

Mathematical Methods 1
Prof. Auditya Sharma
Department of Physics
Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal

Linear Algebra
Lecture – 36
Transformation of Basis

So, we have looked at the concept of a basis and we are also aware that the basis is not unique. You have typically infinitely many different kinds of a type basis that you can construct for a given vector space although the number of elements in every basis has to be the same, that is the dimension of the space and we have been concentrating on finite dimensional vector spaces. And so in this lecture we look at what happens when you know go from one basis to another, right.

Within a basis we have seen that all operators have representations matrix representations inside you know every basis and so, when you transform when you change basis the representation is also going to undergo a change. So, vectors would change from one basis to another how they look and likewise the representation for operators also will change and that is what we are going to look at in this lecture ok.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:28)

Transformation of Basis.

Transformation Matrix

We are familiar with the notion of a basis for a linear vector space. Although the dimension of the space determines exactly how many elements are in a basis, the basis itself is not unique. Suppose we consider two different bases for an n -dimensional linear vector space. Let them be $B_e = \{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, \dots, |e_n\rangle\}$ and $B_f = \{|f_1\rangle, |f_2\rangle, \dots, |f_n\rangle\}$. Since B_f is a basis, every element of B_e can be written as a linear combination of the vectors of B_f :

$$|e_j\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n T_{ij} |f_i\rangle \quad (1)$$

where we have defined a matrix of coefficients T_{ij} . On the other hand, since B_e is a basis, every element of B_f can be written as a linear combination of the vectors of B_e :

$$|f_j\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n S_{ij} |e_i\rangle$$

So, suppose you have a basis B_e I am calling it B_e and you have another basis B_f right. So, the first basis has the elements e_1, e_2 all the way up to e_n and the second basis has the elements f_1, f_2 all the way up to f_n , right. So, necessarily both of them have exactly the same number of elements that is n right because the dimension of the basis of the vector space is n , right. So, each of these bases will have n elements.

Now, at this point I am not demanding that either of these bases must be orthonormal right, that is the special kind of basis we will come to a little bit later. So, let us start very generally. We have two generic bases. So, since B_f is a basis, every element of B_e right I mean every element of B_e is a vector which belongs to that space and so, it can be expanded as a linear combination of the vectors of B_f .

So, if we do this you have you know e_j can be written as summation over $T_{ij} f_i$ summation over i right you can find some coefficients T_{ij} right for every for the j th vector in this basis you can find the coefficients T_{ij} and i goes all the way from 1 to n . Now, so, where we have defined a matrix of coefficients T_{ij} on the other hand B_e is also basis and every element of B_f can also be expanded as a linear combination of the vectors of B_e , right.

So, f_j itself can be written in terms of some other set of matrix coefficients some other set of coefficients S_{ij} right you sum over e_i sum over i $S_{ij} e_i$ right. So, you will always be able to find these coefficients such that you know every vector in one basis can be represented in terms of the basis vectors of the other basis. Now, if I start from equation you know f_j is this, but then in place of e_i , I will plug back in you know the expression from equation 1, right.

So, then this will give us a you know constraint for these two matrices. So, I have introduced this matrix of coefficients T_{ij} and another matrix of coefficients S_{ij} , but they are you know intimately related. That is what we are going to extract from doing this exercise. So, f_j is summation over i $S_{ij} e_i$, but in place of e_i , I will put in summation over k right. It is the dummy index I can choose k because I am working with i here.

So, $T_{ki} f_k$ and then I combine you know I exchange these summations. So, instead of summing i first I will put k first and then I will club these two summation over i $S_{ij} T_{ki}$ is

and then there is a f_k as well, right. So, this is possible only if. So, yeah, so it is more convenient to actually rearrange this and write this as summation over i T_{ki} S_{ij} right.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:44)

$$|f_j\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n S_{ij} |e_i\rangle \quad (2)$$

where another suitable matrix of coefficients S_{ij} has been defined. Combining Eqn.(1) and Eqn.(2), we have:

$$|f_j\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n S_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^n T_{ki} |f_k\rangle$$

$$|f_j\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n S_{ij} T_{ki} \right) |f_k\rangle$$

The only way this is possible is if

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_{ki} S_{ij} \right) = \delta_{kj}$$

In other words, the two *transformation matrices* are inverses of each other,

$$S = T^{-1}$$

so all transformation matrices are necessarily non-singular.

So, then you see that this is in the form of the product of two matrices if I think of T and S as two matrices then their product right must satisfy this condition it is going to be a diagonal matrix and in fact, the all the elements in the diagonal must be 1. Only then will you get back f_j right, you have a sum over k , but all these coefficients except when k is equal to j must be 0 and when k equal to j it must be 1.

So, the compact way to represent this, is to say that the summation is now this Kronecker delta δ_{kj} , alright. Another way of saying this is that T times S the matrix T times the matrix S is equal to the identity matrix or equivalently S and T are inverses of each other right and so.

So, that is what you know is the connection between these two transformation matrices right you go from one basis to the other and there is a you know this basis transformation necessarily must be invertible, right. You cannot have a non-invertible transformation. If you go from one basis to another then there is a way to get back to the original.

So, there is no loss of information in going from one basis to the other right that is what is meant by it being a change of basis ok. So, necessarily both these transformation matrices are non singular right, if it is a singular matrix it is not invertible as we know ok.

(Refer Slide Time: 06:13)

Transformation of matrix representations

Let A be a linear operator. We have seen that this operator has a representation in any given basis. Suppose we its representation in the basis $B_e = \{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, \dots, |e_n\rangle\}$. To do this, we must find the result of acting with this operator on every element of this basis. We have seen that if a_{ij} is its representation in this basis, it means that:

$$A|e_j\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}|e_i\rangle.$$

We are interested in the representation of this operator in the second basis. To work this out, let us operate with A on the basis vectors of the second basis. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} A|f_j\rangle &= A \sum_{k=1}^n S_{kj}|e_k\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n S_{kj}(A|e_k\rangle) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n S_{kj} \sum_{l=1}^n a_{kl}|e_l\rangle \end{aligned}$$

So, what happens to matrix representations and how do they transform right? So, you know we have looked at the basis vectors. So, in general you know vectors also undergo transformations, but so do operators, the representations of operators, right. Operator is an abstract quantity; nothing happens to an operator if you change basis, but the representation of the operator is different in different basis right.

So, let us say you start with B_e . So, you have e_1 all the way up to e_n and then you know to find out what you know what this operator looks like in this basis, you must find out what it does to each of the elements of this basis, right. So, like we discussed a few lectures ago, right. So, we saw that A acting on e_j is summation over $a_{ij} e_i$. So, you explicitly work out what the operator A does to each of the vectors in this basis and then you have.

So, basically you need to find out all these coefficients a_{ij} right. So, if you; so, this is the representation for this operator in this basis and likewise you know there is a representation for the same operator in a different basis, right, the other that is the other basis which I have called B_f .

Now, to work this out let us see how we can work out you know what this operator does to the elements of the second basis in terms of you know this transformation matrix and the matrix elements in the first basis of the linear operator, representation of the operator in the first basis we want to connect it to the representation of the same operator in the second basis ok.

So, in other words what we are interested in is finding out what A does to f_j . So, what A does to f_j is the same as what A does to summation over k $S_{kj} e_k$ because we have written f_j as summation over you know this i $S_{ij} e_i$ you know in place of I am putting k it is a dummy index it gets summed over. So, I have in place of f_j I put you know this summation and then it is a linear operator so, it goes right through.

So, I have summation over k S_{kj} you know the operator A acting on e_k . Now, but I know what happens to this vector e_k when A acts on it because I have written this out in terms of the representation in the first basis. So, let me go and fill that information in.

(Refer Slide Time: 08:43)

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n S_{kj} T_{il} e_l$$

Expanding $|f_j\rangle$ in terms of the second basis, using Eqn.(1) we have

$$A|f_j\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n S_{kj} \sum_{l=1}^n a_{lk} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{il} |f_i\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n T_{il} a_{lk} S_{kj} \right) |f_i\rangle$$

Thus we see that under the change of basis the matrix representation changes from

$$A \rightarrow T A T^{-1}$$

which is seen to be a similarity transformation. Thus under a basis change, the matrix representation of an operator undergoes a similarity transformation.

We have seen that any vector $|x\rangle \in V$ can be expanded in terms of the basis vectors:

$$|x\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i |e_i\rangle.$$

Under the change of basis, we get:

So, I have summation over k S_{kj} summation over l right again it is convenient to use another index other than i here. So, summation over l $a_{lk} e_l$ right. So, I am looking at what happens to e_k when A acts on e_k and so, I can plug this in here. Now you expand e_l in terms of the

second basis using equation 1, right; e_l itself can be written in terms of you know with the help of T_{ij} 's you can write it in terms of the basis f_i B_f , right.

So, then you have one more summation coming in. So, A acting on f_j is actually summation over k S_{kj} summation over l a_{lk} summation over i $T_{il} f_i$, right. So, you should cross check and convince yourself that this is indeed you know I have used the right symbols everywhere.

So, now I can regroup these summations in this way. I will bring the summation over i write at the beginning then I have a summation over k summation over l and then it is convenient to rearrange these coefficients in this way $T_{il} a_{lk} S_{kj}$ which gives it a very suggestive form right and then finally, you have a summation over f_i ok.

So, you see that you know this stuff inside the brackets is really the product of three matrices, right. So, what is happening is. So, we started with the representation a_{ij} , but now you can think you can see that this is the new representation for the same operator A , right. We are interested in what A does to f_j right. It must be written in terms of the same basis that will give you a set of coefficients which will give you another matrix right. You can call it a_{ij} tilde if you want right.

So, to avoid clutter I am going to just go to matrix notations. So, you notice that I have $T_{il} a_{lk}$ if you want you can start with these two and you know multiply these two matrices and then you go ahead and multiply these two matrices.

And, you should convince yourself that really what is going on here is nothing but you know the multiplication of the matrices T , a and S , but S is the same as T inverse as we have seen it has to be right because these two are you know transformations from one basis to the to another and back. So, therefore, it has to be T inverse.

So, all that is happening is your matrix representation is getting sandwiched between these two you know matrices one in the forward direction and the other in the backward direction. So, now you get TAT inverse, which is the similarity transformation as we have seen, right.

Whenever you sandwich a matrix between you know some other matrix and its inverse like here, then it is a similarity transformation, right. So, thus the matrix representation of an operator undergoes a similarity transformation, right.

So, likewise we could have also worked out what happens to any vector x right. So, if you are starting with some vector x . So, you can expand in this basis you get a bunch of coefficients x_i which we have seen can be thought of as a column vector, right.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:10)

$i=1$

Under the change of basis, we get:

$$|x\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sum_{k=1}^n T_{ki} |f_k\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_{ki} x_i \right) |f_k\rangle$$

Thus we see that under a change of basis, a vector X is transformed to:

$$X \rightarrow TX.$$

Transformation between orthonormal bases

Suppose we now assume that $B_e = \{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, \dots, |e_n\rangle\}$ and $B_f = \{|f_1\rangle, |f_2\rangle, \dots, |f_n\rangle\}$ are orthonormal bas

So, under the change of basis we have to just replace e_i with you know summation over T_{ki} f_k right we are interested in what it looks like in this basis. So, and then we have to regroup these terms and I have a summation over k you know this stuff acting on f_k . So, this stuff is the representation of this vector.

So, the vector is the same right, it is an abstract quantity right, if you wish you know this can be as different as you please as long as you know it satisfies the basic properties of vectors, when the representation is a bunch of numbers right, these x_i 's.

Now, those x_i 's will have a transformation if you go to a different basis it will look different. Thus we see that under a change of basis a vector is transformed to T times X , hence you

should look at this and convince yourself that indeed that all that is happening is you know this vector going from X to TX.

So, representation for an operator you know has this change and the representation for a vector undergoes this change when you do a change of basis right. So, now we look at what happens under a special kind of a basis change or rather when you have two special kinds of basis involved right. We have seen that it is convenient to work with an orthonormal basis, right.

So, when you have an orthonormal basis you get some extra constraints in built right which you know which makes also the transformation between these two such orthonormal basis also come with some special extra properties that is what we will look at, right. So, first of all we have this completeness expansion completeness relation right in each of the orthonormal basis.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:07)

Suppose we now assume that $B_e = \{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, \dots, |e_n\rangle\}$ and $B_f = \{|f_1\rangle, |f_2\rangle, \dots, |f_n\rangle\}$ are **orthonormal bases**. Invoking the completeness expansion in each of the two orthonormal bases, we have:

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^n |e_i\rangle \langle e_i| = \sum_{j=1}^n |f_j\rangle \langle f_j|$$

Using Eqn.(1), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n T_{ki} |f_k\rangle \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^n T_{li}^* \langle f_l| \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_{li}^* T_{ki} \right) |f_k\rangle \langle f_l| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n |f_j\rangle \langle f_j| \end{aligned}$$

The only way that this can happen is if:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_{li}^* T_{ki} \right) = \delta_{kl}$$

So, identity can be written as summation over i $e_i e_i$, but identity can also be written as summation over j $f_j f_j$. If you wish you know this is like this is the representation of your identity operator, right and it looks basically the same in any orthonormal basis, right that is what this result mean and we will exploit this to get some more constraints here for you know when you make a transformation between orthonormal basis.

So, see I is summation over $i e_i e_i$, but e_i in place of e_i I will putting this you know there is this way to go from you know right e_i in terms of f_k so I plug in this here and then I will use a different dummy index. I here, I have a k here. So, the power vectors instead of you T_{li} you get T_{li}^* right the conjugate has to be taken.

These are coefficients and then if I group them altogether and then I say when I have summation over k summation over l summation over i I bring in right into the sent or then I have summation over $T_{li}^* T_{ki} f_k f_l$. But, this must finally, equal $f_j f_j$ and f_j this matrix representation for the identity operator is going has got to be diagonal no matter which orthonormal basis you are implied. So, that means, this has got to be $f_j f_j$ and that the only way that can happen is if you get a delta function here, right.

So, this quantity must go to delta $k l$ and then you know. So, the double sum will become a single sum and then you get only diagonal terms, right. So, that is what you know that is the condition that is imposed upon these transformation matrices.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:02)

The only way that this can happen is if:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_{li}^* T_{ki} \right) = \delta_{kl},$$

which is the same as demanding that:

$$T T^\dagger = T^\dagger T = I.$$

Thus the transformation matrix of a transformation from one orthonormal basis to another orthonormal basis, is unitary. We can also show that a unitary transformation on an orthonormal basis results in another orthonormal basis.

But, if you look at this carefully you know this is the same thing as saying that T times T dagger is equal to T dagger times T is equal to I , right. This is just the same as the condition for this T to be a unitary matrix, right. So, a transformation that takes you from one orthonormal basis to another orthonormal basis is unitary right. So, that is our result.

So, for a unitary operator or unitary matrix, we know that the inverse is the same as the dagger itself; so, T^{-1} is equal to T^\dagger . So, the similarity transformation right which you know operators undergo when you change basis. So, doing TAT^{-1} inverse is the same as saying it is TAT^\dagger for a unitary transformation ok. So, that is all for this lecture.

Thank you.