## **Functional Analysis Professor S. Kesavan Department of Mathematics Institute of Mathematics Science Lecture 2.3 Hahn-Banach Theorems**

(Refer Slide Time: 00:19)



## **Hahn Banach Theorems.**

There are several theorems which go under this name. Basically the same thing and there are essentially two kinds of Hahn-Banach theorems. First one is called the analytic version, which deals with the extension of continuous linear functional defined on a subspace to the whole space. The second one known as the geometry question deals with how you can separate convex sets in the vector space by means of hyperplanes.

**Analytic Version.** We are going to first prove a fairly general result and then we will deduce the extension theorem as a consequence.

**Theorem.** Let *V* be a vector space over *R* (now I am specifically saying that this is a vector space over *R*) and let  $P: V \rightarrow R$  be such that  $P(x+y) \le P(x) + P(y)$ ,  $\forall x, y \in V$  and *P*( $\alpha x$ )= $\alpha P(x)$ ,  $\forall \alpha > 0$ ,  $x \in V$ . Let *W* be a subspace of *V* and let  $q: W \rightarrow R$  be linear such that  $g(x) \le p(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in V$ . Then there exists a linear extension,  $f: V \mapsto R$  such that  $f(x) \le P(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in V$ . So, what do we mean by linear extension? This means, *f* is linear and *f* restricted to *W* is the same as *g*. We are going to prove this using Zorn's lemma.

Refer Slide Time: 03:42)

 $\frac{P_{1}^{2}}{2}$  Let  $\nabla = \left\{ (1,1) \right\} \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\left\{ (1,1) \right\}$  and  $\left\{ (1,1) \right\}$ \*  $(\forall x \beta \in P$   $(\forall x \beta) \prec (\forall z \beta z)$ YCY2 and the is a lin setter of h,  $Q = \frac{S(y_0 h_0)}{h} + i \in \mathbb{I} \Big\{ 1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{$  $Y = \bigcup_{i \in I} Y_i$   $h: Y \rightarrow R$ <br> $h(x) = h_i(x)$  if  $xe^{i\theta}i$ h is dripped a lin actor of g.  $(1, h)$ GP, (John) & (Yh) VIEI.  $\overline{z}_{\alpha(n)} \Rightarrow \exists \alpha \text{ mark.}$  est  $(Zf)$  in  $\overline{C}$ 

## **Proof.** Let

 $P = | (Y, h): Y$  is a subspace of V,  $Y \supset W$ , h is a linear extension of g with  $h(x) \leq P(x)$  for all  $x \in Y$ . P is non empty because you already have  $(W, g) \in P$  W.

Now we are going to define a partial order on *P*. Ee say  $(Y_1, h_1) \le (Y_2, h_2)$  if  $Y_1$  is contained  $Y_2$ and  $h_2$  is linear extension of  $h_1$ . This defines a partial order on the space. We now take  $Q = \{ (Y_i, h_i) : i \in I \}$  to be a chain. What is a chain? A chain means any two elements are comparable i.e., if  $(Y_1, h_1)$  and  $(Y_2, h_2)$  are in *Q* then either  $Y_1$  is contained in  $Y_2$  or  $Y_2$  is contained in  $Y_1$  and corresponding maps are linear extensions. So, any two maps are comparable. Then you define  $Y = \bigcup_{i \in I} Y_i$ . This is again a subspace because *Q*is a chain, hence, given any two elements  $x, y \in Y$ , they belong in some  $Y_i$  and  $Y_j$ . But, then one of them is bigger than the other. So, both will belong to say  $Y_i$  or both to  $Y_j$ . So,  $x + y$  will again be there. Same happens with the scalar multiplication. So, this is a subspace. Now you define  $h: Y \rightarrow R$ such that  $h(x)=h_i(x)$  if  $x \in Y_i$ . Again this is well defined because if  $x \in Y_i \cap Y_j$ , then one of this will be bigger one, because they are comparable. Let  $Y_i \subseteq Y_j$ . Therefore,  $h_i(x) = h_j(x)$ . So, this is well defined. Because of the fact that you are dealing with a chain, clearly *h* is a linear extension of *g*. Therefore,  $(Y, h) \in P$ .

So, every chain has an upper bound; because  $(Y_i, h_i) \leq (Y, h)$ ,  $\forall i \in I$ . Therefore, every chain has an upper bound, and therefore, by Zorn's lemma there exists a maximal element  $(Z, f) \in P$ . So,

what is the maximal element? There is no other element which is bigger than this according to this order relation. Thus, we have  $(Z, f)$  is a maximal element in *P*, *Z* contains *W* and *f* is a linear extension of *g* So these are all the properties.





So, to complete the proof we need to show or it is enough for us to show that *Z*=*V*. Assume the contrary. So, there exists  $x_0 \in V \setminus Z$ . Now we will define  $Y = \{x + tx_0 : x \in Z, t \in R$ . So, *Y* is a subspace which of course contains *W*, because if we put  $t = 0$ , it will contain *W*. and of course it strictly contains *Z .*

Now, I am going to define a mapping  $h(x+t x_0)=f(x)+\alpha t$ ,  $\alpha$  to be determined. How do I want to determine  $\alpha$  ?  $\alpha$  to be to be determined such that  $(Y, h) \in P$ . Then we will have a contradiction because we have contradicted the maximality of  $(Z, f)$ .

So, we want to see if we can do this. So, *Y* already contains *W* and *h* is also linear. So, we only want to show that  $h(x) \le P(x)$ . So, we want to choose  $\alpha$  such that  $f(x) + \alpha t \le P(x + t x_0)$ .

Now, if *t*>0, divide through by *t*, so, you have  $f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$  $\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) + \alpha \leq P\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$  $\left(\frac{\lambda}{t} + x_0\right)$ . This is true for all x*x*and for all  $t > 0$ . So, this implies that for all  $x \in Z$ , you have  $f(x) + \alpha \leq P(x + x_0)$ . Now, if  $t < 0$ , you divide by  $-t$  and then do the same kind of calculation to get  $f(x) - \alpha \leq P(x - x_0)$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 11:28)



Thus, we have to find  $\alpha$  such that

$$
\underset{x \in Z}{\underbrace{\dot{L}}}[f(x) - P(x - x_0)] \leq \alpha \leq \underset{x \in Z}{\inf}[f(x) + P(x + x_0)].
$$

Up to now, we have used only one property of *P*, namely, positive scalars can go in and out of *P* Now want to use the other property which is like the triangle inequality kind of thing.

So, we take any  $x, y \in Z$ , then you have

$$
f(x)+f(y) \le f(x+y) \le P(x+y) \le P(x+x_0)+P(y-x_0).
$$

This implies  $f(y) - P(y - x_0) \leq P(x + x_0) - f(x), \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Therefore, the sup of left hand side is always less than the inf of the right hand side. Therefore we can always find an  $\alpha$  such that this is true and this completes the proof.

**Theorem (**Hahn-Banach). Let *V* be a norm linear space over *R* and *W* be a subspace, and *g*:*W ↦ R* be a continuous linear function. Then there exists *f* :*V ↦ R* continuous linear functional such that  $f$  restricted to  $W$  is  $g$  (i.e., it is an extension) and as a bonus we also have that  $||f||_{V} = ||g||_{W}$ .

So, we can preserve the norm of the linear functional. So, not only the functional can be extended, we can also do it without the norm going out of control.

**Proof**. We are going to define  $P(x) = ||g||_{W^{\perp}} ||x||$ . Then obviously from the properties of the norm,  $P(x+y) \le P(x) + P(y)$  and  $P(\alpha x) = \alpha P(x)$  for  $\alpha$  positive. We also have the  $g(x) \le P(x)$  (that is true because, in fact,  $|g(x)| \le ||g||_{W^k} ||x|| = P(x) \zeta$ . Therefore, there exists a linear functional  $f: V \to R$  (by

the previous theorem) such that *f* restricted to *W* equal to *g*, and of course,  $f(x) \le ||g||_{W^c} ||x||$ . This implies that *f* f is continuous and you have that  $f \in V^{\lambda}$  and  $f(x) \le ||g||_{W^{\lambda}}||x||$  for all  $x \in V$ . So you put  $-x$  in place of *x*. So it is true for minus  $-f(x)$ also. So it is true for  $||f(x)||i.e., \forall f(x) \lor \leq ||g||_{W^{\lambda}}||x||$ . Therefore, you have that  $||f||_{V^{\lambda}} \leq ||g||_{W^{\lambda}}$ . But  $f(x) = g(x), \forall x \in W$ , therefore, we have that  $||g||_{W} \le ||f||_{V}$  (because you are going to a bigger space, you are going to take the supremum of  $\partial f(x) \vee \partial \partial$  over a bigger set and consequently you will have a bigger supremum). So this proves the Hahn-Banach theorem completely.

(Refer Slide Time: 17:33)



Now, we want to prove the extension theorem also for a complex vector space. Before we do that, we want to look at the anatomy of a continuous linear functional on a complex vector space. **Proposition.** Let *V* be a normed-linear space over *C*,  $f: V \rightarrow C$  be a continuous linear functional. Then you write  $f = g + ih$ , where g, h are the real and imaginary parts of f i.e.,  $f(x) = g(x) + ih(x)$ . Then  $f(x)=g(x)-ig(ix)$ ,  $\forall x \in V$  and  $||f||_{V} = ||g||_{V}$ .

So, the real part is the major thing and that is why we can extend the previous theorem.

**Proof.** For  $x \in V$ ,  $f(ix) = if(x)$ . So, we get  $g(ix) + ih(ix) = ig(x) - h(x)$ . Therefore, you have *h*( $x$ )=−*g*( $ix$ )and therefore we are through. So, this proves the first part namely,  $f(x)$  can be written entirely in terms of the real part because of the complex linearity.

Now, one can write  $|f(x)| = e^{-i\theta} f(x) = f(e^{-i\theta} x) = g(e^{-i\theta} x) + ih(e^{-i\theta} x)$ . But, if you look at the left hand side you have  $|f(x)|$ , which is real. So, automatically *h* has to be 0 and therefore, you have  $|f(x)| \le ||g||_{V}$  ∨ |*x* | ∨ i and therefore you have  $||f|| \le ||g||_{V}$ . Now, on the other hand,  $|f(x)|^2 = |g(x)|^2 + |h(x)|^2$ . Therefore,  $|g(x)| \le |f(x)| \le |f||_{V^{\circ}} \vee |x| \vee \zeta$  and therefore,  $||g||_{V^{\circ}} \le ||f||_{V^{\circ}}$ . Therefore, you have that  $||f||_{V} = ||g||_{V}$ .

So, now, we go on to theorem Hahn-Banach again *V* norm linear space over *C.*

**Theorem (**Hahn-Banach)**.** Let *V* be a normed-linear space over *C* and *W* be a subspace of *V* . Let *g*: *W*  $\rightarrow$  *C* g be a continuous linear functional. Then there exists a continuous linear functional  $f: V \mapsto C$  with  $||f|| = ||g||$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 23:23)



**Proof.** We will write  $g(x)=h(x)-ih(ix)$ ;  $h=\lambda$  real part of  $g$ , which is a a real linear functional. Then you know that  $||g||=||h||$ . So, then by the real Hahn Banach theorem, there exists  $\tilde{h}: V \rightarrow R$ such that  $\tilde{h}$  restricted to W equals *h* and  $||\tilde{h}||=$  *i*| $h|$  $\vee$  *i*. These are all considering V as a real vector space. And now I am going to define  $f(x)=\tilde{h}(x)-i\tilde{h}(x)$ . Then  $||f||=||\tilde{h}||=||h||=||g||$ . Thus, it is enough to show that *f* is linear. Vector addition certainly goes through i.e.,  $f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)$ . Now, for any real scalar  $\alpha$ ,  $f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x)$  is also clear because  $\tilde{h}$  is a real linear functionals. So, we only have to deal with the complex case and by linearity it is enough to check for *i*. So,  $f(ix) = \tilde{h}(ix) - i \tilde{h}(-x) = i \tilde{h}(ix)$  Therefore, *f* is complex linear as well and the theorem is proved.

(Refer Slide Time: 26:28)



So, in all cases whether it is complex or real vector space, if you have norm linear space and a continuous linear functional on a subspace the Hahn-Banach theorem says we can always extend it continuously to the whole space and you can preserve the norm.

**Corollary.** Let *V* be a normed-linear space and  $x_0 \in V$ ,  $x_0 \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $f \in V^{\lambda}$  such that  $||f||=1$  and  $f(x_0)=||x_0|| \neq 0$ .

So, this says that the dual space is very rich there are lots of continuous functional. For every  $x \in V$  which is non-zero I can produce a special continuous linear functional which has norm 1 and whose value is a certain specific number. So, we can specify whatever we want here and therefore, this really you can construct lots of linear functionals using this particular thing.

**Proof**. You take *W* which is a one dimensional space spanned by  $x_0$  and then you define  $g(\alpha x_0) = \alpha \vee |x_0| \vee \iota$ . In particular,  $g(x_0) = ||x_0||$ . Then you can extend *g*. So, there exists  $f \in V^{\iota}$ such that *f* restricted to *W* is equal to *g* and therefore,  $f(x_0) = g(x_0) = \lambda |x_0| \vee \lambda$  and  $||f|| = \lambda |g| \vee \lambda$ .

But then, what is  $\zeta |g| \vee \zeta$ ?  $||g||=1$  because  $|g(\alpha x_0)| = |\alpha| ||x_0||$ . So, on the one dimension space *W*, *g*( $z$ )= $i$ ,  $|z|$   $\vee$   $i$  for any any  $z \in W$  and that means  $||g||=1$ . So,  $||f||=1$ . and that proves this.

**Remark.** If x,  $y \in V$  and  $x \neq y$ , there exists  $f \in V^{\delta}$  with  $||f|| = 1$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . All you have to do is to look at  $x - y$ (≠0). And therefore, you can find an *f* with  $||f||=1$ ,  $f(x-y)=||x-y|| \ne 0$ .

So, we say that V<sup>*i*</sup>separates points of V. That means, given two distinct points, you can find a continuous linear functional which takes different values at these two points so such that property is called separation of points.

(Refer Slide Time: 30:39)

 $G_0$   $V_n \lambda_2$   $\lambda_6 V$ . X  $\begin{array}{rcl} ||\infty\mathfrak{l} \leq & \sim \mathfrak{p} & |f(\infty)| & \equiv & \max_{f \in \mathcal{V}^*} |\{f(\infty)\}| \\ & & \downarrow \in \mathfrak{p}^* & & \downarrow \in \mathfrak{p}^* \\ & & ||f|| \leq \mathfrak{l} & & ||f|| \leq \mathfrak{r} \end{array}$  $\frac{\nabla f}{\nabla f}$  If  $\left|f(x)\right| \leq \left|x\right|$ .  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  $MCS$  $J f G V$   $If x = 1$ ,  $f(x) = |x|$ 

**Corollary.** Let *V* be a normed linear space,  $x \in V$ . Then

 $\|x\| = \int f \in V$ <sup>i</sup>,  $\|f\| \leq 1$  i f $(x)$   $\vee$  i = *max f* ∈*V* ¿ *,*||*f*||*≤*1 ¿ *f* ( *x* )∨¿ ¿ ¿

**Proof**. If  $||f|| \le 1$ , then  $|f(x)| \le ||x||$ . So  $\int_{f \in V^{\iota}, ||f|| \le 1} \delta f(x) \vee \delta \le ||x||$ .  $\delta$ 

On the other hand, we already found there exists  $f \in V^{\delta}$  and  $||f|| = 1$  and  $f(x) = ||x||$ . Therefore, you have that the supremum is in fact equal to the norm. Now, this is the starting point of a very interesting concept which we will see next.