

**Measure and Integration**  
**Professor S. Kesavan**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**The Institute of Mathematical Sciences**  
**Lecture No-71**  
**11.3- Duality**

(Refer Slide Time: 00:21)

Duality.  
 $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  mea. sp.  $1 \leq p < \infty$   $p'$  conj. exponent.  $p=1$   $p'=\infty$  vice versa  
 $1 < p < \infty, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$

$g \in L^{p'}(\mu), f \in L^p(\mu)$

$$T_g(f) = \int_X fg d\mu.$$

Hölder  $\Rightarrow |T_g(f)| \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_{p'}, \Rightarrow T_g$  is a cont. lin. fun. on  $L^p(\mu)$

$$\|T_g\| \leq \|g\|_{p'} \quad (*)$$

For  $\sigma$ -fin. spaces  $\Sigma$   $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we wish to show every cont. lin. fun. on  $L^p(\mu)$  occurs in this way and we have equality with

i.e.  $g \mapsto T_g$  is an isometric isomorphism between  $L^{p'}(\mu)$  and  $(L^p(\mu))'$ .



We will now discuss an important topic in  $L^p$  spaces namely that of duality. So, the  $L^p$  spaces are all Banach spaces. Therefore, whenever you have a Banach space, it is interesting to know what is the dual space, the dual space is the space of all continuous linear functionals on the Banach space, that itself forms on the Banach space. So, we would like to often compute what is that dual space, and the study of the dual space often gives us a lot of information about the original space itself. And therefore, it is important to know what is the dual space, and that is what we are going to do now for the  $L^p$  spaces.

So, we have  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ , measure space, and you have  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . And then,  $p'$  is the conjugate exponent, that means if  $p=1$ , then  $p' = \infty$ , and vice versa, and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ .

So, let us take  $g \in L^{p'}(\mu)$  and  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ , then we define

$$T_g(f) = \int_X fg d\mu.$$

So Hölder implies  $|T_g(f)| \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_{p'} \Rightarrow T_g$  is a continuous linear functional on  $L^p(\mu)$ , and  $\|T_g\| \leq \|g\|_{p'}$ . ----- (\*)

So, our aim is to show for sigma finite spaces. So, for sigma finite spaces, and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we wish to show every continuous linear functional on  $L^p \mu$  occurs in this way, and we have equality in (\*). Stars, so not only so in other words this  $g$  going to  $Tg$ , that is  $g$  going to  $Tg$  is an isometric, isomorphism, its 1, 1 on 2 continuous map, and therefore it is isomorphism by the open mapping theorem, and it is an isometric isomorphism, because the norm is preserved so between  $L^p \mu$ , and  $L^p \mu$  which is the dual space of the.

(Refer Slide Time: 4:40)

Proposition (Uniqueness)  $(X, S, \mu)$   $\sigma$ -finite meas. sp.  $1 \leq p < \infty$   
 $g_i, i=1,2$  in  $L^p(\mu)$  s.t.  $T_{g_1} = T_{g_2}$  then  $g_1 = g_2$  a.e.  
 i.e.  $g \mapsto Tg$  is injective.  
 Pf:  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ .  $\int_X f(g_1 - g_2) d\mu = 0$ .  
 $E \subset X$  set of finite meas.  $\chi_E \in L^p(\mu)$ .  
 $\int_E (g_1 - g_2) d\mu = 0$ .  
 $E \in S, \sigma$ -finiteness  $\Rightarrow E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i, E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset, \mu(E_i) < \infty \forall i$ .  
 $\Rightarrow \int_E (g_1 - g_2) d\mu = 0 \Rightarrow g_1 = g_2$  a.e.

**Proposition.**  $(X, S, \mu)$   $\sigma$ -finite measurable measure space,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $g_i, i = 1, 2$ , in  $L^p(\mu)$ , such that  $T_{g_1} = T_{g_2}$ , then  $g_1 = g_2$  almost everywhere. So, in other words, the map  $G: \rightarrow T_g$  is injecting.

proof:  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ , then  $\int_X f(g_1 - g_2) d\mu = 0$ . So,  $E$  contained in  $X$  set a finite measure, because its finite measure then  $\chi_E \in L^p(\mu)$ , therefore  $\int_E (g_1 - g_2) d\mu = 0$ . Now, if  $E$  is  $S$  because of sigma finiteness,  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i, \mu(E_i)$  finite for all  $i$ . Then, this implies the

$$\int_E f(g_1 - g_2) d\mu = 0 \Rightarrow g_1 = g_2 \text{ a.e.}$$

(Refer Slide Time: 7:50)

$g \mapsto Tg: L^p(\mu) \rightarrow (L^p(\mu))'$  is injective cont.  
 To show it is surjective and an isometry.  
**Lemma:**  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  meas. sp.  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  mda. Assume  
 $\forall \mu(E) > 0, \left| \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E g d\mu \right| \leq k$ .  
 Then  $|g| \leq k$  a.e.  
**Pf:**  $U = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : |t| > k\}$  open set. Let  $(a-r, a+r) \subset U$   
 $E = \{x \in X : g(x) \in (a-r, a+r)\}$ .  
 $\forall \mu(E) > 0$ , set  $A_E(g) = \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E g d\mu$



So, this proves the uniqueness, so now you have that  $g$  going to  $Tg$ , from  $L^p$  dash  $\mu$  to  $L^p$  dash  $\mu$  dash, the dual space is injective and continuous, to show it is surjective and isometric. So, we will first move it to the finite measure space, then we will look at it in a general case, sigma finite. Before that, anyway we need a very interesting lemma. This is a nice lemma.

**Lemma:**  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  measure space  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  measurable, assume for every  $\mu(E) > 0$ , you

have that  $\left| \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E f g d\mu \right| \leq k$ . Then  $|g| \leq k$  a.e.

proof:  $U = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : |t| > k\}$  open set. Let  $(a - r, a + r) \subset U$ . Define

$$E = \{x \in X : g(x) \in (a - r, a + r)\}.$$

Now, if  $\mu(E)$  is positive, then you have  $A_E(g) = \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E g d\mu$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 11:20)

Then  $|A_E(g) - a| = \left| \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E (g-a) d\mu \right| \leq \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E |g-a| d\mu$

$\leq r$

$\Rightarrow A_E(g) \in (a-r, a+r) \subset U$

$\Rightarrow |A_E(g)| \geq k \quad X$

$\Rightarrow \mu(E) = 0$

Now  $\{x \in X \mid |g(x)| > k\}$  can be covered by a countable no. of sets of the form  $E$  (since  $U$  is the countable union of intervals).

$\Rightarrow \mu(\{g > k\}) = 0$  i.e.  $|g| \leq k$  a.e.

Then, mod  $A_E$  of  $g$  minus  $a$ , equals  $1$  by  $\mu$   $E$  of integral over  $E$ ,  $g$  minus  $a$   $d\mu$ ,  $a$  is a constant so if I integrate over  $E$ , I will just get  $\mu$  of  $E$  times  $a$ , divided by  $\mu$  of  $E$  is give you  $a$ , again, so this I can write like this. But  $g$  minus  $a$ , because we are in  $E$ ,  $g$  minus  $a$  is less than or equal to  $r$ , so this is less equal to  $1$  by  $\mu$   $E$ , integral over  $E$ , mod  $g$  minus  $a$ ,  $d\mu$ , but this is less than or equal to less than  $r$ , and therefore, you have then this is equal to sorry less than or equal let us strictly less than  $r$ .

So, this means that  $A_E$  of  $g$  also belongs to  $a$  minus  $r$ ,  $a$  plus  $r$ . And, that is less than contained in  $U$ . And this implies that mod of  $A_E$  of  $g$  is greater than equal to  $k$ , which is a contradiction. Because you have told that for every set of positive measure. The  $A$  average is less than or equal to  $k$ . So, this implies that  $\mu$   $E$  equal to  $0$ .

Now, set of all  $x$  in  $X$ , so say  $g(x)$  is greater than  $k$  can be covered by a countable number of sets of the form  $E$ , since  $U$  is the countable union of intervals. So, each set of this form is of measure  $0$ , and therefore this implies that mod  $g$  greater than  $k$ , the measure of this equal to  $0$ , that is  $g$  mod  $g$  is less than equal to  $k$ , almost everywhere. So, that proves this.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:15)

Thm. Let  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  be a finite measure sp.  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .  
 $T \in (L^p(\mu))'$ . Then  $\exists$  a unique  $g \in L^p(\mu)$  s.t.  $T = T_g$  and  
 $\|T\| = \|g\|_p$ .

Pf: Step 1.  $\mu$  finite meas.  $\chi_E \in L^p(\mu) \forall E \in \mathcal{S}$ .  
 Define  $\lambda(E) = T(\chi_E) \quad E \in \mathcal{S}$ .  
 $\lambda(\emptyset) = T(\emptyset) = 0$ .  
 $A \& B$  are disjoint.  $\chi_{A \cup B} = \chi_A + \chi_B$ .  
 $\Rightarrow \lambda$  is finitely additive ( $\because T$  is linear).  
 $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \quad E_i \in \mathcal{S}, E_i$ 's disjoint.  
 $F_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i \quad F_k \uparrow E$ .

Theorem: Let  $(X, S, \mu)$  be a finite measure space,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $T \in (L^p(\mu))'$ . Then there exists a unique  $g \in L^p(\mu)$ , such that  $T = T_g$ , and  $\|T\| = \|g\|_p$ .

proof: step 1: now,  $\mu$  is finite measure, therefore  $\chi$  of  $E$  belongs to  $L^p$  of  $\mu$  for all  $E$  and  $S$ , and therefore define  $\lambda$  of  $E$ , equals  $T$  of  $\chi$  of  $E$ . Then of course the  $\lambda$  of the empty set is  $T$  of  $\chi$  of the empty set is the identically 0 function, so  $T$  of 0 is equal to 0. Now, if  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint, when  $\chi$  of  $A$  union  $B$  is  $\chi$  of  $A$ , plus  $\chi$  of  $B$ . So, this implies that  $\lambda$  is finitely additive, since  $T$  is linear. Now, let  $E$  be the union  $E_i$ ,  $E_i$ 's disjoint, then we do the usual thing  $F_k$  equals union  $i$  equals 1 to  $k$  of  $E_i$ , then  $F_k$  increases to  $E$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 17:24)

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) < +\infty$$

$$\mu(E \setminus F_k) = \mu(E) - \mu(F_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \| \chi_E - \chi_{F_k} \|_p = \mu(E \setminus F_k)^{1/p} \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\chi_{F_k} \rightarrow \chi_E \text{ in } L^p(\mu), \quad T(\chi_{F_k}) \rightarrow T(\chi_E) = \lambda(E)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda(E_i)$$

$\lambda$  is countably additive  $\Rightarrow \lambda$  is a signed measure.

$$\mu(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \chi_E = 0 \text{ a.e.}, \text{ or } \chi_E = 0 \text{ in } L^p(\mu) \Rightarrow \lambda(E) = T(\chi_E) = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda \ll \mu.$$

By Radon-Nikodym theorem  $\exists g \geq 0, \lambda(E) = \int_E g d\mu, \forall E \in \mathcal{S}.$

Then,  $\mu(E \setminus F_k)$  is equal to, since you are in the finite measure space, you are allowed to subtract, let me write this, so  $\mu(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$  and that is finite, and  $\mu(E \setminus F_k) = \mu(E) - \mu(F_k)$ , and that is equal to  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu(E_i)$ . And, this tends to 0, as  $k$  tends to infinity, because you have the tail of a convergent series, and therefore this has to go to 0.

Then, therefore this implies that  $\chi_E - \chi_{F_k}$  in  $L^p$ , this is nothing but  $\mu(E \setminus F_k)$  because that is where it is, (18:34) everywhere, else it is 0, and power  $1/p$ , and therefore this goes to 0. So,  $\chi_E - \chi_{F_k}$  converges to  $\chi_E - \chi_E = 0$  in  $L^p$  norm, therefore  $T(\chi_E - \chi_{F_k})$  converges to  $T(\chi_E - \chi_E) = 0$ , which is equal to  $\lambda(E) - \lambda(E)$ , but this is a finite disjoint in the  $\mathcal{S}$ , so this equal to  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda(E_i) - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda(E_i) = 0$ , and therefore you have  $\lambda$  is countably additive, implies  $\lambda$  is a signed measure.

Now, if  $\mu(E) = 0$ , this implies that  $\chi_E = 0$  almost everywhere, that is  $\chi_E = 0$  in  $L^p$  norm, and therefore this implies  $\lambda(E) = 0$ , and  $T(\chi_E) = 0$ . This implies that  $\lambda$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , because no, so by the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there is a  $g$ , which is greater than equal to 0, such that  $\lambda(E) = \int_E g d\mu$  for all  $E$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 20:53)

By Radon-Nikodym theorem  $\exists g \geq 0$  such that  $\lambda \ll \mu = \int g d\mu \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{F}$ .  
 $T(\phi) = \int \phi g d\mu$ .

---

By linearity of  $T$ , if  $\phi$  is any simple function.  
 $T(\phi) = \int \phi g d\mu$ .

Step 2.  $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ ,  $f \geq 0$ . Then  $f \in L^p(\mu) \quad \forall 1 \leq p < \infty$  ( $\mu$  is finite).  
 $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  simple functions,  $\phi_n \geq 0$ ,  $\phi_n \uparrow f$ .  
 $\phi_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\mu)$ .  
 $T(\phi_n) \rightarrow T(f)$ .

So, by linearity of  $T$ , if  $\phi$  is any simple function, we have

$$T(\phi) = \int \phi g d\mu.$$

step 2:  $f$  in  $L^\infty$   $\mu$ ,  $f$  non negative, then of course  $f$  is in  $L^p \mu$  for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , less than infinity, this is because  $\mu$  is finite.

So,  $\phi_n$ ,  $n$  equals  $1$  to  $\infty$ , simple functions,  $\phi_n$  non negative,  $\phi_n$  increasing to  $f$ . Then, we also saw  $\phi_n$  converges to  $f$  in  $L^p \mu$ , we have already seen this earlier,  $\phi_n$  converges to  $f$  in  $L^p \mu$  to a simple application of the dominated convergence theorem. Therefore,  $T$  of  $\phi_n$  converges to  $T$  of  $f$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 23:19)

$T(\phi_n) \rightarrow T(f)$   
 $\phi_n g \rightarrow fg$  pointwise  $|\phi_n g| \leq |fg|$  intg.  
 DCT,  $\int_X \phi_n g d\mu \rightarrow \int_X fg d\mu$   
 $T(\phi_n) \rightarrow T(f)$   
 $T(f) = \int_X fg d\mu \quad \forall f \in L^\infty(\mu), f \geq 0$   
 $f$



On the other hand,  $\phi_n g \rightarrow fg$  pointwise  $|\phi_n g| \leq |fg|$ . Therefore by dominated convergence theorem, we have  $\int_X \phi_n g d\mu \rightarrow \int_X fg d\mu$ . And therefore, and this is nothing but  $T(\phi_n)$ , which converges to  $T(f)$ , therefore you have  $T(f) = \int_X fg d\mu, \forall f \in L^\infty(\mu), f \geq 0$ .

$T(f)$  equal to integral over  $x, \phi_n g, d\mu$ , for every  $f$  in  $L^\infty$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 25:25)

$f \in L^\infty(\mu) \quad f = f^+ - f^- \Rightarrow T(f) = \int_X fg d\mu \quad \forall f \in L^\infty(\mu)$   
 To prove, same as above  $\forall f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ .  
 $\|T\| = \|g\| = \|g\|_p$





So, if  $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ ,  $f = f^+ - f^- \Rightarrow T(f) = \int_X fg d\mu \forall f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ . So, now to prove, the same is true for every  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ , and  $\|T\| = \|T_g\| = \|g\|_p$ .

So, this is what we need to prove, which we will do next time.