

Algebra- II
Professor Amritanshu Prasad
Mathematics
Indian Institute of Mathematical Sciences
Lecture 80
Direct Sum Decompositions

(Refer Slide Time: 00:24)

Direct sum decompositions

If M is an R -module, we say

$$M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k$$

if

- $M_i \subset M$ is a submodule of $M \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.
- $\forall m \in M, \exists! m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$, with $m_i \in M_i \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$
 Such that $m = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k$.

(means: $M_i \cap \sum_{j \neq i} M_j = (0) \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$)

In this lecture, we will look at direct sum decompositions in greater than generality, than we did in the previous lecture. So, now, suppose, M is in R module, we say that M is the direct sum M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k . If firstly M_i is a sub-module of M for every i , let us say every i between 1 and k . And secondly for every element m of M , there exists unique elements, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k with m_i belonging to M_i or i between 1 and k such that m is equal to m_1 plus m_2 plus m_k .

So, this is similar to the decomposition into two parts, but then we also had this condition that $M_1 \cap M_2 = (0)$ being equivalent to the uniqueness. And in this case what this means is that, if you take M_i and intersect it with the sum of all the other modules, except M_i then this will be 0 for every i in between 1 and k .

So, that is the analog of the intersection 0 condition. But in any case, what we are saying is that M has a unique decomposition. So, you should try as an exercise to prove that the uniqueness of this decomposition is equivalent to this. And the proof is quite similar to the proof in the case where we just had a decomposition into two sub modules.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:50)

The calculus of projections:

Define $p_i: M \rightarrow M$ by $p_i(m) = m_i$ when $m = m_1 + \dots + m_k$
 $m_i \in M_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$

- $\text{id}_M = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k$
 - $p_i^2 = p_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq k.$
 - $p_i p_j = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k.$
 - $p_i \in \text{End}_R M.$
- (*)



And now we can do the calculus of projections in greater generality. So, again, you define p_i from M to M by p_i of m is equal to m_i when m has decomposition m_1 plus m_k with m_i belongs to M_i for 1 less than or equal to i less than k . And of course this is well defined because the m_i s are uniquely determined by m . And once again, we have the following conditions, the identity element of m is p_1 plus p_2 plus p_k we have p_i square equals p_i for every i and then we have $p_i p_j$ equals 0 for all i not equal to j between 1 and k .

And also we have that p_i belongs to the R R module homomorphisms from M to M which is a ring which we are denoting by $\text{End}_R M$. So, all these things appear are happening inside the ring $\text{End}_R M$. It turns out that this calculus of projection is actually equivalent to a direct sum decomposition. So, conversely let us call these conditions collectively star.

(Refer Slide Time: 4:45)

$$\begin{aligned} & m_i \in M_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq k. \\ \left. \begin{aligned} & \text{(partition of unity)} \cdot \text{id}_M = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k \\ & \text{(idempotence)} \cdot p_i^2 = p_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq k. \\ & \text{(orthogonality)} \cdot p_i p_j = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k. \\ & \cdot p_i \in \text{End}_R M. \end{aligned} \right\} (*) \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose we have $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \text{End}_R M$ satisfying (*).

Define $M_i = p_i(M)$.

Then $M = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k$.



Now, conversely suppose we have elements p_1, p_2, p_k belonging to $\text{End}_R M$ satisfying star. So, I just have a module M and I give you elements p_1, p_2, p_k which add up to the identity each p_i is its own square. Which in other words means that p_i is an idempotent. p_i, p_j is 0 for i not equal to j . So, this is called the orthogonality condition.

So, this is to orthogonality, this is the idempotence condition and this condition is sometimes called partition of unity. Suppose we have p_1, p_2, p_k satisfying these three conditions, then define M_i to be the image of p_i then we have M is M_1 plus M_k . And this again is not very difficult to prove, the fact that the M_i is actually a sub-module follows from the fact that p_i is an R module homomorphism.

So, that is not very difficult. And then the fact that each M can be written uniquely as a sum of m_1 plus m_2 will follow from the two, from the other axioms, the other three axioms, that is partition of unity, idempotence and orthogonality. It is a very nice exercise which I strongly recommend that you all try out.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:11)

Example: $R = M_n(K)$, K field.

R is a left R -module.

$n=2 \quad E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 $E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Let E_i be the matrix with 1 in the (i,i) th place & 0 everywhere else
 $1 \leq i \leq n$

Define $p_i(A) = AE_i$

Then $(p_1 + \dots + p_n)(A) = A(E_1 + \dots + E_n) = AI = \text{id}_R(A)$

So $\text{Id}_R = p_1 + \dots + p_n$ is a decomposition satisfying (*).

$M_i = \{A \in M_n(K) \mid \text{all entries outside the } i\text{th col. are } 0\}$

$M_2(K) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \oplus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

$m_i \in M_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$

(partition of unity) $\cdot \text{id}_M = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k$

(idempotents) $\cdot p_i^2 = p_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq k.$

(orthogonality) $\cdot p_i p_j = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k.$

$\cdot p_i \in \text{End}_R M.$

}

(*)

Conversely, suppose we have $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \text{End}_R M$ satisfying (*).

Define $M_i = p_i(M).$

Then $M = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k.$

So, let us look at an example of this partition of unity. Let us, take R to be $M_n K$ and where K is a field. And R is a left R module. And let E_i be the matrix with 1 in the i, i th place and 0 everywhere else. So, for example, if n equals 2, I will have E_1 is the matrix 1, 0, 0, 0; E_2 is the matrix 0, 0, 0, 1. In general, you will have these form, 1 less than or equal to i less than or equal to n .

Then what we have is, the identity homomorphism of M . So, what we will do is we will define a p_i of A . So, p_i is from $M_n K$ to $M_n K$ it is supposed to be an R module homomorphism. So, let us just define this to be $A E_i$, then p_1 plus p_n of A is A is $A E_1$ plus E_n which is A times identity because E_1, E_2, E_n add up to the identity matrix which is the identity of $M_n K$, identity of R as a left R module also it is easy to see that each p_i is in R

module homomorphism and so these p_i s form a partition of unity and they are idempotent and they are orthogonal.

This is identity R of A . So, it satisfies all those conditions on the previous page which I collectively called star. And what is M_i in this case? So, it turns out that M_i is all matrices with non-zero entries only in the i th column or all entries outside the i th column are 0. And indeed, you can see that R will be a direct sum of these sub modules. So, with example, in the two by two case, we have $M_2 K$ is the direct sum of matrices with nonzero entries in the first column and matrices with nonzero entries in the second column and these are actually left R sub modules $M_2 K$.