

Algebra – II
Professor Amritanshu Prasad
Mathematics,
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences
Lec13
Eisenstein's Criterion


(Refer Slide Time: 00:15)

Eisenstein's Criterion

Thm (Eisenstein's criterion): Let $f(t) = a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_nt^n \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$,
 p be a prime such that

- ① $p \nmid a_n$
- ② $p \mid a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$
- ③ $p^2 \nmid a_0$.

Then $f(t)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[t]$.



In general, it can be quite difficult to prove that a polynomial is irreducible over the rational numbers; however there is 1 useful trick that works for many important polynomials and that is Eisenstein's criteria. So here is the statement, suppose you have a polynomial $f(t)$ equals a_0 plus a_1t plus a_2t^2 plus \dots plus a_nt^n .

And let us assume that the coefficients are in integers \mathbb{Z} , and suppose p is a prime such that the first condition is that p does not divide a_n , so p does not divide the leading term of this polynomial f and the second condition is p divides all the other coefficients, p divides a_0, a_1 up to a_{n-1} , and the third condition is that p^2 does not divide a_0 then the theorem says that $f(t)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[t]$. The proof will use reduction modulo p and proceeds by contradiction.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:04)

Suppose $f(t) = u(t)v(t)$, $u(t), v(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$


$$\frac{f(t)}{c(f)} = \frac{u(t)}{c(u)} v(t)$$

$$= \frac{au(t)}{c(f)} b(v(t))$$

So we can assume $f(t) = u(t)v(t)$, where $u(t), v(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$

$\bar{f}(t) = \bar{u}(t)\bar{v}(t)$, i.e., all the coeffs. of u & v except leading ones are divisible by p .

So $p \mid u(0)$, $p \mid v(0) \Rightarrow p^2 \mid f(0) = a_0$, contradiction.



So suppose we have a factorization $f(t)$ equals $u(t)$ times $v(t)$, where $u(t), v(t)$ well we need to prove that there is no factorization in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$, so we need to take $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ and $\mathbb{Q}[t]$, but then to apply reduction modulo p we would need these factors to actually have integer coefficients. So, we fix that by using Gauss's lemma.

So you look at the polynomial $f(t)$ by $c(f)$, where $c(f)$ is the content of f that is the gcd of all its coefficients, then this is $u(t)$ by $c(f)$ $v(t)$, we can take it like that, so $u(t)$ by $c(f)$ and $v(t)$ are both polynomials with rational coefficients, and then by Gauss's lemma well this $f(t)$ by $c(f)$ is a primitive polynomial and so by Gauss's lemma we can write this as a times $u(t)$ by $c(f)$ times $v(t)$ where a times $u(t)$ by $c(f)$ and $v(t)$ are integer polynomials.

So, we can assume that $f(t)$ is $u(t)v(t)$ where $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ have integer coefficients, just by replacing u by au by $c(f)$ and v by bv I guess, and now we have $\bar{f}(t)$, this is just this is just $a_0 \bar{t}^n$, no other term survive because of our hypothesis on f all the coefficients of f except the leading term are divisible by n , is equal to $\bar{u}(t)\bar{v}(t)$, but this means that all but the leading coefficients of u and b are divisible by p all the coefficients of u and v except leading coefficient are divisible by P .

In this factorization were assuming that u and v are non-constant polynomials, so in particular that means that $u(0)$ which is the constant term of u is divisible by p and also $v(0)$ is divisible

by P , but this implies that f of 0 which is u of 0 times, v of 0 is divisible by p square, p square divides f of 0 but that is just a_0 which contradicts this last hypothesis that p square does not divide a_0 , and so we conclude that f must be irreducible contradiction.

(Refer Slide Time: 05:36)


Example: $t^4 + 50t^2 + 30t + 20$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$.

Lemma: If p is a prime, then $\underbrace{t^{p-1} + \dots + t + 1}_{\Phi_p(t)} = \frac{t^p - 1}{t - 1}$ is irreducible.

Pf: $(t-1)\Phi_p(t) = t^p - 1$ $p \mid \binom{p}{k}$ for all $0 < k < p$.

$$t \Phi_p(t+1) = (t+1)^p - 1$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^p \binom{p}{k} t^k$$

$$\Phi_p(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} \frac{t^k}{t^{k-1}}, \quad \Phi_p(0) = p$$


Let us look at some examples so here is a simple example, just take the polynomial t to the 4 plus $50t$ square plus $30t$ plus 20 , now if you take p equals 5 , then you see that p divides all but the leading coefficient of this polynomial, p square does not divide 20 , so this is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}t$. But more interesting are examples a very interesting class of examples is this, lemma, if p is a prime then t to the power p minus 1 plus t plus 1 , so this is the polynomial t to the power p minus 1 divided by t minus 1 is irreducible.

You may look at this and say, well all the coefficients here are 1 , so how could I apply Eisenstein's criterion, so the trick is apply Eisenstein's criterion after substituting for t , t plus 1 . Now the thing is, if you take a polynomial and transform the variable like that, if the original polynomial was irreducible then the transformed polynomial would also be irreducible.

So apply Eisenstein's criterion to t plus one, so let us let us call this polynomial, well it turns out that this polynomial is actually the p th cyclotomic polynomial. I will explain that to you in a moment, so this is certainly a polynomial that is satisfied by a primitive p th root of unity and its

irreducible, so it is the p th cyclotomic polynomial, so apply Eisenstein's criterion to after shifting the variable to $t + 1$.

So, what we have is $t - 1$ times $\Phi_p(t)$ by definition is t to the power $p - 1$, and now let us just change the variable from t to $t + 1$, so if I put that then I get $\Phi_p(t + 1)$ is $t + 1$ to the power $p - 1$, but now if you look at this $t + 1$ to the power p , this is summation k goes from 1 to p I am removing the $k = 0$ term because it will cancel out with this -1 , p choose k by t raised to k times t raised to k .


But now note that p divides p choose k for all k between except for zero and p , and so what we get is that, this all all but the leading term of this polynomial, but now maybe I should look at divide by this t , so let me just, so what we get is $\Phi_p(t + 1)$ is summation k goes from 1 to infinity p choose k t to the power $k - 1$. Now this is a polynomial of degree $p - 1$, its leading coefficient is 1 , and all the remaining coefficients are divisible by p , and what is $\Phi_p(0)$, the constant term is just p , so that is not divisible by p^2 .

(Refer Slide Time: 09:49)

By Eisenstein's criterion
 $\Phi_p(t+1)$ is irreducible
 $\Leftrightarrow \Phi_p(t)$ is irreducible.

$\Phi_p(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} t^k$
 $\Phi_p(t+1) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} (t+1)^k$

$\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$
 Recall: $1 + \zeta_p + \zeta_p^2 + \dots + \zeta_p^{p-1} = 0$
 $\therefore \zeta_p$ is a root of $\Phi_p(t)$, which is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$
 $\therefore \Phi_p(t)$ is the irr. poly. of ζ_p .



And hence by Eisenstein's criterion $\Phi_p(t + 1)$ is irreducible, which means that, it is equivalent to saying that $\Phi_p(t)$ is irreducible. See if you have a factorization of $\Phi_p(t)$ say $\Phi_p(t) = u(t)v(t)$ then $\Phi_p(t + 1) = u(t + 1)v(t + 1)$, so you can get a factorization of $\Phi_p(t + 1)$, and

you can do the reverse by substituting t minus 1. So, the irreducibility of these two polynomials is equivalent so what we get is that $\Phi_p(t)$ is irreducible.

Now recall that we were defining ζ_p to be the primitive p th root of unity so this is $e^{2\pi i/p}$ and this satisfies $\zeta_p^1 + \zeta_p^2 + \zeta_p^3 + \dots + \zeta_p^{p-1} + 1 = 0$. So, use the formula for geometric series to check this. Therefore, ζ_p is a root of this polynomial $\Phi_p(t)$ which is also irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$, so what this means is $\Phi_p(t)$ is the irreducible polynomial of ζ_p .


(Refer Slide Time: 12:10)

i.e., $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}[t]/\Phi_p(t)$

$\Rightarrow [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) : \mathbb{Q}] = p-1.$

Corollary: If p is a prime, $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$ is constructible, then $p-1$ is a power of 2.

Converse is harder.



In other words, if I look at the subfield of the complex numbers generated by, so this is inside the complex numbers generated by ζ_p this is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[t]/\Phi_p(t)$ which implies that, the degree of this extension is equal to the degree of this polynomial $\Phi_p(t)$ which is $p-1$. So primitive p th root of unity lies in is lies in a field extension generates a field extension of degree $p-1$ over \mathbb{Q} .

We can just tweak this method a little bit to get in fact more cyclotomic polynomials, so but before that, one more corollary if p is a prime and $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$ is constructible, then $p-1$ is a power of 2, why is this well, of course we have already seen that if you have a constructible number, then it generates a field extension of degree equal to a power of 2.

So, $p - 1$ has to be a power of 2, by this earlier calculation. So, the converse of this theorem is also true but that is harder to prove, that is if $p - 1$ is the power of 2 then ζ_p is constructive.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:19)

Question: What about ζ_{p^m} , where $m > 0$?


$$\zeta_{p^m} = e^{2\pi i/p^m}$$

$$\zeta_{p^m}^{p^{m-1}} = (e^{2\pi i/p^m})^{p^{m-1}} = e^{2\pi i/p} = \zeta_p$$

$\therefore \zeta_{p^m}$ is a root of the poly

$$\Phi_p(t^{p^{m-1}}) = t^{(p-1)p^{m-1}} + t^{(p-2)p^{m-1}} + \dots + t^{p^{m-1}} + 1.$$

Claim: $\Phi_p(t^{p^{m-1}})$ is irreducible; $\Phi_{p^m}(t) = \Phi_p(t^{p^{m-1}})$



Now we'll try to get some further mileage out of Eisenstein's criterion. Let us ask what about so we have looked at p th roots of unity, what about prime power roots of unity, what about ζ_p to the power m , where m is a positive integer. Now let us see we can guess something here, so what is ζ_p to the power m ? this is $e^{2\pi i/p^m}$. What we have is that ζ_p to the power m to the power p to the power $m - 1$ is, $e^{2\pi i/p}$ which is just ζ_p .


So ζ_p to the power m raised to the p power $m - 1$ is ζ_p and so this will satisfy the irreducible polynomial for ζ_p therefore ζ_p to the power m satisfies is the root of the polynomial $\Phi_p(t^{p^{m-1}})$ which is just the polynomial $t^{(p-1)p^{m-1}} + t^{(p-2)p^{m-1}} + \dots + t^{p^{m-1}} + 1$.

And so the question is, is this really the irreducible polynomial of ζ_p to the m or not? and the claim is that, this is irreducible. The proof is exactly the same apply Eisenstein's criterion after replacing the variable by $t + 1$ slightly you know it is it is a different polynomial so obviously,

you need to check it again but the proof is the same in all respects I will leave it to you as an exercise to check.

So, what we are saying is that this is in fact the irreducible polynomial of the p to the n th root of unity. So what we get is ϕ_p to the m the p to the m cyclotomic polynomial is the p th cyclotomic polynomial evaluated at t to the power p to the power m minus 1 and so what is the degree here, the degree is p minus 1 times p to the power m minus 1.

(Refer Slide Time: 17:24)

$$\text{Conclusion: } [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^m}) : \mathbb{Q}] = p^m(p-1) = p^m(1-p^{-1}).$$
$$\text{In particular: } [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^m}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^{m-1}.$$


So, we have that, the degree of the field extension generated by p to the power m th root of unity over \mathbb{Q} is p power m minus 1 into p minus 1, which I like to write as p power m times 1 minus p inverse. In particular if you take powers of 2 then you just get 2 to the power m minus 1 the p minus 1 part goes away.