

Advanced Engineering Mathematics
Prof. D. N. Pandey
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology – Roorkee

Lecture - 11
Fundamental Matrix - II

Hello friends. Welcome to this lecture. In this lecture, we will continue our study from the previous lecture. In previous lecture, we have discussed the method to find out fundamental matrix solution. In fact, we have defined what is fundamental matrix solution and we have seen certain properties of fundamental solution. For example, if you have any nearly independent solution of $\dot{x} = Ax$, then you can form a matrix.

And we can call that matrix as a fundamental matrix solution. And also we have shown that any matrix is said to be a fundamental matrix solution, provided that $\dot{x} = Ax$, it satisfies this differential equation, $\dot{x} = Ax$. And determinant of that matrix is non-zero for all t in that particular interval. Then we call that matrix as a fundamental matrix solution and based on that we have proved that e^{At} is also a fundamental matrix solution.

And also that we have shown that, if we have two fundamental matrix solution, $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$, then they are related by a non-singular matrix and we can write one fundamental matrix as a, like $Y(t) = X(t) * \text{constant matrix } C$, where C is a non-singular matrix. Now we start after that,

(Refer Slide Time: 02:01)

Theorem 1

$x' = Ax$
 e^{At}

Let $X(t)$ be a fundamental matrix solution of the differential equation $\dot{x} = Ax$.
 Then,

$$e^{At} = X(t)X^{-1}(0).$$

Proof Let $X(t)$ be any fundamental matrix solution of $x' = Ax$, then using the previous lemma and the fact that e^{At} is also a fundamental solution we have

$$e^{At} = X(t)C. \quad (1)$$

Let $t = 0$ in (1), we have $I = X(0)C$ which implies that $C = X^{-1}(0)$. Hence

$$e^{At} = X(t)X^{-1}(0).$$

Now we proved that let $X(t)$ be a fundamental matrix solution of the differential equation $x' = Ax$, then we can calculate $e^{At} = X(t)X^{-1}(0)$. In fact, if you recall while in the beginning when we started solving this problem, $x' = Ax$, then we have proved that that e^{At} is a solution of this and corresponding to any vector e to power At $e^{At}v$ is a solution of this.

So there problem of finding e^{At} is quite difficult and we have obtained some alternative method to find out the solution, linearly independent solution of $x' = Ax$, but now with the help of those linearly independent solution, now we want to calculate this matrix e^{At} and so that e^{At} into any vector of size $n \times 1$ will serve as a solution of $x' = Ax$. So basically we are trying to find out e^{At} with the help of a linearly independent solution of $x' = Ax$.

So now we say that once we have a linearly independent solution $x' = Ax$, then we can form a fundamental matrix solution, call this as $X(t)$, then we say that that e^{At} , we can calculate as $X(t)X^{-1}(0)$. So let us prove this theorem, which is proved like this that $X(t)$ be any fundamental solution of $x' = Ax$, then using the previous lemma and the fact that e^{At} is also a fundamental solution that we have proved in previous lecture.

So we have shown that your $X(t)$ is fundamental matrix and e^{At} is also a fundamental matrix. So it means that I can write $X(t)$ as $e^{At} \cdot C$ or I can write $e^{At} = X(t) \cdot C^{-1}$, where C is a non-singular matrix and we want to find out the value of this non-singular matrix. Now for this, let us take $t=0$ here and when $t=0$, then e^{At} is reduced to I and $X(t)$ is reduced to $X(0)$. So $I = X(0) \cdot C^{-1}$.

Now since X is a fundamental matrix, so determinant of $X(0)$ is non-zero, it means that we can say that $X(0)$ is an invertible matrix. So multiplying by $X(0)^{-1}$ throughout the equation, we can say that your C is coming out to be $X(0)^{-1}$. So we can say that once we have the value of $X(0)^{-1}$, then we can write down the fundamental matrix e^{At} in terms of $X(t)$ as $e^{At} = X(t) \cdot X(0)^{-1}$. So this is, this looks very tiny result.

But it is very powerful in the sense that from any fundamental matrix solution, you can calculate e^{At} by just writing $X(t) \cdot X(0)^{-1}$, okay and once e^{At} is given to you, then you can take any constant vector of size $n \times 1$ and you can write down the solution of $\dot{x} = Ax$ as $e^{At} \cdot$ that vector v .

(Refer Slide Time: 05:17)

Example 1
Find e^{At} if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 3, \lambda_3 = 5$


$(A - \lambda_1 I) \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 $2a + 2b = 0 \Rightarrow a = -b$
 $4b = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$
 $c = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$(A - \lambda_2 I) \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 $\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 $-2a + b + c = 0$
 $2c = 0 \Rightarrow c = 0$
 $-2a + b = 0 \Rightarrow b = 2a$
 $\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$(A - \lambda_3 I) \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 $\begin{pmatrix} -4 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 $-4a + b + c = 0$
 $2c = 0 \Rightarrow c = 0$
 $-4a + b = 0 \Rightarrow b = 4a$
 $\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$b + c = 0$
 $c = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$

$\lambda_1 = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$



Now let us take one example, so that we can give the illustration of this theorem 1. So here in example 1, we need to find out e^{At} where A is given as $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$. Now here, we have taken a very simple example to discuss because it is an upper triangular matrix and

Eigenvalues, you can easily calculate as 1,3, and 5. So here your $\lambda_1=1$, $\lambda_2=3$ and $\lambda_3=5$ and since it is, we have distinct Eigenvalues, so Eigenvectors we can easily calculate.

We can calculate corresponding to one as 0,1,1 0,2,2 0,0,4 and you can write it A, B, C and you can calculate that $B+C=0$ and $C=0$, so this implies that your $B=0$ and $C=0$. So we can find out the Eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_1=1$ say 1,0,0. You can calculate it like this.

(Refer Slide Time: 06:30)

Solution To find e^{tA} we first find a fundamental matrix solution of $X' = AX$ and then we use the result of the Theorem 1.

✓ Since A is an upper triangular matrix and hence eigenvalues of A are nothing but the diagonal entries of A i.e. $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 3$ and $\lambda_3 = 5$.

Since we have three distinct eigenvalues so corresponding eigenvectors are also going to be linearly independent eigenvectors and hence we will get 3 linearly independent solutions of the form $e^{\lambda t} v$.

(i) For $\lambda_1 = 1$, we may obtain $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as an eigenvector. Hence, one

solution corresponding to $\lambda_1 = 1$ is given by $x_1(t) = e^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Here we can say that to find out e to power and in this way we can proceed further. So let us start with this solution procedure. So to find e to power tA, you first find out a fundamental matrix solution of $x' = Ax$ and then we can use the result of previous theorem and as we have pointed out that A is an upper triangular matrix, and hence Eigenvalues of A are nothing but the diagonal entries that is $\lambda_1=1$, $\lambda_2=3$, and $\lambda_3=5$.

That we have just pointed out. Now since we have 3 distinct Eigenvalues, so corresponding Eigenvectors are also going to be linearly independent Eigenvectors and hence we have 3 linearly independent solution of the form e to the power $\lambda t \cdot v$. So for $\lambda_1=1$, we have already obtained that 1 0 0 is an Eigenvector. So solution is given as $X_1(t) = e^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:32)

(ii) Similarly solutions corresponding to $\lambda_2 = 3$ and $\lambda_3 = 5$ are given as

$$x_2 = e^{3t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } x_3(t) = e^{5t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ respectively.}$$

(iii) Now we can form a fundamental matrix solution of $\dot{x} = Ax$ as

$$X(t) = [x_1, x_2, x_3] = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & e^{3t} & e^{5t} \\ 0 & 2e^{3t} & 2e^{5t} \\ 0 & 0 & 2e^{5t} \end{pmatrix}$$

$x_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\lambda_3 = 5 \quad (A-5I)v=0 \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$-4a+b+c=0$
 $b=c$
 $-4a+2b=0 \Rightarrow b=2a$

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$



Similarly, we can find out solution corresponding to $\lambda_2=3$ and $\lambda_3=5$. So we can find out that it is nothing but 1 2 0. Let us calculate the Eigenvector corresponding to 3. So for that we simply say that $A-3I$ will be what, $A-3Iv=0$. So it is what -2 1 0, 0 0 2, and 0 0 2 and A, B, C=0. So it means that here C is 0 and we can write $-2A+B=0$. So $B=2A$ you can say. So we can write down solution as, if A is 1, then B is 2, so 1 2 0 is 1 Eigenvector.

So it is written as 1 2 0. So in solution corresponding to $\lambda_2=3$ is given as $e^{3t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Similarly, for $\lambda_3=5$, $A-5I \cdot v=0$ implies that it is, so it is, let me write it here. What is the matrix, 1 1 1. So here it is -4 1 1 0 and 3-5, it is -2, 2 0 0. Here it is and it is some A, B, C=0 0 0 and here we can simply say that it is what, it is $B=C$ and $-4A+B+C=0$ and in second, you can get $-2B+2C=0$, so $B=C$. So $-4A+2B=0$. So B you can write it 2A.

So we can simply say that if we take A as 1, then it is 1 and then B is 2 and then C is again 2. So here we can say that corresponding to $\lambda_3=5$, Eigenvector is given as 1 2 2. So $X_3(t)$ is given as $e^{5t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$. So now we can find out a fundamental matrix solution of $\dot{x}=Ax$ as $X(t)$ as X_1 as first column, X_2 as second column and X_3 as third column. So $X_1(t)$ is $e^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $X_2(t)$ is $e^{3t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $X_3(t)$ is $e^{5t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

So we have obtained one fundamental matrix solution of $\dot{x}=Ax$.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:12)

To find e^{At} , we use the result of Theorem 1 which says that $e^{At} = X(t)X^{-1}(0)$. So evaluate X^{-1} at the point 0 as follows:

$$X^{-1}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \checkmark$$

And hence,

$$e^{At} = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & -\frac{1}{2}e^t + \frac{1}{2}e^{3t} & -\frac{1}{2}e^{3t} + \frac{1}{2}e^{5t} \\ 0 & e^{3t} & -e^{3t} + e^{5t} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{5t} \end{pmatrix} \checkmark$$

$= I + At + \frac{A^2 t^2}{2!} + \dots \checkmark$

Now to find out e to power At , we need to use the formula e to power At as $X(t) \cdot X^{-1}(0)$. So we need to calculate $X^{-1}(0)$. So $X^{-1}(0)$, so let us find out what is $X(0)$. So $X(0)$ is nothing but, it is $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and we can find out the inverse using any of the method whether it is usual method or Gaussian elimination method, any method you can apply and you can find out $X^{-1}(0)$ as this. So $X^{-1}(0)$ is $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$.

And you can simply say that here $X(0)$ has determinant non-zero. So $X(0)$ is invertible, so $X^{-1}(0)$ exists and now you can calculate e to power At as $X(t) \cdot X^{-1}(0)$ and which we can calculate just like this. So here we can calculate e to power At in a very concise manner once we know a fundamental matrix solution, otherwise calculating e to power At for matrix A is quite difficult, because it is an infinite series and sum of infinite series is quite difficult.

So this infinite series is now converging to this matrix, which is given here. So in this way, this calculating e to power At using a given fundamental matrix solution is quite useful, okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 11:55)

Putzer's method for calculating e^{At}

By Cayley-Hamilton theorem we may calculate the n -th power of any $n \times n$ matrix A as a linear combination of its lower powers that is in terms of $I, A, A^2, \dots, A^{n-1}$.

In a similar manner we may observe that the higher powers A^{n+1}, A^{n+2}, \dots may also be expressed as a linear combination of $I, A, A^2, \dots, A^{n-1}$.

Therefore, e^{At} should also be expressible as a polynomial in powers of the matrix A ,

$$e^{At} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q_k(t) A^k,$$

where coefficients $q_k(t)$ are scalar polynomial in t . Based on the above idea, Putzer developed two useful methods for calculating e^{At} as a polynomial in A .

Now there are several algorithms available to calculate e^{At} . In fact, more than 3 are available, but here I am just listing only one and this method is known as Putzer's method of calculating e^{At} and the idea behind calculating e^{At} is the Cayley-Hamilton theorem. Cayley-Hamilton theorem says that it is basically Hamilton theorem says that every square matrix satisfies its characteristic polynomial.

So it means that characteristic polynomial is characteristic equation is $A - \lambda I = 0$ and it says that when you explain, you will get a polynomial in terms of λ and by solving this only we are getting the characteristic roots. So here Cayley-Hamilton theorem says that matrix A satisfies this characteristic equation and with this we can say that the n -th power of any $n \times n$ matrix can be written as linear combination of its lower powers.

That is in terms of A square and so on. In fact, basically it is what, you can simply write this as simply $\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1 = 0$ and when A satisfies, then $A^2 - 2A + I = 0$. Now here we can write down the A^2 as linear combination of A , A square up to A^{n-1} . So that is the idea we can get from Cayley-Hamilton theorem.

That A to the power n can be written as linear combination of its lower powers. So if e^{At} can be written as linear combination of lower powers of A , A square and A^{n-1} , so A^{n+1} can also be

written as linear combination of $I, A, A^2, \dots, A^{n-1}$ and hence all the further powers of A may also be expressed as a linear combination of $I, A, A^2, \dots, A^{n-1}$ and in this way, we can simply say that e^{At} can be written as powers of the matrix A multiplied by some polynomial powers of matrix A .

So I can write e^{At} as summation $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q_k(t) A^k$. Now the coefficient $q_k(t)$ are scalar polynomial t and based on this method, we suppose that there will be 2 useful method to calculate e^{At} as a polynomial in A , but here we will take only one, sample of 1, if you want to discuss this, then I may refer a book by Tom Robusta. There you can get both, there we can have more than 2 method to calculate this e^{At} .

So I am just giving you one idea of this algorithm. In this method, we are not calculating e^{At} in direct powers of A to power k , but some function of matrix A . So here this theorem 2 gives the Putzer method to calculate e^{At} .

(Refer Slide Time: 15:55)

Theorem 2
 Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of an $n \times n$ matrix A , and define a sequence of polynomials in A as follows:

$$P_0(A) = I, \quad P_k(A) = \prod_{m=1}^k (A - \lambda_m I), \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then we have

$$e^{At} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_{k+1}(t) P_k(A),$$

where the scalar coefficients $r_1(t), \dots, r_n(t)$ are determined recursively from the system of linear differential equations

$$\begin{cases} r_1'(t) = \lambda_1 r_1(t), & r_1(0) = 1, \\ r_{k+1}'(t) = \lambda_{k+1} r_{k+1}(t) + r_k(t), & r_{k+1}(0) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

So theorem goes like this that let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the Eigenvalues of $n \times n$ matrix A , then we define a sequence of polynomials in A as follows: $P_0(A) = I$ $P_k(A)$ is basically product of $A - \lambda_m I$, m is from 1 to k . So it is not given directly in terms of A , but it is lower powers of A is given. So P_k is basically product of $m=1$ to k , $A - \lambda_m I$ for $k=1$ to n . please observe here that P and A is basically what.

Product of $m=1$ to n $A-\lambda m I$ and if you look at, this is basically product of what, product of $A-\lambda_1 I$, $A-\lambda_2 I$, and so on it is $A-\lambda_n I$, and this is nothing but the value 0. So $P_n(A)$ is having value 0 and all other $P_k(A)$ is defined like this. Then, we can write e to power At in terms of linear combination of these $P_k(A)$ as follows: e to power At is written as $k=0$ to $n-1$, $r_{k+1}t * P_k(A)$.

Where the coefficient $r_{k+1}t$ can be obtained using recursive relation of system of linear differential equation as follows, where $r_1'(t) = \lambda_1 r_1(t)$, $r_1(0) = 1$ and $r_{k+1}'(t) = \lambda_{k+1} r_{k+1}(t) + r_k(t)$ where $r_{k+1}(0) = 0$ for $k=1$ to $n-1$. So if you look at these some system of linear differential equation of first order and we can easily solve these scalar values, linear differential equation of first order.

After solving these coefficients in a recursive manner and having $P_k(A)$, we can calculate e to power At . So first let us prove this and then we will certain example based on this theorem. So let us prove this.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:28)

Proof Let $r_1(t), \dots, r_n(t)$ be the scalar functions given by (2) and define a matrix function F by the equation

$$F(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_{k+1}(t) P_k(A) \quad (3)$$

observe that $F(0) = r_1(0) P_0(A) = I$. ✓

We will prove that $F(t) = e^{At}$ by using existence and uniqueness result for the initial value problem $X'(t) = AX(t)$, $X(0) = I$.

$$\boxed{F'(t) = A F(t), F(0) = I}$$

$F(t) \equiv e^{At} \quad t \in I$
 $F'(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_{k+1}'(t) P_k(A)$

So let r_1 to $r_n(t)$ be the scalar function given by 2 and define a matrix function F by the equation $F(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_{k+1}(t) P_k(A)$ that is what is here. This we assume as some $F(t)$ and we want to show that e to power At is your $F(t)$ and here we use the existent and uniqueness theorem to

show the equality and here, please observe that the value of $F(0)$ is $r_1(0) P_0(A)=I$. If you look at here it is what $F(0)$ is basically summation $k=0$ to $n-1$ $r_{k+1}(0) P_k(A)$.

Now if you look at what is the value of $r_{k+1}(0)$, then go back here $r_k=1(0)$ is 0 for $k=1$ onward. So it means that that $r_2(0)$ is 0, $r_3(0)$ is 0 and so on, so only non-zero value is attained at r_1 . So, it means that when you put $k=0$, then we have $r_1(0)$ and $P_0(A)$ for all other values we have value 0. The scalar coefficient $r_2(0)$, $r_3(0)$ these are all 0. So $r_1(0) P_0(A)$ is basically, $r_1(0)$ is basically 1 and $P_0(A)$ is defined as identity as we define here.

So this means that $F(0)$ is identity and $F(t)$ is given as this. So here we want to prove that $F(t)=e$ to power At by using existent and uniqueness result of the initial value problem $X \text{ dash } (t)=AXt$, $X(0)=I$. If you look at here e to power At satisfies this initial value problem because e to power At if you differentiate, then we will get $A e$ to power At and e to power calculated at 0 is given as I . So if we can show that $F(t)$ also satisfies this differential equation.

That is $F \text{ dash } (t)=AF(t)$ and $F(0)$ this we have already obtained that it is $F(0)=I$, then since this initial value problem must have only unique solution, so $F(t)$ has to be ideally equal to e to power At for all t in that interval I . So that proves our result. So only thing we have to show now is that $F \text{ dash } (t)=AF(t)$. For that let us differentiate this expression given in 3 and see what we are getting.

(Refer Slide Time: 21:10)

Differentiating both the sides of (3) and using the recursion relation (2), we have

$$F'(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r'_{k+1}(t) P_k(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \{r_k(t) + \lambda_{k+1} r_{k+1}(t)\} P_k(A), \quad (4)$$

where $r_0(t) = 0$.

$$F'(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} r_{k+1}(t) P_{k+1}(A) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \{\lambda_{k+1} r_{k+1}(t)\} P_k(A), \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_k(t) P_k(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_{k+1}(t) P_{k+1}(A) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{k+1} r_{k+1}(t) P_k(A)$$

So differentiating both the sides of equation number 3, using the recursive relation 2, we have the following thing. So $F(t)$ is this and if you differentiate this, what you will get, let me write here, $F'(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r'(k+1)t P_k(A)$. So this is independent of t , so only thing you have to write down $r'(k+1)$. So $k=0$ means $r_1'(t)$, so let me use here $r'(k+1)t =$ the following thing. So let me write it here and we can say that it is.

That $\lambda_{k+1} r_{k+1}t + r_k(t)$ that is the expression for $r'(k+1)t$ and the P_k that we are just putting. So now since here your summation starts from $k=0$ to $n-1$, So when you put $k=0$ we have $r_0(t)$ and $r_0(t)$ we are defining it 0, why because for $k=0$, the expression is $r'(t)$. So $r'(t)$ is basically what $r_1'(t) = \lambda_1$, let me write it here, $r_1'(t)$ is basically $r_1'(t) = \lambda_1 r_1(t)$, so it is $\lambda_1 r_1(t)$.

So it means that here your r_0 is 0, we have assumed. So $r_0(t)=0$ we are assuming and we can write this expression. So now we simplify it little bit further and we write $k=0$ to $n-2$, first term the $r_k(t) P_k$ and this summation is starting from $k=0$ to $n-1$, but when you put $k=0$, then the $r_0(t)$, so actual summation will start from 1. So 1 means $r_1(t)$ onward. So rather than writing k from 0 to $n-1$, we are writing as k from 0 to $n-2$ and we write $r_{k+1}(t) P_{k+1}(A)$.

So here what we have done is this $r_k(t)$ and $P_k(A)$ and that is $k=0$ to $n-1$. If you put, this is nothing but $k=1$ to $n-1$ $r_k(t) P_k(A)$, because corresponding to $k=0$, your $r_0(t)$ is 0. So now you

simply replace in place of k, you can write it l+1, so it means that I can write here that this implies that l value starts from 0 to n-2 and this is $r_{l+1}(t)P_{l+1}(A)$, that is what we have written here that $k=0$ to $n-2$ $r_{k+1}(t)*P_{k+1}(A)$ and second term is as it is.

That is $k=0$ to $n-1$ $\lambda^{k+1}r_{k+1}(t)P_k(A)$. Now we want to show that $f \text{ dash } (t) - AF(t) = 0$. So for that we can look at here.

(Refer Slide Time: 24:36)

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{k+1}(t) P_{k+1}(A) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{k+1} \gamma_{k+1}(t) P_k(A) \\
 F'(t) - AF(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{k+1}(t) P_{k+1}(A) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{k+1} \gamma_{k+1}(t) P_k(A) - A \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{k+1}(t) P_k(A) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{k+1}(t) P_{k+1}(A) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\lambda I - A) \gamma_{k+1}(t) P_k(A) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{k+1}(t) P_{k+1}(A) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{k+1}(t) \frac{(\lambda I - A) P_k(A)}{-P_{k+1}(A)}
 \end{aligned}$$

We have $F \text{ dash } (t)$, $F \text{ dash } (t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} r_{k+1}(t) P_{k+1}(A) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{k+1} r_{k+1}(t) P_k(A)$. Now look at $F \text{ dash } (t) - AF(t)$. So let me write it here $k=0$ to $n-2$ $r_{k+1}(t)P_{k+1}(A) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{k+1} r_{k+1}(t) P_k(A) - A * F(t)$. $F(t)$ is what $k=0$ to $n-1$, let me write it. This expression it is $r_{k+1}(t) P_k(A)$. So that we are writing here $r_{k+1}(t)$ and P_k , right.

Now let us calculate this value. So here I will write it in summation $k=0$ to $n-2$ $r_{k+1}(t)P_{k+1}(A) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{k+1} r_{k+1}(t) P_k(A) - A * F(t)$. Now if you observe here that this $r_{k+1}(t)$ is just a scalar quantity. I can take it out. So $r_{k+1}(t)$ I am writing here, $k=0$ to $n-1$ and this is what $\lambda^{k+1} I - A * P_k(A)$.

Now my claim is that this is nothing, but $-P_{k+1}(A)$. So how we can look at. If you look at the expression for this P_k , now what is the value of P_{k+1} , so $P_{k+1}(A)$ is basically $A - \lambda^{k+1} P_k(A)$, right, but here we have $\lambda^{k+1} A - P_k(A)$, so that I am writing as $-P_{k+1}$, so that I am writing as $-P_{k+1}(A)$. So you are writing this as $-P_{k+1}(A)$, then I can write this as summation.

(Refer Slide Time: 27:54)

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'(t) - AF(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{k+1}(t) P_{k+1}(A) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{k+1}(t) P_{k+1}(A) \\
 &= -\gamma_n(t) P_n(A) \\
 &= 0 \quad P_n(A) = 0 \\
 \parallel F'(t) - AF(t) &= 0 \\
 F(0) &= I
 \end{aligned}$$

So it is basically $F'(t) - AF(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \gamma_{k+1}(t) P_{k+1}(A)$. So here $\gamma_{k+1}(t) P_{k+1}(A) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{k+1}(t) P_{k+1}(A)$, right. So if you look at everything will be canceled out, only this last term will be left that is corresponding to $k=n-1$, because both are same up to $n-2$ here. So what is left here is $-\gamma_n(t)$ and $P_n(A)$, is it right here. It is t . Now what is $P_n(A)$.

So $P_n(A)$, this is $P_n(A)$ and we have already calculated $P_n(A)$ as 0. So it means that this is nothing but 0. So it means that $F'(t) - AF(t) = 0$ and we already know that $F(0) = I$. So what we have shown here that $F(t)$ satisfy this initial value problem, but e^{tA} is already satisfying this equation and we have done. So that is we wanted to prove here. So here from this onward we have.

(Refer Slide Time: 29:38)

Now, we subtract $\lambda_n F(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_n r_{k+1}(t) P_k(A)$ to obtain the relation

$$F'(t) - \lambda_n F(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} r_{k+1}(t) \{P_{k+1}(A) + (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n) P_k(A)\}.$$

But from (6), we observe that $P_{k+1}(A) = (A - \lambda_{n+1} I) P_k(A)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n+1}(A) + (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n) P_n(A) &= (A - \lambda_{n+1} I) P_n(A) \\ &\quad + (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n) P_n(A) \\ &= (A - \lambda_n I) P_n(A) \end{aligned}$$

And there is an alternative way to handle this situation. The alternative way is that you might separate lambda and F(t) and then we simplify this, that I am not going to do it.

(Refer Slide Time: 29:50)

Therefore Equation (5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) - \lambda_n F(t) &= (A - \lambda_n I) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} r_{k+1}(t) P_k(A) \\ &= (A - \lambda_n I) \{F(t) - r_n(t) P_{n-1}(A)\} \\ &= (A - \lambda_n I) F(t) - r_n(t) P_{n-1}(A). \end{aligned}$$

By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem we have $P_n(A) = 0$, so the last equation becomes

$$F'(t) - \lambda_n F(t) = (A - \lambda_n I) F(t) = AF(t) - \lambda_n F(t),$$

from which we find that $F(t)$ satisfies the differential equation $F'(t) = AF(t)$. Since $F(0) = I$, the uniqueness theorem shows that $F(t) = e^{tA}$.

$$F(t) = e^{tA} \neq t$$

But we just simply reaching up to this point that F(t) satisfy the differential equation $F'(t) = AF(t)$ and $F(0) = I$ and we already know that e^{tA} is satisfying this and by existent and uniqueness theorem, we simply say that $F(t) = e^{tA}$ for all time t. So that is the proof. So e^{tA} can be written as the expression, which we have already wanted to prove is this, that $e^{tA} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_{k+1}(t) P_k(A)$.

Where $r_k(t)$ is defined in a recursive manner using the relation given in 2. So this proves the theorem 2 and we can use this result to find e^{At} for a given matrix.

(Refer Slide Time: 30:46)

Example 2

Express e^{At} as a linear combination of I and A if A is a 2×2 matrix with both its eigenvalues equal to λ .

Solution Solve the system of linear differential equations (2) for $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned} \checkmark r_1'(t) &= \lambda r_1(t), & r_1(0) &= 1, \checkmark \\ r_2'(t) &= \lambda r_2(t) + r_1(t), & r_2(0) &= 0. \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Solving these equations, we get

$$r_1(t) = e^{\lambda t}, \quad r_2(t) = te^{\lambda t}$$

Since $P_0(A) = I$ and $P_1(A) = A - \lambda I$, thus

$$e^{At} = \underbrace{e^{\lambda t} I}_{= r_1 p_0} + \underbrace{te^{\lambda t} (A - \lambda I)}_{= r_2 p_1} = e^{\lambda t} (1 - \lambda t) I + te^{\lambda t} A.$$

Handwritten notes:
 $r_2'(t) - \lambda r_2(t) = e^{\lambda t}$
 $\frac{d}{dt}(r_2(t)e^{-\lambda t}) = 1$
 $r_2 e^{-\lambda t} = t + C$
 $0 = 0 + C$

NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE
 IIT ROORKEE

You may wonder that why we have discussed several methods to find out e^{At} , then why this method is given to us. In fact, because of its nature, you can find out a computer program to calculate e^{At} in a very easy manner. So this algorithm, this may be treated as algorithm to calculate e^{At} using your computer also. So it may be a little bit difficult when you use this algorithm to solve your e^{At} , to find out e^{At} using your pen and pencil.

But it is quite useful when you write this as an algorithm. Anyway so now example 2 is that express e^{At} as a linear combination of I and A when A is a 2×2 matrix with both of its Eigenvalue is equal to λ . So here the condition is that both of the Eigenvalues is equal to λ , then how we can find out e^{At} . So first things since it means what is given here that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$.

And the scalar equations are given as $r_1' = \lambda r_1(t)$ and $r_1(0) = 1$ and $r_2'(t) = \lambda r_2(t) + r_1(t)$ $r_2(0) = 0$. We can easily solve this equation $r_1'(t)$ is nothing but $r_1(t) = e^{\lambda t}$. So basically this is some constant time $e^{\lambda t}$ and that constant will be fixed by

this initial condition that is $r_1(0)=1$. So $r_1(t)=e^{\lambda t}$. Now to find out $r_2'(t)$, we have $r_2'(t)-\lambda r_2(t)=e^{\lambda t}$.

We can simply say that if you multiply by $e^{-\lambda t}$ throughout, then I can write this as $\frac{d}{dt} (r_2(t) e^{-\lambda t}) = 1$ and then if you integrate, then $r_2 e^{-\lambda t} = t + C$ + some constant thing and you can fix your constant by condition $r_2(0)=0$, so it is $0=0+C$. So C is coming out to be 0. So $r_2 e^{-\lambda t} = t$ or we can say that $r_2(t)$ is given as $t e^{\lambda t}$. I am using one method. You can use any of the method which you know.

So here $r_1(t)$ and $r_2(t)$ is known to us. $P_0(A)$ is given as I and $P_1(A)$ is nothing but $A-\lambda I$. So now $e^{A(t)}$ is written as this $r_1 P_0(A)$ that is $e^{\lambda t} P_0$. So let me write it here. It is basically $r_1 P_0 + r_2 P_1$ and so on. So r_1 is $e^{\lambda t}$ P_0 is I + r_2 is $t e^{\lambda t}$ and P_1 is $A-\lambda I$ and we can write down the expression for $e^{A(t)}$ as $e^{\lambda t} (I + t(A-\lambda I))$. This $e^{\lambda t} (I + t(A-\lambda I))$.

So this is true for any matrix whose size is 2×2 and all the Eigenvalue is equal to λ . Is it okay. So now, so we have calculated $e^{A(t)}$ in this case when A is 2×2 matrix and both the Eigenvalues are same and it is equal to some value, let us say λ . Now let us consider 1 more example. Here also we need to find out $e^{A(t)}$. Again the matrix is of size 2×2 , but the Eigenvalues are distinct, that is λ is not equal to.

So in this case, let us first solve the system of linear differential equation $r_1'(t) = \lambda r_1(t)$ and $r_1(0)=1$ and $r_2'(t) = \mu r_2(t) + r_1(t)$ where $r_2(0)=0$. So as we have pointed out the $r_1(t)$ is nothing but $e^{\lambda t}$ and if you want to solve the second equation, then it is what $r_2'(t) - \mu r_2(t) = e^{\lambda t}$. So here if you multiply by $e^{-\mu t}$, then what you will get. Here we can get $\frac{d}{dt} (r_2(t) e^{-\mu t}) = e^{(\lambda-\mu)t}$.

(Refer Slide Time: 35:34)

Example 3

Find e^{At} for the matrix given in example (2), if the eigenvalue of A are λ and μ , where $\lambda \neq \mu$.

In this case the system of linear differential equations is

$$\begin{aligned} r_1'(t) &= \lambda r_1(t), & r_1(0) &= 1, \\ r_2'(t) &= \mu r_2(t) + r_1(t), & r_2(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solving these equations, we get

$$r_1(t) = e^{\lambda t}, \quad r_2(t) = \frac{e^{\lambda t} - e^{\mu t}}{\lambda - \mu}$$

Since $P_0(A) = I$ and $P_1(A) = A - \lambda I$, thus

$$e^{At} = e^{\lambda t} I + \frac{e^{\lambda t} - e^{\mu t}}{\lambda - \mu} (A - \lambda I) = \frac{\lambda e^{\mu t} - \mu e^{\lambda t}}{\lambda - \mu} + \frac{e^{\lambda t} - e^{\mu t}}{\lambda - \mu} A$$

Handwritten notes:

$$r_2'(t) - \mu r_2(t) = e^{\lambda t}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (r_2(t) e^{-\mu t}) = e^{(\lambda - \mu)t}$$

$$r_2(t) e^{-\mu t} = \frac{e^{(\lambda - \mu)t}}{\lambda - \mu} + C$$

$$0 = \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} + C$$

$$r_2(t) = \frac{e^{\lambda t} - e^{\mu t}}{\lambda - \mu}$$

So this we can write it $r_2(t) e^{-\mu t} = e^{(\lambda - \mu)t} / (\lambda - \mu) + C$. Now $r_2(0) = 0$, so this is 0 and this is $1/(\lambda - \mu) + C$, so constant is given as the minus of $1/(\lambda - \mu)$. So constant is $-1/(\lambda - \mu)$ and you can put it here, then you will get this as. So let me write it here $r_2(t)$ is basically multiply $e^{\mu t}$. So here we have $e^{\lambda t} / (\lambda - \mu) + e^{\mu t} / (\mu - \lambda)$.

So when you simplify, you will get this, that $e^{\lambda t} I - e^{\mu t} / (\lambda - \mu)$. So here we can get $r_2(t)$. So now $P_0(A)$ is as it is, it is I and $P_1(A)$ is $A - \lambda I$, then you can write e^{At} as $e^{\lambda t} I + r_2(t) (A - \lambda I)$ that is $e^{\lambda t} I - e^{\mu t} / (\lambda - \mu) (A - \lambda I)$ and when you simplify, you will get this expression.

So this expression will give you the expression for e^{At} for 2×2 matrix where both the Eigenvalues are unequal or not equal. So with this, I stop here. Discussion of finding the fundamental matrix e^{At} for the autonomous case and next lecture we will try to focus on non-autonomous case and try to see whether this similar kind of expression is available in the case of non-autonomous system or not.

So with this that is all and will continue in next lecture. Thank you very much for listening. Thank you.