

Measure Theory
Prof. Indrava Roy
Department of Mathematics
Institute of Mathematical Science

Module No # 13
Lecture No # 67

Fubini- Tonelli theorem: interchanging order of integration for measurable and L1 functions on sigma-finite measure spaces

(Refer Slide Time: 00:14)


NPTEL

Thm. [Fubini-Tonelli theorem]: Let $(X, \mathcal{A}_X, \mu_X)$ & $(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y, \mu_Y)$ be σ -finite measure spaces.

(a) [Tonelli] If $f: X \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is $\mathcal{A}_X \times \mathcal{A}_Y$ -measurable, then $g(x) = \int_Y f \, d\mu_Y$ and $h(y) = \int_X f \, d\mu_X$ are measurable, and

$$\int_{X \times Y} f \, d(\mu_X \times \mu_Y) = \int_X g \, d\mu_X = \int_Y h \, d\mu_Y.$$

$f_x(y) = f(x, y)$
 $f_y(x) = f(x, y)$



Now finally we come to the theorem's of Fubini and Tonelli so again we fix sigma finite 2 measure spaces x and y which are both sigma finite. And so, the theorem of Tonelli's is as follows so if you take a function f which is an unsigned measurable function with respect to the product sigma algebra $B \times$ cross B, y . Then the integral of the function f subscript x remember that f_x was the function from y to the non-negative real's in this case which was defined as f_x as f of x comma y .

So this was the definition similarly we can define f_y to be given by f_y evaluated at x is again f_x y . So the integral of, f_x the first one with respect to the measure $d \mu_y$ is a function of x and similarly the integral of, f_y with respect to $d \mu_x$ is a function of y . And so these 2 functions g_x and g_y are measurable this is the first assertion in the theorem. And secondly if you integrate f with respect to the product measure over the product space x cross y this is the left hand side

then this is equal to the integral of g with respect to μ_x and is equal to the integral of h with respect to μ_y .

So this is Tonelli's theorem which is valid for measurable functions which are unsigned in the product measure in the product sigma algebra. So the theorem of Fubini is then for is a generalization of Tonelli's theorem when you have no longer unsigned but complex valued L^1 functions in the product space.


(Refer Slide Time: 02:48)

(b) [Fubini] If $f \in L^1(X \times Y, \mu_x \times \mu_y)$ then $f_x \in L^1(Y, \mu_y)$ for μ_x -a.e. $x \in X$, $f_y \in L^1(X, \mu_x)$ for μ_y -a.e. $y \in Y$, and the a.e. defined functions.

$$g(x) = \int_Y f_x d\mu_y \quad \text{and} \quad h(y) = \int_X f_y d\mu_x$$

are in $L^1(X, \mu_x)$ and $L^1(Y, \mu_y)$, respectively.

Moreover, we have that

$$\int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu_x \times \mu_y) = \int_X g d\mu_x = \int_Y h d\mu_y.$$


So this is the theorem of Fubini which says that if, f is an L^1 function for the product measure then the function f subscript x is in $L^1 \mu_y, \mu_y$. So it is integrable with respect to μ_y absolutely integrable with respect to μ_y for μ_x almost everywhere x , so not for all values for x but only for x outside of a null subsets with respect to μ_x . Similarly y belongs to $L^1 x \mu_x$ for μ_y almost everywhere y in Y .

And then the almost everywhere defined function $g(x)$ and $h(y)$ which are integrals of, f_x and f_y are in L^1 of x and L^1 of y respectively. So g belongs to L^1 of x and h belongs to L^1 of y and we again we have the formula that the integral with respect to the product measure is equal to the integral of g . And then the integral of h so this is a generalization of Tonelli's theorem for L^1 functions on the product measure space.

So let us see the proof for Tonelli's theorem which would imply the second part which is Fubini's theorem.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:31)

Proof (a) [Tonelli's theorem]

Case (i): If $f = \chi_S$, $S \in \mathcal{B}_X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$.
 then the statement reduces to Tonelli's thm. for sets.

Case (ii) If f is a simple fn, then the statement follows from case (i) using linearity.

Case (iii) If f is an unsigned $\mathcal{B}_X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$ -measurable fn, then, \exists an increasing seq. of unsigned simple functions f_n s.t. $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

So for the proof of Tonelli's theorem we can divide it into a few cases and the first one will be simply the Tonelli's theorem for sets with is the case when if, f is the indicative function of set S for some X belonging to $B \times B$, Y . So then Tonelli's theorem the statement reduces to Tonelli's theorem for sets this we have seen in the last lecture. So this takes care of the case when f is the indicative function of a measurable set.

Second case that if, f is a simple function again in the product space then the statement follows from the first case statement follows from the case 1. So again by Tonelli's theorem for sets using linearity because you will have finitely many terms in the simple function. So this is finitely linear combination of characteristics sets characteristics functions of measurable sets. And so by linearity we get the result for simple functions.

And finally if, f is a general measurable function is an unsigned $B \times B$, Y measurable function. Then there exist the sequence of an increasing sequence of unsigned simple functions f_n such that f_n converges to f point wise as n tends to infinity. And so now since we have we already know this for simple functions then we can state the following results.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:32)

Let $g_n(x) = \int_Y (f_n)_x d\mu_y$ is a measurable fn. (from case (1))

and $\int_{X \times Y} f_n d(\mu_x \times \mu_y) = \int_X g_n d\mu_x = \int_Y h_n d\mu_y$

where, $h_n(y) = \int_X (f_n)_y d\mu_x$ holds for all $n \geq 1$.

By the MCT: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Y (f_n)_x d\mu_y$

since $(f_n)_x \uparrow f_x \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \int_Y \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n)_x d\mu_y$
 (i.e. $(f_n)_x$ is an increasing seq.
 s.t. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n)_x = f_x$)
 $= \int_Y f_x d\mu_y = g(x)$



So let g_n be the integral of f_n over x so g_n is the integral over y . So of course the statement says that this is measurable function. This follows from case 2 and we have that the integral of f_n on the product space with the product measure $\mu_x \times \mu_y$ is equal to the integral of g_n over μ_x . And this is also equal to h_n similarly for the μ_y where h_n is defined similarly this is integral over f_n over μ_x so this is over y .

So this holds for all n greater than equal to 1 and now by the monotone convergence theorem we have that g_n is the limit of g_n as n tends to infinity. This is equal to the limit as n tends to infinity of these integrals over f_n and $\mu_x \times \mu_y$. And since f_n increases point wise to f and this means that this is an increasing sequence converging point wise to f . So this is the notation I have used f_n is an increasing sequence of measurable functions.

Such that limit of f_n equals f as n goes to infinity. So by monotone convergence theorem we get that the limit of g_n as n tends to infinity is equal to the limit as n tends to infinity of g_n which is equal to the limit of f_n the integral of the limit over y . And this is nothing but the integral of f over x so this implies that and so of course this is nothing but on the right hand side this is nothing but g over x .

(Refer Slide Time: 11:12)

$\Rightarrow g$ is a measurable fn. on X .
 Similarly, h is a measurable fn. on Y .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_X g d\mu_x &= \int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n d\mu_x \stackrel{\text{MCT}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X g_n d\mu_x \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X \times Y} f_n d(\mu_x \times \mu_y) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{MCT}}{=} \int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu_x \times \mu_y)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\int_Y h d\mu_y = \int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu_x \times \mu_y)$ (This proves Tonelli's theorem).



So this means that $g \times h$ is measurable in x which means that $g \times h$ rather is a measurable function on x . Similarly one can show that h is a measurable function on y and now if we integrate $g \times h$ with respect to x over the measure μ_x then this is nothing but the limit as n tends to infinity $\int g_n d\mu_x$ and this is again by monotone convergence theorem you can take limit outside. So this is limit n tends to infinity $\int g_n d\mu_x$ but this integral was the same as the integral of the product of f_n with respect the product measure.

And then again by the monotone convergence theorem we take the limit inside to get $\int f d(\mu_x \times \mu_y)$. So we see that the formula holds for g and similarly one can show it for h similarly $\int h d\mu_y = \int f d(\mu_x \times \mu_y)$. So this proves Tonelli's theorem in the general case.

(Refer Slide Time: 13:12)

(b) If $f \in L^1(X \times Y, \mu_x \times \mu_y)$ then $|f|$ is an integrable unsigned measurable fn. \Rightarrow Tonelli's thm applies.

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^1}^{X \times Y} &:= \int_{X \times Y} |f| d(\mu_x \times \mu_y) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Tonelli}}{=} \int_X g d\mu_x \quad \text{where } g(x) = \int_Y |f_x| d\mu_y \\ &= \int_Y |f_x| d\mu_y \\ \text{if } \|f\|_{L^1}^{X \times Y} < \infty &\Rightarrow \int_X g d\mu_x < \infty \\ &\Rightarrow g(x) < \infty \text{ for } \mu_x\text{-a.e. } x \in X. \\ &\Rightarrow f_x \in L^1(Y, \mu_y) \text{ for } \mu_x\text{-a.e. } x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

So now for Fubini's theorem if f is in L^1 of the product space then $\text{mod } f$ is an unsigned measurable function is an integrable unsigned measurable function which means that Tonelli's theorem applies. And so we get that the L^1 norm of, f over the product space x cross y this is equal to by definition integral of $\text{mod } f$ over $d\mu$ over the product space. And by Tonelli's theorem we get that this is equal to the integral of x over x of $g d\mu_x$ where g_x is given by the integral of $\text{mod } f_x$ over y .

But this is nothing but the integral of the modulus of, $f_x d\mu_y$ and this is nothing but the L^1 norm over y of the function f of x . So if this norm over the product space is finite this implies that the L^1 norm first of all that this integral $g d\mu_x$ is finite which means that g_x is finite for μ_x almost everywhere x in x . And this implies that this x is in L^1 of x L^1 of y μ_y for μ_x almost everywhere x in x . And similarly the result holds for f_y so this shows that Fubini's theorem holds.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:07)

Similarly, $f_y \in L^1(X, \mu_x)$ for μ_y -a.e. $y \in Y$.

which finishes the proof of Fubini's theorem.

Remark:
= i) Fubini-Tonelli theorem is used together:
if we want to know that $f \in L^1(X \times Y, \mu_x \times \mu_y)$
then we can evaluate $\|f\|_{L^1(X \times Y)}$ using Tonelli's theorem.
by repeated integration, which will show whether $\|f\|_{L^1(X \times Y)} < \infty$.
Then, we can evaluate $\int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu_x \times \mu_y)$ by using repeated
integration.

So similarly f_y belongs to L^1 over x over μ_x almost everywhere y in Y and which finishes the proof of Fubini's theorem. So now some remarks are in order so remark first is that the Fubini Tonelli's theorem is used together meaning that if you want to know. So if we want to know that f is in L^1 of $X \times Y$ over $\mu_x \times \mu_y$. Then we can evaluate the L^1 norm over $X \times Y$ using Tonelli's theorem by repeated integration.

So this will show us which will show whether norm of f in $L^1(X \times Y)$ is finite or not because it is always easier to do repeated integration then direct integration over the product space. And then once you know that it is L^1 then we can evaluate the integral over f over this product space by using repeated integration. So the change of order is then permitted and then we can use it.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:54)

ii) If $X = Y = \mathbb{N}$, $f(n, m) = a_{n, m}$ (Double seq.).

then if $a_{n, m} \geq 0 \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n, m} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n, m} = \sum_{(n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{n, m}.$$

$$= \sup_{\substack{F \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2 \\ F \text{ finite}}} \sum_{(n, m) \in F} a_{n, m}.$$

For any arbitrary set X :

$$\sum_{x \in X} a(x) := \sup_{\substack{F \subseteq X \\ F \text{ finite}}} \sum_{x \in F} a(x).$$

finite sum.

Another remark is that if you take $x = y$ equal to natural numbers and f n m to be sum double sequence and a n m this is a double sequence. Then we have that if a , n , m is non-negative for all m , n then we can change the order of summation $n = 1$ to infinity a , n , m , $m = 1$ to infinity this is equal to 1 to infinity a , n , m . Then again $m = 1$ to infinity a , n , m and both are equal to that double sum in n square of a , n , m .

Here the definition for any arbitrary set x we can define the sum over so this a x is a function a , x made be a function of on x . And this equal to the supremum of over the finite subsets f is finite of x in f a , x . So this is a now a finite sum and then you the supremum so this is by definition and this is the definition we can use so this is nothing but supremum of over a finite subsets of n to finite.

Then we can use n , m in f a , n , m so now this is 2 finite sums then you can use the formula for finite sums and you can also interchange. So this holds for double summations of double infinite sequences.

(Refer Slide Time: 21:57)

(iii) Tonelli's theorem fails to hold in general if either X or Y is not σ -finite.

ex: $X = Y = [0, 1]$, $\mathcal{B}_X = \mathcal{L}([0, 1])$, $\mathcal{B}_Y = \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$
 $\mu_X = m$ $\mu_Y = \text{counting meas.}$

if $D = \{(x, x) : x \in [0, 1]\} \subseteq X \times Y = [0, 1]^2$.

then
$$\int_X \left(\int_Y \chi_D(x, y) d\mu_Y \right) d\mu_X = \int_X \underbrace{\mu_Y(\{y \in Y : x = y\})}_{= 1} d\mu_X.$$

$$= \int_{[0, 1]} 1 dm = 1.$$



And then lastly Tonelli's theorem fails to hold in general if either x or y or is not sigma finite. So an example is $x = y = [0, 1]$ and μ_x so \mathcal{B}_x can be taken to be the Lebesgue sigma algebra over $[0, 1]$ and \mathcal{B}_y and so μ_x is the Lebesgue measure and \mathcal{B}_y is the power set of $[0, 1]$ with μ_y the counting measure. And so if d is the diagonal in $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ so this is $X \times Y$, X such that x in $[0, 1]$ this is a subset of $X \times Y$ which is nothing but $[0, 1]^2$.

Then if we take the integral over x and then the integral over y of the indicative function of d so first we integrate with respect to μ_y which is the counting measure and then with respect to μ_x which is the Lebesgue measure. Then this is equal to the following so note that $\chi_{e \times y}$ this is equal to 1 if $x = y$ and 0 otherwise. So this is the integral of the measure with respect to the counting measure of the set y in Y such that $x = y$ and $d \mu_x$.

And now this is just 1 because there is only one point which is equal to x over $[0, 1]$ because x is fixed here. So the measure is equal to 1 so then you get just integral of Lebesgue measure over $[0, 1]$ this is equal to 1.

(Refer Slide Time: 25:00)

on the other hand,

$$\int_Y \left[\int_X \chi_D(x,y) d\mu_x \right] d\mu_y = \int_Y 0 \cdot d\mu_y = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_X \int_Y \chi_D(x,y) d\mu_y d\mu_x \neq \int_Y \int_X \chi_D(x,y) d\mu_x d\mu_y.$$

(Note that $Y = [0,1]$ with the counting measure μ_y is not σ -finite)

On the other hand if you take the integral over y , first and then integral over x first of the indicative function first with respect to the Lebesgue and then with respect the counting measure. Then again for any fixed y this is going to be non- zero only when $x = y$ so only 1 point in x contributes and this as Lebesgue measure 0 so therefore the inner one is 0. So this is simply 0 times $d\mu_y$ and this is 0 so this means that these 2 things are not equal.

So $\int_X \int_Y \chi_D(x,y) d\mu_y d\mu_x$ is not equal to $\int_Y \int_X \chi_D(x,y) d\mu_x d\mu_y$ and here note that the second space y with the counting measure μ_y is not sigma finite. And so even though you this indicator function of D is, measurable still we do not have that the interchange of order of integration is allowed so in this case it fails to hold. So therefore this sigma finiteness is a necessary condition for this Tonelli's theorem to hold.

So let me finish the lecture here and in the next week lectures we will see a new topic which is the Lebesgue differentiation theorem.