

Algebra II
Professor S Viswanath
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences
Lecture 31
Linear Independence of Characters

(Refer Slide Time: 00:16)

Linear Independence of characters

Let G be a group and F be a field.

An F -character of G is a group homomorphism

$$\chi: G \rightarrow F^\times \quad F^\times = (F \setminus \{0\}, \times)$$

Thm: Let $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_n$ be pairwise distinct F -characters of G . Then the $\{\chi_i\}_1^n$ are linearly independent over F , i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_i = 0$ for some



Today we will take a short detour and talk about Linear Independence of Characters. So here is the, here are the definitions; so, let G be a group not necessarily finite and F a field. So, by a character will mean the following or maybe just to emphasize the field an F character of G is a group homomorphism let us call it χ from the group G to the group F^\times , which is the multiplicative group of the field. So, this is the field, delete the origin. Think of it as a group under the multiplication.

So, this is a definition of an F character, just a group homomorphism from G to the multiplicative group of the field. And here is the theorem says that, if I have a collection of characters $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_n$. Let us say $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_n$ be pairwise distinct characters, F characters of a group G , then the χ_i are linearly independent, 1 to n are linearly independent over F . So, what does that mean? In other words, if I take a linear combination, so other words if I take summation $a_i \chi_i = 0$. So, what are these now? χ_i 's are all interpreted as functions from the group G to the field F . And when I say summation, I just mean point wise summation for some a_i .

(Refer Slide Time: 03:05)

$$a_i \in F \Rightarrow a_i = 0 \quad \forall i=1 \dots n.$$

$$\text{Note: } \text{Maps}(G, F) = \left\{ f: G \rightarrow F \mid \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is} \\ \text{map of sets} \end{array} \right\}$$

is a F -vector space.

$$\forall f, f_1, f_2 \in \text{Maps}(G, F) \Rightarrow (f_1 + f_2)(g) := f_1(g) + f_2(g)$$
$$\forall \lambda \in F \quad (\lambda f)(g) := \lambda f(g)$$



Linear Independence of characters

Let G be a group and F be a field.

An F -character of G is a group homomorphism

$$\chi: G \rightarrow F^* \quad F^* = (F \setminus \{0\}, \times)$$

Thm: Let $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_n$ be pairwise distinct

F -characters of G . Then the $\{\chi_i\}_1^n$ are linearly

independent over F , i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_i = 0$ for some

$$\underbrace{a_i}_{\in \text{Map}(G, F)}$$



So, this is 0 for some elements a_i of F , then this implies that all the a_i 's must be 0. So, this is the usual definition of linear independence. The only little thing that requires explanation here is what we mean by this summation. I know what do you mean by a linear combination of characters? So, note, what we are probably thinking of is the following vector space. So, let me just call it maps from G to F . What is this?

This is just all functions, set maps, do not put any further structure on anything, look at all set maps from G to F , just a map of sets, such that F is a map of sets. So, this collection of all maps is in fact, is a vector space in the F , is a F vector space. What I mean is I have a notion of addition and scalar multiplication which satisfies the axioms. How do you add two maps?

Well, you just add them point twice. So, how do you add to have f_1 and f_2 , which are both maps.

In fact, I do not even need G to be a group for all this, any set will do. Then I define f_1 plus f_2 pointwise to be just this and how do you scalar multiply f , f_1 , let us here also use f . So, if I multiply f by a scalar λ from the field F , this is just I apply f to g , it is now an element of the field F and I multiply it by λ . So, this is now for all λ in F . So, these are the definitions, this is how addition and scalar multiplication of maps are defined and under these, I mean it is easy to check that with these definitions, it forms a F vector space.

And so, when we say linear independence here, what we mean is, this linear combination is thought of as an element of this vector space of maps. So, think of this as a now map from G to F , which is basically pointwise linear combination on every element of the group G , you just have to compute summation $a_i \chi_i$ of G . So, in this vector space, this is a set of linearly independent elements. That is the content of the (5:36).

(Refer Slide Time: 05:45)

Proof: Induction on n . For $n=1$, true, because
 $\chi_1: G \rightarrow F^\times \Rightarrow \chi_1 \neq 0 \therefore \{\chi_1\}$ is LI.
 let $n \geq 2$
 Assume true for $n-1$: $(*)$
 To prove the claim for n : suppose not, $\exists a_i \in F$
 st $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_i = 0$ (ie $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_i(g) = 0$
 $\forall g \in G$)
 By $(*)$, $a_i \neq 0 \forall i=1 \dots n$.



So, let us prove this now. So, the proof is by induction on n . So, I am just going to do this by induction. So, for n equals 1, this is trivially true. Why? Because a single character, so if I only have one character χ_1 , then that character is not 0. A character is definitely a nonzero map. Why? Because its range is actually inside F minus 0, because χ_1 is such a map, therefore χ_1 cannot be the 0 map.

The 0 map is the one which takes all the elements of the group to the element 0 of the field. So therefore, χ_1 itself is linearly independent. Let us put LI for linear independence. So

now let us assume that this is, this holds for $n - 1$, assume induction hypothesis that assumes this is true for $n - 1$. So, let us take n to be at least 2 for this. So, when I say assume true for $n - 1$, I mean for any collection of $n - 1$ characters, any collection of $n - 1$ characters is assumed to be linearly independent.

And now we need to show that any collection of n characters is also linearly independent. So, suppose not, so, to prove the claim for n , let us proceed by contradiction. Suppose not what does that mean? It says that there exists some linear combination which is 0. So, there exists scalars, that are elements a_i in F such that this linear combination $a_i \chi_i$ is 0. And we call what that just means is the pointwise sum $a_i \chi_i$ of g , it gives me 0, for all group elements G .

Now, observe that because of the induction hypothesis that every $n - 1$ among these n are linearly independent. So, by the induction hypothesis, maybe we will give it a name. So, we will say since this, so I will call this star the hypothesis by the induction hypothesis, what we conclude is that none of the a_i 's can be 0. Because even if one of them is 0, what you would obtain is a linear dependence relation among $n - 1$ or fewer of these χ_i 's, but we know that no $n - 1$ are linearly dependent.

So that is the, that is the conclusion. All the a_i 's are nonzero. That is what we have. Now, let us see. So, this little relation here is what we need. We know that this linear combination is 0. And what we are going to do is to substitute in place of g , we will substitute gh . So let us keep this in that, just copy.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:17)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_i(g) = 0 \quad \forall g \in G \quad - (1)$$

replace g by gh ($h \in G$):

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_i(gh) = 0 \quad \forall g \in G$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_i(h) \chi_i(g) = 0 \quad \forall g \in G \quad - (2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \boxed{a_i \chi_i(h)} \chi_i = 0$$



So here is what I know to be true. So let us, this is true for all g in G . So, in particular, it is true if I replace g by the element gh . So, I am going to replace g by gh , h is some element of the group, I do not care. Pick any element, replace g by the element gh . So, what do we get? Well, I get the new relation, $a_i \chi_i$ of gh is also 0. And I think of g as sort of varying here. I will fix h , fix h and let think of g as varying across the elements of the group.

So here is my my relationship. And what does this imply? This says that, well, I have to use somewhere that χ_i is a character, that it is a group homomorphism. And now is the time to use it. So, I am going to rewrite this as χ_i of h , χ_i of g is 0. Now, what does this mean? Well, I will reinterpret this equation as follows. This just says that this is true for all g in G .

So, it is almost like the original equation that I had. So, this was my original equation 1 and from that, I have obtained a second equation 2, in fact, I have obtained one such equation for every element h that I can fix. So, from one dependence relation that I had, I have generated many more dependence relations. So, observe, this just means that $a_i \chi_i$ of h , so I will rewrite this as $a_i \chi_i$ of h , think of that as a new constant times the function χ_i is 0.

So, now I have removed g from this picture. So, what does this imply? I have many different dependence relations among the χ_i 's. And therefore, it allows me to eliminate, so I can now do the following. I can, from these I can eliminate, so let us take these two. And let us eliminate χ_n from this equation. So, let us eliminate last fellow χ_n of g .

Now, what does that mean? Well, I have to multiply 1 by χ_n of h . So, how do I eliminate χ_n of g from these two equations? I multiply the first equation by χ_n of h , and I subtract it from the second equation. So, I, to do this, I have to multiply this by χ_n of h and subtract the second equation from it. So, let us do that and see what we get.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:20)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_n(h) \chi_i(g) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_i(h) \chi_i(g) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i (\chi_n(h) - \chi_i(h)) \chi_i(g) = 0 \quad \forall g \in G$$
$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i (\chi_n(h) - \chi_i(h)) \chi_i = 0 \quad \text{in } \text{Maps}(G, F)$$
$$\Rightarrow a_i (\chi_n(h) - \chi_i(h)) = 0 \quad \forall i=1 \dots n-1$$



So here is what we will get, summation i goes now only from 1 to n minus 1, because the last terms were to get cancelled a_i and so, let us write everything out for a moment $a_i \chi_n$ of h χ_i of g , this is my first equation with χ_n of h multiplied minus the summation $a_i \chi_i$ of h χ_i of g , goes from 1 to n . So, this is what I get. So, this difference is of course also 0. But now observe that the n th term in these two summations cancel each other This means that I can rewrite this as 1 to n minus 1 a_i and I get χ_n of h minus χ_i of h times χ_i of g is 0. And this is true for all g in G .

Now, what does it imply? This is exactly a linear dependence relation between the first n minus 1 χ_i so this I can think of as, I will just remove the g now from this, think of it as a linear dependence relation, which connects the first n minus 1 χ_i 's. So, this is now an equation if you think of it in the vector space all maps from G to F .

Now, what does that mean? We remember had assumed by induction that the any n minus 1 χ_i 's are linearly independent, but here is a linear dependence relation amongst them and all the, so therefore, all the coefficients have to be 0. So a_i into χ_n of h minus χ_i of h have to be 0, for all i equals 1 to n minus 1, but we recall had said that the a_i is themselves were all nonzero by the induction hypothesis, that means that the inside has to be 0.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:33)

$\chi_n(h) = \chi_i(h) \quad i=1 \dots n-1 \quad \forall h \in G$

$\Rightarrow \chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi_3 = \dots = \chi_n$ contradiction

COR: K field $\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(K) \subseteq \text{Maps}(K, K)$
K-vector space
is a linearly independent subset.

PF: Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in \text{Aut}(K)$ (distinct)

$\sigma_i|_{K^\times} : K^\times \rightarrow K^\times$ is a group homomorphism.



So, we conclude then that χ_n of h therefore, equals χ_i of h , and this is for i equals 1 to n minus 1. But remember this is the important observation h itself was arbitrary, we fixed h to be any element of the group G . So, this arbitrary choice tells you that well as characters, χ_1 , χ_2 , χ_3 are all equal to χ_n . But that is a contradiction. In fact, it is our original assumption was that, the χ 's are all pairwise distinct elements, so χ_n cannot equal any one of the previous ones. Here we therefore get a contradiction.

So that completes the proof, shows that the by induction, we have shown that any set of pairwise distinct characters is necessarily linearly independent. And so here is a little corollary. And it is in this form that this will find application. So, if K is a field, then look at the set $\text{Aut } K$. Recall, $\text{Aut } K$ is just a set of all field homomorphisms of K , this is, well, this is linearly independent. So, you can think of $\text{Aut } K$ as follows. You know, these are just, this is a subset of all maps from K to K , all set maps from K to K .

And as we just said, the set, the set maps from K to K is a K vector space. So, this is a K vector space. So, the set of automorphisms is well, it is it is not a subspace, it is a subset of the K vector space. And the claim is that this is a linearly independent subset of this K vector space. So, it is linearly independent over K . So, K is the only field here really.

So, we claim that any collection of automorphisms is, well, the set of all automorphisms is a linearly independent set. And, well the proof is, is rather easy, it just follows from the previous theorem that we have already shown. So, observe that to show that a set is linearly independent, just means you pick any finitely many out of them, you pick any finite number of elements from $\text{Aut } K$ and you must show they are linearly independent.

So, it is only a checking, only involves checking finitely, many at a time. So, let us pick finitely many automorphisms and show that they are linearly independent. So, let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n$ be distinct, let us assume they are all pairwise distinct automorphisms. And you need to claim they are linearly independent somehow by using the previous theorem.

Now, how do we think of them as characters? Well, they are maps from K to K . So, observe that each σ_i , I can think of as follows, I will restrict σ_i to $K \setminus \{0\}$. I will think of it as a homomorphism from the multiplicative group K^\times to itself. So, this is a group homomorphism. It is a group homomorphism.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:04)

$\sigma_i|_{K^\times}$ is a K -character of $G_i = K^\times$.
 \Rightarrow By our previous theorem, $\{\sigma_i|_{K^\times} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$
 is a LI subset of $\text{Maps}(K^\times, K)$
 $\text{Maps}(K, K)$
 $K = K^\times \cup \{0\}$; $\sigma_i|_{K^\times}$ and $\sigma_i(0) = 0$
 \Downarrow
 determine σ_i



So, if instead of σ_i , I look at σ_i restricted to K^\times , then this is therefore, this is a character, this is a K valued character. So, this is a K character if you wish, of what is the group involved group? Well a group is just K^\times . So, by the previous theorem, therefore, you can apply our previous theorem, by our previous theorem conclude that and what do we conclude?

That the collection of all σ_i restricted to K^\times from 1 to n is linearly independent subset of the set of all maps from G to K and G here is K^\times to K . But that is more or less all that we need, because observe that maps from you know, $K \setminus \{0\}$ to K . And maps from K to K are, well, they are almost the same thing. So, if instead of, so, I mean, what do the σ_i 's, what is the difference? σ_i restricted to K^\times .

And what is the extra point? K only has one additional point; K is just $K^\times \cup \{0\}$. And therefore, if I know σ_i on K^\times , then I know σ_i completely. Because observe

σ_i on 0 is 0. So, σ_i on K cross, if you know that and if you know σ_i , well, if σ_i is 0 is certainly 0, because it is a homomorphism. So, these two determine σ_i . This tells me what σ_i is.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:09)

LI of $\sigma_i|_{K^x} \Rightarrow$ LI of $\sigma_i|_K$ Exercise!



So, in other words and of course, one has to check this, but the linear independence of, so observe that the linear independence of σ_i restricted to K cross implies the linear independence of the σ_i 's that σ_i 's on all of K , σ_i on K . Why is this? Because, well, how can it possibly fail, only if it fails at the origin.

So, if there is some linear dependence relation at the, which somehow fails at the origin alone, but that is not going to happen. So, this is an easy verification. So, I should just say exercise maybe, so this is one additional point does not cause any problem. So, this is an important result which will be used to prove Artin's theorem.