

**Introduction to Galois Theory**  
**Professor Krishna Hanumanthu**  
**Department Of Mathematics**  
**Chennai Mathematical Institute**  
**Lecture - 36**  
**Cyclotomic Extensions – Part 2**


Welcome back, in the last video we discussed cyclotomic extensions, which are extensions obtained by adding roots of unity, or roots of 1. In the main theorem, we proved in the last class is the following.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:27)

Theorem: Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer; let  $F$  be a field satisfying (\*).  
 Let  $K/F$  be the sp. fld of  $X^n - 1$  over  $F$ . Then there is an injective  
 gp homom  $\varphi: \text{Gal}(K/F) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{i \mid (i, n) = 1\}$

pf: Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$ .  
 Let  $\zeta \in K$  be a primitive  $n$ th root  
 of unity.  
 Then  $\sigma(\zeta)$  is also a primitive  $n$ th  
 root of unity!  
 $(\zeta^n = 1 \Leftrightarrow \sigma(\zeta)^n = 1)$  ( $\sigma$  is an auto)

the multiplicative gp of integers  
 coprime to  $n$  modulo  $n$   
 $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1\}$   
 $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 2\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$   
 $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 3\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$   
 $(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 5, \bar{3}, \bar{5}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$




Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$ .  
 Then  $\sigma(\zeta)$  is also a primitive  $n$ th  
 root of unity!  
 $(\zeta^n = 1 \Leftrightarrow \sigma(\zeta)^n = 1)$  ( $\sigma$  is an auto)

But then  $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^{i_\sigma}$  where  $0 < i_\sigma < n$ ,  $(i_\sigma, n) = 1$ .

Define:  $\varphi: \text{Gal}(K/F) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$   
 $\sigma \mapsto i_\sigma$  multiplicative gp

$(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 2\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$   
 $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 3\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$   
 $(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 5, \bar{3}, \bar{5}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

Ex:  $n=8$ ,  $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^3, \zeta^5, \zeta^7$   
 $\text{Cm} + \text{be } \zeta^2$




$\Rightarrow \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in K$   
 $\Rightarrow \sigma = 1$   
 $\therefore \ker \varphi = 1 \Rightarrow \varphi$  is 1-1.  $\square$

Remarks: (1) This shows that if  $F, n, K$  are as above, then  $K/F$  is an abelian ext. (because  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$  is abelian).  
 So cyclotomic exts are abelian.

(2) The map  $\varphi$  in the theorem need not be an iso.  
Ex:  $F = \mathbb{R}, K = \mathbb{R} \quad (n=1, 2), K = \mathbb{C} \quad (n \geq 3)$   
 $\text{Gal}(K/F) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$  can't be an iso.

IMPORTANT POINT



If you have an positive integer  $n$  and  $F$  is a field, satisfying star. Remember star is the condition that characteristic of  $F$  does not divide  $n$ , if the characteristic is positive or that characteristic is 0. So, that is a standard assumption for Homan and cyclotomic extensions. And then you take the splitting field of the polynomial  $X^n - 1$ , that is to say that you have added the roots of unity,  $n$ th roots of unity to  $F$ .

Then there is an injective group homomorphism from the Galois group to the multiplicative group of integers modulo  $n$ . And as part of this, we constructed a specific homomorphism by observing that, if you take the Galois group, an element of the Galois group and you take a primitive  $n$ th root of unity; when you apply  $\sigma$  to  $\zeta$  you get  $\zeta^a$  where  $a$  is co-prime to  $n$ .

And then, it is easy to see that, that is a group homomorphism, and it is 1-1. And we ended the last class by saying that, in general this map is not surjective, it is only injective. In other words it is not necessarily an isomorphism. As the example with  $F = \mathbb{R}$ , or  $F = \mathbb{C}$ , shows and we also commented this is important for us; that you cyclotomic extensions are abelian. So, this is an important point for us, that will come up later.

So it is abelian; because it is a Galois extension. That is obvious, because it is a splitting field of a separable polynomial. And, it is abelian, because the Galois group, is isomorphic to a sub group of  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ ; which is to say it is abelian group.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:15)

$$F = \mathbb{C}, K = \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{C}) = \{1\} \xrightarrow{\varphi} (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$$

But this can't be surj for  $n \geq 3$ .

Next theorem shows that  $\varphi$  is an iso when  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ .



Next theorem shows that  $\varphi$  is an iso when  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ .

Theorem: The map  $\varphi$  of the above theorem is an iso when  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ .

Pf.  $K = \mathbb{Q}(S)$  is the splitting field of  $X^n - 1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ;  $S = \text{a primitive } n\text{th root of } 1$

Find a  
poly of  $n$

$\mathbb{Q}$

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(S)$$

Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be the irr poly of  $S$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\text{Then } \deg f = [\mathbb{Q}(S) : \mathbb{Q}] = [K : \mathbb{Q}].$$

We will show that  $\deg f = \varphi(n)$ .



Find a  
poly of  $n$

$\mathbb{Q}$

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(S)$$

Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be the irr poly of  $S$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\text{Then } \deg f = [\mathbb{Q}(S) : \mathbb{Q}] = [K : \mathbb{Q}].$$

We will show that  $\deg f = \varphi(n)$ .

Note:  $f \nmid X^n - 1$

Claim: Let  $p$  be a prime number that doesn't divide  $n$ . Then  $S^p$  is a root of  $f$ .



So now, today we will prove that, the map  $\phi$  is in fact isomorphism, when the base field is  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The map  $\phi$  of the above theorem is an isomorphism, when  $F$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Remember of course,  $\mathbb{Q}$  satisfies the star hypothesis; because its characteristic is 0. So you have  $K$  equals splitting field of  $X^n - 1$ , living over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and what we do know is  $\zeta$  is the primitive  $n$ th root of 1, let us have in  $\mathbb{C}$ ; so it is a complex number.

Then  $K$  is actually nothing but. Because I already showed that; in the previous video, we already showed that the roots of unity form a fixed  $n$ th roots of unity, form a cyclic sub group of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  in our situation. So, once you have a primitive 1, which is a generator of the cycle group, you have all of them. So, this is something to keep in mind. So, what are we going to do? We are going to do following.

So, let us the irreducible polynomial of the primitive  $n$ th root of unity be  $f$ . Let,  $f$  be the irreducible polynomial of  $\zeta$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . So, this is  $f$ , so then, we know the degree of  $f$  is the degree of the extension by definition of the degree. But this is of course  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ ; because  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$  is  $f$ . So, basically what we will show is, we will show degree of  $f$  is  $\phi(n)$ .

So by the way, I should remember here, that we are going to fix  $n$ . So fix an integer  $n$ . All this is after you fix a positive integer. If the degree is equal to the Euler Totient function, that is the degree of this extension. But this degree is the cardinality of the Galois group, which is less, which is a sub group of  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . But, hence if they are equal, then it is an isomorphism. So, I will explain this, when we come to it.

So, the claim, that I want to prove, which proves this statement for me, is the following. Let,  $p$  be a prime number. So it is a prime integer that does not divide  $n$ . Then I claim  $\zeta^p$  is a root of  $f$ . Not that  $f$  is not  $X^n - 1$ . I mean, that sometimes we use the notation  $f$  equal to  $X^n - 1$  maybe in the previous videos. But here, we want  $f$ . In fact, we do know that  $f$  cannot be equal to  $X^n - 1$ . Because  $f$  is irreducible polynomial of  $\zeta$ ,  $X^n - 1$  is not an irreducible polynomial of over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . So, it cannot be that.

(Refer Slide Time: 06:05)


Pf. Note that  $\zeta$  is a root of  $X^n - 1$ .  
 $\Rightarrow X^n - 1 = f \cdot h$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ .

Remark: In fact,  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . This follows from Gauss lemma.

fig. 0.5:  $\text{content}(fg) = \text{content}(f) \text{content}(g)$   
 In our case:  $\text{content}(X^n - 1) = 1 = \text{content}(f) \Rightarrow \text{content}(h) = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow h \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

Basic Ring Theory

$\zeta$  is an  $n$ th root of unity  $\Rightarrow \zeta^n$  is an  $n$ th root of unity  
 $\Rightarrow (\zeta^n)^n - 1 = 0$




$\zeta$  is an  $n$ th root of unity  $\Rightarrow \zeta^n$  is an  $n$ th root of unity  
 $\Rightarrow (\zeta^n)^n - 1 = 0$

$\zeta$  is a root of  $X^n - 1 = fh \Rightarrow f(\zeta^n) = 0$  OR  $h(\zeta^n) = 0$   
 Assume this  $\uparrow$

$\downarrow$   
 done.


$\boxed{h(\zeta^n) = 0}$   $h_1(x) := h(x^n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$



$\zeta$  is a root of  $X^n - 1 = fh \Rightarrow f(\zeta^n) = 0$  OR  $h(\zeta^n) = 0$   
 Assume this  $\uparrow$

$\downarrow$   
 done.

$\boxed{h(\zeta^n) = 0}$   $h_1(x) := h(x^n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Then  $h_1(\zeta) = h(\zeta^n) = 0$   
 $\therefore \zeta$  is a root of  $h_1$ .



Then  $\deg f = \varphi(n)$ .  
 We will show that  $\deg f = \varphi(n)$ .  
Claim: Let  $p$  be a prime number that doesn't divide  $n$ . Then  $\zeta^p$  is a root of  $f$ . That is:  $f(\zeta^p) = 0$ .

Pf: Note that  $\zeta$  is a root of  $X^n - 1$ .  
 $\Rightarrow X^n - 1 = f \cdot h$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ .  
Remark: In fact,  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . This follows from Gauss lemma.



So, what I want to show is that zeta power  $p$  is a root of  $f$ . So, why is this? Note that, first we note that, zeta is a root of  $X$  power  $n$  minus 1 of course, because it is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity. So, this means  $X$  power  $n$  minus 1 equals  $f$  times  $h$  for some  $h$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ . Because,  $f$  is the irreducible polynomial of zeta,  $X$  power  $n$  minus 1 is some polynomial, which has zeta as a root, so  $f$  divides that in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ .

But now, I want to make the following remark that in fact  $h$  of  $X$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . So, because  $X$  power  $n$  minus 1 are integer polynomials, if  $f$  divides  $X$  power  $n$  minus 1 in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ , it divides  $X$  power  $n$  minus 1 in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  itself. So, this is a consequence of Gauss Lemma. So, there are several versions of Gauss Lemma. But one, that I will quote here, is content of; if  $f$  and  $g$  are 2 rational polynomials, content of  $f$  times  $g$ , is content of  $f$  times content of  $g$ .

So, content is defined as, if it is an integer polynomial; it is the least, greatest common divisor of all the coefficients. If it is a rational polynomial, you first clear the denominators by multiplying an integer, you take the content of that, and then divide by that common denominator. And then, Gauss Lemma can be stated like this.

In our case, so content of, let us say, this is 1, but that is also content of  $f$ . Because  $f$  is a reducible polynomial, so it is in fact a monic polynomial. So this forces content of  $h$  to be 1, which forces in turn that  $h$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . So, rational polynomial is defined over integers, if and only if its content is 1. So, this is a subtle point, so this is a basic ring theory here. When you learn about UFD's in ring theory, you learn this. So I am going to use that fact.

So, you have now, let us come back to this. So, you have  $X$  power  $n$  minus 1 equal to  $f$  times  $h$ . So, if  $\zeta$  is an  $n$ th root of unity, implies  $\zeta$  power  $n$  is an  $n$ th root of unity. So  $\zeta$  power  $p$ , I want to write. Because the power of an  $n$ th root of unity is an  $n$ th root of unity. So that means. So,  $\zeta$  is a root of,  $\zeta$  power  $p$  is a root of  $X$  power  $n$ , which is  $f$  times  $h$ , but this means  $f$  of  $\zeta$  power  $p$  is 0, or  $h$  of  $\zeta$  power  $p$  is 0. In the claim, we are claiming that  $f$  of  $\zeta$  power  $p$  is 0. Suppose, this happens, we are done.

So suppose, this is not the case, so I assume that. So, we now assume  $h$  of, and we want to do some calculations and arrive at a contradiction. So, now if  $h$  is an integer polynomial, I can define a new integer polynomial like this. So, this of course is also an integer polynomial.  $h$  is some given polynomial integers, with integer coefficients, and I define a new polynomial, where I raise  $X$  to the  $p$ th power. So then,  $h_1$  of  $\zeta$ , which is by definition  $h$  of  $\zeta$  power  $p$  is 0. So, that means  $\zeta$  is a root of  $h_1$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 10:54)

Since  $f$  is the irr poly of  $\zeta$ , we have  $f$  divides  $h_1$ .  
 write  $h_1 = f \cdot g$  for some  $g \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ . But as above  
 $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ .  
 Note:  $h_1(x) = h(x^p) \equiv h(x)^p \pmod{p}$   
 Eg:  $h(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1$   
 $h_1(x) = h(x^p) = (x^p)^2 + 2(x^p) + 1$



Since  $T$  is not a unit in  $R$ .

Write  $h_1 = f \cdot g$  for some  $g \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ . But as above  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

Note:  $h_1(x) = h(x)^p \equiv h(x)^p \pmod{p}$

$h_1(x) \neq h(x)^p$

Eg:  $h(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1$   
 $h(x)^p = (x^2 + 2x + 1)^p = (x^2)^p + 2(x^2)^{p-1} + \dots + 1 = x^{2p} + 2x^{2p-1} + \dots + 1$

$\mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$   $h(x)^p = (x^2 + 2x + 1)^p \equiv (x^2)^p + (2x)^p + 1 \pmod{p}$   
 $= (x^2)^p + 2^p x^p + 1 \pmod{p}$   
 $= h_1(x) \pmod{p}$



$\mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$   $h(x)^p = (x^2 + 2x + 1)^p \equiv (x^2)^p + (2x)^p + 1 \pmod{p}$   
 $= (x^2)^p + 2^p x^p + 1 \pmod{p}$   
 $= h_1(x) \pmod{p}$

$\leftarrow$  No units in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$   
 $h(x)^p \equiv h_1(x) \equiv f(x)g(x) \pmod{p}$   
Hence  $f(x)$  and  $h(x)$  have a common factor in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$



$\leftarrow$  No units in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$   
 $h(x)^p \equiv h_1(x) \equiv f(x)g(x) \pmod{p}$   
Hence  $f(x)$  and  $h(x)$  have a common factor in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$   
 $\text{root: no factor of } f(x) \Rightarrow \text{root} \mid f(x)g(x)$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{root} \mid h(x)^p$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{root} \mid h(x) \checkmark$   
UPD





But  $f$  is an irreducible polynomial of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , since  $f$  is an irreducible polynomial, we have  $f$  divides  $h^p$ . So, we can write  $h^p$  equals  $f$  times  $g$  for some  $g$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$  a priori. But as above, because  $f$  and  $h^p$  are integer polynomials, which are monic, because  $h^p$  is monic because  $h$  is, but as above  $g$  is in fact  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . So now, let us see where we are.

So we have  $h^p \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , which is by definition  $h$  of  $X$  power  $p$ . Now, this is a crucial statement I want to make here. So, this is the note. See, this of course is by definition, and this is because, if you go modulo  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod p \mathbb{Z}$ , and you take  $h$  power  $p$ . So, this is like an example here. If  $h = X^2 + 2X + 1$ , then  $h^p$  of  $X$ , which is  $h$  of  $X$  power  $p$ , which will be  $X^{2p} + 2^p X^p + 1$ . So,  $h^p$  is nothing but  $X^{2p} + 2^p X^p + 1$ . But, when you go modulo, these are all integer polynomials.

So, you can go modulo  $p$ , which is to say that, you look at the images of this under this. What is  $h^p \bmod p$ ? This is  $X^2 + 2X + 1$  whole  $p$ . But this modulo, because all the mixed terms will have coefficients divisible by  $p$ , they will vanish in  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod p \mathbb{Z}$ . This is the kind of argument, that we have seen multiple times. I really should write  $2^p X^p + 1$ . But, this modulo  $p$  of course.

But this is  $X^{2p} + 2^p X^p + 1$  again. Because in  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod p \mathbb{Z}$  anything power  $p$  is itself. This is of course,  $h^p \bmod p$ . So, this is  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod p \mathbb{Z}$ . Only  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod p$ , otherwise of course,  $h^p$  is not equal to  $h$ . So generally, is not equal to  $h$  of  $X$  power  $p$ . On the note, it is not only modulo  $p$  it is, because this is a crucial argument here. So that means, now what do we have? So let us say,  $h^p$  is equal to  $h$  of  $X$ .

So,  $h^p$  is congruent to  $h$  of  $X$ , which is congruent to  $f \cdot g$  modulo  $p$ . So, strictly speaking, we are taking the images of these things, all these equations, all these polynomials are living in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . We can take its image, their images in  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod p \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , and then this is what happens. This is to say, that hence  $f$  and  $h$  have a common factor in  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod p \mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

So basically, what I am saying is that, this equation here, if you replace with 3 horizontal bars with 2 horizontal bars and make it inequality, this holds in  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod p \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . That is the meaning of  $\bmod p$ . Now, this holds in  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod p \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Now  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod p \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is a UFD. So take any irreducible factor of  $f$ ; let us say  $r$  is an irreducible factor of  $f$ .

Then  $r \mid X$  divides  $f \mid X$  times  $g \mid x$ , and hence  $r \mid X$  divides  $h \mid X$  power  $p$ . Because,  $r \mid X$  is an irreducible polynomial, and it divides the product, it divides  $h \mid X$ . Remember, this vertical bar is division symbol for me. So this is all I am saying. So,  $r \mid X$  is a common factor of  $f$  and  $h$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 15:58)

Now note that  $X^n - 1 = f \cdot h$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ .  
 Go modulo  $p$ :  $X^n - 1 = \bar{f} \cdot \bar{h}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$ .  
 But as we argued above,  $\bar{f}$  and  $\bar{h}$  have a common factor in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . (So the  $\deg$  of their gcd  $\geq 1$ )  
 So in an extension field  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $\bar{f}$  and  $\bar{h}$  have a common root. But then  $X^n - 1$  has a multiple root!  
 If  $a \in K$  is a root of  $\bar{f}$  and  $\bar{h}$ ,  
 then  $(x-a)^2$  divides  $X^n - 1$ .

have a common ...  
 multiple root!  
 this is a problem!  
 $X^n - 1$  has distinct roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ !  
 Hence  $\zeta^p$  is a root of  $f$ .  
 $\Rightarrow$  If  $(i, n) = 1$  then  $\zeta^i$  is a root  
 $i < n$

Now, what we have is, now note that  $X^n - 1$  is equal to  $f$  times  $h$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . This is something that, we had originally written, that  $X^n - 1$  is  $f$  times  $h$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Now, go modulo  $p$ . In other words, take its images of these 3 polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , because the modulo  $p$  map is a homomorphism.

What you have is, the image of this, which is of course this; which I do not want to write  $\bar{X}$  bar, I will just write  $X^n - 1$  is  $\bar{f} \bar{h}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . But now, we have a problem.

This, but as we argue about,  $\bar{f}$  and  $\bar{h}$  have a common factor. So, that is exactly the statement,  $f$  and  $h$  have a common factor in  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod p \mathbb{Z} X$ ; that means their images have a common factor. But then, that means their gcd is, so the degree of their gcd is strictly greater than 0. So, that means the gcd is non constant polynomial.

And when I discuss separability and we talked about multiple roots; we argued that if 2 polynomials have their gcd of positive degrees in their base field, and extension field they have a common root. So, in an extension field of  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod p \mathbb{Z} X$ , which of course is  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $f$  and  $h$  have a common root. But this is a crucial point. But then,  $X^n - 1$  has a multiple root. Because  $X^n - 1$  is  $\bar{f}$  times  $\bar{h}$ .

So if, let us say  $a$  is of root  $\bar{f}$ , as well as root of  $\bar{h}$ ; that means  $X - a$ . So, if  $a$ ; in some extension field  $K$  let us say. If  $a$  in  $K$  is a common root of  $\bar{f}$  and  $\bar{h}$ , then  $X - a$  divides  $X^n - 1$ . Because  $X - a$  divides  $\bar{f}$  and it also divides  $\bar{h}$ , so it appears twice in the factorisations. So, that means  $a$  is a multiple root, but that is a problem. Because why is this a problem?

$X^n - 1$  has distinct roots. We are in characteristic 0 now, so I will just say just  $\mathbb{C}$ . It has distinct roots, it has  $n$  distinct roots, because there are  $n$  distinct,  $n$ th roots of unity. So that, this is not possible, that is to say that. So that is all we are done. With this claim, we proved this claim, because we assume that,  $\zeta^p$  is not a root of  $f$ , and then  $h$  of  $\zeta^p$  is 0, and that is where we went wrong.

So, after that our analysis shows that we get a contradiction. Hence,  $\zeta$  is a root of  $f$ . Now, this is an immediate implication. Now we can show that, if  $i$  and  $n$  are co-prime and  $i$  is less than  $n$ ; then  $\zeta^i$  is a root of  $f$ . Why is this?

(Refer Slide Time: 20:34)

Reason: write  $i = p_1 \cdots p_k$

$(i, n) = 1 \Rightarrow p_j$  don't divide  $n$ .

claim:  $\zeta^i$  is a root of  $f \Rightarrow (\zeta^i)^{p_1}$  is a root of  $f$   
 $\Rightarrow (\zeta^{ip_1})^{p_2}$  "  
 $\Rightarrow \dots$   
 $\Rightarrow \zeta^i$  is a root



claim:  $\zeta^i$  is a root of  $f \Rightarrow (\zeta^i)^{p_1}$  is a root of  $f$   
 $\Rightarrow (\zeta^{ip_1})^{p_2}$  "  
 $\Rightarrow \dots$   
 $\Rightarrow \zeta^i$  is a root  
 Hence  $\zeta^i$  is a root of  $f \nmid i \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$   
 $\Rightarrow f$  has at least  $\varphi(n)$  roots. Interlating factors



Hence  $\zeta^i$  is a root of  $f \nmid i \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$   
 $\Rightarrow f$  has at least  $\varphi(n)$  roots. Interlating factors  
 $\Rightarrow \varphi(n) \leq \deg f = [K:\mathbb{Q}] = |\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})| \leq \varphi(n)$   
 $\Rightarrow \deg f = \varphi(n) = |\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})|$   
 $\Rightarrow \varphi: \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$  is an iso  $\square$   
 $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is Galois,  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$   
 by the previous thm.  
 $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \leq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$



Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  be the  $n$ th cyclotomic polynomial. Then  $\deg f = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q} : \mathbb{Q}]$ . Note:  $f \nmid x^n - 1$

We will show that  $\deg f = \phi(n)$ .

Claim: Let  $p$  be a prime number that doesn't divide  $n$ . Then  $\zeta^p$  is a root of  $f$ . That is:  $f(\zeta^p) = 0$ .

What we really show:  $\left. \begin{array}{l} \zeta^n = 1 \\ \zeta \text{ is a root of } f \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \zeta^p \text{ is a root of } f$ .

Pf: Note that  $\zeta$  is a root of  $x^n - 1$ .



The reason for this is, we write the prime factorization of  $i$ . Let us say,  $i$  equal to  $P_1$  through  $P_l$ . So, since  $i$  and  $n$  are co-prime, this is their gcd is 1, implies  $P_1$  and  $P_l$  do not divide  $n$ . But then, this implies  $\zeta^{P_1}$  is a root of  $f$ . If  $\zeta^{P_1}$  is a root of  $f$ , by the claim, this implies  $\zeta^{P_1 P_2}$  is a root of  $f$ . Because if you go back to the proof, what we critically used, is that  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity.

So, if  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity,  $\zeta^{P_1}$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity. So, its power, so,  $\zeta^{P_1}$  is a root of unity, which is a root of  $f$ . Then the claim shows that  $\zeta^{P_1}$  is a root of  $f$ . So, what we really show is that, I mean if any  $Z$  is a root of  $f$ ,  $Z^n = 1$ , and  $Z$  is a root of  $f$ , implies  $Z^{P_1}$  is a root of  $f$ . That is exactly, what we have shown.

Because  $\zeta$  is a root of  $X^n - 1$ ; because  $Z^n = 1$ . And then, it is a root of  $f$ ; so then, the proof will go through. So if,  $\zeta^{P_1}$  is a root,  $\zeta^{P_1 P_2}$  is a root, which in turn implies  $\zeta^{P_1 P_2 P_3}$  is a root, so  $P_3$  is a root and like this. And finally,  $\zeta^i$  is a root. Because  $i$  is a product through  $P_1$  to  $P_l$ , we go one by one and conclude that  $\zeta^i$  is a root.

And hence,  $\zeta^i$  is a root of  $f$ , for all  $i$  in  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod n$   $\mathbb{Z}^*$ .  $\zeta^i$  is a root of  $f$ , for every  $i$  less than  $n$  co-prime to  $n$ , which means that every  $i$  in  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod n$   $\mathbb{Z}^*$ ; which is to say  $f$  has at least  $\phi(n)$  roots because  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod n$   $\mathbb{Z}^*$  has  $\phi(n)$  elements. So, this is the Euler function. Everything in  $\mathbb{Z} \bmod n$   $\mathbb{Z}^*$  is an integer less than  $n$ . It comes from an integer less than  $n$ , which is co-prime to  $n$ . And for every such  $i$ ,  $f(\zeta^i)$  is a root, and they are all distinct of course.

So,  $f \leq n$  at least  $\phi(n)$  root. This means  $\phi(n)$  is less than or equal to degree  $f$ , which is equal to  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ ; which is equal to  $|\text{Galois } K \text{ over } \mathbb{Q}|$ , and this is because  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is Galois, and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ . But now, this is less than  $\phi(n)$  and this is because by the previous theorem, which I recalled at the beginning of today's video. We exhibited an injective map last time between  $\text{Galois } K \text{ over } \mathbb{Q}$  into  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .

So, the order of this group is less than or equal to order of this group, which is  $\phi(n)$ . So now, we are done. So this means, all these numbers are equal. So degree  $f$  is  $\phi(n)$ . So, this is to say that, which is also the order of the Galois group, so I should put the bar here; order of the Galois group, but that means this is a subgroup, but their orders are equal. So,  $\phi$  from  $\text{Galois } K \text{ over } \mathbb{Q}$  to  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .

This particular map is an isomorphism. Because, this is an injective map between 2 groups, whose orders are two finite groups, whose orders are equal, so this must be isomorphism. So, that is all. This is, this shows that the Galois group of  $K$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . And as I remarked at the beginning, this is only true for cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In general, this is not an isomorphism, for example, if you  $K$  to be  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 25:44)

Ex:  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) : \mathbb{Q}] = \phi(p) = p-1$  when  $p$  is prime.

We already know this because  $X^{p-1} + \dots + X + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  is an ir poly (by Eisenstein) and it is the ir poly of  $\zeta_p$ .  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$   
 $p-1 = \phi(p)$   
 $\mathbb{Q}$

$$X^p - 1 = (X-1)(X^{p-1} + \dots + X + 1)$$

1st

Cyclotomic polynomials



$$X^p - 1 = (X-1)(X^{p-1} + \dots + X + 1)$$

Q



Cyclotomic polynomials: Let  $d$  be a pos. int. eger.  
 $\mathbb{C}[X] \ni \phi_d(X) := \prod (X - \zeta)$ , product is over all primitive  $d$ th roots of unity.

Ex.  $\phi_1(X) = X-1$ ,  $\phi_2(X) = X+1$ ,

$\phi_3(X) = (X-\omega)(X-\omega^2) = X^2 + X + 1$  ✓

$\dots$ ;  $\phi_4(X) = (X-1)(X+1) = X^2 - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega + \omega^2 + 1 &= 0 \\ \omega^3 - 1 &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow (\omega-1)(\omega^2 + \omega + 1) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \omega + \omega^2 &= -1 \end{aligned}$$



$\mathbb{C}[X] \ni \phi_d$

Ex.  $\phi_1(X) = X-1$ ,  $\phi_2(X) = X+1$ ,

$\phi_3(X) = (X-\omega)(X-\omega^2) = X^2 + X + 1$  ✓

$\phi_4(X) = (X-1)(X+1) = X^2 - 1$  |  $\phi_d(X)$ :  $d$ th cyclotomic poly.

$1, -1, i, -i$   
 $\omega, \omega^2, \omega^3, \omega^4$   
 not primitive  
 primitive

$\phi_5(X) = X^4 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega + \omega^2 + 1 &= 0 \\ \omega^3 - 1 &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow (\omega-1)(\omega^2 + \omega + 1) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \omega + \omega^2 &= -1 \end{aligned}$$



So as a corollary, what we know is that, when  $p$  is prime, the cyclotomic extension over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , adjoin  $p$ th root of unity, has to have degree  $p-1$ , but let me remark that, we already knew this because,  $X^{p-1} + X^{p-2} + \dots + X + 1$ , is an irreducible polynomial, by Eisenstein criteria. And it is the irreducible polynomial if  $\zeta_p$ . Because  $\zeta_p$  satisfies this polynomial, which factors like this, and this is irreducible.

So in other words, when you take  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$  this is  $p-1$ , which of course agrees with  $\phi(p)$ . However, for non-primes, it requires more work to prove this, because the corresponding polynomial is clearly not irreducible and because  $n-1$  is in general not the degree of the cyclotomic extension.

So, let me end this class, by quickly discussing how to go about finding the irreducible polynomials of  $n$ th roots of unity, where  $n$  is not prime, for  $n$  prime this is that. For  $n$  not prime we have to do the following work. So, I am going to define the following. So, let  $d$  be a positive integer, then define  $\phi_d$  of  $X$ .

So, these are all going to be rational polynomials, defined as follows.  $\phi_d$ , it will be rational, we will show,  $\phi_d X$  is defined to be  $X$  minus  $\zeta$ , product is over all primitive  $n$ th roots of unity. So a priori, this is a polynomial with complex coefficients. Before we proceed further, let me quickly give you an example. What is  $\phi_1$ ?

So, you take all primitive first roots of unity. So, that is just  $X$  minus 1. What is  $\phi_2$ ? You take all primitive second roots of unity. There are 2 second roots of unity; 1 and minus 1; of which, only minus 1 is the primitive second root of unity. So,  $\phi_2$  is  $X$  plus 1. What is  $\phi_3$ ?  $\phi_3$  is product of primitive third roots of unity. So, there are 2 of them,  $\omega$  and  $\omega^2$ . Remember, 1 is a third root of unity, but it is not primitive.

So, you have  $X$  times  $X$  minus  $\omega$  times  $X$  minus  $\omega^2$ , and if you expand this out, you get 1, because  $\omega$  plus  $\omega^2$  is 1. So, because roots of this is something that, I will write here, but you know this because, in  $\omega^3$  minus 1 is zero; that means  $\omega$  minus 1 times  $\omega^2$  plus  $\omega$  plus 1 is 0.

But  $\omega$  is not 1, so this is 0. So this is exactly, this gives  $\omega$  plus  $\omega^2$  is minus 1; that means that the roots, sum of the root is minus 1. So, the coefficient of  $X$  is minus of minus 1, and  $\omega$  times  $\omega^2$  is 1. So, this is okay. So, this is the third cyclotomic polynomial. What is  $\phi_4$ ? You have to look at fourth roots of unity, they are 1 minus  $i$  minus  $i$ . But only, these are primitive, these are not primitive.

So, you get  $X$  minus 1 times  $X$  plus 1. So, the fourth cyclotomic polynomial is  $X^2$  plus 1. And let me write one more example before we proceed, this actually happens to be just. So, these are called, this cyclotomic polynomial;  $\phi_d X$  is  $d$ th cyclotomic. And as you see in these examples, that are rational numbers. In fact, they are always, they are rational polynomials, and in fact, they are always rational polynomials.



(Refer Slide Time: 31:10)

Remark:  $X^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} (X - \zeta_d)$   
 $= \prod_{d|n} \phi_d(X)$

product of all  $n$ th roots of unity

Every  $n$ th root of unity is a primitive  $d$ th root of unity for some  $d$  that divides  $n$ .

$\min \{d \mid \zeta^d = 1\} \Rightarrow d \mid n$   
 $n = d + b, b < d$  (otherwise)

- Prop: ①  $\phi_n(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$   
 ②  $\phi_n(X)$  is irr, it is the irr poly of a primitive  $n$ th root of unity in  $\mathbb{C}$   
 ③  $\deg \phi_n(X) = \varphi(n)$ .

pf: Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $n$ th root of unity.  
 ...  $\deg \phi_n(X) = \#$  primitive  $n$ th roots of unity.

irr poly of a primitive  $n$ th root of unity

③  $\deg \phi_n(X) = \varphi(n)$ .

pf: Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $n$ th root of unity.  
 Then  $\phi_n(\zeta) = 0$ ; moreover  $\deg \phi_n(X) = \#$  primitive  $n$ th roots of unity.  
 (3)  $\Leftarrow = \varphi(n)$

$X^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \phi_d(X)$

(3)  $\Leftarrow = \varphi(n)$

$X^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \phi_d(X)$

$\phi_1(X) = X - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$

$X^2 - 1 = \underbrace{\phi_1(X)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}[X]} \underbrace{\phi_2(X)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}[X]} \xrightarrow{\text{Gauss lemma}} \phi_2(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$



So, you take a primitive  $n$ th root of unity in complex numbers, and you take its irreducible polynomial that happens to be  $\phi_n$  and of course the third statement is that degree of  $\phi_n$  is the Euler Totient function, which is exactly the straight consequence of 2. So, let us prove this. So, we know that, so we know that, let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $n$ th root of unity. So, then certainly we know that  $\phi_n$ , alright because  $\phi_n$  is a product of  $X$  minus  $\zeta$  for all primitive  $n$ th roots of unity. So,  $X$  minus  $\zeta$  will be one of the factors. This is 0.

So, this implies, moreover what is the degree of  $\phi_n$ . This is the number of primitive  $n$ th roots. Again just look at the definition of  $\phi_n$ . It is product over all primitive  $n$ th roots. So, there will be as many factors as there are in primitive  $n$ th roots. So, that is the degree, and that of course is  $\phi_n$ . So, this gives, in fact this gives 3 first. So, this the degree is  $\phi_n$ .

Now, I claim that, it is in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  in fact. So, let me correct this, it is in fact an integer polynomial. So, why is that? So, note that  $X$  minus  $X$  power  $n$  minus 1 is product  $\phi_d$ ,  $d$  dividing  $n$ . So, let us play around with this. We know  $\phi_1$  is an integer polynomial, because this is  $X$  minus 1. But what is  $X$  square minus 1, this is  $\phi_1$  times  $\phi_2$ .

This is in integer polynomial, monic integer polynomial. This is of course a monic integer polynomial. So, my Gauss Lemma  $\phi_2 X$  is an integer polynomial. Say of course I already know that  $\phi_2 X$  is an integer polynomial, but I am trying to set up an inductive argument here. So,  $\phi_2$  is an integer polynomial.

(Refer Slide Time: 37:23)

$$\begin{aligned}
 X^3 - 1 &= \phi_1(x) \phi_3(x) \xrightarrow{\text{Gauss}} \phi_3(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \\
 X^{10} - 1 &= \phi_1(x) \phi_2(x) \phi_5(x) \phi_{10}(x) \xrightarrow{\text{Gauss}} \phi_{10}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \\
 &\quad \uparrow \quad \quad \quad \uparrow \text{ by induction} \\
 &\quad \mathbb{Z}[x] \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{Z}[x]
 \end{aligned}$$



$$X^b - 1 = \underbrace{\phi_1(x) \phi_2(x) \phi_5(x) \phi_{10}(x)}_{\substack{\in \mathbb{Z}[x] \\ \text{by induction}}} \Rightarrow \phi_{10}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

$$X^n - 1 = \left[ \prod_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} \phi_d(x) \right] \phi_n(x) \Rightarrow \phi_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]. \checkmark$$



$$X^n - 1 = \left[ \prod_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} \phi_d(x) \right] \phi_n(x) \Rightarrow \phi_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]. \checkmark$$

$$\Rightarrow (1) \checkmark$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \phi_n(\zeta_n) = 0, \deg \phi_n(x) = \varphi(n) \\ \phi_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \phi_n(x) \text{ is the irr poly of } \zeta_n.$$

$$\Rightarrow (2) \checkmark$$

Already know  $\deg [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(n)$



Now let us do  $X^3 - 1$ . So, this is  $\phi_1 X \phi_3 X$ , and as before Gauss Lemma, says that  $\phi_3 X$  is in integer polynomial. So, in general if you have  $x^{10} - 1$ , this is  $\phi_1 X, \phi_2 X, \phi_5 X, \phi_{10} X$ . By induction, these are all in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , and hence this is in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of course, and by Gauss Lemma  $\phi_{10}$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . So, you understand the general argument. So, you write this. So, you write this is as product of  $\phi_d$ ,  $d$  dividing  $n$ , but  $d$  strictly less than  $n$ , and then you have  $\phi_n$  separately.

So, this is in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  by induction hypothesis, and this is of course in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  on the face of it. So, this means  $\phi_n X$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . So, that is the statement 1. But now 1 and 3 imply 2 right? So, since  $\phi_n$  of  $\zeta_n$  is 0,  $\deg \phi_n X$  is  $\phi_n$ , and  $\phi_n$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  better imply that  $\phi_n$  is the irreducible polynomial of  $\zeta_n$ .  $\zeta_n$  is an irreducible, may be I should have called that a,  $\zeta_n$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity. Its degree is already  $\phi_n$ , we know.

We already know, degree of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}$  is  $\phi(n)$ . So its reducible polynomial will have degree  $\phi(n)$ . But here is an irreducible polynomial, here is a polynomial whose degree is the right number, because that is important, whose degree is right and it has  $\zeta_n$  as a root and it is a integer polynomial, all three together implies this. So this implies. So, this proves the proposition. So, this is a recursive method of constructing the irreducible polynomials of primitive  $n$ th roots of unity.

(Refer Slide Time: 40:09)

Example:  $X^6 - 1 = \phi_1(x) \phi_2(x) \phi_3(x) \phi_6(x)$   $\Rightarrow \phi_6(x) = X^2 + X + 1$   
 $(X^3 - 1)(X^3 + 1) = (X - 1)(X^2 + X + 1)(X^2 + X + 1)\phi_6(x)$   $\Rightarrow \phi_6(x) = X^2 + X + 1$   
 $\phi_7(x) = X^6 + X^5 + X^4 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$   
 $X^9 - 1 = \phi_1(x) \phi_2(x) \phi_3(x) \phi_6(x) \phi_9(x)$



$\phi_7(x) = X^6 + X^5 + X^4 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$   
 $X^9 - 1 = \phi_1(x) \phi_2(x) \phi_3(x) \phi_6(x) \phi_9(x)$   $\Rightarrow \phi_9(x) = X^6 + X^3 + 1$   
 $(X^3 - 1)(X^3 + 1) = (X - 1)(X^2 + X + 1)(X^2 + X + 1)\phi_9(x)$   $\Rightarrow \phi_9(x) = X^6 + X^3 + 1$   
 $\phi_9(x) = X^6 + X^3 + 1$   
Recall from earlier:  
 $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_9)$  we know  
 $\mathbb{Q}$  that



$$X^6 - 1 = \phi_1(X) \phi_2(X) \phi_3(X) \phi_6(X) \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow \phi_2(X) = X+1 \\ \phi_3(X) = X^2 + X + 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$(X^6 - 1) = (X-1)(X+1)(X^2 + X + 1)\phi_6(X)$$

Recall from earlier:  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_6)/\mathbb{Q}$  is a cyclic ext. degree is 2.  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_6) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$  we know that the Galois group is  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ .

Rank: If  $n$  is prime,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}$  is a cyclic ext. degree is  $\phi(n)$ .

If  $n$  is not prime,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}$  is not cyclic in general.

Eg:  $n=8$ .  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)/\mathbb{Q}$  is always abelian.

$\phi_6(X) = X^2 + X + 1$  (check).



So, let me quickly do this example, and then we will dissolve this class. So, what is this example. So, let me just go ahead and compute some other cyclotomic. So, this is  $\phi_1 X$ ,  $\phi_2 X$ ,  $\phi_3 X$ ,  $\phi_6 X$ . This sorry, this is not that. This is  $X^6 - 1$ . But this is  $X - 1$ , this is  $X + 1$  this is  $X^2 + X + 1$ . So, now you can go ahead, and you can see that this  $\phi_6$ .

So, basically you can also separately write this as  $X^3 - 1$  times  $X^3 + 1$ . This can be further written as  $(X - 1)(X^2 + X + 1)$  times  $(X + 1)(X^2 - X + 1)$ . So, on the one hand this is equal to this, cause via this you can get this and that's also equal to this. So, now you can easily see, that you cancel this, you cancel this, you cancel this. So, the conclusion is  $\phi_6 X$  is  $X^2 - X + 1$ .

This confirms also the fact that the Euler Totient number for 6 is 2. So, you can factor this by familiar algebra rules, and then use the recursive definition to cancel out relevant things. Or you can directly divide, but its more direct this way. So, let me do two more examples. So  $X^7 - 1$ ,  $\phi_7$  of course, there is not much to do, because for a prime number the cyclotomic polynomial is this. What about  $\phi_8$ ? For that, let us factor  $X^8 - 1$ . It is  $\phi_1 X$ ,  $\phi_2 X$ ,  $\phi_4 X$ ,  $\phi_8 X$ .

So, this is equal to  $(X - 1)(X + 1)(X^2 + 1)\phi_8 X$ . So, now of course you can multiply all this, and then divide  $X^8 - 1$  by that product to get this. Another way is, you can factor this to  $(X^4 - 1)(X^4 + 1)$ , which is  $(X - 1)(X + 1)(X^2 + 1)(X^2 - 1)$  times  $(X^4 + 1)$ . So, this is equal to this. Now you can see that, you

can cancel these 3 factors. So, the conclusion is  $\phi_8$  of  $X$  is  $X^4 + 1$ , and this of course confirms that  $\phi_8$  is 4.

But also, this you should recall from earlier, we worked out the the Galois theory of this extension before. The Galois group here, is in fact  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ . So, this is a good point to remark. If  $p$  is prime,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}$  is a cyclic extension, because this is, the Galois group is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$ , which is a cyclic group of order  $p - 1$ . But if  $n$  is not prime,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}$  is not cyclic in general.

As this example shows, example  $n$  equal to 8. Here the order is 4, and the group is  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ . So, it is not a cyclic group. However, it is always abelian. This I already argued, any cyclotomic extension is abelian. So, the last thing I will write is, you do a similar kind of calculation to conclude that  $\phi_9$  is  $x^6 + x^3 + 1$ . So, check this as an exercise.

So, the last point is not quite required for us when we do solution by radicals, but I thought it is a nice way to learn how to compute the cyclotomic polynomials which are the reducible polynomials of primitive  $n$ th roots of unity. So, in the last 1 or 2 videos what we did was, we learned about general cyclotomic extensions. For any field  $F$ , whose characteristic is 0 or it is, it does not divide  $n$ , we learned how to compute the cyclotomic extensions.

We showed that it is an abelian extension, it is Galois, and the Galois group is abelian by exhibiting an injection into  $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$ . In general that injection is not an isomorphism. However, for base field equal to  $\mathbb{Q}$  it is an isomorphism, and we proved that. And then we learned how to compute the cyclotomic extensions, how to compute the cyclotomic polynomials. Let me stop today here, in the next class we will start learning about solving polynomials by radicals. Thank you.