

Algebra-II
Professor Amritanshu Prasad
Department of Mathematics
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences
Lecture 46
Definition of a category

(Refer Slide Time: 00:15)

Categories

A category \mathcal{C} consists of

- a collection of objects of \mathcal{C} .
- for all objects A, B of \mathcal{C} , a collection $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ of arrows from A to B .
- for objects A, B, C of \mathcal{C} , a composition function
$$\mathcal{C}(A, B) \times \mathcal{C}(B, C) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, C)$$
$$(f, g) \mapsto g \circ f$$

In this lecture I will explain to you the definition of a category and give you some examples. So, a category \mathcal{C} consists of firstly a collection of objects of \mathcal{C} and secondly for all objects A and B of \mathcal{C} a collection $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ of what we call arrows from A to B , and the third piece of data that we have given is for objects A, B, C of the category \mathcal{C} and F , a function from $\mathcal{C}(A, B) \times \mathcal{C}(B, C)$ to $\mathcal{C}(A, C)$.

This function is actually a composition. So, we will call it a composition function, and this composition function is supposed to model the composition of functions. So, if f is a function from set A to the set B , and g is a function from set B to the set C , then $g \circ f$ would be a function from A to C .

So, that is the idea behind this, but here it is just an abstraction. So, you have given f here and g here you map it to what is the image is denoted by $g \circ f$. So, a category has three pieces of data, a collection of objects for each pair of objects. A collection of arrows and whenever you have three objects when you have arrows from A to B and arrows from B to C , an arrow from A


to B can be composed with an arrow from B to C, to give you an arrow from A to C. So, this is a three pieces of data and then there are certain axioms.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:43)

Satisfying the axioms

a) Associativity: for all A, B, C, D objects of \mathcal{C} ,
 $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$, $g \in \mathcal{C}(B, C)$, $h \in \mathcal{C}(C, D)$
 $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$ in $\mathcal{C}(A, D)$.


b) Identity: for every objects A of \mathcal{C} , $\exists \text{id}_A \in \mathcal{C}(A, A)$
 such that $f \circ \text{id}_A = f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$ for any B
 $\text{id}_B \circ f = f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(B, A)$ for any B .



Categories

A category \mathcal{C} consists of

- a collection of objects of \mathcal{C} .
- for all objects A, B of \mathcal{C} , a collection $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ of arrows from A to B .
- for objects A, B, C of \mathcal{C} , a composition function
 $\mathcal{C}(A, B) \times \mathcal{C}(B, C) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, C)$
 $(f, g) \mapsto g \circ f$



Satisfying the axioms. So, the first axiom is associativity for all objects A, B, C and D and f and arrow from A to B . g and arrow from B to C and h and arrow from C to D . you can compose these three into different ways. You can take h composed with $g \circ f$ or you could take $h \circ g$ and then f . So, this should be equal and there is an equality in $\mathcal{C}(A, D)$ and the second is the Identity Axiom.

It says that for every object A of C , there exists an arrow from A to A , such that if I take f circle identity of A this is equal to f for all f going from A to B for any object B of C , and identity of A circle f is equal to f for all f belonging to C B to A for any objects B , for any object B . These axioms now complete the definition of a category. So, category consists of objects and morphisms. Morphisms can be composed and they satisfy the associativity and identity conditions. Let us look at some examples.

(Refer Slide Time: 05:01)

Example: the category Set of Sets.

- objects - all sets
- Given sets A & B , $\text{Set}(A, B)$ = set of all functions from A to B .
- Given $f \in \text{Set}(A, B)$, $g \in \text{Set}(B, C)$
 $g \circ f(a) = g(f(a))$ the composed function.

identity fn. $\text{id}_A(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$.

The most standard canonical example of a category is the category of sets. I will just denote by set with an underline. It is the category of sets. What are the objects? All sets. And this underlines why I said a collection of objects. I did not say a set of objects because when you start talking about the set of all sets you run in to paradoxes. So, objects are all sets and given sets A and B the arrows from A to B is the set of all functions from A to B . And what is the composition?

g circle f is the composed function. And we know from set theory that the composition of function is associative and of course every set has an identity function, which takes each element of that set to itself and this satisfies the with this identity function you can prove the identity axiom for categories. So, if you compose with the left or right, with the identity function you get the function that you are composing with. That is the most fundamental example. Let us look at more interesting examples that we have already encountered in our study of algebra.


(Refer Slide Time: 07:39)

Example(s)

Group : objects are groups
 $\text{Group}(G, H) = \text{gp. homoms } G \rightarrow H.$

Ring : objects are rings
 $\text{Ring}(R, S) = \text{ring homoms. } R \rightarrow S.$

Given a ring R , $R\text{-mod}$: objects are R -module.
 $\text{R-mod}(M, N) = R\text{-module homomorphisms from } M \text{ to } N.$



So, maybe this is examples you can look at group, objects are groups. Group G, H is equal to group homomorphisms from G to H , you can talk about ring, the category of rings and ring R, S equals ring homomorphisms from R to S . We can talk about given a ring R , we can talk about the category $R\text{-mod}$. Objects are R -modules and morphisms $R\text{-mod}$ from M to N are R -module homomorphisms from M to N . In all these categories the arrows are actually functions. But the objects are all sets and the arrows are all functions. Let us look at a slightly different kind of example where the objects are not sets.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:36)


Example (A category with one object)

Recall : a monoid is a set M , together with a binary op
 $M \times M \rightarrow M$, denoted $(m, n) \mapsto m \cdot n$

Satisfying 1) Associativity, $m \cdot (n \cdot k) = (m \cdot n) \cdot k$ for all
 $m, n, k \in M.$

2) Identity : $\exists e_M \in M$ such that $e_M \cdot m = m$
and $m \cdot e_M = m$ for all $m \in M.$

Given a monoid, M , define a cat. \mathcal{C}_M with one object $*$.
and $\mathcal{C}(*, *) = M$, and composition $\mathcal{C}(*, *) \times \mathcal{C}(*, *) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(*, *)$
given by $nm = n \cdot m.$



So, a category with one object. So, recall that a monoid, a monoid is an algebraic structure, it is a set M , together with the binary operation $M \times M \rightarrow M$ usually denoted $m \cdot n$ goes to $m \cdot n$ or just m times n . Satisfying just two axioms, first is associativity which says that $m \cdot (n \cdot k)$, you can compute this in two ways, is equal to $(m \cdot n) \cdot k$ for all m, n, k in M . And the second is the identity axiom, which says that there exists a distinguished element maybe I will call it, yeah, let us just call it $e \in M$ belonging to M such that $e \cdot m$ is equal to m and $m \cdot e$ is equal to m , for all m in M .

So, these two axioms are sort of parallel to the axioms of a category and not surprisingly we can construct a category that is associated to this monoid. Given a monoid M define a category \mathcal{C}_M with just one object usually we denote it by $*$ and the arrows from M to M is, from $*$ to $*$ is just the set of elements of the monoid M and the composition map is given by \mathcal{C}_* , $*$ there is only object to worry about.

So, the only composition map that you have to worry about is from \mathcal{C}_* , $*$ cross \mathcal{C}_* , $*$ to \mathcal{C}_* , $*$ given by $n \circ m$ is $n \cdot m$ multiplication in the monoid. And then the associativity axiom for monoids corresponds to the associativity axiom for the category \mathcal{C}_M and the identity axiom for monoids, corresponds to the identity axiom for the category \mathcal{C}_M .

(Refer Slide Time: 13:15)

Conversely, given a category \mathcal{C} with one object $*$,
 $\mathcal{C}(*, *)$ inherits a structure of a monoid
 from the composition law: $\mathcal{C}(*, *) \times \mathcal{C}(*, *) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(*, *)$

Categories with one object \longleftrightarrow monoids.

And conversely given a category with one object, let us call it $*$, \mathcal{C}_* , $*$, inherits the structure of a monoid by using the composition law. So, from the composition law, the category

has a composition law and that give you a function from $C \text{ star, star cross } C \text{ star, star}$ to $C \text{ star, star}$ and this is a binary operation which gives $C \text{ star, star}$ the structure of a monoid. It is a monoid because the associativity law for the category gives you the associativity law for the monoid and the identity axiom for the category gives you the identity axiom for the monoid. So, what we see is that categories with one object are the same as monoids. Now let us look at somewhat synthetic appearing example.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:53)

Example: Consider the graph

$a \circ \text{id}_1 = a$

Check that \mathcal{C} is a category.

Consider the category whose objects are 1, 2, 3.

Given x, y , $\mathcal{C}(x, y)$ = the set of arrows from x to y .

e.g. $\mathcal{C}(1, 2) = \{a\}$, $\mathcal{C}(2, 1) = \emptyset$.

And composition is uniquely defined.

So, consider the directed graph, this sort of a visualization of a category. So, this graph has three vertices, 1, 2, 3 and we have an arrow from 1 to 2, an arrow from 2 to 3 and an arrow from 1 to 3 and we have an arrow from 1 to 1, we have an arrow from 2 to 2 and an arrow from 3 to 3. So, here I will call this arrow a , I will call this arrow b , I will call this arrow c . I will call this arrow identity 1, I will call this identity 2 and I will call this identity 3. You can probably guess the category that I am going to construct.

Consider the category whose objects are the three points 1, 2 and 3. In this case the objects are again not sets, they are just abstractions. I mean they are just symbols and given two objects $C \text{ x comma } y$ is the set of arrows from x to y . And so, for example $C \text{ 1 comma } 2$ is just singleton a , whereas $C \text{ 2 comma } 1$ well there are no arrows from 2 to 1, so this is the empty set. So, it is quite possible in a category for the collection of arrows between two objects to be empty.

And composition is sort of uniquely defined, because between any two objects there is only one arrow so if you want to compose $b \circ a$ then you must, it must be c because there is only one arrow from 1 to 3. There is only one possibility for composition. Now you need to check that composition is always defined that is given any two arrows in this category you can compose them.

So, for example, we know compatibly, so if I have an arrow from 1 to 1 and arrow from 1 to 2, I can compose them $a \circ \text{id}_1$ is a and so this in fact is the identity arrow of the object 1 and so on. So, I leave the details to you, check that C is a category. This is a special case of a more general category that is associated to a partially ordered set. When we studied Zorn's lemma, the definition of a partially ordered set we will use that to construct the category.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:14)

Example: Let (P, \leq) be a partially ordered set.


Define a category \mathcal{P}

- objects are the elements of P .
- Given $p, q \in P$, $\mathcal{P}(p, q) = \begin{cases} \{a_{pq}\} & \text{if } p \leq q \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- Given $p, q, r \in P$, such that $p \leq q \leq r$,

$$a_{qr} \circ a_{pq} = a_{pr}$$

Note: $p \leq p \forall p \in P$, so $a_{pp} \in \mathcal{P}(p, p)$, $a_{pp} = \text{id}_p$.

The example on the previous page comes from $P = \{1 < 2 < 3\}$



Example: Consider the graph

Considering the category whose objects are 1, 2, 3.
 Given x, y , $\mathcal{G}(x, y)$ = the set of arrows from x to y .
 e.g. $\mathcal{G}(1, 2) = \{a\}$, $\mathcal{G}(2, 1) = \emptyset$.
 And composition is uniquely defined.



Let P be a partially ordered set. Define a category which we will denote by script P whose objects are the elements of P and given p, q in P are, I want to say in this coset P the arrows from p to q , there is going to be only one arrow which I will denote by say a p q if p is less than or equal to q and no arrows if otherwise. And the composition is given by, so now the only way that you can have an arrow from p to q and an arrow from q to r is that p is less than or equal to q and q is less than or equal to r .

Then the composition of a qr with a pq is the only possibility which is a pr . There is only one such possibility. And of course note that in a coset p is less than or equal to p for every p and coset P . So, there is a pp in P pp and a pp is the identity of p . With this you can easily check the axioms for a category are satisfied. Now this category that I defined on the previous example, this is a special case of this category associated to the coset.



The example on the previous page comes from the set which has three elements and 1 is less than or equal to 2 is less than or equal to 3. It is a total order on 1, 2, 3 and of course 1 is also, less than or equal to 3. So, that relation gives rise, of course 1 is less than or equal to 1, 2 is less than or equal to 2 and 3 is less than equal to 3. So, maybe the best way to write is like 1 is strictly less than 2 is strictly less than 3, that is the linear order and if you look at it, this is what we would call a_{12} this what we would call a_{13} and this is a_{23} , this is a_{22} , this is a_{11} and this is a_{33} .

(Refer Slide Time: 22:14)

New categories from old.

- Opposite of dual category:
Given a category \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{C}^{opp} is the category whose
 - objects are the objects of \mathcal{C}
 - Given objects $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}^{\text{opp}}(A, B) = \mathcal{C}(B, A)$.

Exercise: Check that \mathcal{C}^{opp} is a category.





The next few examples are really ways of constructing new categories from old ones. So, the first is the opposite or dual category. So, given a category C , C^{opp} is the category whose objects are the objects of C . And given objects A and B , which are also objects of C^{opp} the arrows from A to B in C^{opp} are declared to be the arrows from B to A in C . And the associativity law for C turns in to the associativity law for C^{opp} and identity axiom also turns in to the identity axiom for C^{opp} . So, I will leave it as an exercise for you to check that C^{opp} is also a category.

(Refer Slide Time: 24:05)

Product of categories:

Given \mathcal{C}_1 & \mathcal{C}_2 categories, $\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$ is the category whose

- objects are pairs (A_1, A_2) , where A_1 is an obj of \mathcal{C}_1
 A_2 is an obj. of \mathcal{C}_2 .
- $\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2((A_1, A_2), (B_1, B_2))$ consists of pairs (f_1, f_2) , where $f_1 \in \mathcal{C}(A_1, B_1)$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{C}(A_2, B_2)$.
- $(g_1, g_2) \circ (f_1, f_2) = (g_1 \circ f_1, g_2 \circ f_2)$ for $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{C}((A_1, A_2), (B_1, B_2))$ & $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{C}((B_1, B_2), (C_1, C_2))$



The next construction of a new category from the old is the product of categories. So, given two categories C_1 and C_2 the category whose objects are pairs A_1, A_2 where A_1 is an object of C_1 and A_2 is an object of C_2 . And given, so we should also say what morphisms are, and so $C_1 \times C_2$ the morphisms from, this is A_1 , this is A_2 .


So we have an object A_1, A_2 and another object B_1, B_2 then a morphism from A_1, A_2 to B_1, B_2 is a pair consists of pairs f_1, f_2 where f_1 is an arrow from A_1 to B_1 and f_2 is an arrow from A_2 to B_2 and the composition law is given by $g_1 \circ g_2$, no $g_1, g_2 \circ f_1, f_2$ is $g_1 \circ f_1, g_2 \circ f_2$ for f_1, f_2 in C_1, C_2 and g_1, g_2 in C_1, C_2 for any objects A_1, A_2 of, A_1, B_1 and C_1 of the category C_1 and A_2, B_2, C_2 objects of the category C_2 .

And again it is fairly straightforward to check that with this specification we actually get a category. So, the associativity and identity axioms are inherited from the categories C_1 and C_2 . Now we come to the definition of sub categories, which is the last construction of a new category from an old one.

(Refer Slide Time: 27:27)

Subcategory: Given two categories C_1 & C_2 , we say that $C_1 \subset C_2$ (C_1 is a subcategory of C_2) if

- The objects of C_1 are a subcollection of the objects of C_2
- For all objects A, B of C_1 , $C_1(A, B) \subset C_2(A, B)$
- Given objects A, B, C of C_1 , $f \in C_1(A, B)$, $g \in C_1(B, C)$

$$\begin{matrix} g \circ f & = & g \circ f \\ \text{in } C_1(A, C) & & \text{in } C_2(A, C) \end{matrix}$$


Given two categories C_1 and C_2 we say that C_1 is a sub category of C_2 and we just use the sub set notation for this, if, well the objects of C_1 are a sub-collection of the objects of C_2 . Then for all objects A and B of C_1 , the arrows in C_1 from A to B is a sub-collection of the arrows in C_2 of A to B , and the third property is that given objects A, B, C of C_1 and morphisms $f \in C_1(A, B)$, $g \in C_1(B, C)$

C_1 B, C we have $g \circ f$. This is the composition in C_1 A, C must coincide with $g \circ f$ in C_2 A, C . In other words, the composition maps are compatible. This is an example of a sub-category. Let us look at some concrete examples of sub-categories.

(Refer Slide Time: 29:52)

Let $C_1 = \underline{\text{Set}}$, the category of all sets.

Let FI be the category whose

- objects are finite sets
- $FI(A, B) =$ all injective function A to B .

Let FB be the category whose

- objects are finite sets
- $FB(A, B) =$ bijective functions A to B .

$FB \subset FI \subset \underline{\text{Set}}$

So, the first one is, so let C_1 be the category of all sets, and I will define a sub category of it. FI , FI is short for Finite Injective, be the category whose objects are finite sets and arrows FI A, B is all injective functions from A to B . And let us define another category, FB be the category whose objects are again finite sets and FB A, B are bijective functions A to B . We need to check that FI and FB are indeed categories, but that is not difficult. You just need to know that a composition of injective function is injective and of course the identity function is injective and here the composition of bijective function is bijective and identity function is bijective.

And we have a hierarchy of categories here. FB is a sub-category of FI and FI is a sub-categories of the category of sets and there is a slight difference between these containments here, even the objects are not the same but we are restricting ourselves to finite subsets. So, the collection of objects here is smaller than the collection of objects here but in this containment the collection of objects here is the same as the collection of objects here.


What we have changed are the arrows. So, in the definition of a category it is not just important what the objects are, it is equally important what the arrows are. And now let me give you one last thing which I can put in between here and I will define a category of finite sets.

(Refer Slide Time: 32:39)

FSet : Category of finite sets

- objects are finite sets
- $\underline{\text{FSet}}(A, B) = \underline{\text{Set}}(A, B)$
↑
full subcategory.

Defn: $\mathcal{C}_2 \subset \mathcal{C}_1$ is said to be a full subcategory if $\mathcal{C}_2(A, B) = \mathcal{C}_1(A, B)$ for all objects $A, B \in \mathcal{C}_2$.



Let $\mathcal{C}_1 = \underline{\text{Set}}$, the category of all sets.


Let FI be the category where

- objects are finite sets
- $\text{FI}(A, B) =$ all injective function A to B .

Let FB be the category where

- objects are finite sets
- $\text{FB}(A, B) =$ bijective functions A to B .

$\text{FB} \subset \text{FI} \subset \underline{\text{FSet}} \subset \underline{\text{Set}}$



So, F Set is the category of finite sets, objects are finite sets and if you have two finite sets the arrows from A to B are the same as the arrows from A to B in Set of in the category of sets to all functions from A to B. When you have this condition, what you, this is the notion of a full sub-category. So, you have only restricted the objects but whenever you have two objects in your sub-category the arrows are the same as in the larger category.

What we have is that F Set is, sits in between FI and Set. And so we can formalize this notion of full sub-category into a definition. \mathcal{C}_2 a sub-category of \mathcal{C}_1 , is said to be a full sub-category if $\mathcal{C}_2(A, B) = \mathcal{C}_1(A, B)$ for all objects, A, B of \mathcal{C}_2 . So, the objects get restricted but the arrows do not.