

Matrix Theory
Professor Chandra R. Murthy
Department of Electrical Communication Engineering
Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore
Summary of Rayleigh-Ritz and Courant-Fischer Theorems

(Refer Slide Time: 00:14)

NPTEL Eo 212 Matrix Theory
 Dec. 23, 2020.

Last time:

Rayleigh-Ritz Thm: $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ Herm.

Evals $\lambda_{\min} \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \lambda_{\max}$.

Then, $\lambda_1 x^H x \leq x^H A x \leq \lambda_n x^H x \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

$\lambda_{\max} = \lambda_n = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^H A x}{x^H x} = \max_{x^H x = 1} x^H A x$

$\lambda_{\min} = \lambda_1 = \min_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^H A x}{x^H x} = \min_{x^H x = 1} x^H A x$.

$\min_{x \neq 0} x^H A x$ $\min_{x^H x = 1} x^H A x = \lambda_k, k=2,3,\dots,n$

We looked at the Rayleigh-Ritz theorem, which says that if you have a Hermitian symmetric matrix A then if you order the eigenvalues. So, the Hermitian symmetric matrix has all real valued eigenvalues. So, you can order them in increasing order and so λ_1 is the smallest eigenvalue and λ_n is the biggest eigenvalue, then λ_1 times x^H Hermitian Ax is a lower bound on x^H Hermitian Ax and λ_n times x^H Hermitian Ax is an upper bound on x^H Hermitian Ax for any x in \mathbb{C}^n .

Furthermore, both the lower bound and upper bound are achievable that is, there exists an x for which this lower bound is achieved and a different x for which the upper bound is achieved and that is given by this next two statements here namely that λ_{\max} which is equal to λ_n is the maximum value of x^H Hermitian Ax over x^H Hermitian x for x not equal to 0, which can also be written in this way.

Similarly, λ_1 is the minimum of x^H Hermitian Ax over x^H Hermitian x for all x not equal to 0. So, this is a maximization problem and this is a minimization problem and the smallest and largest eigenvalues can be written as solutions to maximize the, here a minimization problem and here a maximization problem So, that is one thing we saw.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:47)

Rayleigh-Ritz

vals $\lambda_{\min} \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \lambda_{\max}$.

Then, $\lambda_1 x^H x \leq x^H A x \leq \lambda_n x^H x \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

$$\lambda_{\max} = \lambda_n = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^H A x}{x^H x} = \max_{x^H x = 1} x^H A x$$

$$\lambda_{\min} = \lambda_1 = \min_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^H A x}{x^H x} = \min_{x^H x = 1} x^H A x.$$

$\min_{\substack{x \neq 0 \\ x \perp u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1}}} \frac{x^H A x}{x^H x} = \min_{x^H x = 1, x \perp u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1}} x^H A x = \lambda_k, \quad k=2,3,\dots,n$

$\max_{\substack{x \neq 0 \\ x \perp u_n, u_{n-1}, \dots, u_{n-k+1}}} \frac{x^H A x}{x^H x} = \max_{x^H x = 1, x \perp u_n, u_{n-1}, \dots, u_{n-k+1}} x^H A x = \lambda_{n-k}, \quad k=1,2,\dots,n-1$

And then we also saw that by considering the fact that these eigenvalues are in increasing order if we were to maximize x^H Hermitian Ax over x Hermitian x subject to x being perpendicular to u_1 the eigenvector corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue then in fact, if suppose you were to minimize x^H Hermitian Ax over x Hermitian x subject to x being non-zero and perpendicular to u_1 then the solution to that optimization problem is actually λ_2 , the next largest eigenvalue.

And extending this argument we have that the minimum over all non-zero x such subject to the constraint that x should be perpendicular to the first $k-1$ eigen vectors that is the $k-1$ eigen vectors corresponding to the smallest $k-1$ eigenvalues of the matrix A of this objective function x^H Hermitian Ax over x Hermitian x that is equal to λ_k for k equal to 2, 3 up to n .

And similarly, coming down from the largest eigenvalue, if you solve a maximization problem subject to x being perpendicular to the first u_n, u_{n-1} up to u_{n-k+1} that is the first that is $k, k-1, k-1$ eigen vectors corresponding to the top $k-1$ eigen vectors, eigenvalues of the matrix A of the same objective function x^H Hermitian Ax over x Hermitian x that is going to give you λ_{n-k} for k equal to 1, 2 up to $n-1$. So, this is the this is one kind of crucial result we saw.

(Refer Slide Time: 03:50)

NPTEL

Courant-Fischer Thm: $A \in \mathbb{C}^n$ Herm. EVals $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$
 Let k be an integer, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then,

$$\min_{w_1, \dots, w_{n-k} \in \mathbb{C}^n} \max_{x \neq 0, x \perp w_1, \dots, w_{n-k}} \frac{x^H A x}{x^H x} = \lambda_k$$

$$\max_{w_1, \dots, w_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}^n} \min_{x \neq 0, x \perp w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}} \frac{x^H A x}{x^H x} = \lambda_k$$

Another very crucial result which we will use many times going forward is the Courant-Fischer theorem. And, what this says? This is a min max theorem. And, what this theorem says is that, again that is the starting point or the setting is that A is a matrix of size n cross n , n is a Hermitian symmetric matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ and let k be an integer, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Then there are two ways of writing λ_k . The first is a min max formulation the minimum over a set of vectors w_1 up to w_{n-k} , C to the n , the maximum of x not equal to 0, x perpendicular to w_1 through w_{n-k} of $x^H A x$ over $x^H x$ is equal to λ_k .

The next formulation is a max-min formulation. The maximum of w_1 through w_{k-1} of the minimum x not equal to 0, x perpendicular to w_1 through w_{k-1} , $x^H A x$ over $x^H x$ is also equal to λ_k and we saw the proof of this result and the proof is again like I said last time what I consider to be a somewhat clever proof there is a non-trivial step involved where we, we said that setting y_1 to y_{k-1} equal to 0 where y_i is equal, is defined to be what $u^H A u$ times, $u^H A u$ times x by setting some of these variables equal to 0 you only decrease the cost function and so, that is how it, you obtain a lower bound on, on you obtain that λ_k is a lower bound on this part here.

And then you show that this lower bound is indeed achievable and therefore, they are equal. So, this I mean, as I mentioned the last time, please go over the proof of this theorem very

carefully and make sure you understand it thoroughly. Because this is the, these ideas in that proof are the ones that we are going to use quite frequently in the upcoming results.