## Measure and Integration Professor S. Kesavan Department of Mathematics The Institute of Mathematical Sciences Lecture No-44 Functions of Bounded Variation

(Refer Slide Time: 00:24)

	FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED VARIATION.	()
	Let f: [a, b] -> 12 be agiven for.	NPTEL
	B = Za= xx < 2, < < xx 263.	
	$\pm (\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{OLP}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}  \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{e}_i) - \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{e}_{i-1}) $	
	Dep. f: La, 63-3 i? The total variation of f is given by	
	$\neg \overset{k}{} (\dot{f}) = \overset{k}{} (\mathcal{O}, f).$	
	If To (A = +00, then of in said to be of bounded basistion.	
1/10 > Q	0.0 anara-anara	Sin

So, we will now investigate another class of the functions which will be differentiable almost everywhere. These are very important classes called **functions of bounded variation**. You might have seen these already in your analysis course, anyway.

So, let  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a given function. Consider a partition

$$P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$$

And you define  $t(P, f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|.$ 

**Definition:**  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The total variation of f is given by

$$T^{b}_{a}(f) = \sup_{P} t(P, f).$$

If  $T^{b}_{a}(f) < \infty$ , then f is said to be of bounded variation. So, functions of bounded variations are functions whose total variation is finite or bonded.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:49)



**Examples:**  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  Lipschitz continuous. It means

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le L|x - y|, \forall x, y \in [a, b].$$

Now, this I sub-bounded variation because if you take any partition p so,

$$t(P,f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| \le L \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i - x_{i-1}| = L(b - a).$$
  
$$\Rightarrow T_a^b(f) \le L(b - a) < + \infty.$$

So, therefore, you have that Lipschitz continuous function is of course, of bounded variation.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:15)



**Example:**  $f:[a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  monotonic. It could be increasing or monotonic decreasing. So, if you take any P partition then

$$t(P,f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| \le |\sum_{i=1}^{n} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1}))| = |f(b) - f(a)|.$$

Therefore,  $T^{b}_{a}(f) = |f(b) - f(a)| < + \infty$ .

Therefore, monotonic function is also a function of bounded variation.

(Refer Slide Time: 05:33)

Ef: f: La, 63 -> 12 monotonic.  $t(0, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f_i(w_0) - g_i(w_{i-1})| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f_i(w_0) - f_i(w_{i-1}) \right| = |f_i(\xi) - f_i(w_{i-1})|$ There = 1946- fresh < + 00.  $\underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{E}_{1} \\ - \mathcal{E}_{1} \end{array}}_{=} \left\{ f(x) = \int x^{2} \operatorname{dsub}_{x} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ x = 0 \end{array} \right.$ f is cart. But f is Not of Gold Use.  $\mathcal{D} = 20,13 \cup \left\{ \int_{\overline{\mathbf{x}}(2\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})}^{\mathbf{x}} \int_{\mathbf{x}=0}^{\mathbf{x}} \right\}$ 
$$\begin{split} |f(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - f(\mathbf{x}_{k})| &= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{2k_{1}} + \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2k_{1}} \right) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{2k_{1}^{k_{1}}}, \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{k_{2}^{k_{1}}}, \frac{1}{2k_{1}} \\ &: \sum_{k=1}^{n} |f(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - f(\mathbf{x}_{k-1})| > \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_{1}} \to +\infty \quad \text{an } n \to \infty \end{split}$$

**Example:** So, you now take fx equals x square sin 1 by x square if for x belonging to 0, 1 and 0 for x equal to 0. So, then f is a continuous function f is continuous, but f is not of bounded variation. So, this is an example of a function which is not of bounded variation. So, to see this let us take a partition p which is equal to the 2 points 0 and 1 union square root of 2 by pi times 2k plus 1 k equal 0 to n.

So, these are all points in 0, 1. So, you consider this partition. So, now, if you take mod f of xk minus f of xk minus 1 this will be equal to 2 by pi of 1 by 2k plus 1 plus 2 by pi 1 by 2k minus 1. Because sin of these things of the reciprocal is odd multiples of pi by 2 so, it is always 1. So, it is only the denominator which contributes.

Now, this is equal to 2 by pi of 4k by 4k square minus 1 and that is greater than equal to 2 by pi. Now, 4k by 4k square minus 1 4k square minus 1 is less than 4k square. So, the fraction is bigger than equal to 4k by 4k squared which is 1 over k. Therefore, the sigma mod f of xk minus f of xk minus 1 is greater than 2 by pi sigma 1 by k. So, this k equals 1 to n. So, if you increase n this is a divergent series and therefore, this goes to plus infinity as n tends to infinity. And therefore, this is not of bounded variation.

(Refer Slide Time: 08:35)



**Proposition:**  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and f of bounded variation f: $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  of course implies |f| is of bounded variation. I will say BV for bounded variation. Then, if f and g are BV then and alpha beta in R then  $\alpha f + \beta g$  is BV.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:27)



*proof:* So, if  $x \in [a, b]$ . So, you simply consider the partition  $P = \{a \le x_1 < b\}$ . Then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le t(P, f) \le T^{b}_{a}(f) < + \infty.$$
$$\Rightarrow |f(x)| \le |f(a)| + T^{b}_{a}(f), \forall x \in [a, b].$$

Therefore, you have  $||f(x)| - |f(y)|| \le |f(x) - f(y)| \Rightarrow |f|$  is BV.  $|(\alpha f + \beta g)(x) - (\alpha f + \beta g)(y)| \le |\alpha||f(x) - f(y)| + |\beta||f(x) - f(y)|$   $\Rightarrow \alpha f + \beta g$  is BV. So,  $|f(x)g(x) - f(y)g(y)| \le |f(x)||g(x) - g(y)| + |g(y)||f(x) - f(y)|$ .  $\Rightarrow fg$  is BV.

Just apply the definition and you will get it immediately. So, this is the thing.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:43)

r, r = max (xo) (0, x) im = - r Y = x - - x |x| = x + x. 0 P partition p (O, f) deg 2 (free)-free (,) n (0, e) = 5 (frei)-proce-1). + (B, E) = P (P, E) + ~ (O, E). f(b) - f(a) = P(0, f) - n(0, f).Define  $P_{\alpha}^{b}(\xi) = \sup_{\mathbf{R}} p^{(0,\xi)} \qquad N_{\alpha}^{b}(\xi) = \sup_{\mathbf{R}} \gamma^{(0,\xi)}$ 

So, now, given a real number r we write r plus equals max of r and 0 and r minus equals minus min of r and 0. So, in other words you have just like you write the positive and negative parts if if r is positive then r plus is r, if r is negative then r minus r is equal to minus r minus. So, in general r equals or plus minus r minus and mod r equals r plus plus r minus.

So, now p partition and you define then you have p of p, f we define as sigma i equals 1 to n fxi minus f of xi minus 1 plus and then n of p f as sigma i equals 1 to n fx i minus f of xi minus 1 minus. So, then you have t p, f is equal to p of p, f plus n of p, f. And then fb minus fa equals p of p, f minus n of p, f straightforward calculations. Now define capital P a, b of f is supremum P of p, f taken over all partitions and N a, b of f equals supremum over n of p, f taken overall partitions

(Refer Slide Time: 15:31)



**Proposition:**  $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a function of BV, then

$$T^{b}_{a}(f) = P^{b}_{a}(f) + N^{b}_{a}(f), f(b) - f(a) = P^{b}_{a}(f) - N^{b}_{a}(f).$$

(Refer Slide Time: 16:20)





**proof.** So, f is BV. So, that means  $T^{b}_{a}(f)$ ,  $P^{b}_{a}(f)$ ,  $N^{b}_{a}(f)$  all finite. So, P any partition you have

$$P(P, f) = n(P, f) + f(b) - f(a) \le N_{a}^{b}(f) + f(b) - f(a)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow P_{a}^{b}(f) - N_{a}^{b}(f) \le f(b) - f(a).$$

Now, you use the same relationship again and now you write

$$N(P, f) \le P(P, f) + f(a) - f(b) \le P_{a}^{b}(f) + f(a) - f(b).$$
  

$$\Rightarrow N_{a}^{b}(f) - P_{a}^{b}(f) \le f(a) - f(b).$$
  

$$\Rightarrow P_{a}^{b}(f) - N_{a}^{b}(f) \ge f(b) - f(a).$$

And now that it will be less than equal to captain P a, b of f plus fa minus fb and from that if you take supremum again you have NA b of f minus P a, b of f is less than equal to fa minus fb and that will give you P a, b of f minus N a b of f is greater than equal to fb minus fa and so, you have the 2 (())(19:14) inequalities and therefore, you have these 2 are equal P a, b minus N a, b equals fb minus fa and that proves the statement here. Now for the you have the t of p f for any partition is equal to p of p, f plus n of p, f and therefore, you get immediately the T a, b of f this

is less equal to P a, b or f plus N a, b of f just a supremum. So, that is less than equal to P a, b of f plus N a, b of f.



(Refer Slide Time: 20:25)

Now, we want to prove the reverse inequality. So, for that you take T a, b of f is greater equal to t of p, f, which is equal to p of p, f plus n of p, f. Now, this n of p, f I will write as equal to p of p f, n of p, f is nothing but p of p, f minus fb minus fa. We just wrote it recently here instead of fa minus fb I am writing this, so, that is equal to 2 times p of p, f minus or minus fb plus fa. Now let me keep it minus fb minus fa.

So, now, if I took the supremum this is bigger than equal to 2 times P a, b of f, I am just taking the supremum and minus fb minus fa I have already I am going to use the equation there this 1 and therefore, I am going to write it as minus P a, b f plus N a, b f and that will give you a P a, b f plus N a, b f. And so, you have the reverse inequality also and therefore, you have the conclusion. So, this implies that T a, b f equals P a, b f plus N a, b f. So, this will helped us to make a very nice characterization of functions of bounded variation. So, we will see that in the next session.