

Matrix Theory
Professor Chandra R. Murthy
Department of Electrical Communication Engineering
Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore

Lecture 48

Properties of the Jordan Canonical Form (part 1)

(Refer Slide Time: 00:13)

E2 212 Matrix Theory
 07 Dec. 2020

Last time: Jordan form

Today: Further discⁿ on the Jordan form
 Convergent matrices
 Polynomials and matrices.

Recall: If $p(t)$ is a polynomial, $p(A)$ commutes w/ A .
 What about the converse? A . In general, no.

$A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is nonderogatory if every Eval of A has geom. mult. = 1.

Thm. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be nonderogatory. Then $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ commutes with A iff \exists a poly. $p(\cdot)$ of degree at most $(n-1)$ s.t. $B = p(A)$.

Proof: If $B = p(A)$, B and A commute.

Converse: Let $A = SJS^{-1}$
 If $AB = BA$, $\Rightarrow SJS^{-1}B = BSJS^{-1} \Rightarrow J(S^{-1}BS) = (S^{-1}BS)J$
 If we can s.t. $S^{-1}BS = p(J)$, then $B = Sp(J)S^{-1} = p(SJS^{-1}) = p(A)$.

So, the last time we were looking at the Jordan form, and we discussed some properties of the Jordan form, for example, that it can be used to show that any matrix is similar to its own transpose. So today, we will discuss just a few more properties of the Jordan form, and then link it up to Convergence of matrices, and also start some discussion on Polynomials and matrices. I

briefly mentioned things like the minimal polynomial of a matrix and so on, we will discuss that some more today.

So, at the end of the previous class, we were discussing the following point, that if p of t is any polynomial, then if I compute p of A , I get a matrix that commutes with A , that is a trivial but useful fact. Now, what about the converse, that is, if I am given a matrix B that commutes with A can I write B as some polynomial function of A and the answer we saw that is that in general, it is no, we took an example of the identity matrix and showed that it will generally not be possible.

So, but we can give a more refined answer to the question by considering the following definition. So, a matrix A is called non derogatory if every eigenvalue of the matrix A has a geometric multiplicity equal to 1, what that means is that each distinct eigenvalue has only one Jordan block involving it, so, with this definition, we have the following result. Let A in C to the n cross n be non-derogatory.

Then matrix B and C to the n cross n commutes with A if and only if, there exists a polynomial p of degree at most n minus 1 such that p equals p of A . So, one way of the proof is very simple. If there exists a polynomial p such that B equals p of A then it is clear that B and A will commute that we saw already, that is just a consequence of this thing here that if p of t is a polynomial that p of A commutes with A .

So, what we need to prove is really just the converse that if B commutes with A then there must exist a polynomial p of degree at most n minus 1 such that B equals p of A . So, let us do that. So, just for the sake of completeness, say that if B equals p of A , then B commutes with A so, we need to show the converse. So, again start with the Jordan canonical form. So, let A equals SJS inverse, where J is the Jordan canonical form of this matrix A .

Then what we need to show is that if B commutes with A , then there exists a polynomial of p degree at most n minus 1 less than B equals p of A . Now, so, basically the starting point is that AB equals BA then this implies I just substitute for A so SJS inverse B equals B SJS inverse which in turn implies S is an invertible matrix.

So, I can multiply by S inverse and then I can on the left and I can multiply by S on the right and what I will get is J times S inverse BS is equal to S inverse BS times J where I am just putting

brackets to show that $S^{-1}BS$ and J now need if A and B commute then $S^{-1}BS$ commutes with J .

So, basically if we can show that $S^{-1}BS$ equals p of J then. So, to write that So, if we can show that $S^{-1}BS$ this is some other matrix and if we can show that this is equal to p of J then if I see so, then B will be equal to S p of J times S^{-1} and this if you consider the polynomial expansion, you can see that this S and S^{-1} can be pulled inside this polynomial function. And so, we can write this as p of SJS^{-1} which is equal to p of A . So, whatever polynomial we find, which connects $S^{-1}BS$ to J is the same polynomial that will kind of connect B to A .

(Refer Slide Time: 07:35)

NPTEL

Converse: Let $A = SJS^{-1}$

If $AB = BA$, $\Rightarrow SJS^{-1}B = BSJS^{-1} \Rightarrow J(S^{-1}BS) = (S^{-1}BS)J$

If we can s.t. $S^{-1}BS = p(J)$, then $B = Sp(J)S^{-1}$
 $= p(SJS^{-1}) = p(A)$

$p(J) = a_0I + a_1J + a_2J^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}J^{n-1}$

$Sp(J)S^{-1} = a_0(SIS^{-1}) + a_1(SJS^{-1}) + a_2(SJS^{-1})(SJS^{-1}) + \dots + a_{n-1}(SJS^{-1})^{n-1}$

$= p(A)$

Thus, OK to assume A is a Jordan matrix.

Since A is nondiagonal,

$A = \begin{bmatrix} J_{n_1}(\lambda_1) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & J_{n_k}(\lambda_k) \end{bmatrix}$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are distinct evals of A .

So, basically the problem then reduces to assuming that now, assuming that this matrix A that we had considered earlier is actually this Jordan matrix, and the matrix B we had considered was this $S^{-1}BS$ matrix here.

Student: Sir, could you repeat how we went from B equal to $S pJ S^{-1}$ to down?

Professor Chandra R. Murthy: So, see pJ in general and so you do not have to pay attention, I mean, I am just giving you an illustration here. So, I can write it as some a_0 times say the identity plus say a_1 times J plus a_2 J square, plus etc up to we have said that it is a degree at most $n - 1$. So, I will write it as $a_{n-1}J^{n-1}$ something like this. So, if I did if I do S p

of $J S$ inverse, that is going to be equal to a naught times $S S$ inverse plus $a_1 S J S$ inverse plus $a_2 S J S$ inverse $S J S$ inverse plus etc right.

And so, basically, you see that this is actually equal to so, this is this matrix so, that whatever is this a so, if I call this some, if I call $S J S$ inverse as some matrix A , then what I have here is this is just the identity matrix. This is the matrix A this is the matrix A square these two together. And similarly here I will get a to the n minus 1. So, this is nothing but p of A . So, that is all I am trying to say there.

So, what we have shown is that basically so one way to say it is that it is to assume A is Jordan matrix and proceed, because we know that if we can, if we can show that a Jordan, Jordan matrix commutes with B , then I can write B as a polynomial of the Jordan matrix, then I know how to write if A were not a Jordan matrix, I know how to write B as a polynomial of A the same polynomial that will work.

So, now that what we need to show is that if $B J$ equals $J B$, then B can be written as a polynomial of this J . So, now we use the fact that A is non derogatory, which is what we assumed in the statement of the theorem. So, since A is non derogatory, it is Jordan form, A is already in Jordan form, we can write A as block diagonal matrix with say J_{n_1} of λ_1 J_{n_k} of λ_k and 0s everywhere else, and these λ s are distinct. So, basically what we mean by non derogatory is that each λ will occur in only one Jordan block the geometric multiplicity of every eigenvalue equals 1 where λ_1 through λ_k are distinct, distinct just to be clear eigenvalues of A .

(Refer Slide Time: 12:40)

Thus, OK to assume.
 Since A is nonderogatory,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} J_{n_1}(\lambda_1) & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & J_{n_k}(\lambda_k) \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}, \text{ where } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \text{ are distinct roots of } A.$$

 Let $B = (B_{ij})$, with B_{ij} partitioned according to A .
 Since $AB = BA$, the off-diag blocks of $AB - BA$ are
 of the form $J_{n_i}(\lambda_i) B_{ij} - B_{ij} J_{n_j}(\lambda_j) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j$

$$\left[\begin{bmatrix} J_1 & \dots & J_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & \dots & B_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{k1} & \dots & B_{kk} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & \dots & B_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{k1} & \dots & B_{kk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & \dots & J_k \end{bmatrix} \right]_{ij}?$$

Now, so, this, this is a certain partition on an n cross n matrix the first one is of size n_1 cross n_1 the next diagonal block is of size n_2 cross n_2 the last diagonal block is of size n_k cross n_k . I will consider the same partition on B with B_{ij} partitioned according to A , then basically so now, AB equals BA that is what we are given B commutes with this Jordan form matrix. And so, if I consider the off-diagonal blocks of AB minus BA AB minus BA equals 0 .

So, the off-diagonal blocks of AB minus BA are of the form $J_{n_i}(\lambda_i) B_{ij}$ minus $B_{ij} J_{n_j}(\lambda_j)$ of λ_i minus λ_j . So just all you have to do is to consider this product. There is a matrix like this. So, I will just write this out here, but you have to adjust work out. So, I will just write it in short, I will write it as j_1, j_k , and I have B_{11} through B_{1k} , B_{k1} through B_{kk} and then I have to do minus B_{11} , B_{1k} , B_{k1} through B_{kk} times J_1 through J_k and then look at the ij th entry, ij th block matrix and that, that is what this thing reduces to. So, you can see that that is the case. So, this is the off-diagonal block, and this is also equal to 0 matrix because AB minus BA equals 0 .

(Refer Slide Time: 16:35)

Since $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \Rightarrow B_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ [Exercise]

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 b_{11} + b_{21} & \lambda_1 b_{12} + b_{22} \\ \lambda_1 b_{21} & \lambda_1 b_{22} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 b_{11} & b_{11} + \lambda_2 b_{12} \\ \lambda_2 b_{21} & b_{21} + \lambda_2 b_{22} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) b_{11} + b_{21} & (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) b_{12} + b_{22} \\ (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) b_{21} & (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) b_{22} + b_{21} - b_{21} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

And since these lambdas are assumed to be distinct, it can be shown that this for the fact that this is equal to 0, this implies that B_{ij} equal to 0 for i not equal to j . This is a little exercise. But I will maybe indicate to you how one arrives at this. So, for example, if I consider just 2 cross 2 block and for ease of notation, I will consider instead of lambda i and lambda j , I will consider lambda 1 and lambda 2 this is the first so lambda 1 here also the first Jordan block these times the corresponding matrix of B , which is b_{11} say b_{12} , b_{21} , b_{22} minus the this matrix here, which is again b_{11} , b_{12} , b_{21} , b_{22} times the second Jordan block, which is some something associated with lambda j , which is lambda 2 1 0 lambda 2.

Lambda 1 equal is different from lambda 2. So, if I expand this out, what I will get is lambda 1 b_{11} plus b_{21} lambda 1 b_{12} plus b_{22} lambda 1 b_{21} and lambda 1 b_{22} minus lambda 2 b_{11} and b_{11} plus lambda 2 b_{12} and lambda 2 b_{21} and b_{21} plus lambda 2 b_{22} . And this thing should be equal to 0. And this is equal to lambda 1 b_{11} minus lambda 2, I will write it this way lambda 1 minus lambda 2, b_{11} plus b_{21} and here it is lambda 1 minus lambda 2 b_{12} plus b_{22} and here it is lambda 1 minus lambda 2 b_{21} and here it is lambda 1 minus lambda 2 b_{22} plus b_{21} minus b_{21} equals 0.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:44)

Handwritten derivation on a whiteboard:

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) b_{11} + b_{21} & (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) b_{12} + b_{22} - b_{11} \\ (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) b_{21} & (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) b_{22} - b_{21} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$b_{21} = 0, b_{22} = 0, b_{11} = 0, b_{12} = 0.$
 $\Rightarrow B$ is a block diag matrix
 $B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_k \end{bmatrix}$
 From commutativity, $B_i J_{n_i}(\lambda_i) = J_{n_i}(\lambda_i) B_i, i=1, \dots, k.$

So, if I notice here $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ b_{21} equals 0 and λ_1 is not equal to λ_2 , so b_{21} is equal to 0. And then if I plug that in here, λ_1 is not equal to λ_2 . So, b_{22} equals 0 and b_{22} is 0. So, in λ_1 equals λ_2 is not equal to λ_2 , so b_{12} equals 0. And finally, here b_{21} is 0 already, so in this is non 0, so b_{11} equals 0. So, that implies this matrix is the all 0 matrix I do not have to write that. So, all the entries of this matrix are 0.

So, by similar argument, but applied to slightly I mean, more general cases, when you have n_1 and n_2 , you can show that this thing equal to 0 implies that all the entries of the matrix B_{ij} is equal to 0. So, that in turn means that for i not equal to j B_{ij} is 0 that implies B is also a block diagonal matrix with the same block structure as J .

Student: Sir in subtraction, in first row in second column, there is an extra term minus b_{11} ?

Professor Chandra R. Murthy: There is a minus b_{11} , but right, so then the root is. So, let me do that so that it is clear, but it does not change the conclusion. So, instead of writing it this way, I will first figure out that b_{11}, b_{21} equals 0 from here I will figure out b_{22} is 0. Then I will go here and figure out b_{11} equals 0 and now b_{22}, b_{11} are 0, so b_{12} is 0. So, you are right, but it does not change the conclusion. So, B is a block diagonal matrix. And I can write B as, like this and from the commutativity assumption, again, we have not used the i equal to j part of the commutativity. So, this is the commutativity assumption and this is true for i equal to 1 to k

(Refer Slide Time: 24:31)

B is a block diag matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & B_k \end{bmatrix}$$

From commutativity, $B_i J_{n_i}(\lambda_i) = J_{n_i}(\lambda_i) B_i, i=1, \dots, k.$

If $J_{n_i}(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i I + N_i$, $N_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_i \times n_i}$

$\Rightarrow B_i N_i = N_i B_i, i=1, 2, \dots, k.$

$\Rightarrow B_i = \begin{bmatrix} b_1^{(i)} & b_2^{(i)} & \dots & b_{n_i}^{(i)} \\ & b_1^{(i)} & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & b_2^{(i)} \\ & & & b_1^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \star$

And now we will use the form of the Jordan blocks. So, if J_{n_i} of λ_i equals λ_i times the identity matrix plus N_i where N_i is the nilpotent matrix with 0s on the diagonal, once on the first super diagonal, then 0s everywhere else. So, this is a form of the Jordan block. And this is of size n_i cross n_i . Then so the identity matrix commutes with anything. So, if I say that B_i times j is equal to j times B_i , it means really that B_i is commuting with this N_i matrix.

So, $B_i N_i$ equals $N_i B_i$, i equal to 1 to k . Now, this in turn implies that B_i actually has a specific form, it is not just a non-zero block, but it is actually what is called a Toeplitz, Upper Triangular matrix. This is also something that you can show. This implies B_i is of the form and it has b_2 of i here in the first super diagonal, all the way up to b_{n_i} of i . So, size n_i cross n_i and 0s down here. So, I will call this form star for later use. So, it has b_i 's b_{1i} 's on the first on the diagonal b_2 of i on the first super diagonal, b_3 of i on the next super diagonal, and b_{n_i} of i at the top right corner.

(Refer Slide Time: 27:39)

Handwritten mathematical derivation on a whiteboard:

$$\Rightarrow B_i N_i = N_i B_i, \quad i=1,2,\dots,k.$$

$$\Rightarrow B_i = \begin{bmatrix} b_1^{(i)} & b_2^{(i)} & \dots & b_{n_i}^{(i)} \\ 0 & b_1^{(i)} & \dots & b_{n_i-1}^{(i)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & b_1^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Upper Triangular Toeplitz}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_{11} \\ 0 & b_{21} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{21} & b_{22} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} b_{11} = b_{22} \\ b_{21} = 0 \end{matrix} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} b_{11} = b_{22} \\ b_{21} = 0 \end{matrix}} \right\} B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ 0 & b_{11} \end{bmatrix}.$$

So again, just for illustration purposes, if I consider the 2 cross 2 case, look just so you see that I am not, I am not being unreasonable here. If I take b_{11} b_{12} b_{21} b_{22} and multiply it with these 2 crosses 2 Jordan block $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and this is supposed to be equal to $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ times the same matrix b_{11} b_{12} b_{21} and b_{22} . What this means is that if I execute this multiplication here, what I get is $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_{11} \\ 0 & b_{21} \end{bmatrix}$ and b_{21} this is equal to $\begin{bmatrix} b_{21} & b_{22} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and so if I equate the terms, we see that b_{11} equals b_{22} , sorry b_{11} equals b_{22} .

So, the diagnostic terms are equal and b_{21} equals 0 and b_{21} equals 0. So basically, B is of the form say b_{11} and then this is also b_{11} b_{21} is 0 and this will be b_{12} this can be anything. So, b_i has this kind of a Toeplitz. So, this is called Upper Triangular Toeplitz form called an Upper Triangular Toeplitz form so b_i has this kind of a form.