

Mathematical Methods 2
Prof. Auditya Sharma
Department of Physics
Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal

Module - 02
Complex Variables
Lecture - 15
The Exponential Function

So, we have looked at the notion of analyticity, we have been looking at functions of a complex variable. We have seen some properties that analyticity endows a function with. There are many more properties which will also be discussed as we go along, but analyticity is a nice thing to have right.

So, it allows us to make general statements about functions; functions which are analytic have certain nice properties in the whole region of analyticity. And therefore, it is a desirable thing to endow our function with.

So, in this lecture, we will look at how the exponential function which is something which was defined for real variables to start off, and how the exponential function can be generalized for complex variables, keeping in mind the requirement or the desirability of making it an analytic generalization right.

So, we will look at how to start with the exponential function for a real variable and generalize it so that it remains analytic in as large a region as possible. We will also look at a similar exercise in the context of many other functions as we go along, but in this lecture it is all about the exponential function ok.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:45)

The exponential function.

We tend to take the exponential function of a complex number for granted since we are familiar with the Euler formula

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)$$

and we have learned to involuntarily apply these rules to evaluate the value of the exponential of a complex number. Let us step back a bit and ask what arguments would go into the generalization of a real function of a real variable to a suitable complex function of a complex variable. The key idea is to find a generalization such that the resulting function of the complex variable is analytic in as large a region of the complex plane as possible. Analytic functions satisfy many nice properties, and it is useful to generalize a function in such a way as to render it analytic. Another requirement is of course that the function must reduce readily to the usual function when the argument becomes real.

Using the above requirements for the exponential function, let us argue how the Euler formula appears as a consequence of analyticity. Starting from the familiar exponential function of a real variable e^x , we wish to find a suitable generalization to a complex argument. We wish to ascribe a suitable meaning for the function

$$f(z) = e^z = e^{x+iy}$$

So, we are all familiar with the Euler formula. So, it says that $e^{i\theta}$ is equal to $\cos \theta + i \sin \theta$. And one argument to see how this comes about is to write down this Taylor expansion on the left hand side. So, $e^{i\theta}$ actually expanded, and so you blindly take the argument to be $i\theta$.

And then you collect all the real terms at the imaginary term separately, and you can sort of argue that the series corresponding to $\cos \theta$ will be the real part, and the series corresponding to $\sin \theta$ will be the imaginary part right. So, this is one way to come up with a justification for this formula right.

So, in this lecture, we will look at how starting from the idea of an exponential of a real variable, if you wish to generalize this function to apply it on complex numbers, how can we do this in such a way that we have analyticity for this function in as large a region as possible right.

So, in general when we are generalizing functions so called to include complex variables, you know there are two requirements. One is to ensure that the function reduces to the same function that we are used to when instead of a complex number, you put a real variable. So, if you have $z = x + iy$ and if you put $y = 0$, it must give back the original function right.

So, this is an absolute minimum requirement; otherwise you would not even call it a (Refer Time: 03:34) generalization, but also we also would require; we would require that the generalized function is analytic in as large a region as possible. So, let us see how if we try to do this with the exponential function. We can actually sort of get another alternate way of justification for this Euler formula ok.

(Refer Slide Time: 03:51)

$f(z) = e^z = e^{x+iy}$

We first demand that the property $e^{x_1+x_2} = e^{x_1} e^{x_2}$ also extends to the generalization, so:

$$f(z) = e^z = e^{x+iy} = e^x e^{iy}$$

Now e^{iy} is some complex number which has a real part that is a function of y alone and an imaginary part that is a function of y alone. So we can write:

$$f(z) = e^z = e^x(g_1(y) + i g_2(y))$$

where $g_1(y)$ and $g_2(y)$ are two to-be-determined real functions of one real variable. Now we plug in the other essential requirement that the function reduce to the usual function when we make the argument purely real. So we must have:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(0) &= 1 \\ g_2(0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We demand that the function $f(z)$ be analytic, so the Cauchy-Riemann conditions must hold. Thus we impose the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial [e^x(g_1(y))]}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial [e^x(g_2(y))]}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial [e^x(g_1(y))]}{\partial y} &= -\frac{\partial [e^x(g_2(y))]}{\partial x} \end{aligned}$$

So, we start with the function e to the x where x is a real variable. And then we ask how can we give meaning to the function f of z is equal to e to the z where z is x plus $i y$ right. So, we start by demanding that this function-2 should have you know this familiar property e to the x 1 plus x 2 is equal to e to the x 1 times e to the x 2.

So, we are going to give meaning to this e to the z , but we also expect that this function will also satisfy this kind of a property. So, we should be able to write e to the x plus $i y$ as e to the x times e to the $i y$ right. So, e to the x is the same e to the x that we already know is just exponential of a real variable. Now, e to the $i y$ is where we have to provide meaning for this quantity e to the $i y$.

So, it has a real part and an imaginary part. So, we can you know think of this real part as some function g_1 of y right. So, it is necessarily a function only of y because the input is only y . And then it has an imaginary part which is g_2 of y right. So, as far as this function f of z is concerned, this function also has a real part and an imaginary part right, so which we

are used to thinking of as u of x comma y , and v of x comma y – these are the real parts and imaginary parts.

But here this u of x comma y , and v of x comma y have this special form. So, it should be u of x comma y must be of the form e to the x times g_1 of y , and v of x comma y is of the form e to the x times g_2 of y . Now, these functions g_1 of y , and g_2 of y must also satisfy this property that when you put y equal to 0, g_1 of 0 must go to 1, and g_2 of 0 must go to 0 right.

Only if this happens would we get back e to the x when y is 0 right. So, this is also an essential requirement to get a valid generalization of the exponential. So, now, we want this resulting function to be analytic in as large a region as possible. So, if this function is analytic, then it must satisfy Cauchy-Reimann conditions.

So, we have u of x comma y is e to the x times g_1 of y , and v of x comma y is e to the x times g_2 of y . And if you plug in the Cauchy-Reimann conditions with you know for these two functions u and v . We must impose the conditions $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$. And also $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ of e to the x times g_1 of y must be equal to $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$ of e to the x times g_2 of y . And also $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ of e to the x times g_1 of y must be equal to minus $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ of e to the x times g_2 of y right.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:00)

$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$

which yield:

$$e^x g_1'(y) = e^x \frac{d g_2}{d y}$$

$$e^x \frac{d g_1}{d y} = -e^x g_2'(y)$$

combining which we get:

$$\frac{d^2 g_1}{d y^2} = -g_1$$

$$\frac{d^2 g_2}{d y^2} = -g_2$$

These differential equations subject to the conditions $g_1(0) = 1, g_2(0) = 0$ immediately yield the answer:

$$g_1(y) = \cos(y) \quad g_2(y) = \sin(y).$$

Thus the generalization of the exponential is simply given by:

$$f(z) = e^z = e^x(\cos(y) + i \sin(y))$$

So, if we carry out these partial derivatives, this is an x differentiation with respect to x . So, it is just e to the x . And so you get the left hand side is e to the x times 0 to y , and the right hand side e to the x is for all practical purposes is just a constant as far as this partial derivative is

concerned. So, you can pull it out. And then dy by dy y will become d by dy because g_2 is your pure function of y .

So, you have e^{xy} times $d g_2$ by dy . And then the second equation becomes e^{xy} times $g_1 d g_1$ by dy must be equal to minus e^{xy} times g_2 of y right. So, if we combine these two conditions, so e^{xy} will cancel throughout. So, g_1 of y is equal to $d g_2$ by dy , but g_2 of y is minus $d g_1$ by dy .

So, if you take the derivative, you get $d^2 g_1$ by dy^2 is equal to minus g_1 . And likewise if you take the derivative of you know with respect to y in the first equation, then you get $d^2 g_2$ by dy^2 is equal to $d g_1$ by dy , but $d g_1$ by dy is the same as minus g_2 .

So, indeed both of these have the same second order equations which we know how to solve right. So, this is nothing but the simple harmonic oscillator problem. So, the solutions are either $\cos y$ or $\sin y$ or some arbitrary linear combination except in this case it is not quite arbitrary because we are given that g_1 of 0 must be equal to 1 and g_2 of 0 must be equal to 0 right.

So, that comes from this requirement that this function generalization will reduce to just exponential x when we put y equal to 0 . So, if we do this, so we can write down immediately that g_1 of y must be equal to $\cos y$ and g_2 of y is equal to $\sin y$. Therefore, a natural generalization for the exponential as we go from you know exponential of real number to exponential of a complex number is to say e^{xz} is $e^{xy} \cos y + i e^{xy} \sin y$.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:12)

By design this function satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann conditions everywhere in the finite complex plane, and is thus an entire function.

Let us state some of the properties of the exponential functions that we take for granted:

- $e^z e^w = e^{z+w}$, $e^z e^{-z} = e^{z-z} = e^0 = 1$
- $e^z / e^w = e^{z-w}$
- $\frac{1}{e^z} = e^{-z}$

The function $f(z) = e^z = e^x \cos(y) + i e^x \sin(y)$ is entire as we have seen. The derivative of the function is given by $f'(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [e^x \cos(y)] + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [e^x \sin(y)] = e^x (\cos(y) + i \sin(y)) = e^z$. Thus we see that our generalization automatically leads to a natural generalization of the derivative as well.

Let us also point out that there are some unexpected properties that appear for the generalization.

- Since $e^{z+2\pi i} = e^z e^{2\pi i}$ and $e^{2\pi i} = 1$, we find that in fact the function e^z is *periodic* with a pure imaginary period of $2\pi i$:
$$e^{z+2\pi i} = e^z$$
- Another property that e^z has but is not possible for e^x is that e^z can be negative. For example we have the magic formula:
$$e^{i\pi} = -1$$
- Given *any* complex number z_0 , it is possible to find a complex number whose exponential is z_0 .

Now, if you put x equal to 0 of course, this will immediately give us the Euler formula basically. So, it is the statement that e to the i , i theta or i y in this case. So, in place of e to the z you have e to the i y this is $\cos y$ plus $i \sin y$. So, this is a way to argue for the Euler formula right.

So, it is a generalization of the exponential function such that the generalization is analytic. In fact, it turns out that this function e to the z is analytic in the entire finite complex plane. So, there are no singularities anywhere in the finite complex frame. So, it is an entire function.

So, we have achieved both our goals and to the extent which is possible to the maximum extent possible. So, we have got an entire function. So, it is very nice. And so there are some properties of exponential functions that we take for granted. But we will state it here you know which well I mean it can be verified using these first principles right.

So, this definition, so if you take e to the z 1 times e to the z 2, it is the same as whether you do e to the z 2 times e to the z 1 and that is the same as e to the z 1 plus z 2. Again e to the z 1 divided by e to the z 2 is e to the z 1 minus z 2, and 1 over e to the z is e to the minus z right. So, these all follow from this definition of the exponential of a complex number.

And then we can also take the derivative of this function. It is an analytic function, everywhere it is an entire function. So, the derivative is well-defined everywhere in the finite

complex plane. And so if you take the derivative, we have seen that the value of the derivative is just given by $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$.

So, in this case, it is just going to turn out to be $e^x \cos y + i e^x \sin y$ which you can pull out the e^x , and then you can rewrite $\cos y + i \sin y$. And then you just identify that this function is nothing but e^z right.

So, if you take the derivative of the exponential function with respect to z right it is I mean it is evidently not dependent on \bar{z} . So, it is analytic everywhere. And so the derivative is well-defined and its value is the same as you know the value you have given to the function itself, which is very nice.

So, we see that not only does the function get a valid generalization, but its derivative formula also looks the same as if we are working with a function of a real variable right. So, these are all properties which are familiar from you know properties of exponential of a real variable, but there are also some properties of the generalized function, exponential of a complex number which are a bit counter intuitive.

So, let's look at some of these. One is that it turns out that this exponential of z is actually a periodic function right. So, we do not think of the exponential as a periodic function. But, when you generalize it, so you have $e^{z + 2\pi i}$ is the same as e^z times $e^{2\pi i}$, and $e^{2\pi i}$ is 1.

So, we find that in fact e^z is periodic with a pure imaginary period of $2\pi i$. So, you can add any integral multiple of $2\pi i$ to your z and if you take the exponential of this number, you get back the same answer as for the original complex number itself. So, in fact, the exponential function is a periodic function in the complex plane.

So, another property of e^z that is not there for e^x is that e^z can also be negative right. So, in general, it can be a complex number, and in fact, it can also be negative right. So, this gives the magic formula $e^{i\pi} = -1$ which is seen in the magic formula $e^{i\pi} = -1$ right so which is the famous formula which connects all the fundamental mathematical constants.

Also in fact you know you can find a complex number such that e^z is whatever complex number you want, not just a negative one. But, in fact given any

complex number z naught it is possible to find a complex number whose exponential is z^0 right.

So, you know this is a statement we will just make here. But this is the seed of how to find such a complex number the exponential of which will be a given complex number.

If we go further in that direction that will lead us to the next function which we will discuss in detail in a separate lecture, namely the logarithmic function. But, as far as this lecture is concerned, the focus is on the exponential function and that is all for this lecture.

Thank you.