

**Advanced Engineering Mathematics**  
**Prof. P. N. Agrawal**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Indian Institute of Technology – Roorkee**

**Lecture – 27**  
**Cross Ratio**

Hello friends welcome to my lecture on cross ratios of a bilinear transformation. Now suppose first we will discuss fixed points of a transformation. So let us say suppose we have a mapping  $w = fz$ , then by a fixed point of  $w = fz$  we mean a point whose image under the function  $w = fz$  is the same complex number.

**(Refer Slide Time: 00:57)**

**Fixed Points**

A fixed point of a mapping  $w = f(z)$  is a point whose image is the same complex number; i.e., the fixed points are obtained from

$$w = f(z) = z.$$

Hence the fixed points of the bilinear transformation

$$w = f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$


are given by


$$z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

or

$$cz^2 - (a - d)z - b = 0.$$

$c=0, a-d=0$   
 $b=0$   
 $c=0, b=0, a=d$   
 $w = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} = z$

 IIT ROORKEE

 NPTEL ONLINE  
CERTIFICATION COURSE

2

That is the fixed points of  $w = fz$  are obtained from the equation  $fz = z$ . Hence in particular the fixed points of the bilinear transformation  $w = az + b/cz + d$  are given by  $az + b/cz + d = z$  okay. This can be written as  $cz^2 - a - d * z - b = 0$ .

**(Refer Slide Time: 01:20)**

This is a quadratic equation in  $z$  whose coefficients all vanish if and only if the mapping is the identity (in this case  $a = d \neq 0, b = c = 0$ ).  
Hence we have the following result:

#### Theorem

A linear fractional transformation, not the identity, has at most two fixed points. If a linear fractional transformation is known to have three or more fixed points, it must be the identity.

o

Now this is a quadratic equation in  $z$ , you can see this is a quadratic equation in  $z$  whose coefficients all vanish if and only if the mapping is the identity. You can see if the coefficients all are 0s,  $c = 0$ ,  $a - d = 0$  and  $b = 0$ , okay, then  $c = 0$ ,  $b = 0$  and  $a = d$  gives you  $w = az + b/cz + d$ . So  $b = 0$ , this means this is  $= 0$ ,  $c = 0$  means this is  $= 0$ , then  $a = d$ . So it is  $= z$ , so when  $c$  is 0,  $b$  is 0,  $a = d$  we get  $w = z$ , that is the identity transformation.

So the coefficients all vanish, the quadratic equations coefficients all vanish if and only if the mapping is the identity in this case  $a = d \neq 0, b = c = 0$ , because we want  $ad - bc$  to be nonzero. So we have to take  $a = d \neq 0$ . Now therefore we have the following result. A linear fractional transformation not the identity has at most 2 fixed points okay. If you do not want this fractional transformation to be an identity, then it will have at most 2 fixed points okay.

If it has more than 2 fixed points that is 3 fixed points or more fixed points, then it must be the identity transformation okay.

**(Refer Slide Time: 02:57)**

*Handwritten notes:*  
 $-x - (a-d)i + k = 0$   
 $a = d$   
 $W = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$   
Fixed points are given by  
 $z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$   
 $cz^2 - (a-d)z - b = 0$   
 $c(-1) - (a-d)i - b = 0$   
 $c(-1) + (a-d)i - b = 0$

**Corollary**  
If  $S$  and  $T$  are two bilinear transformations which agree at three distinct points of the extended complex plane then  
 $S = T$ .

**Example.** Find all linear fractional transformations whose fixed points are  $-i$  and  $i$ .

*Handwritten notes for Example:*  
 $ad - bc \neq 0$   
Let  $S(z_j) = T(z_j), j=1,2,3$   
then  $(S^{-1} \circ T)(z_j) = S^{-1}(T(z_j)) = S^{-1}(S(z_j)) = z_j = (S^{-1} \circ S)(z_j)$   
Hence  $S^{-1} \circ T = I = T^{-1} \circ S$   
 $T = (S \circ S^{-1}) \circ T = S \circ (S^{-1} \circ T) = S \circ I = S$   
 $-2c - 2b = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow b = -c$

IT ROORKEE NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 4

So if  $S$  and  $T$  are 2 bilinear transformations we in particular in as a corollary we have if  $S$  and  $T$  are 2 bilinear transformations which agree at 3 distinct points of the extended complex plane then  $S$  must be same as  $T$ , okay. So we can easily prove this, suppose let us say  $Sz_j = Tz_j$  okay for  $j = 1, 2, 3$  okay.

Then let us take 3 distinct points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  in the extended complex  $z$  plane and suppose that  $S$  and  $T$  agree on these 3 points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$ , then  $S$  inverse let us say this composition mapping.  $S$  inverse  $OT$ , because when  $S$  is the bilinear transformation then  $S$  inverse is also bilinear transformation and composition of 2 bilinear transformations is also bilinear transformation. So  $s$  inverse  $OT$  is a bilinear transformation and this will be  $= S$  inverse  $Tz_j$ .

So this is  $= Tz_j = Sz_j$ , so  $S$  inverse  $Sz_j$ ,  $S$  inverse  $Sz_j = z_j$  and this also equal to similarly  $T$  inverse  $os$ , in a similar manner we can say that  $T$  inverse  $os$  at  $z_j = z_j$  because this is  $T$  inverse  $Sz_j$ ,  $Sz_j = Tz_j$  and  $T$  inverse  $Tz_j = z_j$ . So we see that  $S$  inverse/ $Tz_j = T$  inverse  $os$   $z_j$  okay and now, so this is bilinear transformation okay,  $S$  inverse  $OT$  which maps  $z_j \rightarrow z_j$ . So this by the previous theorem  $S$  inverse  $OT$  must be identity map okay.

So hence  $S$  inverse  $OT$  will be identity map and same will be true for the bilinear transformation  $T$  inverse  $OS$ . Now let us we want to show that  $S = T$  okay. So we can see that  $S = S \circ S$  inverse okay,  $S = S \circ S$  inverse this is identity okay,  $OT$  okay. We can write  $T$  as  $S \circ S$  inverse  $OT$  because  $S \circ S$  inverse is identity map okay. So this is equal to this and then  $S \circ S$  inverse  $OT$  okay  $= S \circ$  identity map which is  $= S$  okay.

So  $T$  can be written as  $SOS$  inverse  $OT$  but this is  $= SOS$  inverse  $OT$  and  $S$  inverse  $OT =$  identity map, so  $SOi$  be  $= i$  so  $T = S$ . So if 2 bilinear transformations agree at 3 distinct points of the extended complex plane then they must be same okay. Now let us see in example find all bilinear transformations whose fixed points are  $-i$  and  $i$ . We have seen that if  $W = fz = az + b/cz + d$ , then the fixed points of this transformation are given by  $z = az + b/cz + d$ .

Okay which gives you  $cz^2 - a - d * z - b = 0$  okay. This equation gives us this okay. Now if fixed points are  $i$  and  $-i$ , fixed points are given by this equation okay. So if  $i$  and  $-i$  are fixed points then let us put them here. So let us put first  $i$  okay. When you put  $i$  here  $C i$  square means  $-1$ ,  $-a$ ,  $-d * i - b = 0$  okay. Now let us put  $-i$ . So we get  $-i$  whole square is  $i$  square. So again  $-1$ ,  $-i$  we put here, so we get  $+a - d * i - b = 0$ .

So let us solve these 2 equations okay. Adding these 2 equations what do we get  $-2C$ , when you add them then this middle term will cancel and we will get  $-2C - 2b = 0$ , so we get  $b = -C$  okay,  $b = -C$ , now let us put  $b = -C$  in this equation what do we get  $-C$ ,  $-a - d * i$  and  $b$  is  $-c$ . So  $+C = 0$ . So this cancels with this and what do we get  $A = D$  okay. So the bilinear transformation  $W = az + b/cz + d$  whose fixed points are  $i$  and  $-i$  has to be  $w = az + b$ ,  $b = -c$  okay.

So  $az + b$  okay  $c$  is  $-b$ , so  $-bz$  and  $d = a$ , so we get  $az + b/a - bz$  okay where  $a$  and  $b$  are arbitrary but we have to remember that  $ad - bc$  must be nonzero okay. So this is the set of all linear fractional transformations whose fixed points are  $-i$  and  $i$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  are any real numbers, but  $a$  and  $b$  are related to  $c$  and  $d$  by this equation  $ad - bc \neq 0$ .

**(Refer Slide Time: 09:34)**

### Cross ratio

In applications we are often required to find a conformal mapping from domain  $D$  that is bounded by circles onto a domain  $D'$  that is bounded by lines. This can be accomplished by a bilinear transformation. But for this, we need a general method to construct a linear fractional transformation  $w = S(z)$ , which maps three given distinct points  $z_1, z_2$  and  $z_3$  on the boundary of  $D$  to three given distinct points  $w_1, w_2$  and  $w_3$  on the boundary of  $D'$ .

$$w = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \quad \begin{array}{l} ad-bc \neq 0 \\ a, c \neq 0 \end{array}$$

$$= a \left( \frac{z+b/a}{c/a} \right) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{together} \\ \text{if } a \neq 0 \end{array}$$

$$= \frac{az+b}{d} \quad \text{if } a \neq 0$$

Okay now let us come to cross ratio. In applications we often required to find a conformal mapping from domain  $D$  that is bounded by circles onto a domain  $D'$  that is bounded by lines. We can accomplish this by a bilinear transformation. But for this we need a general method to construct a linear fractional transformation  $w = Sz$ , which maps 3 given distinct points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$ , because to draw a circle we need 3 non-collinear points in the plane okay.

So which maps 3 given distinct points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  on the boundary of  $D$  to the 3 given distinct points  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  on the boundary of  $D'$ . You can see  $w = az + b/cz + d$  okay, because of  $ad - bc \neq 0$  okay,  $a$  and  $c$  cannot be both 0 okay. So if  $a$  is not 0 we can divide by  $a$  okay and write it as  $z + b/a, a$  and  $c$  cannot be both 0 simultaneously okay, because then  $ad - bc$  will be 0 okay.

So suppose if  $a$  is not 0, okay,  $a$  is not 0, we can write it as  $az + b/a$  and here so this will be what,  $az + b/a$  and here when  $a$  is not 0 we can have  $cz + d$  okay. So we will have to write it as  $a$  is nonzero we are taking  $d$  can be 0 okay,  $a$  is not 0, so if  $a$  is not 0 then  $c$  is not 0 okay. Either  $c$  is not 0, if  $c$  is 0 then  $d$  cannot be 0 okay. So we can take then  $D$ , we can divide by  $D$  and write it as  $a/d$  and then  $c/d * z + 1$  okay.

So then you can see there are only 3 ratios,  $a/d, b/a, c/d$  they can be replaced by 3 constants. So to determine you need transformation, you need bilinear transformation, we need to have 3 distinct points okay. So if we have 3 distinct points then we can determine a unique bilinear transformation which maps 3 distinct points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  to 3 distinct points  $w_1, w_2, w_3$ . So we

will construct a general method to which will take us from 3 distinct points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  in the  $z$  plane to 3 distinct points  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  in the  $w$  plane okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:41)

Cont...

It can be done by using the cross ratio which is defined as follows:

**Definition:** The cross ratio of the complex numbers  $z, z_1, z_2$  and  $z_3$  is the complex number

$$\frac{z - z_1}{z - z_3} \cdot \frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1},$$

So let us, it can be done by using the cross ratio which is defined as follows. The cross ratio of the complex number let us take  $z, z_1, z_2, z_3$  okay,  $z, z_1, z_2, z_3$ , the complex, then this cross ratio of these 4 numbers is given by this complex number,  $z - z_1/z - z_3, z_2 - z_3/z_2 - z_1$  okay. This cross ratio will help us in finding the transformation which maps the 3 points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  into the 3 points  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  in the complex plane.

(Refer Slide Time: 13:24)

Cont...

To include points in the extended complex plane we use the limiting formula. For example, the cross ratio of, say,  $\infty, z_1, z_2$  and  $z_3$  is given by the limit

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z - z_1}{z - z_3} \cdot \frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1} &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1 - \left(\frac{z_1}{z}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{z_3}{z}\right)} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1} \right) \\ &= \frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1}, \end{aligned}$$

So to include points in the extended complex plane because we want the linear transformation, the bilinear transformation to be defined for the extended complex plane. So to include the points in the extended complex plane we use the limiting formula, that is for

example the cross ratio of say  $z, z$  let us take at infinity, infinity  $z_1, z_2$  and  $z_3$ , it is given by limit  $z$  tends to  $\frac{z-z_1}{z-z_2} \frac{z_2-z_3}{z_2-z_1}$ .

Now the limit  $z$  tends to infinity  $\frac{z-z_1}{z-z_2} * \frac{z_2-z_3}{z_2-z_1} = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z-z_1}{z-z_2} = 1$ , we replace  $z/1/z$ . So  $1/z - z_1/1/z - z_2$  okay. So this is independent of  $z$  okay. So we can write this like this. So this is  $= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - z_1/z}{1 - z_2/z} = \frac{1 - z_1/0}{1 - z_2/0} = \frac{1 - \infty}{1 - \infty}$  okay  $* \frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1}$ . So this is  $= \frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1}$  okay. So this cross ratio will then reduce to  $\frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1}$ .

**(Refer Slide Time: 15:16)**

Cont...

In our next result we show the invariance of cross ratio under Möbius transformation which provides a way to represent a Möbius transformation that carries three distinct points  $z_1, z_2$  and  $z_3$  to prescribed image points  $w_1, w_2$  and  $w_3$  respectively.

0

Now in our next result we show that the invariance of cross ratio under Möbius transformation, we are going to show that the cross ratio remains invariant under bilinear transformation, so we prove this, we show that the cross ratio remains invariant under Möbius transformation, which provides way to present Möbius transformation that carries 3 distinct points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  to prescribed 3 image points  $w_1, w_2$  and  $w_3$ .

**(Refer Slide Time: 15:49)**

### Theorem

If  $w = S(z)$  is a linear fractional transformation that maps the distinct points  $z_1, z_2$  and  $z_3$  onto the distinct points  $w_1, w_2$  and  $w_3$ , respectively, then

$$\frac{z - z_1}{z - z_3} \cdot \frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1} = \frac{w - w_1}{w - w_3} \cdot \frac{w_2 - w_3}{w_2 - w_1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(z_2 - z_3)(z_2 - z_1)}{(z_2 - z_1)(z_2 - z_3)} \\ & \text{ad-bc} \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z$ .

**Proof.** Let  $R$  be the linear fractional transformation

$$R(z) = \frac{z - z_1}{z - z_3} \cdot \frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1}$$

$$R(z) = \frac{(z_2 - z_3)z - z_1(z_2 - z_3)}{(z_2 - z_1)z - z_3(z_2 - z_1)}$$

$$= \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ad-bc} = \frac{(z_2 - z_3)(z_2 - z_1)}{(z_2 - z_1)(z_2 - z_3)} \\ & = \frac{(z_2 - z_3)(z_2 - z_1)}{(z_2 - z_1)(z_2 - z_3)} \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $R(z_1) = 0, R(z_2) = 1, R(z_3) = \infty$ .

So this is the theorem, if  $w = Sz$  is a linear fractional transformation that maps the 3 distinct points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  onto the 3 distinct points  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  respectively, then  $\frac{z - z_1}{z - z_3} \cdot \frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1} = \frac{w - w_1}{w - w_3} \cdot \frac{w_2 - w_3}{w_2 - w_1}$ . You can see the cross ratio remains invariant from here and this bilinear transformation also helps us in finding the required, actually this is the required bilinear transformation, which carries the 3 points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  to  $w_1, w_2, w_3$ .

You can see here when  $z = z_1$ , this becomes 0, left side and so  $w = w_1$  okay and when  $z = z_3$  okay this becomes infinite and so  $w$  becomes  $w_3$  and when  $z = z_2$  okay then left side becomes 1 and here right side becomes 1 when  $w = w_2$  okay. Now let us in order to prove this, let us say  $R$  be the linear fractional transformation.  $Rz = \frac{z - z_1}{z - z_3} \cdot \frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1}$ , then we can see that first of all this is the fractional transformation why because  $Rz =$  it can be written in this form  $\frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1} \cdot \frac{z - z_1}{z - z_3}$ .

So you can see it is of the form  $az + b/cz + d$ , but it will be called a linear fractional transformation provided we show that  $ad - bc$  is nonzero. So let us prove that here  $a$  is  $z_2 - z_3$ ,  $b$  is  $-z_1 \cdot z_2 - z_3$ ,  $c$  is  $z_2 - z_1$  and  $d$  is  $-z_3 \cdot z_2 - z_1$ . They satisfy the condition that  $ad - bc$  is nonzero. So  $ad - bc$  is how much here,  $z_2 - z_3 \cdot d$ ,  $d$  is  $-z_3 \cdot z_2 - z_1$ , okay then  $-b = -z_1 \cdot z_2 - z_3$ ,  $-bc$  okay.

So  $C$  is  $z_2 - z_1$  okay, now from here what do we notice?  $z_2 - z_3$  and  $z_2 - z_1$  we can take common okay. So then taking these 2 factors common  $z_2 - z_3 \cdot z_2 - z_1$ , what we get  $-z_3$  here and here what do we get  $z_2 - z_1$ ,  $z_2 - z_3$ , so  $+z_1$  okay. So  $ad - bc$  is  $z_2 - z_3 \cdot z_2 - z_1 \cdot$



$z_1 - z_3$ . Now  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  are your distinct points. So  $ad - bc$  is not  $= 0$  and therefore this transformation is a linear fractional transformation.

Now further more you notice that  $Rz_1 = 0$ , okay  $Rz_2, z_2 = 1$  and  $Rz_3$ , when  $z$  goes to  $z_3$  you see that  $Rz$  goes to infinity okay, so  $Rz_3 = \text{infinity}$ .

**(Refer Slide Time: 19:47)**

Cont...

Consider also the linear fractional transformation

$$T(z) = \frac{z - w_1}{z - w_3} \cdot \frac{w_2 - w_3}{w_2 - w_1}.$$

Then  $T(w_1) = 0, T(w_2) = 1, T(w_3) = \infty$ . Therefore the points  $z_1, z_2$  and  $z_3$  are mapped onto the points  $w_1, w_2$  and  $w_3$  respectively by the bilinear transformation  $T^{-1}(R(z))$ .

$T^{-1}(R(z_j)) = w_j, j = 1, 2, 3$

NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE

10

Now consider also this transformation  $Tz = \frac{z - w_1}{z - w_3} \cdot \frac{w_2 - w_3}{w_2 - w_1}$ . Then here again when you replace  $z$  by  $w_1$ ,  $Tw_1 = 0$ ,  $Tw_2 = 1$ ,  $Tw_3 = \text{infinity}$ . Therefore, the points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  are mapped on to the points  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  respectively by the bilinear transformation  $T$  inverse  $Rz$  how, because  $T$  inverse  $Rz_j$  okay,  $Rz_j$  let us call them as  $z_1, z_2, z_3$ ,  $Rz_j$  are  $1, 0$  infinity okay. So  $Rz_j$  goes to  $1, 0$  infinity.

$T$  inverse maps  $n$  okay and  $T$  inverse of,  $T$  maps  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  to  $0, 1$  infinity okay. So  $T$  inverse maps  $0, 1, \text{infinity} \rightarrow w_1, w_2, w_3$ . So  $Rz_j$  goes to  $0, 1$  infinity and  $0, 1$  infinity under  $T$  inverse go to  $w_j$  okay. So therefore the points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  are mapped to points  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  respectively by the bilinear transformation  $T$  inverse  $Rz$ .  $T$  inverse  $Rz$  is bilinear transformation because  $T$  is a bilinear transformation, this inverse is bilinear transformation and then  $T$  inverse and composition  $R$  is also a bilinear transformation.

**(Refer Slide Time: 21:19)**

Cont...

Consequently, it follows that 0, 1 and  $\infty$  are mapped onto 0, 1 and  $\infty$ , respectively, by the composition  $S^{-1}(T^{-1}(R(z)))$  which implies that  $S^{-1}(T^{-1}(R(z))) = z$ , or  $R(z) = T(S(z))$  but  $w = S(z)$  hence  $R(z) = T(w)$  therefore

$$\frac{z - z_1}{z - z_3} \cdot \frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1} = \frac{w - w_1}{w - w_3} \cdot \frac{w_2 - w_3}{w_2 - w_1}$$

$S^{-1}(w) = z$

$S^{-1}(T^{-1}(R(z)))$

$T^{-1}(R(z))$  carries  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  to  $w_1, w_2, w_3$

Then  $S^{-1}(w) = z$

$\Rightarrow S^{-1}(w_i) = z_i$

$z_1 = 0, z_2 = 1, z_3 = \infty$

$w_1, w_2, w_3$  under  $T^{-1}(R(z))$

Now consequently it follows that 0, 1 infinity are mapped on to 0, 1 infinity respectively by this composition. So let us look at this composition, S inverse, T inverse Rz. So how we get this, 0, 1 infinity are mapped into 0, 1 infinity, okay what happened was here S inverse, T inverse Rz okay. S inverse, T inverse Rz did what from here let us look at this. T inverse Rz, T inverse Rz carries  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  to  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  okay.

So T inverse Rz carries  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  to  $w_1, w_2, w_3$ , okay now we are applying S inverse on this mapping, S inverse this mapping okay. So let us look at this,  $w = Sz$ , we are given this information  $w = Sz$  which maps  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  on to  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  okay. So this means that  $z = S$  inverse W okay. So this means that  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  goes to  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  okay. Now you see let us take the points 0, 1.

We have seen that T inverse Rz carries  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  to  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  okay, let us take the points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  to 0, 1 infinity okay,  $z_1 = 0, z_2 = 1$  and  $z_3 = \text{infinity}$  okay. Then  $z_1, 0, 1$  and infinity, okay, under this mapping T inverse Rz okay go to say  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  okay. Suppose this goes to  $w_1$ , this goes to  $w_2$  and this goes to  $w_3$  okay under T inverse Rz okay. Then S inverse  $w = z$  implies that this  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  go to  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  that is 0, 1 infinity.

So then S inverse  $w = z$  okay, S inverse  $w = z$  implies that  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  go to  $z_1, z_2, z_3$ , S inverse  $W_i$  go to  $Z_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , okay so let us take 3 points 0, 1, infinity in the  $z$  plane okay, then under T inverse Rz, we have seen T inverse Rz maps the 3 points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  to  $w_1, w_2, w_3$ , so these 3 points 0, 1 infinity okay, 0, 1 infinity they are supposing go to  $w_1, w_2, w_3$

So  $T^{-1}$  takes  $0, 1, \infty$  to  $0, 1, \infty$  okay,  $0, 1, \infty$  under  $T^{-1}$ ,  $T^{-1}$  takes  $0, 1, \infty$  to  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  okay, so what we get here. So  $T^{-1}$ , as  $w = z$ , implies  $S^{-1} w_i = z_i$ , this means that the points  $0, 1$  and  $\infty$  are mapped into  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  under  $S^{-1}$  go to  $z_1, z_2, z_3$ , this means that  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  are  $0, 1, \infty$ . So  $0, 1, \infty$  are mapped into  $0, 1, \infty$  and therefore because of the theorem on fixed points okay the transformation must be an identity because it fixes 3 distinct points  $0, 1$  and  $\infty$ .

**(Refer Slide Time: 26:39)**

**Examples 1**

Construct a linear fractional transformation that maps the points 1,  $i$  and  $-1$  on the unit circle onto the points  $-1, 0, 1$  on the real axis. Determine the image of

$|z| < 1$

under this transformation.

(Ans.  $w = \frac{z-i}{iz-1}$ .)

$w = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$

$\frac{z-i}{z-i} \cdot \frac{z_2-z_3}{z_2-z_1} = \frac{w-w_3}{w-w_1} \cdot \frac{w_2-w_3}{w_2-w_1}$

$\frac{z-i}{z+i} \cdot \frac{i+1}{i-1} = \frac{w+1}{w-1} \cdot \frac{-1}{1}$

$\frac{z-i}{z+i} \cdot \left(\frac{i+1}{i-1}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{w+1}{w-1}\right) (-1)$

$\frac{z-i}{z+i} \cdot \frac{z_i}{z_j} = \frac{wH}{wL} (-1)$

$\Rightarrow w = \frac{-i}{-1} = i$

$w_1 = -1$   
 $w_2 = 0$   
 $w_3 = 1$

$|z| < 1$  is mapped onto  $v > 0$

Now let us take an example on this, construct a linear fractional transformation that maps the points 1, i and -1 on the unit circle on to the points -1, 0, 1 on the real axis. So we have  $w = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$  okay, this bilinear transformation and the transformation that maps 3 distinct points on to 3 distinct points from z plane to w plane we have  $z = \frac{z_1 w - z_3}{w - w_3} \cdot \frac{z_2 - z_3}{w_2 - w_1}$ .

So here we can put the value this  $z_1$  is 1,  $z_2$  is  $i$  and  $z_3$  is  $-1$ , okay, so  $z - z_1$ , so  $z - 1/z - z_3$  that means  $z + 1$  and  $z_2 - z_3$ , so  $i + 1/z_2 - z_1$ , so  $i - 1$ , this is  $= w - w_1$ ,  $w_1 = -1$  and  $w_2 = 0$ ,  $w_3 = 1$ . So  $w - w_1$  means  $w + 1$  okay and then  $w - w_3$  is  $w - 1$  and  $w_2 - w_3$  is  $-1/w_2 - w_1 = +1$  okay. So what we get?  $z - 1/z + 1$  okay, this  $i$  can simplify  $i + 1/i - 1$   $i$  can multiply by  $i + 1$ , so  $i + 1$  whole square/ $i$  square  $- 1$  this  $= w + 1/w - 1$  and what we get  $* -1$ .

$w_2 - w_3$  is  $-1$ ,  $w_2 - w_1$  is  $+1$  okay, so this is  $z - 1/z + 1$  and here we have  $i$  square  $+ 1$  which is  $0$ , because  $i$  square is  $-1$ , so we get  $2 i / -2$  and this is  $w + 1/w - 1 * -1$  okay. So, this means that  $w + 1/w - 1 =$  now this cancels with this  $-i$  and  $-1$  here, so this gives you  $i$  times,  $i$  times  $z - 1/z + 1$  okay and when we simplify this okay, we get  $w =$  this gives you  $w = iz - i/iz - 1$  okay. So this transformation can be obtained by simplifying this equation.

Now let us find the; so this is the required transformation which maps  $1i - 12 - 101$  and we have to find the image of this interior of this circle  $\text{mod } z = 1$  here under this transformation. So let us take the test point  $z = 0$ ,  $z = 0$  goes to  $w = -i/-1$ , this means  $i$  okay. So  $i$  lies here okay,  $i$  lies here okay. Now  $1i - 1$ , they are the points on the circle  $\text{mod } z = 1$ , you see  $1$  is here,  $i$  is here and  $-1$  is here.

We have taken 3 points on the circle  $\text{mod } z = 1$  and we are looking for and these 3 points are being mapped on to  $-1, 0, 1$  on the real axis okay. So the boundary of the circle is going into the real axis of the  $w$  plane okay and we are now looking for the interior of  $\text{mod } z = 1$  where does it go. So we have taken a test point here  $z = 0$  and we found the image of  $z = 0$  under the bilinear transformation  $w = z - i/iz - 1$  as  $i$  and we see that  $i$  lies in the upper half of the  $w$  plane.

So this mean that upper half of the  $w$  plane is the image of  $\text{mod } z < 1$ , okay upper half of the  $w$  plane means  $v > 0$ . So  $\text{mod } z < 1$  goes to mapped into  $v > 0$  okay, rather we could write  $\text{mod } z < 1$  is mapped on to  $v > 0$  okay.

**(Refer Slide Time: 32:14)**

$\frac{z+i}{1+i} = \frac{w-1}{w+1} \cdot \frac{1+i}{1-i}$   
 $\Rightarrow w = \frac{z+i}{z+1-i} \cdot \frac{1-i}{1-i}$

**Examples 2**

Construct a Möbius transformation that maps the points  $-i, 1$  and  $\infty$  on the line  $y = x - 1$  onto the points  $1, i$  and  $-1$  on the unit circle.

Ans.  $w = \frac{z+1}{-z+(1-2i)}$

$\frac{z-z_1}{z-z_3} \cdot \frac{z_2-z_3}{z_2-z_1} = \frac{w-w_1}{w-w_3} \cdot \frac{w_2-w_3}{w_2-w_1}$   
 $\frac{z-z_1}{z-z_3} \cdot \frac{z_2-z_3}{z_2-z_1} = \frac{w-w_1}{w-w_3} \cdot \frac{w_2-w_3}{w_2-w_1}$   
 $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(z-z_1)(z_2-z_3)}{(z-z_3)(z_2-z_1)} = \frac{z_2-z_1}{z_2-z_3}$   
 $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(z-z_1)(z_2-z_3)}{(z-z_3)(z_2-z_1)} = \frac{z_2-z_1}{z_2-z_3}$   
 $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(z-z_1)(z_2-z_3)}{(z-z_3)(z_2-z_1)} = \frac{z_2-z_1}{z_2-z_3}$

IT ROORKEE NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 13

Let us take one more question, construct a Möbius transformation that maps the point  $-i, 1$  and infinity on the line  $y = x - 1$  you can draw the line  $y = x - 1$ . So this is your  $x = 1$  and when your, this is when  $x, 0$  till  $-1$ . So this is the line  $y = x - 1$  in the  $z$  plane and we have, so construct a Möbius transformation that maps the point  $-i$ , this is  $-pi$  okay. This point is  $-i$ , this point is  $1$  and we have a point infinity on the line okay.

So they are mapped on to the points  $1, i$  and  $-1$  on the unit circle, that means under the transformation straight line is going into circle. This is  $\text{mod } z = 1$ . The points are  $1, i$  and  $-1$  okay, let us find the transformation. So we have  $z-z_1/z-z_3 \cdot z_2-z_3/z_2-z_1$  okay, this cross ratio okay, we have this equation  $w-w_1, w-w_3, w_2-w_3, w_2-w_1$  okay, the cross ratio for the points  $-i, 1$  and infinity okay will be this following.

For  $z_1 = -i, z_2 = 1, z_3 = \text{infinity}$ , okay will be limit  $z_3$  tends to infinity,  $z_2 - z_3/z - z_3 \cdot z - z_1/z - z_3$  or  $z_2 - z_1$  okay. So we can write it as limit this limit we can find by taking  $z_3$  goes to  $0$  and  $z_3/1/z_3$ . So  $z_2 - 1/z_3/z - 1/z_3$ . So this will be limit  $z_3$  goes to  $0$  okay,  $z_2, z_3 - 1/z_3 - 1$ , so this is  $1$  okay. So this will be replaced by  $1$  okay and this gets reduced to  $z - z_1/z_2 - z_1$ . So we have this equation, this equation becomes  $z - z_1/z_2 - z_1 = w - w_1/w - w_3 \cdot w_2 - w_3/w_2 - w_1$ .

So now let us put the values here,  $z_1$  is  $-i$ , so  $z + i$  okay/at  $z_2 - z_1$ , so  $1 + i, = w - w_1$ , so  $w - 1/w - w_3$ , so  $w + 1$  and then  $w_2 - w_3$ . So  $i + 1$  and then  $w_2 - w_1$ , so  $i - 1$  okay. So this is the equation, this can be simplified and we get  $w =$ , this gives you  $w =$  after simplification  $z + 1/-z + 1 - 2i$ . So this is the required transformation which maps the points  $-i, 1$  and infinity to  $1, i$

and  $-1$  on the unit circle. So with this I would like to end my lecture. Thank you very much for your attention.