

**Measure and Integration**  
**Professor S. Kesavan**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**The Institute of Mathematical Sciences**  
**Lecture No- 62**  
**Absolute continuity**

(Refer Slide Time: 00:17)

$(X, \mathcal{S})$  mds sp.  $\mu, \nu$  signed mear.  $\nu \ll \mu$  if  $|\mu|(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \nu(E) = 0$ .

Def:  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  mds sp.  $\mu, \nu$  signed mear. We say that  $\mu \equiv \nu$  ( $\mu$  is equivalent to  $\nu$ ) if  $\mu \ll \nu$  and  $\nu \ll \mu$ .

Ex:  $\mu \equiv |\mu|$

$(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  mear. sp.  $f$  int.  $\nu(E) = \int_E f d\mu$   $\nu \ll \mu$ .

Given  $\epsilon > 0$   $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $|\mu|(E) < \delta \Rightarrow |\nu(E)| < \epsilon$ .



We were looking at absolute continuity. So,  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  measurable space and  $\mu, \nu$  signed measures, we say that  $\nu \ll \mu$  if  $|\mu|(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \nu(E) = 0$ .

And then, so now, we have the following definition:

**Definition:**  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  measurable space and  $\mu, \nu$  signed measures. We say that  $\mu \equiv \nu$ , ( $\mu$  is equivalent to  $\nu$ ), if  $\nu \ll \mu$  and  $\mu \ll \nu$ .

**Example:** so, you have that  $\mu \equiv |\mu|$ , because that is trivial because  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $|\mu|$  and  $|\mu|$  is to truly absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , from the definition which we have given.

So, now, when we were looking at  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  measure space,  $f$  integrable and we define

$$\nu(E) = \int_E f d\mu.$$

And so,  $\nu \ll \mu$ . Now, we also proved the following that if you have that given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a delta positive such that  $|\mu|(E) < \delta \Rightarrow |\nu(E)| < \epsilon$ .

So, we saw this, and I also called this absolute continuity. So, I have mentioned absolute continuity with respect to this particular measure:  $\nu(E)$  equals integral  $f$  of  $f$  over  $E$   $d\mu$  in two ways. So, we reconcile both these things in the following proposition. Notice that this is a finite measure because  $f$  is integrable.

(Refer Slide Time: 03:11)

Def:  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  mds sp.  $\mu, \nu$  signed meas. We say that  $\mu \equiv \nu$  ( $\mu$  is equivalent to  $\nu$ ) if  $\mu \ll \nu$  and  $\nu \ll \mu$ .

Eg.  $\mu \equiv |\mu|$

$(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  meas. sp.  $f$  int.  $\nu(E) = \int_E f d\mu$   $\nu \ll \mu$ .

Given  $\epsilon > 0$   $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $|\mu|(E) < \delta \Rightarrow |\nu|(E) < \epsilon$ .

Prop.  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  mds sp.  $\mu, \nu$  signed meas.  $\nu$  finite and  $\nu \ll \mu$ .

Then given  $\epsilon > 0$   $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $|\mu|(E) < \delta \Rightarrow |\nu|(E) < \epsilon$  ( $E \in \mathcal{S}$ ).

NPTEL

Proposition:  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  measurable space  $\mu, \nu$  signed measures,  $\nu$  is finite and absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . Then given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|\mu|(E) < \delta \Rightarrow |\nu|(E) < \epsilon, (E \in \mathcal{S}).$$

(Refer Slide Time: 04:21)



Pf: Assume the contrary.  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists E_n$  s.t.:

$$|\mu|(E_n) < \frac{1}{2^n} \text{ while } |\nu|(E) \geq \epsilon.$$

$$E = \limsup E_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} E_m.$$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, |\mu|(E) \leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |\mu|(E_m) < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}.$

$\Rightarrow |\mu|(E) = 0.$

Since  $\nu$  is finite,  $|\nu|(E) \geq \limsup |\nu|(E_n) \geq \epsilon.$

Contradicts abs. cont. of  $\nu \ll \mu.$



**proof:** Assume the contrary. That means given any, that means there exists  $\epsilon > 0$ , s.t. for every  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  there exists  $E_n$  such that  $|\mu|(E_n) < \frac{1}{2^n}$  while  $|\nu|(E) \geq \epsilon$ . So, now, you take

$$E = \limsup E_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} E_m.$$

So, now, so, for every  $n$  in capital  $\mathbb{N}$ , you have that  $|\mu|(E) \leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |\mu|(E_m) < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}.$

$$\Rightarrow |\mu|(E) = 0.$$

On the contrary,  $\mu \ll \nu$  is  $\liminf \limsup$  and we have seen since  $\nu$ , we have

$$|\nu|(E) \geq \limsup |\nu|(E_n) \geq \epsilon.$$



We have a contradiction absolutely, absolute continuity of  $\nu$  with respect to  $\mu$ . So that reconciles the two kinds of definitions.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:32)

Ex. Not true if  $\nu$  is not finite  
 $X = \mathbb{N}$   $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ .  $\mu(\{n\}) = 2^{-n}$ ,  $\nu(\{n\}) = 2^n$ .  
 $\mu, \nu$  are meas.  $\nu$  not fin. Only empty set has meas. zero  
 and so  $\nu \ll \mu$ .

---

$\forall \delta > 0$ ,  $\exists$  no s.t.  $\forall n \geq n_0$ ,  $\mu(\{n\}) < \delta$ .  
 $\{\nu(\{n\})\}$  is unbounded.

Example: Not true if  $\nu$  is not finite. So, you take



$$X = \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}), \mu(\{n\}) = 2^{-n}, \nu(\{n\}) = 2^n,$$

And from this you can generate a measure because you define it over each atom, each point, and then by countable additivity, you can expand it, find the measure for everything. So, then  $\mu, \nu$  are measures,  $\nu$  not finite. And so,  $\nu \ll \mu$ . There is no doubt about it, because the only set with measure 0 is that. So now, what about for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $n_0$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$  you have that  $\mu(\{n\}) < \delta$ . But  $\{\nu(\{n\})\}$  is unbounded. And therefore, this, you cannot have the epsilon delta definition which you had earlier.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:15)

$\{\nu(\{n\})\}$  is unbounded.

Prop  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  s.t.  $\mu, \nu$  finite measures.  $\nu \ll \mu$ .  
 Assume  $\nu \neq 0$ . Then  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  and  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mu(A) > 0$   
 s.t.  $A$  is a pos. set for the signed meas.  $\nu - \epsilon \mu$ .

Proposition:  $(X, S)$  measurable space,  $\mu, \nu$  finite measures, and  $\nu \ll \mu$ . Assume,  $\nu \neq 0$ . Then there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $A \in S, \mu(A) > 0$  such that  $A$  is a positive set for the signed measure  $\nu - \epsilon\mu$ .

So, you have two finite measures and then you are taking  $\nu - \epsilon\mu$  and we say that it has a positive set, whose measure is also strictly positive for some  $\epsilon$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 11:53)

Assume  $v \neq 0$ . Then  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  and  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mu(A) > 0$   
 s.t.  $A$  is a pos. set for the signed measure  $v$ -eqn.

Pf:  $\forall n$  consider  $v - \frac{1}{n}\mu$ .  $X = A_n \cup B_n$  Hahn-decomp.

$$A_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \quad B_0 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c = A_0^c.$$

$B_0 \subset B_n$  &  $B_n$  neg. set for  $v - \frac{1}{n}\mu$

$$v(B_0) \leq \frac{1}{n} \mu(B_0) \Rightarrow v(B_0) = 0.$$

$$A_0 = B_0^c, v \neq 0, \Rightarrow v(A_0) > 0. \quad v(A_0) = v(A) - v(B_0) > 0.$$

By AC  $\Rightarrow \mu(A_0) > 0$ .

---

$\Rightarrow \exists n$  s.t.  $\mu(A_n) > 0$



$$A_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \quad B_0 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c = A_0^c.$$

$B_0 \subset B_n$  &  $B_n$  neg. set for  $v - \frac{1}{n}\mu$

$$v(B_0) \leq \frac{1}{n} \mu(B_0) \Rightarrow v(B_0) = 0.$$

$$A_0 = B_0^c, v \neq 0, \Rightarrow v(A_0) > 0. \quad v(A_0) = v(A) - v(B_0) > 0.$$

By AC  $\Rightarrow \mu(A_0) > 0$ .

---

$\Rightarrow \exists n$  s.t.  $\mu(A_n) > 0$

$\epsilon = \frac{1}{n}$   $A_n = A$  pos. set for  $v - \epsilon\mu = v - \frac{1}{n}\mu$ .

$$v(\epsilon) = \int_{\epsilon} \mu \Rightarrow v \ll \mu.$$


*proof*, for each  $n$ , consider  $v = \frac{1}{n}\mu$ . Then  $X = A_n \cup B_n$  Hahn decomposition. So write

$$A_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n, B_0 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c = A_0^c.$$

Now,  $B_0 \subset B_n$  for all  $n$ , because it is the intersection. And  $B_n$  is a negative set for  $v - \frac{1}{n}\mu$ .

That means  $v(B_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}\mu(B_0) \Rightarrow v(B_0) = 0$ . So,  $A_0 = B_0^c = v \neq 0, \Rightarrow v(A_0) > 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow \exists n \text{ s.t. } \mu(A_n) > 0.$$

So, now you take  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ , then  $A_n = A$ , which is a positive set for  $\nu - \epsilon\mu = \nu - \frac{1}{n}\mu$ . And therefore, that proves the proposition.

So, we have done all the preliminary work necessary. So, an important theorem which we will now come across is to show that, just as we saw that  $\nu E$  equals  $\int f d\mu$  over  $E$ , then implies the  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , we will show in the sigma finite case, whenever  $\nu$  is less than or equal to, absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , you can always find the function  $f$  such that  $\nu E$  equals  $\int E f d\mu$ . So, this is the only way in which absolutely continuous measures occur, and that is the famous Radon-Nikodym theorem, which we will prove next time.