

Numerical Linear Algebra
Dr. P. N. Agrawal
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee

Lecture - 38
Sensitivity Analysis- II

Hello friends I welcome you to my next lecture on a sensitivity analysis. So now, we are going to assume that there is perturbation only in one data that is either A or b first we shall consider the perturbation only in b.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:38)

Theorem: If x and $x + \delta x$ are respectively the solutions of the systems $Ax=b$ and $A(x+\delta x)=b+\delta b$, we have

$$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq k(A) \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|}. \quad \dots(1)$$

IIT ROORKEE NITEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 2

So, suppose x and $x + \delta x$ are respectively the solutions of the systems $Ax = b$ and $A(x + \delta x) = b + \delta b$. So, you can see that we are we know exactly there is perturbation only in b which we are taking has δb . Then we shall see that norm of δx . So, over norm of x is less than or equal to the condition number of A into norm of δb over norm of b .

(Refer Slide Time: 01:05)

Proof: From $Ax = b$ and $A(x + \delta x) = b + \delta b$,
 we have $A\delta x = \delta b$ or $\delta x = A^{-1}\delta b$
 $\Rightarrow \|\delta x\| = \|A^{-1}\delta b\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta b\|$.
 Also, from $Ax = b$, we get $\|b\| \leq \|A\| \|x\|$.
 Hence $\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|} = k(A) \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|}$.
 This inequality is optimal in the sense that for every matrix A , there exists δb and x (which depend on A) such that

$$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} = k(A) \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|} \quad \dots(2)$$

IIT ROORKEE | NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 3

So, let us see how we prove this result we have to prove see we have Ax equal to b .

(Refer Slide Time: 01:10)

Let $b = Ax_0$ then we have $x = x_0$ because $Ax = b$
 and $\delta b = x_1$ Further $\delta x = A^{-1}\delta b \Rightarrow \delta x = A^{-1}x_1$
 $Ax = b$
 $A(x + \delta x) = b + \delta b \Rightarrow Ax + A\delta x = b + \delta b \Rightarrow A\delta x = \delta b$
 $\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq k(A) \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|} \Rightarrow \delta x = A^{-1}\delta b$
 $\|\delta x\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta b\|$
 $\|b\| \leq \|A\| \|x\| \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\|b\|} \geq \frac{1}{\|A\|} \frac{1}{\|x\|}$ or $\frac{1}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{\|A\|}{\|b\|}$
 $\|A\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}$
 $\exists x_0 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \|Ax_0\| = \|A\| \|x_0\|$
 $\exists x_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \|A^{-1}x_1\| = \|A^{-1}\| \|x_1\|$

Thus
 $\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|} = k(A) \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|}$

And we have $Ax + \delta Ax = b + \delta b$ we have to show that norm of δx over norm x is less than are equal to $k(A)$ times norm of δb over norm of b .
 See we have $Ax = b$ and $Ax + \delta Ax = b + \delta b$ and therefore, using $Ax = b$ we can say that this implies $Ax + \delta Ax = b + \delta b$.

Now, using $Ax = b$ we have $A\delta x = \delta b$, or we can say $\delta x = A^{-1}\delta b$ to we are assuming A to be real non-singular n by n matrix. So, this implies $\delta x = A^{-1}\delta b$

to $A^{-1} \delta b$ and therefore, norm of δx will be equal to norm of $A^{-1} \delta b$, but since the norm is subordinate matrix norm. Norm of $A^{-1} \delta b$ will be less than or equal to norm of A^{-1} into norm of δb again from $Ax = b$ norm of b is equal to norm Ax which is less than or equal to norm of A into norm of x . So, what we have norm of δx norm δx is less than or equal to norm of A^{-1} into norm of δb and we have $Ax = b$. So, norm of b is less than or equal to norm of A into norm x .

So, combining the 2 equations what we have, a way we have norm of now thus this gives you $\frac{1}{\text{norm } b}$ greater than or equal to $\frac{1}{\text{norm of } b}$ greater than or equal to $\frac{1}{\text{norm of } A}$ into $\frac{1}{\text{norm of } x}$ or we can say $\frac{1}{\text{norm of } x}$ is less than or equal to norm of A divided by norm of b . So, from this equation and this this equation. Norm of δx over norm of x is less than or equal to thus norm of δx over norm of x is less than or equal to norm of A into norm of A^{-1} into norm of δb divided by norm b , which is equal to $k A$ times norm of δb divided by norm b . So, this is the proof.

Now, this inequality is optimal; that means, it cannot be improved further and why it cannot be proved let us. So, that for every matrix the quality can be obtained for every matrix A there exist δb and x such that norm of δx over norm of x is equal to $k A$ into norm of b over norm of δb over norm of b . So, that is why we say that this result is optimal and it is a better estimate then the estimate that be obtained in the previous lecture, the relative error in x be found and it was k over $1 - k$ times norms of δb over norm of A into relative error in A plus relative error in b .

So, it is vector estimate than that so let us apply a property of the subordinate matrix norms we know that norm of A , norm of matrix norm of A is equal to supremum of norm of Ax over norm of x where 0 is not equal to x belonging to \mathbb{R}^n . So, by a property of the subordinate matrix norms there exist x naught not equal to 0 .

(Refer Slide Time: 05:52)

In fact, according to a property of subordinate matrix norms there exist $x_0 \neq 0$ such that $\|Ax_0\| = \|A\| \|x_0\|$ and $x_1 \neq 0$ such that

$$\|A^{-1}x_1\| = \|A^{-1}\| \|x_1\|.$$

Taking $b = Ax_0$ and $\delta b = x_1$, we have $x = x_0$ and $\delta x = A^{-1}x_1$ (because $\delta x = A^{-1}\delta b$) and hence the equality (2) holds because

$$k(A) \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \frac{\|x_1\|}{\|Ax_0\|} = \|A^{-1}\| \frac{\|x_1\|}{\|x_0\|}$$

$$= \frac{\|A^{-1}x_1\|}{\|x_0\|} = \frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|}.$$

IIT ROORKEE | NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 4

So, that norm of Ax is not equal to norm of A into norm of x naught and also x_1 not equal to 0. So, that norm of $A^{-1}x_1$ equal to norm of A^{-1} into norm of x_1 . So, we have there exist x naught not equal to 0 such that norm of Ax naught is equal to norm of A into norm of x naught and x_1 not equal to 0 such that norm of $A^{-1}x_1$ equal to norm of A^{-1} into norm of x_1 .

Now, what we do is let us take b to be equal to Ax naught. Let us take b to be equal to Ax not and δb the perturbation in b , and δb equal to x_1 . Then we have x equal to x naught because then we have x equal to x naught because Ax is equal to b , because we have assumed Ax equal to b and δx is equal to $A^{-1}x_1$ because δx is what δb further δx by our notations δx is equal to $A^{-1}\delta b$. So, this gives you a δx equal to $A^{-1}x_1$ δb we have assumed to be equal to x_1 .

Now, now let us take $k(A)$ into we have to prove that there is equality here. So, let us take $k(A)$ into norm of δb over norm of b .

(Refer Slide Time: 08:00)

Let $b = Ax_0$ then we have $x = x_0$ because $Ax = b$
 and $\delta b = \delta x$ Further $\delta x = A^{-1}\delta b \Rightarrow \delta x = A^{-1}\delta b$

$Ax = b$
 $A(x + \delta x) = b + \delta b \Rightarrow Ax + A\delta x = b + \delta b \Rightarrow A\delta x = \delta b$

$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq k(A) \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|} \Rightarrow \delta x = A^{-1}\delta b$

Then $k(A) \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|}$
 $= \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \|x_0\|$
 $= \frac{\|A\| \|A^{-1}x_0\|}{\|A\| \|x_0\|} = \frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|}$

Thus $\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|} = k(A) \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|}$

So, then $k(A)$ is the ratio of the norm of A into norm of A inverse by definition $k(A)$ equal to norm of A into norm of A inverse and norm of δb norm of δb equal to norm of x_0 and norm of b norm of b is equal to norm of Ax_0 . Now we have said that there exist x_0 such that their x_0 is norm of Ax_0 is not equal to norm of A into norm of Ax_0 . So, this and this is what norm of A inverse x_0 is equal to norm of A inverse into norm of x_0 . So, this is norm of A into norm of A inverse x_0 in the numerator norm of A inverse into norm of x_0 is a norm of A inverse x_0 .

But A inverse x_0 is norm of δx . So, this is norm of δx and this is norm of A into norm of x_0 . So, this cancels with this and this is norm of A inverse x_0 is δx and norm of x_0 is equal to norm of x_0 . So, this is this is how we get the equality here and therefore, we can say that the estimate is optimal.

Now but we know that the upper bound in this a in the quality while optimal is in general very pessimistic there are examples where we see that this optimal is very pessimistic. So, we find another estimate in the other case what we have we assume that there is perturbation in the matrix A b is known to us exactly. So, if x and $x + \delta x$ are respectively the solutions of the system $Ax = b$ and $(A + \delta A)x + \delta b = b$ then we have this estimate.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:20)

Proof: $Ax = b$ and $(A + \Delta A)(x + \delta x) = b$,

$$\Rightarrow A \delta x + \Delta A(x + \delta x) = 0$$

or $\delta x = -A^{-1} \Delta A(x + \delta x)$

$$\Rightarrow \|\delta x\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\Delta A\| \|x + \delta x\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x + \delta x\|} \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\Delta A\| \frac{\|x + \delta x\|}{\|x + \delta x\|} = k(A) \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|}.$$

This inequality is optimal in the sense that for any matrix A there exist a perturbation ΔA and a right hand side b that satisfies the equality (3).

IIT ROORKEE | NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 6

So, norm of delta x over Ax is equal to b .

(Refer Slide Time: 10:25)

$Ax = b$

$$(A + \Delta A)(x + \delta x) = b \Rightarrow Ax + \Delta Ax + (A + \Delta A)\delta x = b \Rightarrow \Delta Ax + (A + \Delta A)\delta x = 0$$

To show

$$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x + \delta x\|} \leq k(A) \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|}$$

$$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x + \delta x\|} = k(A) \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|}$$

$\Delta A = \epsilon I$

or $\Delta Ax + A\delta x + \Delta A\delta x = 0$

or $A\delta x + \Delta A(x + \delta x) = 0$

or $\delta x = -A^{-1} \Delta A(x + \delta x)$

$(A + \Delta A)(x + \delta x) = b$

Thus $y = x + \delta x$

And we have in A plus delta A into x plus delta Ax equal to b , b is known to us exactly there is perturbation in the matrix A which is given delta A . Now so this what we are given and we have to show norm of x over norm of x plus delta x , that is the relative error in x the relative error in x is relative to x plus delta x . So, this is less than or equal to $k(A)$ times norm of delta A over norm of A . Now let us see how we prove this so norm

of $\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x + \delta x\|}$ is less than or equal to $k_A \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}$.

So, we are getting relative error in x with respect to $x + \delta x$, now what we have? Let us prove this so $Ax = b$ we are given and $(A + \delta A)(x + \delta x) = b$. So, what we have using $Ax = b$ here what we get? We have $(A + \delta A)(x + \delta x) = Ax + \delta A x + A \delta x + \delta A \delta x = b$. Now $Ax = b$ gives you $\delta A x + A \delta x + \delta A \delta x = 0$. So, this is what we get and therefore, we have $\delta x = -A^{-1}(\delta A x + \delta A \delta x)$.

So, we can write from here we can find from here so this is a I may say or $\delta A x + A \delta x + \delta A \delta x = 0$. So, we can write it as $\delta x = -A^{-1}(\delta A x + \delta A \delta x)$. So, I can write it like this or $\delta x = -A^{-1} \delta A (x + \delta x)$ and then we have $\|\delta A\| \|x + \delta x\| + \|A\| \|\delta x\| = 0$. So, or $\delta A x + A \delta x + \delta A \delta x = 0$. So, $\delta A x + A \delta x = -\delta A \delta x$ we can put it like this. So, $\|A^{-1}(\delta A x + A \delta x)\| = \|\delta A \delta x\|$ and then we can take norm, norm of δx will be less than or equal to $\|A^{-1}\| \|\delta A\| \|x + \delta x\|$. Now we can divide it by $\|x + \delta x\|$. So, $\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x + \delta x\|} \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta A\|$ and then we multiply by $\|A\|$ and divided by $\|A\|$.

So, $\|A^{-1}\| \|\delta A\| \frac{\|A\|}{\|A\|} = k_A \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}$ into $\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}$. So, this is a very simple proof and this inequality is optimal in the sense that for any matrix A there exist a perturbation δA and right-hand side b that satisfies the inequality 3

(Refer Slide Time: 14:14)

Remark: We note that the upper bound in (1), while optimal, is in general very pessimistic.

Theorem: If x and $x + \delta x$ are respectively the solutions of the systems $Ax = b$ and $(A + \Delta A)(x + \delta x) = b$, we have

$$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x + \delta x\|} \leq k(A) \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|}. \quad \dots(3)$$

IIT ROORKEE | NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 5

So, equality 3 means here so, this that satisfies. So, we can have this equality. We can take ΔA and b in such a manner that there exist equality. Now let us see how we do this. So, again using a property of subordinate matrix norms.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:44)

Again, using a property of subordinate matrix norms $\exists y \neq 0$ such that

$$\|A^{-1}y\| = \|A^{-1}\| \|y\|.$$

Let ε be a non-zero scalar. Let us set $\Delta A = \varepsilon I$ and $b = (A + \Delta A)y$ then from $(A + \Delta A)(x + \delta x) = b$ we have $y = y + \delta x$ and $A\delta x + \Delta A(y + \delta x) = 0$, hence $\delta x = -\varepsilon A^{-1}y$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} R.H.S. &= k(A) \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \|A^{-1}\| \|\Delta A\| \\ &= \|A^{-1}\| \|\varepsilon I\| = |\varepsilon| \|A^{-1}\|. \end{aligned}$$

IIT ROORKEE | NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 7

We can say that there exists y not equal to 0. So, that norm of A inverse y is equal to norm of A inverse into norm of y .

Now, let us take ε to be any nonzero scalar and let us set ΔA equal to εI , I is the identity matrix. So, let us take the perturbation in A to be εI and

let us choose b to be equal to A plus δA into y . So, we are taking δA and b in such a manner that we will have equality. So, δA b to take equal to ϵ into I and b we take to be equal to A plus δA into y . Then from A plus δA into x plus δx equal to b . What do we get we get? y equal to y plus δx . And so, a from A plus δA into y plus δx .

So, this is A plus δA into x plus δx equal to b . So, what we get A plus δA into x plus δx equal to b and so we have a y equal to thus we have y equal to we are taking y equal to x plus δx the y equal to x plus δx . So, again using a property of subordinate matrix norms there exist γ not equal to 0 . So, that norm of A inverse γ equal to norm of A inverse into norm of y . Now let us take ϵ to be a nonzero scalar and let us said δA equal to ϵ into I .

(Refer Slide Time: 16:43)

Handwritten notes on a whiteboard:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } \Delta A &= \epsilon I \\ b &= (A + \Delta A)y \\ \text{Then from} \\ (A + \Delta A)(x + \delta x) &= b \\ \text{We have } y &= x + \delta x \\ \text{Since } Ax &= b \\ \Delta Ax + \delta x(A + \Delta A) &= 0 \\ \epsilon Ix + \delta x(A + \Delta A) &= 0 \\ \epsilon x + \delta x(A + \Delta A) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta x A + \epsilon x + \epsilon \delta x &= 0 \\ \delta x A + \epsilon y &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \delta x &= -\epsilon A^{-1}y \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\epsilon I\| &= \|\epsilon\| \|I\| \\ &= |\epsilon| \end{aligned}$$

Also let us take b to be equal to A plus δA into y , then from A plus δA into x plus δx equal to b , what do we notice is that if you compare these 2 equations we have y equal to x plus δx b equal to A b we have chosen b to be A plus δA into y , but we have A plus δA into x plus δx equal to b equation. So, we have y equal to x plus δx and not using Ax equal to b , since Ax equal to b we get δA into x plus δx times A plus A plus A δx A plus δA into δx . A plus δA into δx is here A plus δA into x gives Ax plus δA into x , but Ax gets cancelled with b . So, we have this is equal to 0 and then what we have is we can get δx the value of δx .

x to be equal to $-\epsilon A^{-1}y$. See δ is equal to ϵ into I into x plus δx times A plus δA equal to 0 .

So, ϵx we have y equal to x plus δx . y equal to x plus δx plus δx in A δx A δx plus δA times δA . So, this I can also write it as A δx plus δA times δA is here also now. So, also, I can write it as δx into A and we have ϵx and then we have ϵx into δA , δA is equal to what δb we have taken to be ϵ into I . So, what do we have front I . So, δx into A plus ϵ into I . Actually, I have written already δx into δx into A plus ϵx plus δx into the ϵ the δA . So, we have δA equal to ϵ into I .


So, $\epsilon \delta x$ from here $\epsilon I \delta x$ δx into this. So, what do we get now δx (Refer Time: 20:41) A plus ϵ we are getting x times $-\epsilon A^{-1}y$ equal to $\epsilon \delta x$. So, δx into A plus ϵ times x plus δx is equal to y . So, y is equal to 0 and therefore, I get δx is equal to $-\epsilon A^{-1}y$. So, what we get here is that A δA Actually we are replacing by ϵ into y and when we replace δx equal to ϵ into y we arrived at this and then be combined the term this term and this term.

So, x plus δx y . So, we get δx equal to $-\epsilon A^{-1}y$ and then what we have right hand side is k into norm of δA over norm of A k is norm of A^{-1} into norm of A . So, norm of A gets cancelled and we get norm of A^{-1} into norm of δA and δA is ϵ into I . So, norm of A^{-1} into norm of ϵI . Now norm of ϵI is equal to mod of ϵ into norm of I and we know that norm of I is always equal to 1 . So, this is mod of ϵ we have. So, mod of ϵ into norm of A^{-1} we have.

(Refer Slide Time: 22:13)

$$\begin{aligned} L.H.S. &= \frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x + \delta x\|} = \frac{\|-\varepsilon A^{-1}y\|}{\|y\|} \\ &= \frac{|\varepsilon| \|A^{-1}\| \|y\|}{\|y\|} = |\varepsilon| \|A^{-1}\|. \end{aligned}$$

\therefore L.H.S. = R.H.S.



And then the lab 10 5 it is norm of delta it is over norm of x plus delta x and delta x we have seen is equal to minus epsilon into A inverse y. So, norm of minus in epsilon into A inverse y and x plus delta x is y x plus delta Axis y plus y x plus delta x is y. So, norm of in the numerator we will have mod of epsilon into norm of A inverse into norm of y and the denominator is norm of y.

So, we get mod of epsilon into norm A inverse and therefore, left hand side and right-hand side are equal. So, this is how we prove that the (Refer Time: 22:57) quality is or the up upper bound is that estimate is optimal now the f theorem shows that the error in x.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:03)

Remark: The above theorem shows that the error in x , taken relative to $x + \delta x$, is bounded by the product of $k(A)$ and the relative error in A . Thus, if A is ill-conditioned, then the relative error

$$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x + \delta x\|}$$

may be large even if the relative error in A is small.

IIT ROORKEE | NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 9

Taken relative to x plus delta x is bounded by the product of the condition number and the relative error in the A , thus if a is ill conditioned the relative error may be large even if the relative error in a is small.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:18)

Example: Let $Ax = b$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 10 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $b = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$.

Further let $\Delta A = \text{diag}(10^{-3}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-3})$.

Solving the systems $Ax = b$ and $(A + \Delta A)(x + \delta x) = b$, we get

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} -9090 \\ 910 \\ -90 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad x + \delta x = 10^3 \times \begin{bmatrix} -9.0529 \\ 0.9072 \\ -0.0898 \\ 0.0100 \end{bmatrix}$$

IIT ROORKEE | NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 10

Now, we have taken an example on this theorem. So, let us take Ax equal to b where is this 4 by 4 matrix and b is this column matrix and let us take the perturbation in A given by ΔA to be diagonal matrix 10 to the power minus 3 all the diagonal elements are equal 10 to the power minus 3 each.

So, there is this the perturbation matrix, now we can solve the system $Ax = b$ and $(A + \Delta A)(x + \Delta x) = b$ the values of x and $x + \Delta x$ turn out to be this x is this column matrix and $x + \Delta x$ is 10 to the power 3 into this column matrix.

(Refer Slide Time: 24:01)

Then $\frac{\|\delta x\|_2}{\|x + \delta x\|_2} = 0.0041$

and $k_2(A) \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} = 1.0850 \times 10^4 \times \frac{10^{-3}}{10.7410} = 1.0101.$

Hence $\frac{\|\delta x\|_2}{\|x + \delta x\|_2} < k_2(A) \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|}$, which verifies the theorem.

Now when we calculate the relative error in x related to the $x + \Delta x$ that into norm, norm of δx to over norm of $x + \Delta x$ to it turns out be 0.0041 and when we consider the find the condition number in 2 norm that is $k_2(A)$ what we get is 1.0850 and the relative error in A , $k_2(A)$ is 1.0850 into 10 to the power 4 and norm of ΔA upon norm of A is 10 to the power minus 3 divided by 10 plus 10.7410 which is actually 1.0101 . And so, we can see that norm of δx 2 over norm of $x + \Delta x$ 2 is strictly less than $k_2(A)$ into norm of ΔA over norm of A , which verifies the theorem. With this I would like to conclude my lecture.

Thank you very much for your attention.