

= 1 to infinity $\chi_{E_n} \times \chi_{F_n}$. So note that all these χ_E , χ_F , χ_{F_n} are measurable functions in their respective σ -algebras with respect to these respective sigma algebras. And now we are going to integrate this formula that we have here so let us call this formula 1.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:08)

Integrating (1) with respect to μ_y :

$$\int_Y \chi_E(x) \chi_F(y) d\mu_y(y) = \int_Y \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{E_n}(x) \chi_{F_n}(y) \right) d\mu_y(y)$$

non-negative.

LHS = $\chi_E(x) \cdot \mu_y(F)$.

RHS = $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_Y \chi_{E_n}(x) \chi_{F_n}(y) d\mu_y(y)$ [By Tonelli's thm. for interchanging integrals with infinite sums].

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{E_n}(x) \cdot \mu_y(F_n)$$

$\Rightarrow \chi_E(x) \mu_y(F) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{E_n}(x) \mu_y(F_n)$



And then integrating 1 with respect to μ_y what do we get so we get integral over $y \in \chi_E \times \chi_{F_n}$ $\int_Y \chi_E(x) \chi_F(y) d\mu_y(y)$. So this is for y and this is equal to the integral of the sum $n = 1$ to infinity $\int_Y \chi_E(x) \chi_{F_n}(y) d\mu_y(y)$. So the first on the left hand side this is simply this is constant and for this integral so $\chi_E(x)$ times the integral of $\chi_F(y)$. But this is nothing but μ_y of F and on the other hand for the RHS we have this equal to by so these are all non-negative functions non-negative.

So by Tonelli's theorem for interchange of summation and integrals we have that this is equal to, $\int_Y \chi_E(x) \chi_F(y) d\mu_y(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_Y \chi_E(x) \chi_{F_n}(y) d\mu_y(y)$. So this is by Tonelli's theorem for interchanging integrals with infinite sums so but infinity sums of non-negative functions. So we have this and now we can again simplify on the right hand side. So this equal to $\chi_E(x) \mu_y(F) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_E(x) \mu_y(F_n)$ so then we again have this point wise inequality $\chi_E(x) \mu_y(F) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_E(x) \mu_y(F_n)$.

(Refer Slide Time: 06:55)

Integrating w.r.t. μ_X :

$$\Rightarrow \int_X \chi_E(x) \mu_Y(F) d\mu_X(x) = \int_X \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{E_n}(x) \mu_Y(F_n) \right) d\mu_X(x).$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_X(E) \cdot \mu_Y(F) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_X \chi_{E_n}(x) \mu_Y(F_n) d\mu_X(x)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_X(E_n) \mu_Y(F_n).$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mu_{X \times Y}^S(E \times F) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{X \times Y}^{S_n}(E_n \times F_n)$$

And now we integrate with respect to x integrating with respect to μ_X naught integral $x \chi_E(x) d\mu_X(x)$ there is a $\mu_Y(F) d\mu_X(x)$, X is equal to integral. Again there is a sum $n = 1$ to infinity $\chi_{E_n}(x) \mu_Y(F_n) d\mu_X(x)$ right. And then again we have on the left hand side this is a constant n will come out of the integral and then we only have $\mu_X(E) \mu_Y(F)$. And on the right hand side again by Tonelli's theorem we can interchange the integral and the summation sign to get integral of $\chi_{E_n}(x) \mu_Y(F_n) d\mu_X(x)$.

But this is again nothing but $\mu_X(E_n) \mu_Y(F_n)$ and since this was on the left hand side we have $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$ of $E \times F$. So we have chosen our S to be of this simple form and on the right hand side we have $n = 1$ to infinity similarly $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$ of $E_n \times F_n$ which was these were all S_n 's. So we have shown this formula that this formula holds when S_n 's have this simple form and S has this simple form. And now we can reduce the general case to this case as follows.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:06)

Now suppose in general that $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$, $S_n \in \mathcal{B}_0$,
disjoint

and $S \in \mathcal{B}_0$.

Write $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^N (A_i \times B_i)$ (finite disjoint union).

By finite additivity of $\mu_{X \times Y}$, we have

$$\mu_{X \times Y}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_{X \times Y}(A_i \times B_i).$$

It suffices to show (by finite additivity) that

$$\mu_{X \times Y}(A_i \times B_i) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{X \times Y}(S_n \cap (A_i \times B_i)).$$

Now suppose in general that S is equal to $n = 1$ to infinity $S_n = 1$ where S_n belongs to $\mathcal{B}_X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$ for all n this is at disjoint union and for further S belongs to $\mathcal{B}_X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$ sorry this belong to \mathcal{B} naught and again we have \mathcal{B} naught. Now if we write S as a finite disjoint union $A_i \times B_i$, $i = 1$ to N again a finite disjoint union of course this $A_i \times B_i$ all these A_i 's and B_i 's belong to respective sigma algebras \mathcal{B}_X and \mathcal{B}_Y .

So by finite additivity of $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$ we have that $\mu_X \times \mu_Y(S)$ is equal to this finite sum $i = 1$ to n , $N \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_X \times \mu_Y(A_i \times B_i)$. And now we can take for each N we can take the intersection of S_n with these elementary products. So it suffices to show again by finite additivity that $\mu_X \times \mu_Y(A_i \times B_i)$ is equal to the sum $n = 1$ to infinity $\mu_X \times \mu_Y(S_n \cap (A_i \times B_i))$.

So we have now reduce it to the case when S is the single elementary sets but on the right hand side this S_n may be may not be single elementary sets. But since S_n belongs to this Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} naught then again we can have a decomposition of S each S_n into finitely many pieces.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:27)

Again write $S_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N_n} (E_j^{(n)} \times F_j^{(n)})$ disjoint union.

We can write $S_n \cap (A_i \times B_i) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{M_{n,i}} (G_j^{(n,i)} \times H_j^{(n,i)})$

for some $G_j^{(n,i)} \in \mathcal{B}_X \ \forall j \in 1, \dots, M_{n,i}$
 $H_j^{(n,i)} \in \mathcal{B}_Y \ \forall j \in 1, \dots, M_{n,i}$

$$\mu_{X \times Y}(S_n \cap (A_i \times B_i)) = \sum_{j=1}^{M_{n,i}} \mu_{X \times Y}(G_j^{(n,i)} \times H_j^{(n,i)})$$

\Rightarrow the general case follows. $\Rightarrow \mu_{X \times Y}$ is a pre-measure on \mathcal{B}_0 .



So again right $S_n = E_n \cap i$ or rather $E_i \cap n$ or let me write $E_j \cap n$ cross $F_j \cap n$ $j = 1$ to N_n . So this is the number of terms may differ for different S_n 's but we still have a disjoint union of elements in \mathcal{B} naught. And then $S_n \cap (A_i \times B_i)$ is then a disjoint union of the form $G_j \times H_j$, $j = 1$ to sum number M . So it holds or rather we can write for sum G_j in \mathcal{B}_X for all j in 1 to M and H_j in \mathcal{B}_Y for all j in 1 to M .

So we have again use the fact that the intersection and cross product distribute over each other and then we can write sum disjoint union like this. On the other hand then we have that $\mu_X \times \mu_Y (S_n \cap (A_i \times B_i))$ is equal to the sum $j = 1$ to M $\mu_X \times \mu_Y (G_j \times H_j)$. And so we are again reducing it to elementary sets of this form and so therefore we have. So these are of course dependent on n G_j and so n here G_j 's here and they are also dependent on i . So we have write to everywhere n, i so everywhere it depends on n as well as R .

Nevertheless we still have this finite sum of elementary things and so we have reduce it to the previous case where all the S_n 's where of elementary form in the product form as well as this set S which is the union which is also the product of the form $A_i \times B_i$. And so we have already shown this to be true and so the general case follows. And so this implies that $\mu_X \times \mu_Y$ is a premeasure on \mathcal{B} naught.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:34)



\leadsto Hahn-Kolmogorov extension thm.
 an extension $\mu_{X \times Y}$ on a σ -algebra on $X \times Y$
 containing \mathcal{B}_0 . $\Rightarrow \mu_{X \times Y}$ is a measure on $\mathcal{B}_X \times \mathcal{B}_Y$.
 which satisfies the product formula:

$$\mu_{X \times Y}(E \times F) = \mu_X(E) \cdot \mu_Y(F)$$

Uniqueness: If X is σ -finite w.r.t. a pre-measure μ_0 on a Boolean
 alg. \mathcal{B}_0 , $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j$, $X_j \in \mathcal{B}_0$, $\mu_0(X_j) < \infty \forall j$.
 then any extension μ to a σ -alg. $\mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{B}_0$ is unique, i.e.
 if ν is another meas. on \mathcal{B} then $\mu = \nu$ on \mathcal{B} . [Folland's thm. 1.14]



So now the Hahn Kolmogorov extension theorem gives us an extension which we have still denote by $\mu \times \nu$. On a sigma algebra on $X \times Y$ containing \mathcal{B} and this implies that since $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ is the smallest sigma algebra containing \mathcal{B} this means that this $\mu \times \nu$ this extended $\mu \times \nu$ is a measure on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$. And it automatically satisfies which satisfies the product formula that $\mu \times \nu(E \times F) = \mu(E) \times \nu(F)$ because it extends the same because it is extending the $\mu \times \nu$ the premeasure defined on \mathcal{B} naught.

And now for the uniqueness part in fact this is where the sigma finiteness will play a part and this is a general result that if X is sigma finite with respect to a premeasure μ_0 on a Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} which means that $X = \text{the union countable union of } X_j\text{'s in the Boolean algebra with } \mu_0(X_j) \text{ finite for all } j$. Then any extension to a sigma algebra \mathcal{B} containing \mathcal{B} is unique meaning that if ν is another so let me call it μ here and if ν is another measure on \mathcal{B} then $\mu = \nu$ on \mathcal{B} .

So I will just give a reference for this general result you can try to prove it yourself but you can also look at Folland's theorem 1.14 which gives a result or which gives a proof of this result. So we also have uniqueness in the sigma finite case.