Measure and Integration Professor S. Kesavan Department of Mathematics The Institute of Mathematical Sciences Lecture No-35 Dominated convergence theorem

(Refer Slide Time: 00:07)

Eq. (Fouries Tromsform) IR" equipped with the Leb wear. R = (x,, , , x), y = (x,, ..., x) R = (x, , , , x), y = (x, ..., x) R, IR = R, integrable . Fourier Transform A f: $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} e^{-i\pi i \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}(x) dx_{M_1}(x)$ 0 f. IR → IR integrable. Formier Transform A f: $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\Omega \mathcal{A}} e^{-\lambda \tilde{H}_{1}} \hat{J}(\xi) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-\lambda \tilde{H}_$ | f (ξ) = ∫ le^{2π/2} * ε / le(1) dm (1) < +00. -2mx:5, frai -) C frai an まっしま、 10=2112-3- fins) 5 Ifins) f ent By DCT, f(s) -> f(s). is fin a continuous for:

We will now look at a few examples of the application of the dominated convergence theorem. So, the first example.

Example: (Fourier transform) So, \mathbb{R}^{N} equipped with Lebesgue measure. So, given 2 vectors

$$x = (x_1, ..., x_N)$$
, $y = (y_1, ..., y_N)$, $x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i$.

So, let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ integrable, then the Fourier transform so, this is denoted by f,

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} f(x) dm_{N}(x) , \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}.$$

So, first of all it is well defined because

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi}| |f(x)| dm_{N}(x) < \infty.$$

So, \hat{f} is well defined and it is a bounded function and namely the L infinity norm of f hat is less than or equal to the L1 norm of the function f. The integral of the function mod f. So, now, we also have that e power minus 2 pi i x dot xi n f of x will converge to e power minus 2 pi i x dot xi f of x as x n converges to xi.

So, this is for xi in Rn and mod of e power minus 2 pi i x dot xi f of x is less than equal to again modulus of this is equal to 1 and this is less than equal to mod fx and f is integrable. Therefore, by the dominated convergence theorem we get f hat xi n converges to f hat xi that is \hat{f} is a continuous function. So, given an integrable function the Fourier transform produces a continuous and bounded function. In fact, it is a bounded and uniformly continuous function which can be proved also. So, that is one example.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:26)

Example: So, if a_{ij} are all non-negative, we saw

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}a_{ij}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}a_{ij}.$$

But it is not true in general.

You take you have 1 minus 1 0, 0, 0, 1 minus 1 0, 0, 1, 0, 0 minus 1 then 0 and so on. Let us go on like this. Then if you look at $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = 0$, $\forall i$. So, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = 0$.

But $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = \infty$. Because the first column gives you infinity and then you are subtracting

one at a time and therefore, this is giving you infinity and therefore, this does not agree in general. So, we are now going to give you a sufficient condition when the result is in fact true.

(Refer Slide Time: 06:40)

$$\frac{d_{i}}{d_{i}} = \frac{d_{i}}{d_{i}} = 0 \quad (i = \frac{d_{i}}{d_{i}}) = 0 \quad (i = \frac{d_{i}}{d_$$

$$\begin{cases} \xi(\xi) = \alpha_{\xi\xi}, \quad \xi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_{i}, \\ \xi(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\xi\xi}, \\ \xi(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_{i}, \\ \xi(\xi) = \sum_{i$$

So, let us assume so, assume for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, you have $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| < b_i$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j < +\infty$.

So, now, let us take $X = \mathbb{N}$, $S = P(\mathbb{N})$, μ -counting measure. And let us take $f_i(j) = a_{ij}$ and

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i$$
. So, $f(j) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$. Define $g(j) = b_j$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j < \infty \Rightarrow g$ is a nonnegative

integrable function. Let us define $g_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i$. Then gn tends to f and you have

$$|g_{n}(j)| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i}(j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} \le b_{j} = g(j).$$

mod gn and any j equals mod sigma i equals 1 to n fi, fj and that is less than equal to mod sigma i equals 1 to n aij and that is less than equal to sigma i equals 1 to n mod aij and that is therefore, less than equal to bj equal to g of j. So, gn converges to f and gn is bounded by g and g is integral. So, gn converges to f mod gn less than equal to g and g integrable.

Therefore, by dominated convergence theorem, you have that $\int_{\mathbb{N}} g_n d\mu \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{N}} f d\mu$.

So,
$$\int_{\mathbb{N}} f_n d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f(j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$$
. Now, $\int_{\mathbb{N}} g_n d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$.

Therefore, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$. Therefore, you have so,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{N}} g_n d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{N}} f_n d\mu.$$

Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$.

So, this hypothesis which we made here allows us to interchange the order of summation in general of course, this is not true (())(12:49). So, this comes from the dominated convergence theorem. So, the non-negative case came from the monotone convergence theorem and the general case comes from the dominated convergence theorem

(Refer Slide Time: 13:00)

PP: Stypi Assure & bodd. 1915M. ∫ifider ≤ M felE). E δ= ε/M σk.



δ = ε/μ σι.
Styp2. Dg. from from from the providence
for is hold on if for it is in
MCT Strap 7 frady <+ as
Z N, St. YNZN Siplay - Sfrah < E12. X X X
By Sing 1, 3 δ n.t. μ(ε) < 6 =) JIg 120 < ε12
Sice of Used.

HET
$$\int g_{n} 4\mu f \int g_{n} d\mu = + \infty$$
.
 $\exists N, n! \forall n \exists N \int g_{n} d\mu - \int g_{n} d\mu < g_{2}$.
 $G_{3} Simp 1, \exists S n! + \mu(E) < d =) \int g_{n} d\mu < g_{2}$.
 $\exists N = g \ Udd$.
 $=) \int g_{n} d\mu = \int g_{n} d\mu - \int g_{n} d\mu + \int g_{n} d\mu < g_{2}$.
 $G_{3} Simp 1, \exists S n! + \mu(E) < d =) \int g_{n} d\mu < g_{2}$.
 $G_{3} Simp 1, \exists S n! + \mu(E) < d =) \int g_{n} d\mu < g_{2}$.
 $g_{n} d\mu = g \ Udd$.
 $= - \int g_{n}^{n} d\mu < - \int g_{n} d\mu + \int g_{n} d\mu$.
 $\int g_{n} d\mu < \int g_{n} d\mu - \int g_{n} d\mu + \int g_{n} d\mu$.

Proposition: (X, S, μ) measure space, f integrable function, given $\epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ s. t. } \mu(E) < \delta (E \in S),$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{E} |f| d\mu < \epsilon.$$

proof: Step 1: Assume f bounded. So, $|f| \leq M$ and therefore,

$$\int_{E} |f| d\mu < M\mu(E).$$

Therefore, if you choose $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{M}$, then we are through.

Step 2: Define,

$$|f_n(x)| = |f(x)|, if |f(x)| \le n,$$

= n, if $|f(x)| > n.$

So, there is a cut off function. So, you take the function when it reaches a threshold of value n you cut it off and then fix it this as n then of course, fn is now bounded it is bounded by n and fn in fact increases to F and fn is non-negative.

So, by the monotone convergence theorem, we have $\int_{X} f_n d\mu \uparrow \int_{X} |f| d\mu < \infty$. Therefore, there exists a capital N such that for all $n \ge N$, you have $\int_{X} |f| d\mu - \int_{X} f_n d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. So, in by step 1 there (())(16:23) a delta such that $\mu(E) < \delta \Rightarrow \int_{E} |f_n| d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, since f_n bonded. And that tells you that $\int_{E} |f| d\mu = \int_{E} |f| d\mu - \int_{E} f_n d\mu + \int_{E} f_n d\mu \le \int_{X} |f| d\mu - \int_{X} f_n d\mu < \epsilon$.