

$$\delta W = \int_{B_0} \mathbf{P} : \delta \dot{\mathbf{F}} dV_0 = \int_{\partial B_0} \mathbf{t}_0 \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} dA + \int_{B_0} \mathbf{b}_0 \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} dV_0 = 0 \quad \text{Eq. (123)}$$
- The governing differential equilibrium equation given by

$$\text{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{B}$$

can now be written in terms of the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor as

$$\text{DIV} \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{b}_0 = \mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{B}_0 \quad \text{Eq. (124)}$$

where

$$\text{DIV} \mathbf{P} = \nabla_0 \mathbf{P} : \mathbf{I} \quad \nabla_0 \mathbf{P} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \quad \text{Eq. (125)}$$

Now, let us see now the virtual work expression can be expressed in terms of the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor as following expression. Remember initially we had $\text{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and $\int_{B_0} \mathbf{b}_0 \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} dV_0$ and now we have proved that this expression is same as this expression over here.

So, therefore the virtual work expression takes the following form and then the governing equation that we had over here ok. The divergence of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ plus \mathbf{b} equal to the residual force for all points inside the current configuration of the body will be changed to following expression ok.

It will be change to the following expression in the reference configuration ok. So, so this is divergence which means this is divergence with respect to the spatial coordinates and this capital DIV means this is divergence with respect to the reference coordinates ok.

So, divergence of \mathbf{P} plus \mathbf{b}_0 equal to \mathbf{r} where it is \mathbf{X} belongs to ok . So, it is valid for reference configuration where divergences \mathbf{P} is $\text{del } \mathbf{0} \mathbf{P}$ double contracted with \mathbf{I} and $\text{del } \mathbf{0} \mathbf{P}$ is nothing, but $\text{del } \mathbf{P}$ by $\text{del } \mathbf{X}$ ok.

(Refer Slide Time: 28:38)

2. First Piola-Kirchhoff Stress Tensor 10

- It is to be remembered that the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor was defined such that the internal virtual work expression in the reference as well as the deformed configurations have completely analogous forms. This means

$$\delta W_{\text{int}} = \int_B \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \delta \mathbf{d} dV = \int_{B_0} \mathbf{P}^T : \delta \mathbf{F}^T dV_0 \quad \text{Eq. (126)}$$

- So, \mathbf{P} is just another mathematical representation of the Cauchy stress tensor which is defined for our convenience.
- So, this means that \mathbf{P} is not a new physical quantity
- Let us now re-examine the physical meaning of the Cauchy stress tensor and the first Piola Kirchoff stress tensor

So, now remember that the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor was defined such that the internal virtual work expression in the reference as well as the deformed configuration they both had similar analogous form which means there was stress in the deformed configuration and there is stress in the reference configuration there is a measure of strain in the deformed configuration and there is a measure of strain here.

So, we defined our first Piola-Kirchhoff such that we had a analogous form of internal virtual work expression in the reference or the deformed configuration. So, what it means is that the

first Piola-Kirchhoff is just another mathematical representation of the Cauchy stress tensor and P has been defined just for our convenience.

So, there is nothing physical about P ok. The only physical stress tensor is the Cauchy stress tensor, but we have defined P for our own convenience and just purely a mathematical representation; that means, P is not any new physical quantity.

Now, let us re examine the physical meaning of the Cauchy stress tensor and the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor. So, what does Cauchy stress tensor actually mean physically and what does first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor actually mean and we will try to connect this to our undergraduate definitions of true stress and engineering stress that is what our objective is.

(Refer Slide Time: 30:32)

2. First Piola-Kirchhoff Stress Tensor 11

A. Physical meaning of the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor

- Consider an element of force dp in the spatial configuration acting on current area $da = n da$
- Then, dp can be written as $dp = t da = \sigma n da = \sigma da$ Eq. (127)
- Therefore, we can see that Cauchy stress is equivalent to current force per unit current area i.e. it is synonymous with the definition of true stress. Hence, Cauchy stress is same as the true stress
- Using the Nanson's formula $da = J F^{-T} dA$ in Eq. (127) we get $dp = t da = J \sigma F^{-T} dA = P dA$ Eq. (128)

So, let us say you have a body in the reference configuration and you have cut it open. So, you had a body like this and you had cut it open across a certain plane and the normal to that plane is N capital N and the traction vector is T and the force along that traction vector direction is dP . So, dP del P del capital P is the force.

So, the area infinitesimal wall area reference area is da and when the deformation happens the body occupies this configuration B ok. So, this is B_0 . So, the area is da , the normal to the area is n and the traction vector is t and the force vector along t is dp .

So, now we consider an element of force dp , in the spatial configuration acting on the current area da and the current area vector da is nothing, but the normal to the area times the magnitude of the area ok; so, $n da$. So, now, the force dp is nothing, but the stress factor times the area on which it acts. So, t times da .

Now, from the Cauchy stress principle we know that t is equal to σn because we know t is equal to σn . So, because of Cauchy stress principle I can relate the traction vector at a point on a plane whose normal is n using Cauchy stress principle ok. So, $\sigma n da$. Now, nda is nothing, but the area vector. So, the force is Cauchy stress times the current area what it means is loosely we can say ok.

So, if you see here dp is σda ok. So, loosely we can say that the Cauchy stress is equivalent to the current force, this is the current force per unit current area. So, d is current area. So, if you can loosely say that σ is like dp by da loosely because they are both vectors I cannot take this ratio.

But I can loosely say that Cauchy stress is ratio of current force to current area and this is synonymous with our definition of true stress that is how in our undergraduate we define true stress. It is the current force divided by current area therefore, Cauchy stress is also called the true stress Cauchy stress would be true stress.

Now, I can use the Nanson's formula in equation 127 I can write the spatial area element da in terms of the material area element dA by this Nanson's formula. If I substitute this expression in expression number 127 then what I get?

I get dp is tda equal to $J \sigma F$ inverse transpose dA . Now from a previous slide we know that $J \sigma F$ inverse transpose is nothing, but the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor P . So, the current force is equal to the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor P times the reference area dA .

(Refer Slide Time: 34:34)

2. First Piola-Kirchhoff Stress Tensor 12

- Eq. (128) shows that P indeed is a two-point tensor which relates the area vector in the initial configuration dA to the force-vector dp in the current configuration.
- Thus, P can be interpreted as equivalent to the engineering stress or nominal stress i.e. current force per unit of undeformed area
- Now we know that the area vector in the reference configuration can be written as $dA = N dA$
- Using this we can express Eq. (128) as $dp = PN dA$ Eq. (129)
- Dividing both sides by dA we get $\frac{dp}{dA} = PN$
- Defining the right hand side as the nominal traction T we get

$$\frac{dp}{dA} = T = PN \quad \text{Eq. (130)}$$

- Eq. (130) is nothing but the material form of the Cauchy's stress principle $t = \sigma n$

So, loosely I can say that P indeed is a two-point tensor. So, now the two-point tensor which might not be clear when I said it in the previous slide to you will now become clear. You can

clearly see that \mathbf{P} maps the area vector in the reference configuration to the force vector in the deformed configuration.

So, that is what a two-point tensor does. It maps one vector in the one configuration to another vector in the another configuration. So, \mathbf{P} that is the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor is mapping the reference area element to the force in the current configuration.

So, that shows the two-point nature of the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor and this relates the area vector in the initial configuration to the force vector in the current configuration ok. Therefore, loosely I can say \mathbf{P} is nothing, but current force divided by reference area or the undeformed area and which is our usual definition of the engineering stress or the nominal stress. So, \mathbf{P} can be interpreted as equivalent to the engineering stress or the nominal stress that is current force per unit undeformed area.

Now, we know that the area vector in the reference configuration is given by the normal to the area $d\mathbf{A}$ times the magnitude of the area dA ok. Now I can write in expression 128 I can substitute $d\mathbf{a}$ as $\mathbf{N} dA$ and then the current force is equal to $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{N}$ times dA .

So, if I divide both sides by dA then I get $d\mathbf{p}$ by dA is equal to $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{N}$ and I have define $d\mathbf{p}$ by dA as the nominal traction \mathbf{T} ok. I can define $d\mathbf{p}$ by dA as a nominal traction \mathbf{T} , then I can get $d\mathbf{p}$ by dA is equal to \mathbf{T} equal to $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{N}$ and this is nothing, but the material form of the Cauchy stress principle.

So, this was \mathbf{t} equal to $\boldsymbol{\sigma}\mathbf{n}$ was the spatial form of the Cauchy stress principle and capital \mathbf{T} equal to $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{N}$ is nothing, but the material form of the Cauchy stress principle ok.

(Refer Slide Time: 37:26)

2. First Piola-Kirchhoff Stress Tensor 13

- Material form of the balance of angular momentum: We had earlier derived that conservation of angular momentum implies

$$\int_B \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_{kj} dV = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \epsilon : \sigma = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \int_B \epsilon : \sigma dV = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma_{ij}^T = \frac{1}{J} P_i = \Rightarrow \quad \left(\frac{\sigma}{J} \right) = \frac{1}{J} P F^T$

- We know from Eq. (120) that $P = J \sigma F^{-T}$ which implies that the above equation becomes

$$\int_B \epsilon : \left(\frac{1}{J} P F^T \right) dV = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_{B_0} \epsilon : (P F^T) dV_0 = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \quad P F^T = (P F^T)^T = F P^T$
Eq. (131)

Now, we can get the material form of the balance of angular momentum.

So, we know that the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor is not symmetric, but from our discussion on the balance of angular momentum we had shown that the balance of angular momentum in the spatial configuration resultant in the statement that Cauchy stress tensor is symmetry. However, now let us say what happens when we take the balance of angular momentum in the material form.

So, we start by looking into the implication of the balance of linear momentum in the current configuration and following was the expression if you remember that we derived. So, epsilon ij k sigma kj integrated over the current volume is should be equal to 0 or this integrand can be written as the alternator symbol contracted with Cauchy stress tensor ok.

So, if I just substitute I have this particular expression ok. Now I know that P is $J \sigma F^{-T}$. So, from here σF^{-T} will be $J^{-1} P$. So, if I multiply both side by F^T I will get $\sigma = J^{-1} P F^T$.

Now, if I substitute for σ in this expression over here I will get what is written over here and now I can substitute dV as $J dV_0$. So, this becomes integral over the reference configuration ϵ contracted with $P F^T dV_0$ ok.

So, therefore, in a similar way as we did for the Cauchy stress tensor I can show and this I leave it for you as an exercise that to show that $P F^T$ will be equal to $F P^T$ which means that $P F^T$ will be symmetric ok.

So, $P F^T$ is $F P^T$. So, this is the implication of the balance of angular momentum in the material configuration. Remember in the spatial configuration the implication of the balance of linear momentum was that the Cauchy stress tensor came out to be symmetric.

However, our first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor is not symmetric, but in the material form of the balance of angular momentum the derivation that we did just now shows that $P F^T$ is same as $F P^T$ therefore, $P F^T$ is symmetric although P itself is not symmetric.