

Measure Theory
Prof. Indrava Roy
Department of Mathematics
Institute of Mathematical Science

Module No # 13
Lecture No # 66
Tonelli's theorem for sets – Part 2

(Refer Slide Time: 00:15)

Thm. [Tonelli's thm. for sets]: Let $(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu_X)$ & $(Y, \mathcal{B}_Y, \mu_Y)$ be
 σ -finite measure spaces. If $E \in \mathcal{B}_X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$ then

$$(\mu_X \times \mu_Y)(E) = \int_X \mu_Y(E_x) d\mu_X = \int_Y \mu_X(E_y) d\mu_Y.$$

(We have denoted $\mu_{X \times Y}$ as $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$).

[Verify for $E = E_1 \times E_2$: $(\mu_X \times \mu_Y)(E) = \mu_X(E_1) \mu_Y(E_2)$.
 $\int_X \mu_Y(E_x) d\mu_X = \int_X \mu_Y(E_2) \chi_{E_1}(x) d\mu_X = \int_{E_1} \mu_Y(E_2) d\mu_X = \mu_X(E_1) \mu_Y(E_2)$
 $E_2 = \begin{cases} E_2 & \text{if } x \in E_1 \\ \emptyset & \text{if } x \in E_1^c \end{cases} = \mu_X(E_1) \mu_Y(E_2)$



So first theorem in this direction is Tonelli's theorem for sets which says that if we have 2 sigma finite measure spaces X, \mathcal{B}_X, μ_X and Y, \mathcal{B}_Y, μ_Y . And if you take a measurable set E in the sigma algebra $\mathcal{B}_X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$ then so here on the left hand side we have denoted a $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$ as $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$ this is the product measure that we constructed earlier. And so the measure of the set E with respect to the product measure is precisely, the integral of the measure of the sections with respect to X take the measure with respect to Y and then integrate with this respect to X .

And this is also the same as the measure of $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$ with respect to μ_X and then integral over Y with respect to $d\mu_Y$. So if we verify this for E as a given by a product $E_1 \times E_2$ and this should satisfy the product rule that we gave for the premeasure of the when we define the product measure. So let us see whether this satisfies so let us say $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$ of E is then $\mu_X(E_1) \mu_Y(E_2)$ and then multiplies by $\mu_Y(E_2)$. So this was by definition of the product measure and; now let us see what, are these integrals for this case?

So integral over x $\mu_y(E_x) d\mu_x$ so this is equal to integral over x $\mu_y(E \cap \chi^{-1}(x)) d\mu_x$ so why is this true? Because $E \cap \chi^{-1}(x)$ is precisely given by E_1 if x is in E_1 and this is equal to the empty set if x belongs to E_1 complement. So this is precisely the multiplication by the indicative function and so $\mu_y(E \cap \chi^{-1}(x))$ is 0 if x is outside E_1 this is going to be 0. So this is precisely the integral over E_1 of this function $\mu_y(E \cap \chi^{-1}(x))$ and integral with respect to the measure μ_x .

But now for E_2 this is a constant which is $\mu_y(E_2)$ so in the end you will get multiplication of $\mu_x(E_1)$ and $\mu_y(E_2)$. So we see that this formula checks out when we have a product and we can do the similar thing for first for the integral over y of the sections of E . So this Tonelli's theorem can be viewed as a generalization of this product rule. So let us see how this is proved?

(Refer Slide Time: 04:27)

Pf: Assume that both μ_x and μ_y are finite, i.e.
 $\mu_x(X) < \infty$ and $\mu_y(Y) < \infty$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{ S \subseteq X \times Y \mid S = \bigcup_{i=1}^N (E_i \times F_i), E_i \in \mathcal{B}_X, F_i \in \mathcal{B}_Y \}$
disjoint union.

Define $\mathcal{C} = \{ S \in \mathcal{B}_X \times \mathcal{B}_Y \mid \text{the formula in the def. holds for } S \}$
 $\Leftrightarrow (\mu_x \times \mu_y)(S) = \int \mu_y(S_x) d\mu_x = \int \mu_x(S_y) d\mu_y$

By finite additivity $\Rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. ($x \mapsto \mu_y(S_x), y \mapsto \mu_x(S_y)$ are measures)

By the Monotone class lemma, it suffices to show that \mathcal{C} is a monotone class
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B}_X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$.



So for the proof we first assume that both μ_x and μ_y are finite meaning that μ_x of the entire space X is finite and μ_y of the entire space Y is also finite. And then we will pass to sigma finiteness later on. So now let \mathcal{B} be our Boolean algebra that was defined earlier which was the set of which was the collection of subsets in $X \times Y$ such that S is the disjoint union of finitely many it is a finite disjoint union of subsets of the product form where E_i and F_i belong to the respective Boolean algebras.

So E_i belongs to $B \times F_i$ belong to B , y so this is the Boolean algebra that were working with we can also assume that this union is a disjoint union. And now let see define C to be the collection of sets in $B \times$ cross B, y such that the formula in the theorem holds for S meaning that we have $\mu_x \times \mu_y$ of S is equal to the integral of $\mu_y S \times$ over x $d\mu_x$ and is equal to μ_x of $S \times d\mu_y$.

So we take the collection of all subsets in $B \times$ cross B, y such that this is true now by our verification. We have already verified that this is true for this products and by finite additivity we see that B naught is in C . Because if you take a single product then it is in C that we have checked and then we can if we have a finite disjoint union of such products then it will also land up in C because of additivity of the integrals and finite additivity of $\mu_x \times \mu_y$.

So then by the monotone class lemma by the monotone class lemma it suffices to show that C is a monotone class which will imply that C is the entire space $B \times$ cross B, y because the latter is the sigma algebra containing B naught is a sigma algebra generated by B naught. And if we prove that C is a monotone class then they have to be equal by the monotone class lemma. So let us see why? C is a monotone class so let us take an increasing sequence of sets E_n and in C .

(Refer Slide Time: 08:47)

Let $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing/non-decreasing seq. in C .
 and $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$.
 To show: $x \mapsto \mu_y(E_n)_x$ and $y \mapsto \mu_x(E_n)_y$ are measurable, and $E \in C$.
 First, since $E_n \in C \forall n \geq 1$. then $f_n(x) = \mu_y(E_n)_x$ is measurable, $g_n(y) = \mu_x(E_n)_y$ is measurable.
 Note that f_n increasing pointwise to the f. $f: x \mapsto \mu_y(E)_x$.
 Since $\mu_y\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right)_x = \mu_y\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right)_x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_y(E_n)_x$ (by U.M.C.T.).
 $\Leftrightarrow f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \Rightarrow f$ is measurable.

So we have E_n is increasing or non-decreasing sequence in C and you take the union of all this sets. And we want to show that first of all that these functions that is x to the μ_y measure of the section E_x and so I should put this below. And the function that takes y to the μ_x measure

of the section $E \in \mathcal{C}$ they are both measurable and E belong to \mathcal{C} . So I should actually go back and say add this in the conclusion of the Tonelli's theorem for the sets.

Because we were integrating $\int_E f(x) d\mu_y$ and $\int_E f(y) d\mu_x$ so it is a necessary condition that this should be measurable so I should here add that $x \mapsto \int_E f(x) d\mu_y$ and $y \mapsto \int_E f(y) d\mu_x$ are measurable functions on X and Y respectively. And then we have this equality similarly for the definition of this monotone class that we want to prove it to be monotone class we should also assume here. That this are so this is the collection of all sets such that the formula holds and given that this maps $x \mapsto \int_E f(x) d\mu_y$ and $y \mapsto \int_E f(y) d\mu_x$ or rather $x \in S_x$ and here S_y they are measurable.

So here \mathcal{C} is the collection of all sets such that first of all that this is measurable these functions are measurable and then that formula holds. So one has to be careful in how we construct these sets and now we have to show that given an increasing sequence of sets in \mathcal{C} if you take the union then the maps $x \mapsto \int_E f(x) d\mu_y$ and $y \mapsto \int_E f(y) d\mu_x$ are measurable and this product formula holds for E .

So first we note that since E_n is in \mathcal{C} for all n then the maps given by f and $x \mapsto \int_{E_n} f(x) d\mu_y$ this is a measurable map is measurable. And similarly $y \mapsto \int_{E_n} f(y) d\mu_x$ this is also is measurable so these 2 things are measurable because E_n is in \mathcal{C} and by definition of \mathcal{C} these 2 are measurable and further that they also satisfy the integral formula's for E_n and for this sections $E_n \cap Y$ and $E_n \cap X$.

But first let us see what we can do with these sequence of functions so now note that this F_n increases point wise to the function f , which takes x to $\int f(x) d\mu_y$. This is simply because you have that since $\int_{\cup E_n} f(x) d\mu_y$ this is $\int_{\cup E_n} f(x) d\mu_y$ and this is the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ of $\int_{E_n} f(x) d\mu_y$ by the upward monotone convergence theorem.

So this means that this sequence of function so this is precisely the limit on the left hand side we have $f(y)$ and on the $f(x)$ sorry we have $f(x)$ and on the right hand side we have $f_n(x)$ and so this is what we wrote that f_n increases to point wise to the function f . And so this implies that f is measurable and similarly g can be shown to be measurable g is the function here f is the function here. And so similarly g can be shown to be measurable.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:58)

Similarly g is measurable.

For each $n \geq 1$, $(\mu_x \times \mu_y)(E_n) = \int_X \mu_y(E_n)_x d\mu_x$. ($E_n \in C$)

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu_x \times \mu_y)(E_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \mu_y(E_n)_x d\mu_x.$$

(UCMT) MCT (for f_n)

$$\Rightarrow (\mu_x \times \mu_y)(E) = \int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_y(E_n)_x d\mu_x$$

$$\stackrel{\text{UCMT}}{=} \int_X \mu_y(E)_x d\mu_x.$$

$\Rightarrow E \in C.$

Similarly g is measurable and now we have that for each well let us see for each n we have the rule that $\mu_x \times \mu_y(E_n)$ this is equal to the integral over x of the sections $\mu_y(E_n)_x$ and the measure μ_x of E_n . Because again E_n belongs to C and now we have to take the limit on both sides so the limit as n goes to infinity $\mu_x \times \mu_y(E_n)$ this is equal to the limit as n goes to infinity $\int \mu_y(E_n)_x d\mu_x$.

Now on the left hand side by again the upward monotone convergence theorem shows that the left hand side is nothing but $\mu_x \times \mu_y$ of E . And on the right hand side by the monotone convergence theorem for functions we have this is the integral of the limit n tends to infinity $\mu_y(E_n)_x d\mu_x$. And again by the upward monotone convergence theorem we have this is the μ_y of E \times $d\mu_x$. Similarly one can show for the other variable and so this implies that E belongs to C .

(Refer Slide Time: 17:07)

Similarly if $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \dots$, $E_j \in \mathcal{C}$.

then $E = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \mathcal{C}$.

Since $\mu_x(X) < \infty$, $\mu_y(Y) < \infty \Rightarrow (\mu_x \times \mu_y)(X \times Y) < \infty$.

Use the DMCT to show that $f(y) = \mu_x(E_0)$ is measurable

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_x(E_n \cap Y)$$

Similarly, $g(x) = \mu_y(E_0)$ is measurable.

Similarly one can show similarly if E_1, E_2 is a decreasing sequence E_j 's in \mathcal{C} then the intersection $j = 1$ to infinity of these E_j 's belong to \mathcal{C} by using that downward monotone convergence theorem by noting that. Since μ_x is finite and μ_y is finite this implies that $\mu_x \times \mu_y$ of $X \times Y$ this is finite and on a finite measure space you have the downward monotone convergence for any decreasing sequence. So this implies that so let us put this to be E again.

So now we can use the downward monotone convergence theorem so use the downward monotone convergence theorem. To show that the function f, y which is given by the measure of $E \cap Y$ this is measurable because it is a limit of these functions f and y which, is the limit of these measures $E \cap Y$. Because we have the finite measures spaces so, downward monotone convergence holds for any decreasing sequence.

And so we can show similarly that G similarly $g \times x$ is so this is given by μ_y of $E \cap X$ is measurable and finally let us see if the formula holds.

(Refer Slide Time: 19:34)

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu_X \times \mu_Y)\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu_X \times \mu_Y)(E_n) \quad (\text{By D.M.C.T.}) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \mu_Y(E_n) d\mu_X \\
\text{Now, } \mu_Y(E_n) &< \mu_Y(Y) \in L^1(X, \mu_X) \\
\text{since } \int_X \mu_Y(Y) d\mu_X &= \mu_X(X) \mu_Y(Y) < \infty. \\
\text{Dominated conv. thm.} \\
\Rightarrow (\mu_X \times \mu_Y)(E) &= \int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_Y(E_n) d\mu_Y = \int_X \mu_Y(E_n) d\mu_X
\end{aligned}$$

So $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$ of this intersection E_n , $n = 1$ to infinity this is equal to the limit as n tends to infinity $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$ of E_n again by downward monotone convergence theorem. And this is equal to the limit as n tends to infinity because these are in C so we can use the formula's for example for x integral over x $\mu_Y(E_n) d\mu_X$. And now we know can no longer use the monotone convergence theorem because these are no longer monotonically increasing functions.

Now we note that these functions $\mu_Y(E_n)$ this is dominated by $\mu_Y(Y)$ and this if you view this as a function in x then this is in L^1 of x μ_X because since the integral over x of $\mu_Y(Y) d\mu_X$ this is equal to $\mu_X(X) \mu_Y(Y)$ and this is finite. So this means that by the dominated convergence theorem we have that the left hand side which is $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$ of E . This is equal to we can pass the limit inside by the dominated convergence theorem and we have what we want which is $\mu_Y(E_n)$.

Similarly one can do it for the other variable and so we have proved it for the case when both x and y are finite measure spaces.

(Refer Slide Time: 22:04)

$$\text{If } X, Y \text{ are } \sigma\text{-finite, then } X \times Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (X_j \times Y_j)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \mu_X(X_j) < \infty \text{ \& } \mu_Y(Y_j) < \infty.$$

$$\Rightarrow (\mu_X \times \mu_Y)(E) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\mu_X \times \mu_Y)(E \cap (X_j \times Y_j)) \quad (\text{by uMCT}).$$

$$= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \mu_Y((E \cap (X_j \times Y_j))_x) d\mu_X.$$

$$\stackrel{\text{MCT}}{=} \int_X \mu_Y(E_x) d\mu_X.$$

And now if x and y are sigma finite then we can write x cross y as the union of pieces X_j cross Y_j , $j = 1$ to infinity such that μ_x, x_j is finite and μ_y, y_j is finite and then μ_x cross μ_y of a set E this is equal to μ_x cross μ_y of E intersection X_j cross Y_j with the limit this is equal to the limit as j tends to infinity. Again by upward monotone convergence theorem and now this is something in a finite measure space.

So we have that this is the limit as j tends to infinity now the product rule applies well the formula applies the integral formula applies. So let us write for the x integral this is μ_y of E intersection X_j cross Y_j section $d\mu_x$ and now we can pass this limit inside by again an application of monotone convergence theorem. So this means that this is $d\mu_y$ of E $d\mu_x$ so by the repeated applications of upward monotone and downward monotone convergence theorems we get the result this is the Tonelli's theorem for sets.