

Integral Equations, Calculus of Variations and their Applications
Dr. D. N. Pandey
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee
Lecture 47
Functions of Several Independent Variables

Hello friends, I welcome to on this lecture and here,if you recall, we have discussed thefunctional and the curves, which minimizes the functional andnow in this lecture what we try to do here, weconsider the functional which depend on thesurfaces and here we want to find out theequationin terms ofsurfaces, which minimizes the functional. So herewe want to find out say extremal which are surfaces which minimizes the given function.

(Refer Slide Time: 1:00)

Functionals dependent on functions of several independent variables

In this section, we will study the problem of finding the extrema of functionals involving multiple integrals leading to one or more partial differential equations. Consider the problem of finding the extremum of the functional

$$J[z(x, y)] = \int \int_D f(x, y, z, z_x, z_y) dx dy$$

over a region of integration D by determining z which is continuous and has continuous derivatives upto the second order. The values of the function $z(x, y)$ are given on the boundary C of domain D . Suppose that f is three times differentiable.

©

IT ROORKEE NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 13

So let us discuss here. So in this lecture, we will study the problem of finding the extrema of functional involving multiple integrals leading to one or more partial differential equation and we can consider our functional as this, J of z of (x, y) which is double integral $f(x, y, z, z_x, z_y)$ over a region of integration D by determining z , which is continuous and has continuous derivative upto the second order. So here we want to minimize this functional. So here please remember here the minimizer of this functional is your z of (x, y) which is not a curve, but a surface right. So if so far we have discussed the cases where the minimizer of a functional is only a curve, but here we want to find out say minimizer or say extremizer of a functional which is nothing but a surface in place of a curve.

So here this D represent the domain on which the surfaces defined here and we say that this domain D is bounded by the boundary C and the value of z on the boundary C is given by some initial condition. In this case we can call this as boundary condition and here (we have) we assume that this of integrand f is at least 2 times differentiable or we can without (lo) without any problem we simply assume that f is 3 times differentiable, but I think only 2 time differentiability is required here.

(Refer Slide Time: 2:45)

Let the extremizing surface be $z = z(x, y)$ so that an admissible one parameter surface is taken as

$$z(x, y, \alpha) = z(x, y) + \alpha \delta z$$

where $\delta z = z_1(x, y) - z(x, y)$. The necessary condition for an extremum is the vanishing of the first variation

$$z(x, y, \alpha) = z(x, y) + \alpha \delta z$$

On functions of the family $z(x, y, \alpha)$, the functional J reduces to a function of α , which has to have an extremum for $\alpha = 0$; consequently,

IIT ROORKEE NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 14

So here what we want to know. We want to find out say Euler kind of conditions. So here we proceed in a same way as we have proceeded for the curves when the extremals are nothing but curves. So here also let us assume that the extremizing surface is z equal to z of (x, y) . So as you remember we have already seen that with respect to this extremizing surface we define a one parameter family of surfaces as a z of (x, y, α) equal to z of (x, y) plus $\alpha \delta z$ where, this δz is a variation in with respect to z here.

So let us take a $z_1(x, y)$ is another surfaces which is arbitrary, but fixed, arbitrary in the sense that you can take any surface having this same boundary condition as z as a boundary condition and fixed in the sense that you can take any surfaces, but once you take this surface then it is fixed. So here δz is nothing but $z_1(x, y) - z(x, y)$. Here we may also call this $z_1(x, y)$ as a admissible surface for the extremal J , right. So here z_1 and z both satisfy this same boundary condition on the boundary of the domain $(D) C$.

So here we want to as we have pointed out that the condition that the for the extremal on the functional, the variation has to vanish and that that theorem is not depending on the form on the

functional. So if it is true for the functional for which minimizer is or extremizer is your curve. So it is also true for this case also where the extremizer is nothing but surfaces. So here the necessary condition for an extremum is the vanishing of the first variations.

So first variation here is z of (x, y, α) equal to z of (x, y) plus $\alpha \delta z$. Here to be noted here that by suitable choice of α and z_1 , you can find out any surface having the same boundary condition, between same boundary condition on the boundary C by suitable choice of z_1 and α . So $z(x, y, \alpha)$ is given by this we will represent any arbitrary surface having the same boundary condition and not only this if you look at your α equal to 0, then this one parameter family is reduced to z of (x, y) , which is the which is extremizer of the functional here.

So here we can say that on function of the family z of (x, y, α) the functional z reduces to a function of α . So here δz is fixed z of (x, y) by x is $z(x, y)$ is already given as extremizing surface, δz is fixed because z_1 is arbitrary, but once it is taken it is fixed. So here δz is also fixed. So the only varying quantity is your α . So you can say that $z(x, y, \alpha)$ is nothing but a function of α and hence your functional is reduced to a function of α . So here in the same way we can say that the extremum will occur at α equal to 0.

(Refer Slide Time: 6:39)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} J[z(x, y, \alpha)]_{\alpha=0} = 0$$

and the variational of the functional




$$\delta J = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \int_D f(x, y, z(x, y, \alpha), p(x, y, \alpha), q(x, y, \alpha)) dx dy \right\}_{\alpha=0}$$

$$= \int_D [f_z \delta z + f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy,$$

where

$$p(x, y, \alpha) = \frac{\partial z(x, y, \alpha)}{\partial x} = p(x, y) + \alpha \delta p$$

$$q(x, y, \alpha) = \frac{\partial z(x, y, \alpha)}{\partial y} = q(x, y) + \alpha \delta q$$




15

And hence, you can say that δJ of $z(x, y, \alpha)$ is equal to 0 at α equal to 0. So to find out this (we) this is this we denote as δJ . So δJ is equal to δJ of $z(x, y, \alpha)$ and you write down the functional J of $z(x, y, \alpha)$, so it means

that define your J as for this one parameter family of surfaces z of x, y, alpha. So here you can write J of this as f of x, y in place of z(x, y), now I am writing z(x, y, alpha) and here z_x can be written as notationally you can write it p(x, y, alpha) and z_y is written as q of (x, y, alpha) and we want to find out say derivative of this with respect to alpha at alpha equal to 0.

(Refer Slide Time: 7:42)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta J &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left[\int_D f(x, y, z) dx dy \right]_{\alpha=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \int_D f(x, y, z(x, y, \alpha)) dx dy \\ &= \int_D \left[f_z \frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha} + f_x \frac{\partial x}{\partial \alpha} + f_y \frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha} \right]_{\alpha=0} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

Handwritten notes on the right side of the slide:

- $z(x, y, \alpha) = z(x, y) + \alpha \delta z$
- $\frac{\partial z(x, y, \alpha)}{\partial \alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=0} = \delta z$
- $z_x(x, y, \alpha) = z_x(x, y) + \alpha \delta z_x$
- $\frac{\partial z_x(x, y, \alpha)}{\partial \alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=0} = \delta z_x$

So let me write it here, you del here delta z is equal to dabba by dabba alpha and now J of f of z of (x, y) here you can write z(x, y, alpha). So here you can write it at alpha equal to 0. So here you can write it dabba by dabba alpha and this is double derivative on D and here, you can write it f, so x, y is already z(x, y, alpha) and z of x. So here when you write a z of x(x, y, alpha) and z of y (x, y, alpha) and that is respect to this integral is with respect to dx and dy.

So here this we denote as p(x, y, alpha) and this we denote as q of (x, y, alpha). So when you find out say, derivative with respect to alpha then you will get, this is as D and here f(x, y). Now here this is what this is (independ) depending on alpha. This depending on alpha. So here this, so by using the formula of derivative you can simply say that it is dabba by dabba alpha of this quantity is given as f with respect to z (x, y, alpha) and dabba of z (x, y, alpha) dabba z(x, y, alpha) dabba alpha plus f of p here and dabba p(x, y, alpha) upon dabba alpha at f of q and dabba q(x, y, alpha) dabba alpha right and here, this is dx and dy and at alpha equal to 0.

So here if you write down what is z of (x, y, alpha). So x, y, alpha is equal to z x of y plus alpha delta z, right. So alpha delta this is delta z. So when you find out say, derivative of this z(x, y, alpha) with respect to alpha and at put alpha equal to 0, you will get that dabba z(x, y,

alpha) upon dabba alpha. It is coming out to be delta z. So at alpha equal to 0, also it is coming out to be delta z. So this reduces to delta z and similarly when you find out say derivative of this with respect to dabba alpha, you will see what when you differentiate with respect to x you will get what, itzx (x, y, alpha) equal to zx (x, y) plus alphadeltazx and when you differentiate with respect to alpha, you will get dabba by dabba zx (x, y, alpha) dabba alpha is equal to delta z of x here. So at alpha equal to 0 also you will get the same thing, because it is not involving any alpha. So it is your delta z of x, so which we call this as delta p here. So similarly you can calculate the derivative here at alpha equal to 0 and it is coming out to be delta q here.

(Refer Slide Time: 11:38)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} J[z(x, y, \alpha)]_{\alpha=0} = 0$$

and the variational of the functional



$$\delta J = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \int_D f(x, y, z(x, y, \alpha), p(x, y, \alpha), q(x, y, \alpha)) dx dy \right\}_{\alpha=0}$$

$$= \int_D [f_z \delta z + f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy,$$

where

$$p(x, y, \alpha) = \frac{\partial z(x, y, \alpha)}{\partial x} = p(x, y) + \alpha \delta p$$

$$q(x, y, \alpha) = \frac{\partial z(x, y, \alpha)}{\partial y} = q(x, y) + \alpha \delta q$$



15

So let me write it here, so here we can write it, the variation of the functional you can write it $f_z \delta z + f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q dx dy$, where δp and f this $p(x, y, \alpha)$ is related by this. So $p(x, y, \alpha)$ is equal to $\frac{\partial z(x, y, \alpha)}{\partial x}$ with respect to α that we have already defined and it is coming out to be $p(x, y) + \alpha \delta p$. Similarly you can define $q(x, y, \alpha)$, which is the derivative of $z(x, y, \alpha)$ with respect to y and it is given as $q(x, y) + \alpha \delta q$. So when you differentiate with respect to α you will get here as δp and δq .

(Refer Slide Time: 12:27)




Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p \delta z] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p] \delta z + f_p \delta p$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q \delta z] = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q] \delta z + f_q \delta q$$

It follows that

$$\int \int_D [f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy = \int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p \delta z] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q \delta z] \right] dx dy$$

$$- \int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q] \right] \delta z dx dy,$$




16

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} J[z(x, y, \alpha)]_{\alpha=0} = 0$$




and the variational of the functional

$$\delta J = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \int \int_D f(x, y, z(x, y, \alpha), p(x, y, \alpha), q(x, y, \alpha)) dx dy \right\}_{\alpha=0}$$

$$= \int \int_D [f_z \delta z + f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy,$$

where

$$p(x, y, \alpha) = \frac{\partial z(x, y, \alpha)}{\partial x} = p(x, y) + \alpha \delta p$$

$$q(x, y, \alpha) = \frac{\partial z(x, y, \alpha)}{\partial y} = q(x, y) + \alpha \delta q$$




15

So now, so delta j is equal to 0 means this double integrals going out to be 0 (12:33). Now the problem is that we do not want this term delta p and delta q, because you want everything in terms of z only. So what we try to do here is, then we try to do simplify these two terms.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:51)


Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p \delta z] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p] \delta z + f_p \delta p$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q \delta z] = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q] \delta z + f_q \delta q$$

It follows that

$$\int \int_D [f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy = \int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p \delta z] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q \delta z] \right] dx dy$$

$$- \int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q] \right] \delta z dx dy,$$


And for simplifying these two terms, here we use this relation that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p \delta z]$ is equal to $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p] \delta z + f_p \delta p$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q \delta z]$ is equal to $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q] \delta z + f_q \delta q$. So here you can say that the value of $f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q$ is equal to this summation minus this summation. So you can write it that $\int \int_D [f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy$ is given as $\int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p \delta z] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q \delta z] \right] dx dy - \int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q] \right] \delta z dx dy$.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:04)


$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} J[z(x, y, \alpha)]_{\alpha=0} = 0$$

and the variational of the functional

$$\begin{aligned} \delta J &= \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \int \int_D f(x, y, z(x, y, \alpha), p(x, y, \alpha), q(x, y, \alpha)) dx dy \right\}_{\alpha=0} \\ &= \int \int_D [f_z \delta z + f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p(x, y, \alpha) = \frac{\partial z(x, y, \alpha)}{\partial x} = p(x, y) + \alpha \delta p$$

$$q(x, y, \alpha) = \frac{\partial z(x, y, \alpha)}{\partial y} = q(x, y) + \alpha \delta q$$



So here we can simplify or we can say that in this integral which is coming out to be 0. We are trying to find out say, value of this integral in terms of delta z delta x delta z dx and dy.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:16)

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [f_p \delta z] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [f_p] \delta z + f_p \delta p \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [f_q \delta z] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [f_q] \delta z + f_q \delta q \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int_D [f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy &= \int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [f_p \delta z] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [f_q \delta z] \right] dx dy \\ &\quad - \int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [f_p] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [f_q] \right] \delta z dx dy, \end{aligned}$$


So here this is coming out to be this difference of these two integral and for simplifying these two integral, let us apply say Green's theorem for uh the first integral.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:31)

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p] = f_{px} + f_{pz} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} + f_{pp} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + f_{pq} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_q] = f_{qx} + f_{qz} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} + f_{qq} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + f_{qp} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$$

Using Green's function

$$\iint_D \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} \right) dx dy = \int_C (N dy - M dx)$$

we obtain

$$\iint_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [f_p \delta z] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [f_q \delta z] \right] dx dy = \int_C (f_p dy - f_q dx) \delta z = 0$$

BT ROORKEE
NPTL
NPTL ONLINE
CERTIFICATION COURSE

17

So if you remember the greenfunction theorem is greens theorem is double derivative dabba N plus dabba N by dabba x plus dabba M by dabba y dx dy is equal to C Ndy minus Mdx. So here D is the domain bounded by the curve C. So it means that here the integral on the domain is reduce to the integral on the boundary of this. So here if you recall then, here N is going to be $f_p \delta z$ and M is going to be $f_q \delta z$. So using this greens theorem, you can write it N is $f_p \delta z$. So here $f_p \delta z dy$ minus $f_q \delta z dx$.

So now this is coming out to be 0. Why because δz is what? δz is the difference of $z_1(x, y)$ minus $z_2(x, y)$ and x, z of (x, y) and both $z_1(x, y)$ and $z_2(x, y)$ satisfy the same boundary condition on the boundary C. So it means that the δz , which is a difference between z_1 and z_2 is satisfying the (z) δz has to be 0 on the boundary. So using this fact you can say that this integral is coming out to be 0, which is nothing but this double integral.

(Refer Slide Time: 15:55)


Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p \delta z] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p] \delta z + f_p \delta p$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q \delta z] = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q] \delta z + f_q \delta q$$

It follows that

$$\int \int_D [f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy = \int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p \delta z] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q \delta z] \right] dx dy$$

$$- \int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q] \right] \delta z dx dy,$$


So it means that the first term in this case in this is coming out to be 0, I cannot apply the same theorem for the second integral.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:08)

where


$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p] = f_{px} + f_{pz} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} + f_{pp} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + f_{pq} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_q] = f_{qx} + f_{qz} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} + f_{qq} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + f_{qy} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$$

Using Green's function

$$\int \int_D \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} \right) dx dy = \int_C (N dy - M dx)$$

we obtain

$$\int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p \delta z] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q \delta z] \right] dx dy = \int_C (f_p dy - f_q dx) \delta z = 0$$


Because here this will reduce to $f_p dy - f_q dx$, but δz is not there.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:13)




Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p \delta z] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p] \delta z + f_p \delta p$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q \delta z] = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q] \delta z + f_q \delta q$$

It follows that

$$\int \int_D [f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy = \int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p \delta z] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q \delta z] \right] dx dy$$

$$- \int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q] \right] \delta z dx dy,$$




16

So here we may not apply these things.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:23)

where




$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p] = f_{px} + f_{pz} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} + f_{pp} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + f_{pq} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_q] = f_{qx} + f_{qz} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} + f_{qq} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + f_{qp} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$$

Using Green's function

$$\int \int_D \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} \right) dx dy = \int_C (N dy - M dx)$$

we obtain

$$\int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[f_p \delta z] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[f_q \delta z] \right] dx dy = \int_C (f_p dy - f_q dx) \delta z = 0$$




17

So here we simply say that first integral is coming out to be 0.

Refer Slide Time: 16:26)



The last integral is equal to zero, since on the contour C the variation $\delta z = 0$.
Therefore we get

$$\iint_D [f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy = - \iint_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [f_p] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [f_q] \right] \delta z dx dy,$$

and the necessary condition for an extremum,

$$\iint_D [f_z \delta z + f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy = 0$$

takes the form

$$\iint_D \left[f_z - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_p - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_q \right] \delta z dx dy = 0$$



18

And using this we can simplify our integral double integral $f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q dx dy$ is equal to integral on D δz of f_p plus δz of f_q $dx dy$. So here the equation that total variation is 0 is reduced to this integral that double integral $f_z \delta z + f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q dx dy$ is now reduced to this form. So here $f_p \delta p$ there we are utilizing this equality. So using this equality, you can write that the second and third term can be replaced by this. So here you can say that double integral $f_z - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_p - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_q \delta z dx dy$ is equal to 0.

So here if you look at, since we have assumed that f is thrice differentiable or you can say f is second derivative of f is continuous. So you can say that that this is a continuous function. Now look at this part δz , so δz is basically a continuous function in fact, it is also differentiable function. So here we can say that δz is equal to 0 on the boundary and having continuous first and second order derivative continuous on the domain D . So here to get some information about quantity we use the generalization of Lagrange's (18:03), which we have discussed for the case of curves.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:04)

Lemma 1

If $a(x, y)$ is a fixed continuous function in a closed region D , and if the integral

$$\iint_D a(x, y)h(x, y)dx dy$$

vanishes for every function $h(x, y)$ which has continuous first and second derivatives in D and equals to zero on the boundary Γ of D , then $a(x, y) = 0$ everywhere in D .

IT ROORKEE NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 19

So here let us consider what is this lemma. It says that if $a(x, y)$ is a fixed continuous function in a closed region D and if this integral, this is a one integral $a(x, y) h(x, y) dx dy$ is equal to 0 means vanishes for every function $h(x, y)$, which has continuous first and second order derivative in domain D and equals to 0 on the boundary, then $a(x, y)$ is equal to 0 everywhere in D . if you remember if you recall here $h(x, y)$ is your δz .

(Refer Slide Time: 18:39)

The last integral is equal to zero, since on the contour C the variation $\delta z = 0$. Therefore we get

$$\iint_D [f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy = - \iint_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [f_p] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [f_q] \right] \delta z dx dy,$$

and the necessary condition for an extremum,

$$\iint_D [f_z \delta z + f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy = 0$$

takes the form

$$\iint_D \left[f_z - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_p - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_q \right] \delta z dx dy = 0$$

IT ROORKEE NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 18

So here δz is vanishing on the boundary, because it is your, it is a difference of two admissible curve $z_1(x, y)$ and the extremal surface is at (x, y) who satisfy the same boundary

condition. So δz is going to vanish on the boundary and it is arbitrary in the sense, because δz is arbitrary surface in the neighborhood of z .

(Refer Slide Time: 19:02)

Lemma 1

If $a(x, y)$ is a fixed continuous function in a closed region D , and if the integral

$$\int \int_D a(x, y) h(x, y) dx dy$$

vanishes for every function $h(x, y)$ which has continuous first and second derivatives in D and equals to zero on the boundary Γ of D , then $a(x, y) = 0$ everywhere in D .

IT ROORKEE NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 19

So you can say that if we take this lemma as true.

(Refer Slide Time: 19:06)

The last integral is equal to zero, since on the contour C the variation $\delta z = 0$. Therefore we get

$$\int \int_D [f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy = - \int \int_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [f_p] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [f_q] \right] \delta z dx dy,$$

and the necessary condition for an extremum,

$$\int \int_D [f_z \delta z + f_p \delta p + f_q \delta q] dx dy = 0$$

takes the form

$$\int \int_D \left[f_z - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_p - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_q \right] \delta z dx dy = 0$$

IT ROORKEE NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 18

Then we can say that the $a(x, y)$ which is this quantity has to be 0 on this.

(Refer Slide Time: 19:12)

Lemma 1

If $a(x, y)$ is a fixed continuous function in a closed region D , and if the integral

$$\iint_D a(x, y)h(x, y) dx dy$$

vanishes for every function $h(x, y)$ which has continuous first and second derivatives in D and equals to zero on the boundary Γ of D , then $a(x, y) = 0$ everywhere in D .

BT ROORKEE
NPTL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE
19

So for this to prove this we may generalize the concept produce in previous lectures.

(Refer Slide Time: 19:24)

$$\iint_D a(x, y) h(x, y) dx dy = 0$$

$$a(x, y) \equiv 0$$

$$a(x, y) > 0 \quad N(\epsilon) = (x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2 < \epsilon^2$$

$$h(x, y) = \begin{cases} \left((x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2 - \epsilon^2 \right)^2 & (x, y) \in N(\epsilon) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow \epsilon$

So here our claim (19:25) is that if $a(x, y)$ is a fixed continuous function and h is the function, (which) whose first and second order derivative is continuous and (on a) on the domain d and it vanishes on the boundary of the domain D , then this $a(x, y)$ is coming out to be (19:44) equal to 0 function. So claim is at $a(x, y)$ is (19:48) equal to 0. So here suppose it is not true that $a(x, y)$ is non-zero function. So it is taking say non-zero value.

So let us assume without loss of the generality (19:59) that $a(x, y)$ is say positive on let us see strictly positive on some kind of a small space. So we can write its domain as x minus x

not whole square plus y minus y not whole square less than or equal to say epsilon square. So here what we can take here, we can take close ball around x not y not with the radius epsilon and we say that your a(x, y) is taking the positive value on this small domain, in and epsilon is small enough such that this domain is lying inside your D.

Now we can choose our h(x, y) in a way such that, you can write it h(x, y) as x minus x not whole square plus y minus y not whole square minus epsilon square and whole square. So by taking this, you can take h(x, y) as this when (x, y) lying inside your domain, let us call this as domain as x not y not with radius epsilon. So you can define in domain like this. So when (x, y) belongs to this domain and epsilon x not y not then your h(x, y) is this otherwise 0.

So in this case if we take h(x, y) like this then h(x, y) will be 0 on the boundary and it satisfy the condition that the first and second order derivative is continuous. So here the h(x, y) satisfy all the assumption on the lemma and also that the h(x, y) and product a(x, y) both product is going to be positive on this small neighborhood around x not y not with the radius epsilon and in this case, if you find out the integral here, then integral on this N epsilon n epsilon x not y not is going to be positive, dx dy is going to be positive. So this is a contradiction here, because uh we have assumed that this integral is nothing but 0. So it means that the assumption that a(x, y) is positive in some domain is not correct and hence, your a(x, y) has to be 0.

(Refer Slide Time: 22:41)




Since the variation δz is arbitrary and the first factor is continuous, it follows from the Lemma 1, on the extremizing surface $z = z(x, y)$

$$f_z - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_p - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_q = 0$$

Consequently, $z(x, y)$ is the solution of the equation

$$f_z - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_p - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_q = 0$$

This second-order partial differential equation that must be satisfied by the extremizing function $z(x, y)$ is called the Ostrogradsky equation.

20

So using this lemma, you can say that since the variation delta z is arbitrary and the first factor is continuous. It follows from this lemma that the on the extremizing surface z equal to z(x, y). This equation which is second order partial differential equation is has to be 0. So f_z minus

dabba by dabba x fp minus dabba by dabba y fq is (())(22:57)equal to 0 andwith the, so it means that the extremizing surface will be a solution of this equation along with the boundary conditionwhich z equal to z(x, y) takes on the boundary C. So tis second order partial differential equation that must be satisfy by the extremizing function z(x, y) is call the Ostrogradsky equation.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:28)

Example 1:

$$J[z(x, y)] = \iint_D \left[\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dx dy,$$

the values of the function z are given on the boundary Γ of the domain D : $z = f(x, y)$. Here the Ostrogradsky equation is of the form

$$\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

which is the well known Laplace equation. we have to find a solution, continuous in D , of this equation that takes on specified values on the boundary of the domain D . This is one of the basic problems of mathematical physics, called the Dirichlet problem.

BT ROORKEE NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 21

So let us discusseexample based on this. So first example is the meanminimize the extremal,J of z(x, y), which is given by this double integraldabba z by dabba x whole square plus dabba z by dabba y whole square dx dy.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:45)

$$\iint_D \frac{1}{2} (z_x^2 + z_y^2) dx dy = 0$$

$$J(z(x,y)) = \iint_D \left(\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right) dx dy$$

$$z(x,y) = g \text{ on } C$$

$$p = z_x \quad q = z_y$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q} \right) = 0$$

$$f(x,y,z,p,q) = p^2 + q^2$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(2 \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(2 \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} = 0 \quad z(x,y) = g \text{ on } C$$

So here functional is this J of z of (x, y) is equal to double integral D dabba z by dabba x whole square plus dabba z by dabba y whole square $dx dy$ with the condition that $z(x, y)$ take some value say some value say g on say C which is a boundary of domain D . So when you find out say I will Euler (24:17) Ostrogradsky equation for this. It is coming out to be, so here you can write it here as f by dabba z minus you can write it here as (dabba by D) dabba by dabba x of f of p minus dabba by dabba y of f of q , where p and q is basically z_x . So here p is your z_x and q is z_y or here you can say that your is equal to 0.

So here f of x, y, z, p and q is given by, if you look at this is nothing but p square plus q square. So this is (Euler) Ostrogradsky equation and you can see that, there is no component of z here. So you can simply find out that minus dabba by dabba x , it is nothing but when you differentiate, it is $2p$, so dabba by dabba x minus dabba by dabba y and 2 of (dabba by) dabba z by dabba y is equal to 0, when you simplify this you 2 (you can) 2 minus 2 you can take it out and you can say, it is nothing but dabba 2 z by dabba x square plus dabba 2 z by dabba y square is equal to 0.

So extremal surface must satisfy this equation and if you recall this equation is nothing but Laplace equation. So extremal surface is the solution of the Laplace equation when z satisfy certain condition on some boundary of the domain D . So you can simply say that if you recall this is nothing but your Dirichlet problem for the Laplace equation.

(Refer Slide Time: 26:21)

Example 1:

$$J[z(x, y)] = \iint_D \left[\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dx dy,$$

the values of the function z are given on the boundary Γ of the domain D : $z = f(x, y)$. Here the Ostrogradsky equation is of the form

$$\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

which is the well known Laplace equation. we have to find a solution, continuous in D , of this equation that takes on specified values on the boundary of the domain D . This is one of the basic problems of mathematical physics, called the Dirichlet problem.

BT ROORKEE NPTEL NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE 21

So here we can say that the Euler Ostrogradsky equation for this functional is coming out to be the Laplace equation. So we have to (find it) find a solution, continuous in D , of this equation that takes on specified values on the boundary, we have say given that $z(x, y)$ is equal to (()) (26:36) on the boundary and this is one of the basic problem of mathematical physics and which is known as Dirichlet problem.

(Refer Slide Time: 26:49)

$$\iint_D u(x, y) \delta u(x, y) dx dy = 0$$

$$J(z(x, y)) = \iint_D \left(\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right) dx dy$$

$$z(x, y) = g \text{ on } C$$

$$p = z_x \quad q = z_y$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial p} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial q} \right) = 0$$

$$f(x, y, z, p, q) = p^2 + q^2$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(2 \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(2 \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} = 0 \quad z(x, y) = g \text{ on } C$$

Here, one thing to be noted here that what we started with is to minimizing the variational minimizing the functional given in this form and it is coming out to be that the minimizer of functional is coming out to be the solution of the Dirichlet problem. So and

solution of the Dirichlet problem is a twice differentiable function, but the solution of this is coming out to be the minimizer of this variational. So this observation is very very important and it says, it helps us to give a new direction to a new field, which is known as the way of how to define a solution in a generalized sense (27:41) and this will rise to say to define a generalized solution in terms of (27:47).

(Refer Slide Time: 28:02)


Example 1:

$$J[z(x, y)] = \iint_D \left[\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dx dy,$$

the values of the function z are given on the boundary Γ of the domain D : $z = f(x, y)$. Here the Ostrogradsky equation is of the form

$$\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

which is the well known Laplace equation. We have to find a solution, continuous in D , of this equation that takes on specified values on the boundary of the domain D . This is one of the basic problems of mathematical physics, called the Dirichlet problem.



So right now we are not discussing about that problem, so here we simply say that if we have a function like this then the minimizer also extremizer is given by saying this Laplace equation with the condition that $z(x, y)$ satisfy some specified values on the boundary and hence we can say that the extremizer of this problem is nothing but the solution of the Dirichlet problem.

(Refer Slide Time: 28:13)

Example 2:

$$J[z(x, y)] = \iint_D \left[\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 + 2zf(x, y) \right] dx dy,$$

a function z is given on the boundary of a domain D . Here, the Ostrogradsky equation is given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} = f(x, y)$$

This equation is called Poisson's equation and is also frequently used in problems of mathematical physics.

BIT ROORKEE
NPTL
NIPTEL ONLINE
CERTIFICATION COURSE

22

Now moving on to the next example, here we have a functional say double integral $\iint_D z^2 + z_x^2 + z_y^2 + 2fz(x, y) dx dy$. Now in a same way, we can find out say Euler Ostrogradsky equation as $\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} = f(x, y)$. So here if you look at what is a difference here, in between this first example and second example. In second example we have added this term $2fz$ of (x, y) .

(Refer Slide Time: 28:49)

$$\iint_D u(x, y) \delta(x, y) dx dy = 0$$

$$J(z(x, y)) = \iint_D \left[\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dx dy$$

$z(x, y) = g$ on C

$p = z_x \quad q = z_y$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial p} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial q} \right) = 0$$

$$f(x, y, z, p, q) = p^2 + q^2$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(2 \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(2 \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} = 0 \quad z(x, y) = g \text{ on } C$$

$$2 \int f(x, y) \cdot 2 \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} = f(x, y) \text{ in } D \right.$$

$z(x, y) = g$ on C .

So if you use the Euler Ostrogradsky equation then this term is also present there. So when you simplify the contribution with respect to this is going to be $2f$ of (x, y) , (29:02) it is

same, so you can get that in second example we have this (exam) this thing minus 2 δz by δx square minus 2 δz by δy square is equal to 0. So you can simplify this as δz by δx square plus δz by δy square is equal to f of (x, y) and here your z of (x, y) is equal to g or your curve C . Is it okay? So this is in D and this is on this is. So if you look at this is a non-homogeneous Dirichlet problem.

(Refer Slide Time: 29:59)




Example 2:

$$J[z(x, y)] = \int \int_D \left[\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 + 2zf(x, y) \right] dx dy,$$

a function z is given on the boundary of a domain D . Here, the Ostrogradsky equation is given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} = f(x, y)$$

This equation is called Poisson's equation and is also frequently used in problems of mathematical physics.

22

So the solution, so or we can say the extremizer of the functional given here, z equal to J of z of (x, y) is equal to this. The extremizer of this must satisfy the Poisson equation and this is also one of the very very (30:04) is more steady problem in the physics mathematical physics and you can say that the solution on this extremizer solution on this the extremizer of this functional is nothing but the solution of the Poisson equation.

(Refer Slide Time: 30:17)

Example 3: The problem of finding a surface of minimal area stretched over a given contour C reduces to investigating the functional

$$S[z(x, y)] = \iint_D \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\right)^2} dx dy,$$

for a minimum. Here the Ostrogradsky equation is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \frac{p}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}} \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ \frac{q}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}} \right\} = 0$$

BT ROORKEE
NPTL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSE
23


So in example 3 we want to find out say surface of minimal area stretched over a given contour C . So here we have contour C and we want to find out a surface which gives the minimal area and it is a generalization of the problem having the minimum surface area in one dimension. So here your functional is coming out to be $S[z(x, y)]$, which is given as double (derivative) double integral under root 1 plus z_x whole square plus z_y whole square $dx dy$ and in a same way you can find out say Ostrogradsky equation if you remember here integrand is not involving function z . So here you can simplify the Euler Ostrogradsky equation, which is given by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \frac{p}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}} \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ \frac{q}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}} \right\} = 0$.

(Refer Slide Time: 31:21)

or

$$\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] - 2 \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x \partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] = 0 \quad (1)$$

i.e. at every point, the mean curvature is zero. It is known that soap bubbles stretched on a given contour C are a physical realization of minimal surfaces,




And when you simplify, it is coming out to be that $z_{xx} [1 + z_y^2] - 2z_{xy} z_x z_y + z_{yy} [1 + z_x^2] = 0$. So here mathematical physics surface, the solution of this differential equation represent a surface whose mean curvature is 0. So it means that at this is the surface at which every point, the mean curvature is 0 and we know that that the soap bubbles stretched on a given contour C are surfaces having mean curvature as 0 and these kind of surfaces are known as minimal surfaces.

(Refer Slide Time: 32:17)

Example 3: The problem of finding a surface of minimal area stretched over a given contour C reduces to investigating the functional

$$S[z(x, y)] = \iint_D \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2} dx dy,$$

for a minimum. Here the Ostrogradsky equation is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \frac{p}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}} \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ \frac{q}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}} \right\} = 0$$



So here we can say that the solution of this problem which minimizes this functional are known as minimal surfaces.

(Refer Slide Time: 32:27)


or

$$\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] - 2 \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x \partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] = 0 \quad (1)$$

i.e. at every point, the mean curvature is zero. It is known that soap bubbles stretched on a given contour C are a physical realization of minimal surfaces.



IT ROORKEE



NPTEL ONLINE
CERTIFICATION COURSE

24

And it satisfy the partial differential equation given by this one. So here we stop our discussion and in next class we will see in the continuation of this and in next problem we will see, how to define how to take say example based on this, which is known as iso-parametric problem some more example based on this Euler's equation we will discuss that is iso-parametric problem and we also discuss the functional derivative and invariance of Euler's equation in next lecture. Thank you very much for listening us, we will meet in a next lecture.