Functional Analysis Professor. S. Kesavan Department of Mathematics The Institute of Mathematical Sciences Lecture No. 39 Completeness

(Refer Slide Time: 00:16)

 $\frac{1}{1+20R\epsilon r^2}$ let (x, s, μ) be a recourse op. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $\frac{p}{p}(\mu)$ A) is a Banach space. Proof Code 1: 15P < 00 let $$3$ be Counchery in P (p). Evangle to draw I a cgt. radising. Choose Star 3 ml $\|\varphi_{n_k} - \varphi_{n_{k+1}}\|_{\varphi} \leq \frac{1}{2^{k}}$
 $g_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\varphi_{n_k}(x) - \varphi_{n_k}(x)|$ $q(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(4 \frac{1}{n_k} (x) - \frac{p}{n_k} (x) \right)$ $0 \leq \frac{a}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3$ 3) 9/07/2018 - 2019 al Public d.e.

We will now prove an important theorem,

Theorem: Let (X, ζ, μ) be a measure space. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $L^p(\mu)$ is a Banach space. So, L^p is a space of equivalence classes with respect to relation of equality almost everywhere, with the p integrability norm and, or if it is essentially bounded, then it is a norm infinity and so each of these spaces is complete.

Proof: So first case we will take $1 \leq p < \infty$, because these involve integral, so the arguments are slightly different. So, let $\{f_n\}$ be Cauchy in $L^p(\mu)$. So, we have to show that this converges to some function in L^p . So, enough to show there exists a convergent subsequence because we have a Cauchy sequence and you have a convergence subsequence and the entire Cauchy sequence will converge to the same limit. So, it is enough to show there exists a convergence subsequence.

Now because you have a Cauchy sequence, you can always find a subsequence such that consecutive terms are as close to each other as you prescribe.

This we have done several times before. So, choose subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}\$ such that \vert \vert' \mathbf{I} \vert $||f_{n_k} - f_{n_{k+1}}|| \le \frac{1}{2^k}$ so you can always do this. So and we now define $-f_{n_{k+1}}$ ‖ \overline{p} $\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$ 2^k $g_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| f_{n_{n+1}}(x) - f_{n_n}(x) \right|$ and then you define $g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| f_{n_{n+1}}(x) - f_{n_n}(x) \right|$. So then of $k=1$ n $\sum_{k=1}^{n}$ $\left| f_{n_{k+1}} \right|$ $(x) - f_{n_k}$ (x) | | | | | | $g(x) =$ $k=1$ ∞ $\sum_{k=1}^{n}$ $\left| f_{n_{k+1}} \right|$ $(x) - f_{n_k}$ (x) | | | | | | course, you have that g_n ^{\uparrow} g . So, it is a monotonically increasing sequence for each x, because you are taking 1 to n and then you are adding positive terms more and more. So, this is a monotonically increasing sequence and you have that it increases to $g(x)$ and also by the triangle inequality, $||g_n|| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ||f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}|| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k}$, that is a geometric series and I can estimate it \overline{p} ≤ $k=1$ n $\sum_{k=1}$ $\|f_{n_{k+1}}\|$ $-f_{n_k}$ ‖ p ≤ $k=1$ n $\sum \frac{1}{k}$ 2^k higher by 1 to ∞ , so that is less than equal to 1. So, $0 \leq g_n$ and it increases to g, and $||g_n|| \leq 1$, \overline{p} $\leq 1,$ so the monotone convergence theorem, you have $||g||_p \leq 1$, because the $\int |g_n|^p$ will converge to $\int |g|^p$. That is the monotone convergence theorem and therefore $\int |g|^p \leq 1$. So in particular, we

have $g(x)$ is finite almost everywhere. So, except on a set of measure zero. Because its p integral is less than equal to 1, so it cannot be infinity on a set of positive measure. So, it has to be finite everywhere.

(Refer Slide Time: 05:11)

 $2f$ $4 > 2 > 2$ $|f_{\gamma_{k-1}}^{\omega} - f_{\gamma_{k}}(\omega)| \leq |f_{\gamma_{k}}(\omega) - f_{\gamma_{k-1}}(\omega)| + |f_{\gamma_{k-1}}^{\omega} - f_{\gamma_{k-1}}(\omega)| + \dots + |f_{\gamma_{k}}(\omega) - f_{\gamma_{k}}(\omega)| = g_{\gamma_{k-1}}^{\omega} - 2g_{\gamma_{k}}^{\omega}$ For almost every x_3 $\begin{cases} f_1(x) \\ f_2(x) \end{cases}$ Cancley.

Define line $f_1(x) = f(x)$ whenever if exists

Define line $f_1(x) = f(x)$ whenever if exists
 \circ absenting (on a not of mannegles) $|\hat{f}_n(x) - \hat{f}(x)| \leq \hat{f}(x)$ a e. \Rightarrow f \in $L^{\circ}(\mu)$. $\begin{array}{l} |f_{\mathbf{r}_k}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})|^p \longrightarrow_{\mathcal{D}} \quad \text{a.e.} \\ |f_{\mathbf{r}_k}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})|^p \leq \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\mathbf{x}| \leq \min\{ \text{argvalue} \} \end{array}$

And further if $k \ge l \ge 2$, then you have

 $f_{n_k}(x) - f_{n_l}(x) \le |f_{n_k}(x) - f_{n_{k-1}}(x)| + |f_{n_{k-1}}(x) - f_{n_{k-2}}(x)| + \cdots + |f_{n_{l+1}}(x) - f_{n_l}(x)|$. $(x) - f_{n_l}$ (x) | | | | | | $\leq \left| f_{n_k} \right|$ $f_{n_{k-1}}$ (x) | | | | | | $+$ $|f_{n_{k-1}}$ $(x) - f_{n_{k-2}}$ (x) | | | | | | $+ \cdots + \left| f_{n_{l+1}} \right|$ $(x) - f_{n_l}$ (x) | | | | | | Now, this is, what is this, this is nothing but $g_k(x) - g_{l-1}(x)$ and therefore that is less than equal to $g(x) - g_{l-1}(x)$. But you know that $\{g_n\}$ converges to g point wise almost everywhere. Therefore for almost every x, we have $\left|f_{n_k}(x) - f_{n_l}(x)\right| \le g_k(x) - g_{l-1}(x)$ which goes to 0 as $(x) - f_{n_l}$ (x) | | | | | | $\leq g_k(x) - g_{l-1}(x)$ which goes to 0 as l tends to infinity and therefore, $\{f_{n_k}(x)\}\)$ is Cauchy and therefore, you let f, so define (x) \vert \vert' \mathbf{I} is Cauchy and therefore, you let f , $f_{n_k}(x) = f(x)$. Whenever it exists and 0 elsewhere and this is on a set of measure zero, because $(x) = f(x)$. Whenever it exists and 0 almost everywhere it converges and therefore you have this. So, we now have a candidate and we have to check if this candidate is in L^p and if you can converge in L^p . So $g(x) - g_{l-1}(x)$ is of course less than equal to $g(x)$ also, so that is you already have. So, now if you take *l* tending to infinity, in this thing, so you get $|f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)| \le g(x)$, almost everywhere. Because except on $(x) - f(x)$ | | | | | | $\leq g(x)$ a set of measure zero, there everything is zero and we do not have to worry. So, g is in L^p , because $||g||_p \leq 1$, f_{n_k} is in L^p because it is a finite sum of, it is anyway in L^p , that is given to you, L^p because it is a finite sum of, it is anyway in L^p

so $\left|f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)\right| \leq g(x)$ implies that $f \in L^p$ and then what, you have $(x) - f(x)$ | | | | | | $\leq g(x)$ implies that $f \in L^p$ and then what, you have $\left| f_{n_k} \right|$ $(x) - f(x)$ | | | | | | \overline{p} $\rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere of course, and $\left|f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)\right| \leq g^{\nu}(x)$, and this is integrable. Because $(x) - f(x)$ | | | | | | \overline{p} $\leq g^p(x)$, $\int g^p \leq 1$.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:30)

Define	lim	1, (a) = f(a)	volume	if	with
0	absuclidean	(on a not 1) namely			
$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) \leq \hat{f}(a)$ \n	a.e.				
$\Rightarrow \hat{f} \in L^{0}(\mu)$ \n	$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) ^{2} \Rightarrow 0 \quad a.e.$				
$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) ^{2} \Rightarrow 0 \quad a.e.$					
$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) ^{2} \Rightarrow 0 \quad a.e.$					
$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) ^{2} \Rightarrow 0 \quad a.e.$					
$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) ^{2} \Rightarrow 0 \quad a.e.$					
$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) ^{2} \Rightarrow 0 \quad a.e.$					
$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) ^{2} \Rightarrow 0 \quad a.e.$					
$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) ^{2} \Rightarrow 0 \quad a.e.$					
$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) ^{2} \Rightarrow 0 \quad a.e.$					
$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) ^{2} \Rightarrow 0 \quad a.e.$					
$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) ^{2} \Rightarrow 0 \quad a.e.$					
$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) ^{2} \Rightarrow 0 \quad a.e.$					
$ \hat{f}_{n}(a) - \hat{f}(a) ^{2} \$					

And consequently by the dominated convergence theorem, we have that and that is $\{f_n\}$ converges to f in $L^{\nu}(\mu)$. So, we have subsequence Χ $\int\limits_X\big|f_{n_k}\big|$ $(x) - f(x)$ | | | | | | \overline{p} $d\mu \rightarrow 0$ and that is $\left\{ f_{n_k} \right\}$ \vert \vert \mathbf{I} converges to f in $L^p(\mu)$. which converges in L^p . Therefore in the original sequence being Cauchy, so since $\{f_n\}$ Cauchy, we have $\{f_n\}$ itself converges to f in L^p . Therefore $L^p(\mu)$ is complete. So now that completes the case where p is strictly less than ∞ .

(Refer Slide Time: 10:27)

 $rac{Cone 2}{4}$ $P=00$ $\begin{matrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \end{matrix}$ Cance $\begin{matrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \end{matrix}$ Cance $\begin{matrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \end{matrix}$ Cance $\begin{matrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \end{matrix}$ (P_2). **XI** $f(x, 3)$ E_{μ} CX, $\mu(E_{\mu})=0$ and \forall a c E_{μ}^{C} ($x \vee E_{\nu}$) we have $|f_n(x) - f_n(x)| < \frac{1}{k}$, $H_{m,n} \ge k k$. $E = \overline{U}E_{c}$ $\mu(E) = 0$ $E^{2} = \overline{U}E_{c}^{2}$ $4 \times 6E^c$ $4k$, $4 \times 25k$ $8k$ $-5k$ Spraz Councily in E.C. $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$ on E^c (o on E) $m \rightarrow \infty$ $\downarrow_{n}^{p}(x) - f(x) \leq k \quad \forall x \in E^{c}$, $\forall x \geq 0$
is $f \in L^{\infty}(p)$, $f(x) = f(x)$, $f(x) = f$

Case 2: $p = \infty$. So, you have $\{f_n\}$ is Cauchy in $L^{\infty}(\mu)$. So, for every k, natural number or positive integer, there exists N_k such that $||f_m - f_n||_{\infty} < \frac{1}{k}$, for all $m, n \ge N_k$. Now what does ∞ $\langle \frac{1}{k}, \text{ for all } m, n \geq N_{k} \rangle$ this mean? So, that is, there exists $E_k \subset X$, $\mu(E_k) = 0$ and for every $x \in E_k^c$, this is equal to $X \backslash E_k$, we have $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{1}{k}$. That is what we mean by saying that because this is essential k supremum and therefore it is valid except on the set of measure 0 and this is true for all $m, n \ge N_k$. So now, you take $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ and then $\mu(E)$ is a countable union of sets of measure zero and therefore $\mu(E)$ is also 0 and what is E^c , so $E^c = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E^c_k$. So, if you take every, for, so every \mathcal{C}_{0}^{0} $x \in E^c$, is in every E^c_k . So, for all $x \in E^c$ you have, which is the complement of the set of measure \int_a^c . So, for all $x \in E^c$ zero, you have for every k and for all $n, m \ge N_k$, we have $\left|f_n(x) - f_m(x)\right| < \frac{1}{k}$ and therefore k $\{f_n(x)\}\$ is Cauchy, uniformly Cauchy in fact in E^c . So, you take $f(x) = f_n(x)$ on E^c and then you can take in fact 0 on E for instance if you like. So then you have, if you allow m to tend to infinity, you have $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{k}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{E}^c$ and for all $n \geq N_k$. That is $f \in \mathbb{L}^\infty$ and $\frac{1}{k}$ for all $x \in E^c$ and for all $n \ge N_k$. That is $f \in L^\infty$ and $f_n \to f$ in L^{∞} . So, that completes the proof of this theorem that all the L^{p} spaces are in fact Banach

spaces and then we will say, see later, when they are reflexive, when they are separable and all these things in certain cases.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:52)

 $\frac{C_{\alpha}}{\alpha}$. Let $(x, 5, \mu)$ lu a mean op . Let $g_n \rightarrow f$ in $C(\mu)$ $x \rightarrow \infty$.
Then \exists outseq. $\{\frac{1}{m_k}\}$ o.t. $\qquad \qquad \iint_{\mathcal{B}_n} f \cdot f \cdot d\mu \rightarrow 0$ where
(i) $f_n \omega \rightarrow f \omega$ printerior a.e. \vee $\qquad \qquad \times$
(ii) $|f_n \cdot g_1| \leq h$ PS: Obines p=0 (Infect entire sa, hastin pap.) $15p<0> \qquad \qquad \beta_{r_k}\longrightarrow \widehat{f} \qquad \mu \quad \text{where} \quad \widehat{f} \in L^{\infty}_{\mathbf{P}}, \ \widehat{f}_{r_k}\longrightarrow \widehat{f} \ \text{in} \ L^{\infty}_{\mathbf{P}}$ $f \rightarrow f \implies f = f$ ae, <u>je</u> $f = \tilde{f}$ in $f' \downarrow \downarrow$.
 $h = \tilde{f} + \beta$ g or in gf of the form.

So, what is an important corollary, we have that,

Corollary: So let (X, ζ, μ) be measure space and let $f_n \to f$ in $L^p(\mu)$, $1 \le p \le q$. Then, there exists a subsequence $\left\{f_{n_k}\right\}$ such that k \vert \vert \mathbf{I} \vert

- 1. $f_{n_k} \to f$ point wise, that means $f_{n_k}(x) \to f(x)$ almost everywhere, that is except on a set of \rightarrow f point wise, that means f_{n_k} $(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ zero for all x this happens, and
- 2. you have that $\left|f_{n_k}(x)\right| \leq h(x)$ almost everywhere for some $h \in L^p$. (x) | | | | | | $\leq h(x)$ almost everywhere for some $h \in L^p$.

Proof: Obvious if $p = \infty$, in fact, the entire sequence has this property. Because we have shown that the entire sequence converges and that we did not even take a subsequence. So, if $1 \le p < \infty$, then we saw there exists an $\{f_{n_k}\}\$ which converges to f point wise and $f \in L^p$ and $f_{n_k} \to f$ in \vert \vert' \mathbf{I} which converges to f \tilde{z} f \tilde{z} $\in L^p$ and f_{n_k} \rightarrow f \tilde{z} . $L^p(\mu)$ of course, we saw this. But then you are given that $f_n \to f$ and therefore this implies $f = \tilde{f}$ $\tilde{}$

almost everywhere that is $f = f$ in L^{ν} . Therefore the first one is proved, namely you have a \tilde{z} L^p . subsequence which converges. So, this is a very important property, very useful property in convergence in L^p spaces. So, if you have L^p convergence, then for a subsequence, you have point wise convergence. So, L^p convergence is some convergence of some integral to 0. What is $\left\{f_n\right\}$ going to L^{p_2} So, it means that $\int_{V} |f_n - f|^{p} d\mu \rightarrow 0$. So, this is something known about the X $\int_{V} |f_n - f|^{p} d\mu \rightarrow 0.$ integrals. Whereas I am saying then there you can find a subsequence which converges point wise except on a set of measure zero.

Now for the second part, you just take $h = f + g$, where g is the function that infinite series \tilde{z} $+$ g, where g which we defined in the proof of the theorem, q as in proof of the theorem. Then h is in L^p and in fact $\left|f_{n_k}(x)\right| \leq h(x)$. This is triangle inequality and that will tell you this. So now, so we now (x) | | | | | | $\leq h(x)$ have the Banach, μ^p is a Banach space and then we will see various other properties, what are the duals of the L^p spaces, what happens when it is separable when it is reflexive and what are some other properties of this.