

**Mathematical Methods 1**  
**Prof. Auditya Sharma**  
**Department of Physics**  
**Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal**

**Ordinary Differential Equations**  
**Lecture - 81**  
**Convolution of functions**

So, we have been discussing ordinary differential equations, specifically we have been looking at Laplace transforms and how with the aid of Laplace transforms we can solve ODEs.

So, it is in this direction we are proceeding and so, there is a key operation involving functions which we want to discuss in this lecture, which will help us you know calculate Laplace transforms in an effective way and that is the idea of Convolution of functions right, which is a subject matter for this lecture ok.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:00)

**Convolution of functions.**

We define the convolution operation between two functions, and show how the Laplace transform of two functions is related to the Laplace transforms of the two functions.

---

**Definition**

Let  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  be two functions, defined for positive  $t$ . We define an operation called convolution that takes these two functions as input, and outputs another function. We define:

$$f(t) * g(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau) g(t - \tau) d\tau.$$

Changing the variable  $t - \tau = x$ , we have

$$f(t) * g(t) = \int_0^t f(t - x) g(x) dx$$

So, you know the reason why we are interested in the convolution operation which looks like a convoluted operation, which we will define in a moment is that you know what looks complicated in one domain turns out to be fairly simple in the other domain.

Namely, when you take the Laplace transform of a convolution of two functions, it is going to turn out to be very simple as we will see so, but let us start with the definition of this

convolution. So, if you take two functions  $f$  of  $t$  and  $g$  of  $t$  well I mean for the purpose of Laplace transforms we are looking at functions which are defined for positive  $t$  right.

But we will see later on how the idea of convolution can be extended to functions, which are defined from minus infinity to plus infinity because you know the variable  $t$  where when it takes all values from minus infinity to plus infinity. So, the operation is the following. So, you take these two functions  $f$  of  $t$  and  $g$  of  $t$  and then you integrate.

So, you take the product of  $f$  of  $t$  times a shifted version of you know  $f$  of  $\tau$  times the shifted version of this function  $g$  of  $t$  minus  $\tau$ . It is like a correlation function in some sense, but it is a you have to shift on these two functions by an amount which is you know  $t$  minus  $\tau$  right.

So, you take the value of the first function at  $\tau$  and the other one is taken at  $g$  of  $t$  minus  $\tau$ . You take the product of these two and then you integrate over all  $\tau$   $\tau$  goes all the way from 0 to  $t$  right and so, if you do this operation. So, let us see what happens if you change this variable. So, it looks like it's apparently treating the function  $f$  you know differently from  $g$  right.

So, but if you change this variable  $t$  minus  $\tau$  to  $x$ , we have  $f$  of  $t$  star  $g$  of  $t$ . The limits of integration now will go from  $t$  to 0 because it's  $x$  that we are integrating. So, if  $\tau$  is 0, then  $x$  is  $t$  and if  $\tau$  is  $t$  you get  $x$  is 0 and then you get a minus sign because  $d\tau$  is minus  $dx$  and now in place of  $f$  of  $\tau$  we have  $f$  of  $t$  minus  $x$  and in place of  $t$  minus  $\tau$  of course, we have  $x$ . So, we have  $f$  of  $t$  minus  $x$  times  $g$  of  $x$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 03:33)

Renaming the dummy variable  $x$  as  $\tau$ , and exchanging the limits while dropping the negative sign, we have:

$$f(t) * g(t) = \int_0^t g(\tau) f(t - \tau) d\tau$$

Thus, we see that the convolution operation is symmetric:

$$f(t) * g(t) = g(t) * f(t).$$


---

**Laplace Transform of a convolution.**

Next let us work out the Laplace transform of the convolution of two functions. Specifically, let  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  be two functions and  $F(s)$  and  $G(s)$  being respectively the Laplace transforms of the two functions. Let us work out the Laplace transform of the convolution of  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$ . We have:

$$\mathcal{L}[f(t) * g(t)] = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} \left[ \int_0^t f(\tau) g(t - \tau) d\tau \right] dt$$

But you have a negative sign here, which you can observe into this integral you know if you change these limits, you know instead of it going from  $t$  to  $0$  if you make it  $0$  to  $t$  this negative sign goes and so, we see  $f$  of  $t$  star  $g$  of  $t$  is the same as integral  $0$  to  $t$   $g$  of  $\tau$   $f$  of  $t$  minus  $\tau$   $d\tau$ .

So, you see that you know this is you know as if you have reversed the role of  $f$  and  $t$  compared to this definition therefore, indeed  $f$  of  $t$  star  $g$  of  $t$  is the same as  $g$  of  $t$  star  $f$  of  $t$  its completely symmetric. So, there is no issue with this definition right. So, this is an operation which takes two functions  $f$  of  $t$  and  $g$  of  $t$  and gives you another function right. And so, why would we bother doing something so complicated?

So, the reason is that if you take the Laplace transform of such a function. So, let us see what happens if you take the Laplace transform of a convolution right. So, I have  $f$  of  $t$  and I have  $g$  of  $t$ . So, if I take the Laplace transform of the convolution. So, the convolution itself is defined like we just saw as this integral  $0$  to  $t$ ,  $f$  of  $\tau$   $g$  of  $t$  minus  $\tau$   $d\tau$ .

And then I have to put in this factor  $e$  to the minus  $st$  and then I integrate from  $0$  to infinity there is a  $dt$  which comes in at the end. So, if I do this integral. So, I get a double integral now. So, I have  $0$  to infinity and integral  $0$  to  $t$   $e$  to the minus  $st$   $f$  of  $\tau$   $g$  of  $t$  minus  $\tau$   $d\tau$   $dt$  right.

(Refer Slide Time: 05:12)

$$= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^t e^{-st} f(\tau) g(t-\tau) d\tau dt$$

We see that this is a double integral with the region of integration that can be denoted pictorially as follows, considering a sequence of horizontal strips.

Some thought reveals that the same region of integration is obtained if we think of the following vertical strips instead:

So, this is a double integral. So, let us look at this pictorially. So, it is helpful to you know see what is going on here. So, I have my tau and t I plot my tau along the x axis and I plot my t along the y axis. So, if I fix the value of t, first of all I. So, the way I am doing this double integral is I will take t to go all the way from 0 to infinity, but that happens in the second step.

So, if I fix my value of t to be some value. So, write like here and then I will take tau to go all the way from 0 to t and then I will allow t itself to go all the way from 0 to infinity, right. So, that is what is going on here. So, I have some function here which is you know some function of t and tau, right.

And then it is just the limits of this integration are as follows. First of all I fix t and take tau to go from 0 to t and then I allow t itself to go from 0 to infinity right. So, basically I am covering this entire region which I you know which lies above this line t equal to tau and to the right of the y axis this entire region is covered.

But, I mean the same region I could think of in a different way I could construct using you know strips, which are along you know vertical strips instead of horizontal strips. If I looked at horizontal strips I got you know this region of integration I could cover using these limits. But if I could also construct the same region, ultimately you are doing a double integral over some region right. So, instead of summing over all these horizontal strips I can also think of summing over these vertical strips.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:18)

Therefore, the double integral we have for the Laplace transform above can be rewritten as:

$$\mathcal{L}[f(t) * g(t)] = \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} e^{-st} f(\tau) g(t - \tau) dt d\tau$$

Now, we make the change of variables:

$$u = t - \tau \text{ which goes from } 0 \text{ to } \infty$$
$$v = \tau \text{ which also goes from } 0 \text{ to } \infty.$$

Thus, we have:

$$\mathcal{L}[f(t) * g(t)] = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s(v+u)} f(v) g(u) du dv$$

which can be rearranged as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[f(t) * g(t)] &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sv} g(v) dv \int_0^{\infty} e^{-su} f(u) du \\ &= \mathcal{L}[f(t)] \cdot \mathcal{L}[g(t)] \\ &= F(s) G(s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have managed to show that the Laplace transform of the convolution of two functions is the product of their Laplace transforms.

09:14:22

So, if I do this then I see that how would I do this? I would fix tau to be a certain value and allow t to go from tau all the way up to infinity right. So, I could. So, do this instead of. So, same integral double integral I could perform if I fix you know if I allow t to go from tau to infinity I fix tau and then tau itself goes from 0 to infinity, right. So, you just have to look at this picture and convince yourself that this is reasonable right.

So, look at you know I go to a particular point look at one strip. So, what have I done? I have fixed tau to be some value, you see tau itself can take all values from 0 to infinity, but if I fixed tau to be a certain value right I mean you are supposed to think of a strip tau to tau plus d tau.

Within this strip I see that t cannot take all values t will take only values from tau or you know after all you are going to cover this the same region as here right. And in order to do this I will restrict t to go from tau all the way up to infinity right. So, why do I bother doing all this? So, you will see in a moment. So, now, it helps me with this change of variables.

If I change my variables and I allow u; I make u equal to t minus tau, which will go from 0 to infinity and v equal to tau which also goes from 0 to infinity, right. So, you see that you know t minus tau is this entire region above you know after t goes from tau to infinity.

So, t minus tau will go from 0 to infinity and v is just tau after all you see tau is just going from 0 to infinity. So, the limits of u and v are easy to fix if you do it this way and then you

have in place of  $t$  I have to put  $u$  plus  $\tau$ , which is the same as  $u$  plus  $v$ . So, I have  $e$  to the minus  $s$  times  $v$  plus  $u$  and in place of  $f$  of  $\tau$  I have  $f$  of  $v$  and in place of  $g$  of  $t$  minus  $\tau$  I have  $g$  of  $u$  right.

So, you can work out the Jacobian and that is going to be just unity. So, you just get  $du$  and  $dv$  in place of  $dt$   $d\tau$  will go to  $du$   $dv$ . So, we have converted one double integral to another double integral involving some different variables and why did we bother to do this?

It is because now we have both  $u$  and  $v$  being treated the same way basically. So, now, in fact, you can separate out these two and write it as two integrals. It is the product of two integrals, two single integrals, the double integral has been decoupled in some sense -using this change of variable.

So, I have  $0$  to infinity  $e$  to the minus  $su$   $g$  of  $u$   $du$  times  $0$  to infinity  $e$  to the minus  $sv$   $f$  of  $v$   $dv$ . So, which is nothing, but the Laplace transform individually of each of these functions right. So, this is the Laplace transform of  $f$  and this is the Laplace transform of  $g$ . So, it does not matter which order I put it.

So, the key point is that I have shown that the Laplace transform of the convolution of two functions is the same as the product of the Laplace transforms right. So, what is a complicated operation in the time domain is just simply a product operation in the Laplace transform space and that is where the power of this method comes from, right.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:53)

Let us look at an example of this plays out.

**Example**

We wish to find the inverse Laplace transform of the function:

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{s(s+1)}$$

We could of course just rewrite this function using partial fractions as

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s+1}$$

and look up the inverse Laplace transform from the table to get:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}[F(s)] = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s+1}\right] = 1 - e^{-t}.$$

However let us work this out using the convolution property. We have:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}[F(s)] = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s+1}\right] = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s}\right] * \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s+1}\right]$$

So, let us look at an example of how this plays out. So, suppose we wish to find the inverse Laplace transform of this function  $F$  of  $s$  is equal to  $1$  over  $s$  times  $s$  plus  $1$ . So, this is something that we know how to do right. We could just rewrite this function as one over  $s$  minus  $1$  over  $s$  plus  $1$  fraction expansion and then we know how to take the inverse Laplace transform of  $1$  over  $s$  we know how to take the inverse Laplace transform  $1$  over  $s$  plus  $1$ .

So, the answer is simply given by  $1$  minus  $e$  to the minus  $t$  right. So, as you can verify by taking the Laplace transform of this function, you will get back the same function that we started with, but let us work it out using the convolution property. So, what is this we have the inverse Laplace transform of  $F$  of  $s$  is the inverse Laplace transform of the product of these two functions.

But, we have seen that you know; what is a product in this Laplace transform of space becomes a convolution in the time space. So, that is inverse Laplace transform  $1$  over  $s$  convolution inverse Laplace transform of  $1$  over  $s$  plus  $1$  each of which we know how to do. So, it is just function  $1$  and when I say put  $1$  here it is the function  $f$  of  $t$  is equal to  $1$  convoluted with  $e$  to the minus  $t$ .

And this is nothing, but integral  $0$  to  $t$ ,  $1$  times  $e$  to the minus  $t$  minus  $\tau$   $d\tau$  which is simply  $e$  to the minus  $\tau$  minus  $t$  right. So, it is an integral over  $\tau$ . So,  $\tau$  minus  $t$   $0$  to  $t$  and immediately we get if I put  $\tau$  is equal to  $t$  I get  $1$  and if I put  $0$  I get minus  $t$ . So, I have indeed  $1$  minus  $e$  to the minus  $t$  which is exactly the same result we obtained directly earlier, right.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:41)

in agreement with the result we already obtained.

---

**Fourier Transform of a convolution.**

Just like the Laplace transform of a convolution, the Fourier transform of a convolution too allows for a particularly useful result.

Let  $g_1(\alpha)$  and  $g_2(\alpha)$  respectively be the Fourier transforms of the functions  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$ . Therefore we have:

$$g_1(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(x) e^{-i\alpha x} dx$$
$$g_2(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_2(x) e^{-i\alpha x} dx$$

Taking the product of these two Fourier transforms, and using different dummy variables that get integrated out, we

09:12

So, this is a very simple example where we had an alternate, even easier method to evaluate the inverse Laplace transform by, but there are other places where in fact, this convolution you know operation is a useful way of computing the inverse Laplace transform. So, definitely this is an important property to be familiar with.

So, let us look at also the idea of the Fourier transform of a convolution right. So, just like the Laplace transform of a convolution has this very nice convenient form, we can also look at the Fourier transform of a convolution and we will see that there is something you know simple which emerges there as well.

So, we have two functions  $f_1$  of  $x$  and  $f_2$  of  $x$ . So, now, it is the Fourier transform. So, you have to do an integral all the way from minus infinity to plus infinity, we have this factor  $1$  over  $2\pi$  right because that is the convention we are following. So, we have  $1$  over  $2\pi$  integral minus infinity to plus infinity,  $f_1$  of  $x$   $e$  to the minus  $i$  alpha  $x$   $dx$  and  $g_2$  of alpha is  $1$  over  $2\pi$  integral minus infinity to plus infinity  $f_2$  of  $x$   $e$  to the minus  $i$  alpha  $x$   $dx$  right.

So, if you take the product of these two functions the Fourier transforms then we have  $g_1$  of alpha times  $g_2$  of alpha is equal to  $1$  over  $2\pi$  the whole squared integral minus infinity to plus infinity again integral minus infinity to plus infinity. So, we will use some other dummy variables here, after all these are getting integrated out if you put the same  $x$  you will run into difficulties. So, we will say  $du$   $dv$   $f_1$  of  $u$   $f_2$  of  $u$  times  $e$  to the minus  $i$  alpha times  $u$  plus  $v$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 14:18)

Taking the product of these two Fourier transforms, and using different dummy variables that get integrated out, we can write:

$$g_1(\alpha) g_2(\alpha) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du dv f_1(u) f_2(v) e^{-i\alpha(u+v)}$$

Now making the change of variable  $x = u + v$ , we get:

$$g_1(\alpha) g_2(\alpha) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du dx f_1(u) f_2(x-u) e^{-i\alpha x}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-i\alpha x} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du f_1(u) f_2(x-u) \right]$$

If we define the convolution of the functions  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  as:

$$f_1(x) * f_2(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du f_1(u) f_2(x-u)$$

we see that

$$g_1(\alpha) g_2(\alpha) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-i\alpha x} \frac{f_1(x) * f_2(x)}{2\pi}$$

Thus we have the result that the Fourier transform of  $\frac{1}{2\pi} f_1(x) * f_2(x)$  is  $g_1(\alpha) g_2(\alpha)$ .

Now, we make the change of variable  $x$  is equal to  $u$  plus  $v$ . So, we have integral you know 1 over  $2\pi$  the whole square integral minus infinity to plus infinity integral minus infinity to plus infinity  $du dx f_1(u)$  and in place of  $f_2$  of  $v$ , we put  $f_2$  of  $x$  minus  $u$  and we have  $e$  to the minus  $i$  alpha  $x$ .

So, then we see that in fact, we can write this as  $1$  over  $2\pi$  the whole square integral minus infinity to plus infinity  $dx e$  to the minus  $i$  alpha  $x$  we can pull out this factor and then we have this integral minus infinity to plus infinity  $du f_1(u)$  times  $f_2$  of  $x$  minus  $u$ . So, if we look at this integral which is given in the square brackets, it is something similar to what we have seen.

So in fact, we can define this as the convolution of two functions which are defined all the way from minus infinity to plus infinity. So, it is a full integral minus infinity to plus infinity  $du f_1(u)$  times  $f_2$  of  $x$  minus  $u$ . So, this is also like you know taking a function taking the other function you know you have to you know shift it, but also there is a minus sign that you have to take and shift it by an amount  $2$  and then overlap the  $2$  and then integrate, right.

So, that is what this operation is. It is like a correlation, but with some shift involved and if you do this. So, then we see that in fact, the product of these two Fourier transforms is nothing, but you know this is like taking the Fourier transform of this convolution, but you have to be careful there is also a factor of  $1$  by  $2\pi$  involved.

So, what we have managed to show is, the Fourier transform of  $f_1(x) * f_2(x)$  is simply the product of the Fourier transforms  $g_1(\alpha)$  times  $g_2(\alpha)$ , right.

So, this factor  $1/2\pi$  you know appears in this manner because of the convention we have used for our Fourier transform definition and the inverse Fourier transform so on right. If you had used a slightly different convention we would have you know different factors, but the key point is that what is a convolution in one domain becomes a product in the other domain you know just like we saw for Laplace transform.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:35)

$$g_1(\alpha)g_2(\alpha) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du dx f_1(u) f_2(x-u) e^{-i\alpha x}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-i\alpha x} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du f_1(u) f_2(x-u) \right]$$

If we define the convolution of the functions  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  as:

$$f_1(x) * f_2(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du f_1(u) f_2(x-u)$$

we see that

$$g_1(\alpha)g_2(\alpha) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-i\alpha x} \frac{f_1(x) * f_2(x)}{2\pi}$$

Thus we have the result that the Fourier transform of  $\frac{1}{2\pi} f_1(x) * f_2(x)$  is  $g_1(\alpha)g_2(\alpha)$ .

We can also show that the Fourier transform of  $f_1(x)f_2(x)$  is  $g_1(\alpha) * g_2(\alpha)$ , so the general result is that convolution in one space becomes a product in the transformed space. The factors involved are a matter of detail and depend on the precise convention adopted, but the general result is true, and is often exploited.

So, indeed here it turns out that if you take the product of these two functions  $f_1(x)$  times  $f_2(x)$  and take its Fourier transform its going to be just the convolution  $g_1(\alpha)$  convoluted with  $g_2(\alpha)$ .

So, this is something that we will allow you to verify yourself. But the key idea is you know what is a convolution in one space will become a product in the other. And that is a property which you know which often we try to exploit because products are easy to work with and convolution is a much more complicated operation ok. So, that is all for this lecture.

Thank you.