

**Functional Analysis**  
**Professor S. Kesavan**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Institute of Mathematical Sciences**  
**Lecture 17**  
**Application to Fourier series**

We will now see a nice application of the uniform boundedness theorem.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:27)

We are going to see an application to Fourier series.

Let  $f: [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an integrable function. We write its formal Fourier series as

$$f(t) \sim \sum_{-\infty < n < \infty} \hat{f}(n) e^{int}, \hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]} f(s) e^{-ins} ds \text{ are the Fourier coefficient.}$$

The question which we are going to ask is, to what extent does this Fourier series represent the function itself. In particular, if  $f$  is continuous,  $2\pi$ -periodic function, will the Fourier series converge to  $f(t)$  at every point  $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$ ? Unfortunately, the answer is no and it was a big controversy in the later half of the 18th century, for nearly 70 years, till in the beginning of the 19th century Dirichlet established in 1829, the first sufficient condition for the series to converge to the value of the function or whatever it converges to and then it was strengthened by Jordan and in fact, the study of Fourier series justification of its convergence and all this, led to a lot of mathematical development and like making precise what is the notion of a function? What is Cantor's theory of infinite series, the theories of integration of Riemann and Lebesgue and the theories of summability of series. All this lot led to a lot of research, a lot of mathematics got developed in just trying to understand what this means.

In this talk, we will now use the Banach-Steinhaus theorem to show that there exists a very large class of continuous,  $2\pi$ -periodic functions, where the Fourier series will fail to converge and the set of points where it converges is also very big.

So, that means the situation is quite bad and therefore, one has to see in what sense we want to use the Fourier series or not. So, what do we do to do, to study the Fourier series. So, we have to study the convergence of the partial sums of the Fourier series which is

$$S_m(f)(t) = \sum_{-m \leq n \leq m} \hat{f}(n) e^{jn\omega t} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]} f(s) D_m(t-s) ds, \omega$$

where  $D_m(t) = \sum_{-m \leq n \leq m} e^{jn\omega t}$  is called the Dirichlet Kernel. The Dirichlet Kernel is an even function.

(Refer Slide Time: 06:22)

$$D_m(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(m + \frac{t}{2})}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} & \text{if } t \neq 2k\pi, k \text{ integer} \\ 2m+1 & \text{if } t = 2k\pi \end{cases}$$

**Prop:**  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_n(t)| dt = +\infty$

**Pf:**  $|\sin t| \leq |t|$

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_n(t)| dt \geq 4 \int_0^{\pi} \frac{|\sin(m + \frac{t}{2})|}{|t|} dt$$

$$= 4 \int_0^{(m+\frac{1}{2})\pi} \frac{|\sin t|}{t} dt$$

$$\geq 4 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{(k-1)\pi}^{k\pi} \frac{|\sin t|}{t} dt$$

$$\geq 4 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{(k-1)\pi}^{k\pi} \frac{|\sin t|}{k\pi} dt = \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$$

This is just calculation and I am not going to do that.

$$D_m(t) = \frac{\sin(m + \frac{t}{2})}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \text{ if } t \neq 2k\pi, k \text{ is an integer and } D_m(t) = 2m+1 \text{ if } t = 2k\pi.$$

**Proposition.**  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi, \pi} |D_n(t)| dt = \infty$ .

**Proof.** We have that  $|\sin(t)| \leq |t|$ . So

$$\int_{[-\pi, \pi]} |D_n(t)| dt \geq 4 \int_{[0, \pi]} \sin\left(n + \frac{t}{2}\right) \frac{1}{|t|} dt = 4 \int_{\frac{1}{2}\pi}^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)\pi} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt$$

$$\geq 4 \sum_{k=1, 2, \dots, n} \int_{[(k-1)\pi, k\pi]} \sin(t) \frac{1}{t} dt$$

$$\geq 4 \sum_{k=1, 2, \dots, n} \int_{[(k-1)\pi, k\pi]} \sin(t) \frac{1}{k\pi} dt = \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{k=1, 2, \dots, n} \frac{1}{k}.$$

Now  $\sum_{k=1, 2, \dots, \infty} \frac{1}{k}$  is a divergent series and therefore this partial sum should go to infinity. Thus,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi, \pi} |D_n(t)| dt = \infty$ . So, that proves this proposition.

(Refer Slide Time: 11:31)

Prop.  $V = C_{per}[-\pi, \pi] =$  cont.  $2\pi$ -per fun.

$\| \cdot \| = \text{sup-norm} \quad \| \cdot \|_{\infty}$

Define  $\phi_n: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \phi_n(f) = S_n(f)(0)$

Then  $\phi_n \in V^*$

$$\|\phi_n\| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_n(t)| dt$$

Pr. On one hand

$$\phi_n(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) D_n(t) dt$$

$$|\phi_n(f)| \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_n(t)| dt$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\phi_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_n(t)| dt$$


$f^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(s) e^{-i n s} ds$  - Fourier coeffs.

Partial sums  $S_m(f)(t) = \sum_{n=-m}^m \hat{f}(n) e^{i n t}$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(s) D_m(t-s) ds$$

$D_m(t) = \sum_{n=-m}^m e^{i n t}$  Dirichlet Kernel  $D_m(t) = D_m(-t)$



$$D_m(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(m+\frac{1}{2}t)}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} & \text{if } t \neq 2k\pi \quad k \text{ integer} \\ 2m+1 & \text{if } t = 2k\pi \end{cases}$$

Prop.  $\lim \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_n(t)| dt = +\infty$



**Proposition.**  $V = C_{per}[-\pi, \pi] =$  Continuous  $2\pi$ -periodic functions. This is a vector space and then you are going to put the usual norm. Norm here is the sup-norm as usual and that I am going to call it as norm infinity.

Now, define  $\phi_n: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . So,  $\phi_n(f) = S_n(f)(0)$ . Then,  $\phi_n \in V^*$  and we can actually compute

$\|\phi_n\| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi, \pi} |D_n(t)| dt$ . That is why we calculated that integral a little earlier, because this is in fact the norm.

**Proof.** On one hand, we have

$$\phi_n(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi, \pi} f(t) D_n(t) dt$$

$$|\phi_n(f)| \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi, \pi} |D_n(t)| dt \quad \|\phi_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi, \pi} |D_n(t)| dt$$

Now we want to show that it is actually attained. So, this supremum will have to be attained. So, we have to find a function or sequence of functions for which it will actually go to this optimal value.

(Refer Slide Time: 15:13)

$E_n = \{t \in [-\pi, \pi] \mid D_n(t) \geq 0\}$

$f_m(t) = \frac{1 - m \operatorname{dist}(t, E_n)}{1 + m \operatorname{dist}(t, E_n)}$      $\|f_m\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ , cont

$f_m \in C_{\text{per}}[-\pi, \pi]$  ( $\because E_n$  symm. about 0)

$f_m(t) = 1 \quad t \in E_n$   
 $f_m(t) \rightarrow -1 \quad t \in E_n^c$

Dom. C-linear form,  $\varphi_n(f_m) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_n(t)| dt$

$V = C_{\text{per}}[-\pi, \pi]$ ,  $\|\varphi_n\| \rightarrow +\infty$ .

$\exists$  a dense G.G. net in  $V$  s.t. for every  $f$  in that net  $\{\varphi_n(f)\}$  diverges i.e. F.S. diverges at 0.

Pr. On one hand  $\varphi_n(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) D_n(t) dt$ .

$|\varphi_n(f)| \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_n(t)| dt$ .

$\Rightarrow \|\varphi_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_n(t)| dt$ .

$E_n = \{t \in [-\pi, \pi] \mid D_n(t) \geq 0\}$

$f_m(t) = \frac{1 - m \operatorname{dist}(t, E_n)}{1 + m \operatorname{dist}(t, E_n)}$      $\|f_m\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ , cont

$f_m \in C_{\text{per}}[-\pi, \pi]$  ( $\because E_n$  symm. about 0)

Let  $E_n = \{t \in [-\pi, \pi] : D_n(t) \geq 0\}$ . So, now, you define

$$f_m(t) = \frac{1 - m \operatorname{dist}(t, E_n)}{1 + m \operatorname{dist}(t, E_n)}$$

So,  $\|f_m\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  and continuous. Now, I claimed that  $f_m \in C_{\text{per}}([-\pi, \pi])$ . The distance function is a continuous function and denominator does not vanish and therefore,  $f_m$  is a continuous function.

Why is this  $2\pi$ -periodic? That means, you must show that at  $\pi$  and  $-\pi$ , they take the same value. The set  $E_n$  itself is symmetric about the origin as  $D_n$  is even function. So,  $E_n$  is a symmetric set about 0 and therefore, you have  $f_m$  is in fact a periodic function.

Now, what does  $f_m(t)$  if  $t$  belongs to you  $E_n$ ? If  $t \in E_n$ ,  $\text{dist}(t, E_n) = 0$  and therefore,  $f_m(t) = 1$  and if  $t \in E_n^c$ , then I can divide through it by  $m$  and let  $m$  tend to infinity, so  $f_m(t) \rightarrow -1$ . So, point wise  $f_m(t)$  goes to the function, which is 1 on  $E_n$  and  $-1$  on  $E_n^c$  and the integral is dominated by  $D_n$  which is a integrable function. Therefore, by the dominated convergence theorem,  $\phi_n(f_m) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]} |D_n(t)| dt$ . Therefore, you have a sequence which goes to the supremum and therefore, this is in fact the norm. This completes the proof.

Now let us apply this to the space. Take the space  $V = C_{\text{per}}([-\pi, \pi])$   $V$  equals  $C$  periodic, Then what do you know? we have that  $\|\phi_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, there exists a dense  $G_\delta$  set in  $V$  such that for every  $f$ , we have that  $S_n(f)(0) \rightarrow \infty$   $S_n$  of  $f$  at 0 diverges. That is Fourier series diverges at 0. So, there is a huge set of continuous functions which are  $2\pi$ -periodic for whom the Fourier series is divergent at the origin.

(Refer Slide Time: 21:09)


We can do the same thing for any pt  $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ .  
 $E_x =$  dense  $G_\delta$ -set of fns. in  $C_{\text{per}}([-\pi, \pi])$  st.  
the FS diverges at  $x$ .  
 $\{x_i\}$  a other set of pts in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .  
 $E = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{x_i} \subseteq V$   
By Baire  $E$  also a dense  $G_\delta$ -set.  
 $\forall f \in E$ , FS diverges at  $x_i$ ;  $\forall i$ .  
 $S^i(f, x) = \sup_n |S_n(f)(x)|$   
 $\{x : S^i(f, x) > +\infty\}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $(-\pi, \pi)$   $\forall f$ .  
Choose  $\{x_i\}$  dense in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ .

Now, we can do the same thing for any point  $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ , 0 is not special. So, we now call  $E_x =$  dense  $G_\delta$  set of functions  $\in C_{\text{per}}([-\pi, \pi])$  such that the Fourier series diverges at  $x$ .

So, now you take  $\{x_i\}$  a countable set of points in  $[-\pi, \pi]$  and you write  $E = \bigcap_{i=1, 2, \dots, n} E_{x_i} \subseteq V$  and by Baire's theorem,  $E$  is also dense  $G_\delta$  set. Now, for every  $f \in E$ , the Fourier series will diverge at  $x_i$  for all  $i$ . You define  $S^i(f, x) = \sup_n |S_n(f)(x)|$ . We have that  $\{x : S^i(f, x) = \infty\}$  is a  $G_\delta$  set in  $[-\pi, \pi]$  for each  $f$ . Now, choose  $\{x_i\}$  dense in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . So, in fact you can just take the rationals for instance. We have the following proposition.

(Refer Slide Time: 24:50)

Prop:  $E \subseteq V$  is dense  $G_\delta$  set in  $V$  s.t.  $\forall f \in E$   
the set  $Q_f \subseteq (-\pi, \pi)$  where the FS diverges, is a  
dense  $G_\delta$  set in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ .




**Proposition.** Let  $E \subseteq V$  is dense  $G_\delta$  set in  $V$  such that for all  $f \in E$ , the set  $Q_f \subseteq [-\pi, \pi]$  where the Fourier series diverges is a dense  $G_\delta$  set in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

So, we saw a corollary of Baire's theorem, which says if you do not have isolated points, (in  $C_{per}[-\pi, \pi]$  you do not have isolated points in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ ), then dense  $G_\delta$  set has to be uncountable.

Therefore, there exists uncountably many  $2\pi$ -periodic functions, for each of them the Fourier series will diverge at an uncountable number of points. So, the Fourier series convergence point-wise is not at all something which you can take for granted. So, that is everything comes from Baire's theorem and application of the Banach Steinhaus theorem and so this is a new application. So, next we will look at some other theorems which work in Banach spaces.