Complex Analysis Prof. Pranav Haridas Kerala School of Mathematics Lecture No – 47 Bloch's Theorem

The theorem due to Bloch is a prerequisite for proving the 'Little Picard's theorem'. Bloch's theorem tries to answer the following question: suppose we have an open connected set Ω which contains $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and consider the family

 $\mathscr{F} = \{f : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is holomorphic on } \Omega, f(0) = 0 \text{ and } f'(0) = 1\}.$

Then what is the largest disk that can be fitted up in $f(\mathbb{D})$ for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

To begin with, let us try to prove a special case of Bloch's theorem.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:01)



PROPOSITION 1. Let $f : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be such that f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1 and $|f(z)| \le M$ for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $D(0, \frac{1}{6M}) \subseteq f(\mathbb{D})$.

PROOF. Consider the power series of f around 0,

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n \ge 2} a_n z^n.$$

Note that

$$\left|f(z)\right| \ge |z| - \left|\sum_{n\ge 2} a_n z^n\right|.$$

By Cauchy estimates, we have

$$|a_n| \le \frac{\sup_{z \in \partial D(0,r)} |f(z)|}{r^n} \le \frac{M}{r^n}$$
 for each $0 < r < 1$.

Taking the limit as $r \rightarrow 1^-$, we have $|a_n| \le M$. Then,

$$\left|\sum_{n\geq 2} a_n z^n\right| \le M \sum_{n\geq 2} |z|^n = M \frac{|z|^2}{1-|z|}.$$

Hence,

$$|f(z)| \ge |z| - \left(M \frac{|z|^2}{1 - |z|}\right).$$

Our first observation is the number *M* should necessarily be at least 1. For proving this, let us first assume M < 1, to arrive at a contradiction.

If M < 1, then $f : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ with f(0) = 0 and f'(0) = 1, then by Schwarz's lemma tells that $f(z) = \lambda z$ for $|\lambda| = 1$. Then for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that M < |z| < 1, we have |f(z)| = |z| > M, which is a contradiction. Hence $M \ge 1$.

Now, for $|z| = \frac{1}{4M} < 1$,

$$|z| - M \frac{|z|^2}{1 - |z|} = \frac{1}{4M} - \frac{M \cdot \frac{1}{16M^2}}{1 - \frac{1}{4M}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4M} - \frac{1}{12M}$$
$$= \frac{2}{12M}$$
$$= \frac{1}{6M}.$$

Hence $|f(z)| \ge \frac{1}{6M}$ on $\{z : |z| = \frac{1}{4M}\}$.

Let $w \in D(0, \frac{1}{6M})$. Then, $|w| < \frac{1}{6M} \le |f(z)|$ on $\{z : |z| = \frac{1}{4M}\}$. By Rouché's theorem, f(z) - w and f(z) has the same number of zeroes in $D(0, \frac{1}{4M})$. Then there exists $z \in D(0, \frac{1}{4M})$ such that f(z) = w. Hence $D(0, \frac{1}{6M}) \le f(\mathbb{D})$.

2

Let us now generalize this result to bit higher generality by stating and proving the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2. Let R > 0, $f : D(0, R) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function such that f(0) = 0, $|f'(0)| = \mu > 0$ and $|f(z)| \le M$ for each $z \in D(0, R)$. Then

$$D\left(0,\frac{R^2\mu^2}{6M}\right) \subseteq f(D(0,R)).$$

(Refer Slide Time: 11:20)

	Propontion	het	f: D(0, R	$) \rightarrow c$	be no	r gn st	^
	3(0)=0,	13/1001 =	470 g	(z (≠)) €	м∀	ZED(0, R).	-
	Then	\mathcal{D}_{0}	$\left(0, \frac{R_{\mathcal{A}}^2}{6M}\right)$	⊆ {	(D(0, R)).	
-	Photo:	Consider					-
	g(2) =	42 "	f•4, (₹)	D(0, 1) -	5D(0,R)	7 D(0, M))
	where	4; (2) = R	£				
() NTTEL						10	00 XX 0

PROOF. Consider the function g on \mathbb{D} given by,

$$g(z) = \psi_2 \circ f \circ \psi_1(z),$$

where $\psi_1(z) = Rz$ and $\psi_2(z) = \frac{z}{R\mu}$. Then note that

$$g: D(0,1) \xrightarrow{\psi_1} D(0,R) \xrightarrow{f} D(0,M) \xrightarrow{\psi_2} D\left(0,\frac{M}{R\mu}\right).$$

That is *g* is a map into $D\left(0, \frac{M}{R\mu}\right)$. Now, g(0) = 0, g'(0) = 1 and $|g(z)| \le \frac{M}{R\mu}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then by Proposition 1, we have $D\left(0, \frac{R\mu}{6M}\right) \le g(\mathbb{D})$. Now it is left as an exercise to the reader to conclude the result.

Let us know prove the Bloch's theorem which is in slightly more generality.

THEOREM 3 (Bloch's theorem). Let Ω be an open connected set in \mathbb{C} such that $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \subset \Omega$. Suppose $f : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be such that f(0) = 0 and f'(0) = 1. Then there exists a disk D_1 contained in \mathbb{D} such that $f \upharpoonright_{D_1}$ is injective and

$$D\left(0,\frac{1}{72}\right) \subseteq f(D_1) \subseteq f(\mathbb{D})$$

PROOF. Define $M(r) = \sup_{\substack{|z|=r}} |f'(z)|$. Then M(r) is continuous on [0,1] (Why?). Now, define h(r) := (1-r)M(r). Hence *h* is continuous on [0,1]. Moreover, we have h(0) = 1 and h(1) = 0.

Let $r_0 = \sup\{r : h(r) = 1\}$. Then h(r) < 1 for $r > r_0$. Since $M(r_0) = \sup_{\substack{|z|=r_0 \\ |z|=r_0}} |f'(z)|$ and f is also continuous on the compact set $\{z : |z| = r_0\}$, there exists z_0 such that $|z_0| = r_0$ with $|f'(z_0)| = M(r_0)$. Now, $h(r_0) = 1 \implies |f'(z_0)| = \frac{1}{1-r_0}$.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:02)



Let $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(1 - r_0)$ and consider $D(z_0, \rho)$. Let $z \in D(z_0, \rho)$. Then,

$$|z| \le r_0 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - r_0) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + r_0).$$

Since $1 > \frac{1}{2}(1+r_0) > r_0 \implies h(\frac{1}{2}(1+r_0)) < 1$. That is,

$$1 > \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(1 + r_0)\right) M\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 + r_0)\right) \implies M\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 + r_0)\right) < \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}(1 - r_0)} = \frac{1}{\rho}$$

By maximum modulus principle,

$$\left|f'(z)\right| < \frac{1}{\rho}$$
 for $z \in D(z_0, \rho)$.

Define $g(z) = f'(z) - f'(z_0)$ on $D(z_0, \rho)$. Then,

$$|g(z)| \le |f'(z)| + |f'(z_0)| < \frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2\rho} = \frac{3}{2\rho}.$$

Consider the holomorphic function given by $h(z) = \psi_2 \circ g \circ \psi_1(z)$ that is

$$h: \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} D(z_0, \rho) \xrightarrow{f} D\left(0, \frac{3}{2\rho}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi_2} \mathbb{D},$$

where $\psi_1(z) = z_0 + \rho z$ and $\psi_2(z) = \frac{2\rho}{3}z$. Then h(0) = 0 and by Schwarz's lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\psi_{2}\circ g\circ\psi_{1}(z)\right| &\leq |z| \implies \left|\frac{2\rho}{3}g(z)\right| \leq \left|\psi_{1}^{-1}(z)\right| = \frac{|z-z_{0}|}{\rho}\\ \implies |g(z)| &\leq \frac{3}{2\rho^{2}}|z-z_{0}|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$|f'(z_0) - f'(z)| = |g(z)| < \frac{3}{\rho^2} |z - z_0| < \frac{3}{\rho^2} \cdot \frac{\rho}{3} = \frac{1}{2\rho} = |f'(z_0)|.$$

Put $D_1 := D(z_0, \frac{\rho}{3})$. Let $z_1, z_2 \in D_1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(z_{2}) - f(z_{1}) \right| &= \left| \int_{\gamma_{z_{1} \to z_{2}}} f'(z) dz \right| \\ &\geq \left| \int_{\gamma_{z_{1} \to z_{2}}} f'(z_{0}) dz \right| - \left| \int_{\gamma_{z_{1} \to z_{2}}} \left(f'(z_{0}) - f'(z) \right) dz \right| \\ &\geq \left| f'(z_{0}) \right| |z_{1} - z_{2}| - \left| \int_{\gamma_{z_{1} \to z_{2}}} \left(f'(z_{0}) - f'(z) \right) dz \right| \\ &> \left| f'(z_{0}) \right| |z_{1} - z_{2}| - \left| f'(z_{0}) \right| |z_{1} - z_{2}| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is, for each $z_1, z_2 \in D_1$, we have $|f(z_2) - f(z_1)| > 0$. Hence *f* is injective on D_1 .

Thus we proved one part of the Bloch's theorem. Let us now try to prove the remaining part of the theorem, which says that $D(0, \frac{1}{72}) \subseteq f(D_1)$.

Define $\tilde{g}(z) = f(z + z_0) - f(z_0)$ on $D(0, \frac{\rho}{3})$. Then, we have $\tilde{g}(0) = 0$ and $|\tilde{g}'(0)| = |f'(z_0)| = \frac{1}{2\rho}$.

(Refer Slide Time: 31:40)



For $w \in D(0, \frac{\rho}{3})$, $\gamma_{z_0 \to z_0 + w} \subset D_1$. Then

$$\left|\tilde{g}'(w)\right| = \left|f(w+z_0) - f(z_0)\right| = \left|\int_{\gamma_{z_0 \to z_0 + w}} f'(z) dz\right| < \frac{1}{\rho} |w| < \frac{1}{3}.$$

Hence $\tilde{g}: D(0, \frac{\rho}{3}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is such that $\tilde{g}(0) = 0$, $|\tilde{g}(0)| = \frac{1}{2\rho}$ and $|\tilde{g}| < \frac{1}{3}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then by Proposition 1, we have

$$D\left(0, \frac{\left(\frac{\rho}{3}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{2\rho}\right)^{2}}{6 \cdot \frac{1}{3}}\right) \subseteq \tilde{g}\left(D\left(0, \frac{\rho}{3}\right)\right)$$
$$\implies D\left(0, \frac{1}{72}\right) \subseteq \tilde{g}\left(D\left(0, \frac{\rho}{3}\right)\right)$$
$$\implies D\left(0, \frac{1}{72}\right) \subseteq f(D_{1}).$$

17		1
		1