

Advanced Engineering Mathematics
Prof. P. N. Agrawal
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology – Roorkee

Lecture – 20
Meromorphic Functions

Hello friends welcome to my lecture on Meromorphic functions and analytic function whose only singularities in the finite complex plane or poles are called meromorphic function.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:42)

Meromorphic function

An analytic function, whose only singularities in the finite plane are poles is called a meromorphic function.

Example 1

Rational functions with nonconstant denominator, $\tan z$, $\cot z$, $\sec z$ and $\operatorname{cosec} z$ etc. are meromorphic functions.

$$f(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} \quad q(z) = (z-z_0)^m \phi(z) \quad \phi(z_0) \neq 0$$

Poles and Zeros of a Meromorphic function

If $f(z)$ is analytic inside and on a closed contour C except at a finite number of poles inside C then using Cauchy's residue theorem, the number of zeros of $f(z)$ inside C can be determined.

$$\frac{p(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{a_0}{a_m(z-z_0)^m + \dots + a_{m+1}(z-z_0)^{m+1} + \dots}$$

$$\frac{p(z)}{q(z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n \quad \text{where } a_n = \left[\frac{d^n}{dz^n} \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} \right]_{z=z_0}$$

$$f(z) = \frac{p(z)}{(z-z_0)^m \phi(z)} = \frac{1}{(z-z_0)^m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n$$

$$= \frac{a_0}{(z-z_0)^m} + \frac{a_1}{(z-z_0)^{m-1}} + \dots + a_m + a_{m+1}(z-z_0) + \dots$$

For example, we can consider rational functions with a non-constant denominator you know that the rational function is a quotient of 2 polynomials say suppose fz is a rational function then we can write $fz = pz/qz$ and the 0s of qz are then the polynomials q_0 of qz are then the poles of fz so we can easily prove that if qz has a 0 of order m at $z=z_0$ then we can write qz as $(z-z_0)^m \phi(z)$ where $\phi(z_0) \neq 0$.

And $\phi(z)$ is analytic in some small neighbourhood of z_0 . So putting the value of qz we shall have $fz = pz/(z-z_0)^m \phi(z)$. Now $pz/\phi(z)$ is an analytic function at z_0 . So, we can write it as $1/(z-z_0)^m$ in the sense $pz/\phi(z)$ is analytic and that at $z=z_0$ we can expand it but by the Taylor series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n$ say $a_n (z-z_0)^n$ to the power n . Okay where you know that $a_n =$ n th derivative of $pz/\phi(z)$ at $z=z_0$.

Okay now this is = if you write this expand this series then you can see $a_0 z^{-0}$ to the power 0 so we shall have $1/a_0 z^{-0}$ to the power m then $a_1 z^{-0}$ to the power $m-1$ and so on.. When you $n=m$ then you will have a_m and then you will have $a_{m+1} z^{-0}$ and so on. Okay now we can see here that a_0 is not=0 because $p(z)/\phi(z)$ is not=0 $p(z)/\phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{-n}$ to the power n .

So, $p(z)/\phi(z)$ is a_0 okay now $p(z)/\phi(z)$ is not =0 so this implies that a_0 is not =0 okay so this means that now this is series of the function $f(z)$ okay since a_0 is not =0 $f(z)$ has a pole of order m $f(z)=z^0$ o if $q(z)$ has 0 of order m at $z=z_0$ then $f(z)$ has a pole of order m at $z=z_0$. Similarly, $\tan z$ we know $=\sin z/\cos z$. SO, the 0s of $\cos z$ become the poles of $\tan z$ ad $\cot z$ is $\cos z/\sin z$ the 0s of $\sin z$ at the 0s of $\sin z$ we have the poles of $\cot z$.

Similarly, $\sec z$ $\sec z$ is $1/\cos z$ the 0s of $\cos z$ gives us the poles of $\sec z$ and $\operatorname{cosec} z$ is $1/\sin z$ the 0s of $\sin z$ give us the poles of $f(z)$. So, it gives us the poles $\operatorname{cosec} z$ so rational functions with a non-constant denominator $\tan z$ $\cot z$ $\sec z$ and $\operatorname{cosec} z$ are examples of meromorphic functions. Now let us look at a very useful result on meromorphic functions. It is regarding poles and 0s of meromorphic function.

If $f(z)$ is analytic inside and on a closed contour C except a finite number of poles inside C and then using Cauchy's residue theorem, we can determine the numbers of 0s of $f(z)$ inside C let us see how we do that.

(Refer Slide Time: 05:30)

Theorem 2

If $f(z)$ is analytic inside and on a closed contour C except at a finite number of poles inside C and does not vanish on C , then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = N - P,$$

where N is the number of zeros of $f(z)$ inside C and P is the number of poles, both zeros and poles being counted according to order of their multiplicity.

Suppose fz is analytic inside and on a closed contour C except at a finite number of poles inside C and does not vanish on C , Okay you can see here this formula $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = N - P$. So, here fz cannot be 0 on C , if fz takes value 0 on C then $\int_C \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ will not be defined. So, except so then here N is the number of 0s of fz inside C and P is the number of also inside C . Both 0s and poles are being counted according to the order of their multiplicity.

(Refer Slide Time: 06:19)

Proof

Suppose that $f(z)$ has a zero of order m at $z = a$. Then in a certain neighbourhood of $z = a$, say, $|z - a| < R$, we have

$$f(z) = (z - a)^m g(z), \quad |z - a| < R, \quad g(a) \neq 0 \quad (1)$$

where $g(z)$ is analytic and non zero in that neighbourhood. From (1) it follows that

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{m}{z - a} + \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}$$

$\ln f(z) = m \ln(z - a) + \ln g(z)$
 $\frac{1}{f(z)} f'(z) = \frac{m}{z - a} + \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}$

But $\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}$ is analytic in $|z - a| < R$, since $g(z)$ is analytic and $g(z) \neq 0$ in this neighbourhood.

Hence $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ has a simple pole at $z = a$ with residue m . The sum of residue of $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ at the zeros of $f(z)$ is N .

Now suppose that so let us prove this suppose that fz has a 0 of order m at $z=a$ then in a certain neighbourhood of $z=a$ then same order $z-a < R$ we can write fz as $(z-a)^m g(z)$ where $g(z)$ is an analytic function in the neighbourhood of $z-a < R$ and $g(z) \neq 0$.

become 0 at $z=a$ that is g_a is non-zero. And since g_z is analytic in this neighbourhood it is continuous in the neighbourhood okay.

So, $g_a=0$ implies that we can get a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $z=a$ in which g_z is not 0 throughout the neighbourhood. So, $|z-a| < r$ we can take the neighbourhood where g_z is not 0 throughout the neighbourhood R can be taken sufficient small. Now from here it follows that if you take \ln both sides this equation gives you $\ln f_z = m \ln z-a + \ln g_z$. Now differentiating with respect to z what we get $1/f_z * f'_z = m/z-a + g'_z/g_z$ that is.

We get this equation. So, now g'_z/g_z is analytic in $|z-a| < r$ because g_z is not 0 throughout this neighbourhood $|z-a| < r$ and g_z is analytic. So g'_z/g_z is analytic in this neighbourhood and hence f'_z/f_z is such but we can do since g'_z/g_z is analytic in $|z-a| < r$ okay we can expand g'_z/g_z by a Taylor series. So, actually this is nothing but $m/z-a + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-a)^n$.

Okay again we are $a_n = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} g'_z/g_z$ at $z=a/n$ factorial. So, you can write n factorial here also here when I did this I wrote $a_n =$ this so here also we can write $a_n (z-a)^n$ to the power n/n factorial. So, what we can do now f'_z/f_z is now this is nothing but Laurent series of f'_z/f_z . And the principle part of f'_z/f_z contains only the term in negative powers of $z-a$ $m/z-a$. Okay the coefficient of $1/z-a$ gives the residue of f'_z/f_z .

So, we can say that residue of f'_z/f_z at $z=a$ which is a simple pole of $f'_z/f_z = m$ okay. So, f'_z/f_z has a simple pole $z=a$ with residue m > now m bars the order of 0 of f_z and we have seen that f'_z/f_z has a pole at $z=a$ with residue m . So, we can say that the sum of residues of f'_z/f_z at the 0s of f_z is $=N$. If you recall N is the number of 0s of f_z where the 0s are being counted in according to their order of their multiplicity.

So, here 0 at $z=a$ occurs m times so it will be so it will be counted as m 0s at $z=a$ and at $z=a$ we get a pole of f'_z/f_z with residue m . So, we can say that the residue of f'_z/f_z sum of residue of f'_z/f_z at the 0s of f_z is $=n$.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:47)

Proof cont...

If $z = b$ is a pole of order n of the function $f(z)$, then in a certain neighbourhood say $0 < |z - b| < R'$ of $z = b$ we have

$$f(z) = \frac{h(z)}{(z - b)^n}, \quad (2)$$

because

$$f(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b_s(z - b)^s + \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{c_m}{(z - b)^m}, \quad 0 < |z - b| < R'$$

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(z - b)^n} \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b_s(z - b)^{s+n} + c_1(z - b)^{n-1} + c_2(z - b)^{n-2} + \dots + c_{n-1}(z - b) + c_n \right\}$$

Now if $z = b$ is a pole of order n of the function fz then in a certain neighbourhood say $0 < \text{mod of } z - b < R$ dash of $z = b$ we can write $fz = hz / z - b$ to the power n here is the proof. You can say that $z = b$ is a pole of order n of the function fz so fz can be written as $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b_s(z - b)^s + \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{c_m}{(z - b)^m}$ o $0 < \text{mod of } z - b < R$ dash Now this can be further written as $fz =$ we can write $1 / z - b$ to the power outside.

Then inside the bracket we shall have $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b_s(z - b)^{s+n}$ then we will have c_1 times $z - b$ to the power $n - 1$ c_2 times $z - b$ to the power $n - 2$ + and so on $+ c_{n-1} z - b + c_n$. Now at $z = b$ this expression inside the bracket we have c_n and c_n is not $= 0$ because fz has a pole of order n at $z = b$. So, we can write this expression inside the curve bracket as hz . Then we shall have $fz = hz / z - b$ to the power n .

And this fz is a analytic function because it is represented by a power series. So, hz is represented by the power series therefore it is a analytic function and also that h_b is not $= 0$.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:29)

Proof cont...

or

$$f(z) = \frac{h(z)}{(z-b)^n}$$

$$\ln f(z) = \ln h(z) - n \ln(z-b)$$

$$\frac{1}{f(z)} f'(z) = \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} - \frac{n}{z-b}$$

where $h(z)$ is analytic and non zero in that neighbourhood $|z-b| < R'$. From (2), we get

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = -\frac{n}{(z-b)} + \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)}$$

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m (z-b)^m}{m!} \left(\frac{n}{z-b} \right)$$

$$\text{where } a_m = \frac{d^m}{dz^m} \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} \Big|_{z=b}$$

$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ has a simple pole at $z=b$

$$\text{Res}_{z=b} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = -n$$

So, $h(z) = f(z)(z-b)^n$ where $h(z)$ is analytic and non 0. So, we can take this R' to show a small δ inside the region $|z-b| < R'$ such that $h(z) \neq 0$ throughout. Because $h(z)$ is analytic and $h(b) \neq 0$ so we can find a small neighbourhood of $z=b$ in which $h(z) \neq 0$ throughout. So, let us take R' to show a small δ that it is not 0 throughout this disc $|z-b| < R'$ and then we take logarithm of this.

And logarithm arises in case of the 0 at $z=a$ okay here also we can see that after taking logarithm both sides and differentiating with respect to z we shall arrive at this expression. So, you can see $\ln f(z) = \ln h(z) - n \ln(z-b)$ then we differentiate with respect to z then $\frac{1}{f(z)} f'(z) = \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} - \frac{n}{z-b}$ okay. Now $\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)}$ is an analytic function in this neighbourhood $|z-b| < R'$ such that $h(z) \neq 0$ throughout this neighbourhood.

$h(z) \neq 0$ throughout this neighbourhood. So, $\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)}$ can be expanded in a Taylor series and we shall write $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m (z-b)^m - \frac{n}{z-b}$ okay some constants a_m $\times (z-b)^m$ to the power m / I think we should write here m $m=0$ to infinity $(z-b)^m / m!$ $- n/(z-b)$ so where $a_m = \frac{d^m}{dz^m} \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} \Big|_{z=b}$. Okay so this series is now the Laurent series of $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ and this part is the principle part of $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$.

Okay so we can say that $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ has a pole simple pole at $z=b$ and the residue of $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ at $z=b$ is $-n$ okay and you can see that n is the order of the pole okay n is the order of the pole

and $z=b$ okay. So, $f'(z)/f(z)$ has a pole at $z=b$ with residue $-b$ okay. So, we can say that this $-P$ denote the so here P is the number of poles and therefore we can say that they are counted according to their multiplicity.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:22)

Proof cont...

Since $\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)}$ is analytic in $|z - b| < R'$, it follows that $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ has a pole of order 1 at $z = b$ with residue $-n$ and hence the sum of the residue at the poles of $f(z)$ is $-P$. Therefore, by Cauchy's residue theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = N - P.$$

Okay so we can say that this $-P$ is the sum of the residues of the sum of the residues at the poles of $f'(z)/f(z)$ sum of the residues at the poles of $f(z)$ is $-P$. Okay because $f(z)$ had a pole at $z=b$ okay of order n so sum of the residues at the poles of $f(z)$ is $-P$ and therefore by Rouches residue theorem what do we say $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ is sum of the residues at the poles of $f'(z)/f(z)$ inside C okay. So, this is $= N - P$ this proves the theorem. So, now let us now go to Argument principle.

(Refer Slide Time: 17:14)

Theorem 3 (Argument principle)

If $f(z)$ is analytic inside and on a closed contour C and does not vanish on C , then

$$N = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_C \arg\{f(z)\},$$

where N is the number of zeroes of $f(z)$ inside C (counted according to order of multiplicity) and Δ_C denotes the variation around C .

Which depends on this previous theorem if fz is analytic inside and on a closed contour C and fz does not vanish on the contour C , then $n = 1/2\pi \Delta_C \arg$ of fz where n is the number of zeros of fz inside C counted according to order of multiplicity and $\Delta_C \arg$ of fz denotes the variation of argument of fz around C .

(Refer Slide Time: 17:45)

Proof

Since $f(z)$ is analytic inside C by the preceding theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_C \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = N \quad (3)$$

Since $\frac{d}{dz} \log\{f(z)\} = \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ we have

$$\int_C \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \Delta_C \log\{f(z)\}, \quad (4)$$

where Δ_C denotes the variation around C . Now,

$\log\{f(z)\} = \log|f(z)| + i \arg\{f(z)\}$ and $\log|f(z)|$ is single valued, we have

So, let us prove this if fz is analytic inside C okay we have this here we have given that fz is analytic inside and on C . So, since fz is analytic inside C by the preceding theorem $1/2\pi \int_C f'f/z dz$ will be $=0$ because f' will not have singularities inside C . So, p will be 0 so this is $=n$ so $d/dz \log$ of fz okay $d/dz \log$ of fz here you can see fz we are assuming that fz is not on C okay.

We are assuming that fz is not $=0$ on C so we can take \log of fz so let us consider $d/dz \log$ of fz this is $f'z/fz$ and so what we can say here we integrate this equation if you integrate this equation then $\int_C f'z/fz$ will be $=$ variation of $\log fz$ round the curves C . Let Δ_C denote the variation around the curve C . Now \log of mod of $fz = \log$ of mod of $fz + i$ times argument of fz .

Now we know that \log of mod of fz is single valued function and therefore from this equation from 3 and 4 what do we notice $\Delta_C \log$ of $fz = i$ times Δ_C of argument of fz . Because \log mod of fz is single valued and does not change around C .

(Refer Slide Time: 19:26)

Proof cont...

from (3),(4), we obtain

$$N = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \Delta_C \log\{f(z)\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_C \arg\{f(z)\}$$

So, what we have and this will be $= 1/2 \pi i \int_C f'z/fz$ and this will be $= 1/2 \pi i \Delta_C \log$ of fz and therefore $\Delta_C \log$ of $fz = i$ times Δ_C argument of fz so we shall have $1/2 \pi i \Delta_C \arg$ of fz . So, $n = 1/2 \pi \Delta_C \arg$ of fz .

(Refer Slide Time: 19:56)

Theorem 4

If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic inside and on a closed contour C and $|f(z)| < |g(z)|$ on C , then $f(z)$ and $f(z) + g(z)$ have the same number of zeros inside C .

Proof

Since $|g(z)| \geq 0$, from $|f(z)| > |g(z)|$ on C it follows that $|f(z)| > 0$ on C i.e. $f(z)$ cannot have a zero on C . If for some z on C , $f(z) + g(z) = 0$ then at that point

$$f(z) = -g(z) \Rightarrow |f(z)| = |g(z)|$$

which contradicts the hypothesis that $|f(z)| > |g(z)|$ on C . Hence, $f(z) + g(z)$ can not vanish on C .

Now let us look at the Rouches theorem we can use to determine the number of 0s of fz the given function fz inside C . So, if f and gz are analytic inside and on a closed contour C and $\text{mod of } fz < \text{mod of } gz$ on C then fz and $fz+gz$ have the same number of 0s inside C . This is the theorem so here we can see here that $\text{mod of } gz$ is the absolute value of gz so it is always $>$ or $=0$ therefore from the condition $\text{mod of } fz < gz$ it follow that $\text{mod of } fz$ is always strictly >0 .

And therefore fz cannot vanish on the curve C . Now let us also show that $fz+gz$ also cannot be 0 on C . this we can easily show here suppose for some z on c $fz+gz=0$ then at that point kay $fz=-gz$ and so $\text{mod of } fz=\text{mod of } gz$. But we have given that $\text{mod of } fz$ is $<$ $\text{mod of } gz$ therefore $fz+gz$ cannot be 0 at any point on the curve C . Now okay fz cannot be 0 on C and $fz+gz$ cannot be 0 on C .

(Refer Slide Time: 21:22)

Proof cont...

Now, let N and N' be the number of zeros of $f(z)$ and $f(z) + g(z)$ inside C , respectively. Then by the argument principle, we have

$$2\pi N = \Delta_C \arg(f)$$

and

$$2\pi N' = \Delta_C \arg(f + g) = \Delta_C \arg(f) + \Delta_C \arg\left(1 + \frac{g}{f}\right)$$

Hence

$$2\pi(N' - N) = \Delta_C \arg\left(1 + \frac{g}{f}\right)$$

Since $|g(z)| < |f(z)|$ on C , the point $w = 1 + \frac{g}{f}$ always lies in the interior of the circle $|w - 1| = 1$ as z traverses C . Thus if $w = \rho e^{i\phi}$, the argument ϕ always lies between $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Hence $\arg(1 + \frac{g}{f}) = \phi$ returns to its original value as z describes C . Thus $\Delta_C \arg(1 + \frac{g}{f}) = 0$ and so $N = N'$.

Now let us consider that let us say that N and N' denote the number of 0s of fz and $fz+gz$ inside C respectively. Then by the argument principle by the theorem which we proved just now we will have $2\pi N = \Delta_C \arg(f)$ okay because N denote the number of 0s of fz inside C . So, by the previous theorem $2\pi N' = \Delta_C \arg(fz + gz)$ and N' denotes the number of 0s of $fz+gz$ inside C .

So, $2\pi N' = \Delta_C \arg(fz + gz)$ now we know that argument of two complex numbers $z_1 * z_2 = \arg(z_1) + \arg(z_2)$ okay so by that argument of $fz + gz$ can be written as argument of fz this $fz + gz$ okay so argument of fz $1 + gz/fz$. So, using this result we have argument of fz + argument of $1 + gz/fz$. So, argument of $\Delta_C \arg(f)$ argument of $fz + gz$ will be $= \Delta_C \arg(fz) + \Delta_C \arg(1 + gz/fz)$.

Now $\Delta_C \arg(fz) = 2\pi n$ so we can put the value here and then we shall have from this equation $2\pi n' - n = \Delta_C \arg(1 + g/f)$. Now let us recall that we have given that $\text{mod of } gz < \text{mod of } fz$ on C . And therefore the point $w = 1 + g/f$ always lies in the interior of the circle $\text{mod of } w - 1 = 1$ as z moves on C . So, how does that happen we can consider this $1 + g/f$ $1 + gz/fz$ we are writing it as wz .

Okay so $w =$ this gives you $w - 1 \text{ mod} = \text{mod of } gz/fz$ okay $\text{mod of } w - 1 = \text{mod of } gz / \text{mod of } fz$ and $\text{mod of } gz / \text{mod of } fz < 1$ so $\text{mod of } w - 1 < 1$ we get $\text{mod of } w - 1 < 1$ for all z

belonging to C . So, as z moves on C okay w lies this w lies in the interior of the circle mod of $w-1=1$. Thus if you take w to be so let us draw this circle this is 1 in w plane and we have this circle this mod of $w-1=1$ so thus take w to be $\rho e^{i\phi}$ so the argument of $w=\phi$.

And ρ is the distance from the origin so thus $w=\rho e^{i\phi}$ the argument ϕ now we can see that the argument of w varies between $-\pi/2$ to $\pi/2$ this is $-\pi/2$ and here argument is $\pi/2$ argument of w is $-\pi/2$ here it is $-\pi/2$ so argument of ϕ lies between $-\pi/2$ to $\pi/2$ and therefore argument of $1+g/f$ which is $=\phi$ because this we are denoting $1+g/f$ we are denoting by w so argument if w is ϕ okay.

It returns to its original value as z describes C okay you can see anywhere you take the argument if you go from any point this and okay come back to this point the argument will always be the same. So, the argument ϕ always lie between $-\pi/2$ and $\pi/2$ and argument of $1+g/f$ that is argument of w which is $=\phi$ returns to its original value as z describes C okay you are moving from here to here.

Okay so when you come back to the original point the argument will return to its original value so thus Δ_C argument of $1+g/f$ there is no change in the argument of $1+g/f$ as z varies around C . So, it is $=0$ and therefore $N=N'$ dash.

(Refer Slide Time: 26:29)

Example 5

Prove that every polynomial of degree n has n zeros.

Solution

Let

$$p(z) = a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots + \underline{a_nz^n}, \quad a_n \neq 0$$

be any polynomial of degree n . Let

$$f(z) = \underline{a_nz^n}$$

and

$$g(z) = a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}z^{n-1}$$

Then, $f(z)$ has n zeros at the origin because $a_n \neq 0$.

Now let us also show that every polynomial of degree n has n 0s. Suppose if we take the polynomial $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots + a_n z^n$ where $a_n \neq 0$ this be any polynomial of degree n . Okay so let us define $f(z)$ to be $a_n z^n$ okay this is z we are taking and $g(z)$ we take as $a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} z^{n-1}$ then you can see from the definition of z .

$f(z) = a_n z^n$ gives you if you out $= 0$ n 0s of n 0s at $z=0$. Since a_n is non-zero so $f(z)$ has n 0s at the origin because n is non 0.

(Refer Slide Time: 27:25)

Solution cont...

Let C be the circle $|z| = R > 1$. Then on C ,

$$|f(z)| = |a_n| R^n$$

and


$$|g(z)| \leq |a_0| + |a_1| R + |a_2| R^2 + \dots + |a_{n-1}| R^{n-1}$$

$$\leq (|a_0| + |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_{n-1}|) R^{n-1} \quad \text{where } R > 1$$

Hence, $|g(z)| < |f(z)|$ on C , if

$$R > \frac{|a_0| + |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_{n-1}|}{|a_n|}$$

Thus, if R is sufficiently large, then by Rouché's theorem $p(z) = f(z) + g(z)$ has the same number of zeros inside $|z| = R$ as $f(z)$ i.e. $p(z)$ has n zeros.



Handwritten notes on the slide:

- $|f(z)| = |a_n z^n| = |a_n| |z|^n = |a_n| R^n$
- $|g(z)| \leq |a_0| + |a_1| R + |a_2| R^2 + \dots + |a_{n-1}| R^{n-1}$
- $|g(z)| \leq (|a_0| + |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_{n-1}|) R^{n-1}$
- $|g(z)| < |f(z)|$ on C , if $R > \frac{|a_0| + |a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_{n-1}|}{|a_n|}$

And let us take t to be the circle $mod = z R$ where R is > 1 let us take a circle $mod z = R$ and let us take R to be > 1 then on the circle C mod of $fz = mod$ of a_n to the power n which is $= mod$ of $a_n * mod$ of z to the power n . So, mod of $z = R$ on C so mod of $a_n R$ to the power n on C . Okay mod of $g(z)$ will be what mod of $g(z)$ /triangularity $\leq mod$ of a_0 . mod of $g(z)$ is $\leq mod$ of $a_0 + mod$ of $a_1 mod$ of $z mod$ of $a_2 mod$ of z square and so on.

mod of $a_{n-1} * mod$ of z to the power $n-1$ so this is mod of $a_0 + mod$ of $a_1 * R + mod$ of $a_2 R$ square and so on. mod of $a_{n-1} R$ to the power $n-1$ okay so we will get this now mod of $g(z)$ is $< mod$ of fz okay so what we will get this quantity will be $<$ this one. And therefore what we can do R will be $>$ so using mod of $g(z) < mod$ of fz and these estimates of mod of $g(z)$ mod of fz here we arrive at $R > mod$ of $a_0 mod$ of $a_1 + mod$ of $a_2 mod$ of a_{n-1} / mod of a_n okay.

So, if R is sufficiently large here you can see that while writing this inequality okay I have used the fact that $R > 1$ when $r > 1$ R square will be $>R$ R cube will be $>R$ okay, R to the power R square see when R is >1 then we can write R is $\leq R^{n-1}$ R is $\leq R^{n-1}$ and R^n is $\leq R^{n-1}$ so here R R square R to the power $n-2$ all can be replaced with R to the power $n-1$ so here we are using $R > 1$.

Okay now with this estimate the estimate of od of fz we arrive at $R >$ this okay. So, if you take R to be >1 and also greater than this value okay then by Rouché theorem $pz = fz + gz$. Okay as the same number of 0 s inside mod of $z=R$ as fz and fz has n zeros at $z=0$ so pz also has n 0 s inside mod of $z=R$. You can see that $z=0$ $z=0$ lies inside mod of $z=R$ it is the centre of mod of $Z=R$. So, mod of fz so fz and $fz+gz$ have same number of 0 s inside C .

(Refer Slide Time: 30:55)

Example 6

Find the number of roots of equation

$$z^8 - 4z^5 + z^2 - 1 = 0$$

that lie inside the circle $|z| = 1$.

Let $f(z) = -4z^5$ $g(z) = z^8 + z^2 - 1$
 $f(z)$ has a zero of order 5 at $z=0$ which lies inside C .
 Then $|f(z)| = 4|z|^5 = 4$ on $C: |z|=1$
 $|g(z)| \leq |z|^8 + |z|^2 + 1 = 3$ on $|z|=1$
 we have $|g(z)| < |f(z)| \forall z \in C$
 Hence, by Rouché theorem $f(z)$ and $f(z)+g(z)$ have the same number of zeros inside C .
 $f(z)+g(z) = z^8 - 4z^5 + z^2 - 1$ also has 5 zeros inside $|z|=1$

Now let us consider the equation z to the power $8-4z$ to the power $5+z$ square $-1=0$ we will show that the 0 s of this polynomial of degree 8 in z . There are 8 roots of this polynomial this 8 0 s of this equation are the polynomial they lie inside mod of $z=1$. Okay so what we will do let us take let $fz = -4z$ to the power 5 and $gz = z$ to the power $8+z$ square -1 okay then we can see that then mod of $fz = 4$ times mod of z to the power 5 okay which is $=4$ the curve mod of $z=1$.

Okay mod of gz mod of gz is \leq mod of z to the power 8 + mod of z square + 1 / triangularity so this is $=1+1$ so 3 on mod of $z=1$. So we have mod of $gz <$ mod of fz or all z belonging to the curve C okay and thus by Rouché theorem fz $fz+gz$ have the same numbers of 0s okay inside C okay let us now notice that $fz=-4$ to the power 5 0s fz has 0 of order 5 at $z=0$ and therefore $fz+gz$ also has 5 0s inside mod $z=1$.

So this $z=0$ lies inside which lies inside C and hence $fz+gz$ which is $= z$ to the power 8 - 4 z to the power 5 + z square - 1 also has 5 0s so thus $fz+gz$ also has 5 0s inside mod $z=1$. So this means that there are 3 0s there are other 8 0s of this polynomial the other 3 0s lie outside mod $z=1$ okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 34:39)

Example 7
Show that all roots of the equation

$$z^4 + 6z + 1 = 0$$

lies inside the circle $|z| = 2$ but one root lies inside the circle $|z| = \frac{3}{2}$.

Handwritten notes:

- $|f(z)| = 6|z| + 1 \leq 6 \times \frac{3}{2} + 1 = 10$
- $|g(z)| = |z|^4 = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^4 = \frac{81}{16} \approx 5.06$
- $|f(z)| > |g(z)|$ on $|z| = \frac{3}{2}$
- So $f(z)$ and $f(z)+g(z)$ have same no. of zeros inside $|z| = \frac{3}{2}$
- Let $g(z) = z^4$, $f(z) = 6z + 1$
- then $|f(z)| \leq 6|z| + 1 = 6 \times 2 + 1 = 13$
- $|g(z)| = |z|^4 = 2^4 = 16$
- $|f(z)| < |g(z)|$, $\forall z$ on $|z| = 2$
- Therefore $g(z)$ and $f(z)+g(z)$ must have same no. of zeros inside $|z| = 2$
- $f(z) = 6z \Rightarrow f(z)$ has a simple zero at $z=0$
- which lies inside $|z| = 2$
- $\Rightarrow f(z)+g(z) = z^4 + 6z + 1$ also has 4 zeros inside $|z| = 2$
- So $z^4 + 6z + 1 = 0$ also has one zero inside $|z| = \frac{3}{2}$

So, now let us go to next question suppose we have the equation z to the power 4 + 6Z + 1 = 0 then let us find first where all the roots of this equation lie we see that all the four roots of this equation lie inside mod $z=2$ but if you consider this circle mod $z=3/2$ only 1 root lies inside mod $z=3/2$. So, let us take let $fz=6z+1$ okay let $fz=6z+1$ and $gz=z$ to the power 4 okay then we see that mod of fz is ≤ 6 times mod of $z+1$ okay.

So, this is $=6$ times $2+1$ okay which is $=13$ and od of $gz =$ mod of z to the power 4 $=2$ to the power 4 so we shall have 16 so mod of fz is $<$ mod of gz . For all g on od $gz= 2$ and therefore gz and $fz +gz$ must have same 0s same number of 0s inside mod $z=2$. Now let us look at the 0s of $gz= z$

to the power 4 implies that $g(z)$ has a 0 of order 4 at $z=0$. Okay so $f(z)+g(z)$ which lies inside $\text{mod } z=2$. So, $g(z)$ has a 0 of order 4 and $z=0$ and $z=0$ lies inside $\text{mod } z=2$.

So, $g(z)$ has 0s of order 4 inside $\text{mod } z=2$ and therefore $f(z)+g(z)$ which is $=f(z)+g(z)=z$ to the power $4+6Z+1$ also has 4 0s inside $\text{mod } z=2$. Now this is a fourth order equation this is a fourth order polynomial equation so it will have 4 roots which means that all the 4 roots lie inside $\text{mod } z=2$. Okay let us take $\text{mod } =3/2$ so we will have to change now the choice of function $f(z)$ $g(z)$ in order to who that 1 root lies inside the circle $\text{mod } z=3/2$.

Okay so let us take $g(z)=z$ to the power $4+1$ $f(z)=6z$ okay what do we notice of $g(z)=\leq \text{mod of } z$ to the power $4+1$ which is $3/2$ raised to the power $4+1$ which is $81/16+1$ which is $97/16$ okay $\text{mod of } g(z)$ an estimate of $\text{mod of } g(z)$ is $97/16$ on $\text{mod of } z=3/2$ and what about the function $f(z)$ is $6z$ so $\text{od of } f(z)=6$ times $\text{mod of } z$ which is $6*3/2$ so this means 9. Okay so we can see that $\text{mod of } g(z)$ is $< \text{mod of } f(z)$ on $\text{mod of } z=3/2$.

This means that $g(z)$ and $f(z)+g(z)$ have same number so 0 inside C . so, $f(z)$ and $f(z)+g(z)$ must have same number of 0s inside $\text{mod } z=3/2$. Now $f(z)=6z$ okay $f(z)=6z$ gives us $f(z)$ has a simple 0 $f(z)=0$ okay which lies inside $\text{mod } z=3/2$ that is which lies inside $\text{mod } z=3/2$ okay so $f(z)+g(z)$ which is z to the power $+6z+1=0$ which also has 1 0 inside $\text{mod } z=3/2$ okay. So, $f(z)$ to the power $4+6z+1=0$ has all the 4 roots inside $\text{mod } z=2$ but out of those 4 only 1 root lies inside $\text{mod } z=3/2$.

Because $f(z)=6z$ $f(z)$ has a simple 0 at $z=0$ and $z=0$ is a point inside $\text{mod } z=3/2$. So, $f(z)$ has a simple 0 inside C and therefore $f(z)+g(z)$ which is z to the power $4+z+1$ also has 1 0 inside $\text{mod } z=3/2$. So, that is how we can decide the number of 0s inside the curve C using the Rouché's theorem. With that I would like to end my lecture. Thank you very much for your attention.