

Measure Theory
Prof. Indrava Roy
Department of Mathematics
Institute of Mathematical Science

Lecture – 42

Lebesgue Integral of Unsigned Simple Measurable Functions: Definition and Properties

(Refer Slide Time: 00:14)

Measure Theory - Lecture 25

NPTEL

Lebesgue's theory of Integration:

Some Drawbacks of Riemann's Theory of integration: (on \mathbb{R}).

(i) Riemann integration is valid only for bounded fns. on bounded sets of \mathbb{R} , i.e. $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

(ii) Since E Jordan measurable $\Leftrightarrow \chi_E$ is Riemann integrable. Even if f is bounded, f may fn. whose Riemann integral does not exist, e.g. Take any non-Jordan measurable set E & take χ_E .

So now we have built up enough theory of Lebesgue measurable sets so that now we can come to the main and the most important aspect of Lebesgue's theory of Lebesgue's measure theory which is the theory of integration. So, as I mentioned before one of the main motivations for developing the theory of measures was because Riemann's theory of integration was not sufficient and it had some drawbacks and one of the main motivations for the Lebesgue's theory of integration and subsequently the Lebesgue's measure theory was to overcome these drawbacks in Lebesgue's and Riemann's theory of integration.

So, let me recall some drawbacks of Riemann's theory of integration some drawbacks of Riemann's theory of integration. So, the first point is that of course here I am only talking on for the Riemann's integrals on the real line \mathbb{R} . So, first of all it is that a function so Riemann's theory Riemann's integration is valid only for bounded functions on compact sets or bounded sets of \mathbb{R} .

So, if the function is unbounded then proper the theory of ordinary Riemann integrals is not sufficient and then one has to pass to what is called an improper Riemann integral but for

ordinary Riemann integration only bounded functions are allowed and that to with support on bounded sets on \mathbb{R} . So, there are of the form f from defined on finite interval a, b to \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . So, this is one of the first drawbacks that it only allows for bounded functions defined on compact sets of \mathbb{R} .

Secondly, we have seen that since E is Jordan measurable is equal into saying that χ_E is Riemann integral. So, we prove this statement this is an if and only if condition. So, this means that even if f is bounded there exists many functions whose Riemann integral does not exist. So for example take any non-Jordan measurable set E and take χ_E . So, we have seen many examples of bounded sets which are not Jordan measurable.

So, the modified cantor set was one example then the union of small enough intervals over the rationals this was another example of a boundary open set. So, these are not Jordan measurable therefore their integrative functions will not be Riemann integral. So, Riemann integration even if you take boundary functions then also the class of bounded functions for which it is Riemann integral integrable is not large enough.

(Refer Slide Time: 05:15)

(iii) If $f_n: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a seq. of Riemann integrable fns. converging pointwise to $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then f may not be Riemann integrable, e.g.
 $A = \{q_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \cap [0, 1]$ where $Q = \{q_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.
 $A_n = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\} \in A$
 then $\chi_{A_n} \rightarrow \chi_A$ (which is not Riemann integrable)
 (since χ_{A_n} has finitely many points of discontinuity).
 (iv) Riemann integration only works on \mathbb{R} .

If f_n say \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} is a sequence of Riemann integrable functions, then the point was limit so let us suppose that converging to converging point-wise. So here let me put a, b to \mathbb{R} so we have fixed a finite interval on which all these references are defined so converging point-wise to f then f may not be Riemann integral. So, for example if you take an enumeration of the rationals q_i from 1 to infinity and inside let us say 0, 1 and take A_n to be the union of to be the set of the first n rationals in.

So let me denote this as A where $q =$ this enumeration of all the rational numbers so you are only considering the rational numbers inside $0, 1$. So if you take A_n to be the rationales inside A inside $0,1$ but only finitely many then χ_n converges to χ_A and this is so each of these are Riemann integrable because Riemann integrable because it has only finitely many points of discontinuity.

Since χ_{A_n} has finitely many points of discontinuity. On the other hand, if you take, there indicate the function for the all the rationales in $0, 1$ this is not integrable this is not Riemann integrable because it is a this is a no where continuous function it is not continuous at any point in $0,1$ so we see that point-wise limit of bounded Riemann integral functions may converge to a function which is not Riemann integral.

So, this is another drawback for Riemann's theory and last but also not least is that Riemann's theory Riemann integration even if you consider improper Riemann integrals which do allow some unbounded functions Riemann integration only works on \mathbb{R} or let us say \mathbb{R}^n so it only works on Euclidean Space \mathbb{R}^n .

So, we see that when we have constructed an abstract theory of measures, we can also define integration on an abstract set abstract measurable space and then we will have a very nice theory of integration which more or less will address almost all these shortcomings that we have listed here.



(Refer Slide Time: 09:54)

Some Drawbacks of Riemann's Theory of integration: (on \mathbb{R}).

(i) Riemann integration is valid only for bounded fns.
on bounded sets of \mathbb{R} , e.g. $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

(ii) Since E Jordan measurable $\Leftrightarrow \chi_E$ is Riemann integrable.
Even if f is bounded, f may fns. whose Riemann integral does not exist, e.g. Take any non-Jordan measurable set E & take χ_E .

(iii) If $f_n: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a seq. of Riemann integrable fns. converging pointwise to $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then f may not be Riemann integrable, e.g. $\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

So first one is that it is valid only for bounded functions on compact sets second is that even if it is bounded it may not be Riemann integrable and third is that a sequence of Riemann integral functions may converge to a function which is not Riemann integral. So, and of course the last we have seen that it only restricts the theory to \mathbb{R}^n and what we will propose the Lebesgue theory of integration which will work not only for any abstract measurable space.

But also, most of these issues that we face here will be addressed of course giving some additional constraints but nevertheless they allow for a much larger class of functions to be integrated.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:56)

Lebesgue integrals:

- (i) Integrals for simple (measurable) f_n .
- (ii) Integrals for unsigned measurable f_n .
- (iii) Integrals for real measurable & complex measurable f_n .

The slide also features the NPTEL logo in the top right corner and a small video inset of a speaker in the bottom right corner.

So, let us come to Lebesgue's theory of integration the Lebesgue integrals. So first we will define integration integrals for simple functions simple measurable functions. So, whenever I say simple functions, I will assume that it is measurable and then we will define integrals for unsigned measurable functions and then we define integrals for real measurable and subsequently complex measurable functions.

So, we will follow this step so this is first this is second and this is the third step in which we will step by step define the notion of a Lebesgue integral. So, let us start with integrals for simple functions.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:26)

Lebesgue integrals of simple functions!

Idea: Mimic the construction for the piecewise constant

Riemann-Darboux integral.

if g is a piecewise constant fn. defined on a box $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$:
 \exists a partition $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of B s.t.

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{B_i}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g \, d\mu := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(B_i)$$



So, the idea here will be to mimic the construction for the piece wise constant Riemann Darboux integral idea is to mimic the construction for the piece-wise constant Riemann Darboux integral which takes piece-wise constant function g . So, if g is a piecewise constant function defined on a box B in \mathbb{R}^d then there exists a partition B_i $i = 1$ to n finite partition of B into boxes such that g can be written as $\alpha_i \chi_{B_i}$ $i = 1$ to n .

So, this was a piecewise constant function and the piece-wise constant Riemann Darboux integral on \mathbb{R}^d $d\mu$ is by definition then $i = 1$ to n α_i the measure of B_i . So, this was the definition of that piecewise constant Riemann Darboux integral which is defined like this. So, we will now allow first of all we will take a simple function which will be of the form $\alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$ A_i 's may not be boxes but they will be definitely Lebesgue measurable functions and then we can use a similar formula to define what is called the Lebesgue integral.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:55)

fix a measure space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) .

Take a simple fn. $s: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ of the form

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}, \quad A_i \text{ measurable}, \alpha_i \in [0, +\infty)$$



for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

Defn. (Simple Lebesgue integral of a simple fn.):

Let s be as above. Then the simple Lebesgue integral denoted by $\int_X s d\mu$ is defined to be the sum

$$\int_X s d\mu := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i)$$

Rk: Note that α_i can be zero and $\mu(A_i)$ can be $+\infty$, in which we take the convention $0(+\infty) = 0$.

So, this is the idea for simply how to we make the piece-wise constant Riemann Darboux integral. So, first let me fix i will work on fix measure space x, B, μ and take now a simple function an unsigned simple function. So, s is a map from x to $0 + \text{infinity}$ but not including $+$ infinity of the form $s = \sum_{i=1}^n$ so it is a finite sum of a finite linear combination of indicative functions A_i measurable and α_i belongs to the positive non negative real numbers for each $i = 1, 2$ up to n .

And so, this is it simple function so then we can define the simple Lebesgue integral of a simple function. So, let s be as above then the simple Lebesgue integral denoted by a integral sign over x but with a cross sign $s d\mu$ is defined to be the sum $i = 1$ to n $\alpha_i \mu$ of A_i and this is by definition this simple Lebesgue integral for the simple function s . So, here note that α_i can be 0 and μ of A_i can be plus infinity because we are no longer working on boxes.

So the measures can be can be plus infinity and it can be 0 s can take the value 0 on a measurable set of measure plus infinity in which case we take the convention 0 times plus infinity is 0 so that when the simple function takes the value 0 on a measurable set of infinite measure it does not contribute to this some $\alpha_i \mu A_i$ so it will be 0 . So, this is the definition for the simple function.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:41)



We can also define $\int_E f d\mu$ for any measurable $E \subseteq X$.

$$\int_E f d\mu := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i \cap E).$$

Basic properties of Simple Lebesgue integrals: Let $s, r : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be simple measurable fns on (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) and $E \in \mathcal{B}$.

Linearity (i) If $\alpha \geq 0$ then $\int_E (\alpha s) d\mu = \alpha \int_E s d\mu$ - ∞

(ii) $\int_E (s+r) d\mu = \int_E s d\mu + \int_E r d\mu$

Monotonicity - (iii) if $s \leq r$ then $\int_E s d\mu \leq \int_E r d\mu$ - ∞

Measure - (iv) The map $E \rightarrow \int_E f d\mu$ is a measure on \mathcal{B} .



We can also define this integral $\int_E f d\mu$ for any measurable subset E of X and this is defined as so in the first instance we defined it for the whole space X but it is simply a very easy modification to define it for over any measurable subset E of X and here you take the sum $\sum \alpha_i \mu(A_i \cap E)$ again with the same condition convention that $0 \times \infty$ plus infinity is 0.

So now let us look at some basic properties for the simple Lebesgue integral. So, we take 2 simple functions s and r they are simply measuring functions on some measure space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) and we fix a measurable subset E of X then the first 2 properties is the linearity property. So, if α is a scalar and non-negative scalar then the function the integral of the simple function αs equal to α times the simple integral of s .

So, this is very easy and I leave it as an exercise for you to do. The second one is that the simple integral of the sum of 2 simple functions which is again a simple function so this is a simple function is equal to the sum of the simple function simple integrals of s and r . So, this is these 2 the first 2 properties taken together give you the linearity property for the simple Lebesgue integral.

The third one is monotonicity which says that if s is point-wise bounded by r then the simple Lebesgue integral of s is bounded by simple Lebesgue integral of r . So this is also left as an exercise because it is quite easy and lastly the measure where the map this is the measure property which the map which assigns to any measurable set E there is simple Lebesgue integral $\int_E f d\mu$ for a fixed central function f this is this defines a measure on \mathcal{B} which means that it

is accountably edited measure on B. So also, I will leave this the last property as an exercise so I will just prove this second property here.

(Refer Slide Time: 21:43)

pp of (ii): To show: $\int_E (s+r) d\mu = \int_E s d\mu + \int_E r d\mu$
 Let $s = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$, $r = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \chi_{B_j}$
 (Note that $\{A_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is a disjoint collection & $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ if $i \neq j$). Similarly for B_j 's and β_j .
 $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^m B_j$
 \Rightarrow for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $A_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^m (A_i \cap B_j) \Rightarrow \chi_{A_i} = \sum_{j=1}^m \chi_{A_i \cap B_j}$
 for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $B_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (B_j \cap A_i) \Rightarrow \chi_{B_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{A_i \cap B_j}$

And let us look at that proof for 2 which is that if so, this is what we have to show that the simple integral of $x + R d\mu = s d\mu$ plus simple integral of $R d\mu$. So, let us suppose that s is written as $\alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$ $i = 1$ to n and R is a simple function $j = 1$ to m different maybe different from this n for defined for s and we can have $\beta_j \chi_{B_j}$. So, $\beta_j \chi_{B_j}$. So these are the representations of simple functions with respect to measurable functions A_i 's and B_j 's scalars non-negative scalars α_i 's and β_j 's note that this collection A_i is designed collection and α_i is not equal to α_j if i is not equal to j .

So these are distinct values and similarly for β_j 's and B_j . So, we have that this collection of disjoint unions of A_i 's and B_j 's is given by the whole space x . So, x can be written as the union of A_i 's and also the union of B_j 's which means that for each fixed i for each i in $1, 2$ up to n A_i is the union $j = 1$ to n A_i intersection B_j . So, we can decompose each A_i in this way and similarly for each j fixed j in $1, 2$ up to n we have B_j is the union of $i = 1$ to n B_j intersection A_i .

So, the first one for example implies that χ_{A_i} is the sum $j = 1$ to n χ_{A_i} intersection B_j and the second one implies that χ_{B_j} is similarly $i = 1$ to n χ_{A_i} intersection B_j . So, let us see what is the integral of $s + r$ and what is the RHS outages which is the sum of integrals of s and R .

(Refer Slide Time: 25:13)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS: } \int_E (s+r) d\mu & ; \text{ Note that if } s+r = \sum_{k=1}^l \gamma_k \chi_{C_k} \\ \text{where } \text{Range}(s+r) &= \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l\} \\ C_k &= \{x \in X : (s+r)(x) = \gamma_k\} \\ \text{Range}(s+r) &= \{\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \alpha_1 + \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_1 + \beta_m, \\ &\quad \alpha_2 + \beta_1, \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_2 + \beta_m, \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad \alpha_n + \beta_1, \alpha_n + \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_n + \beta_m\} \\ \text{Let } \gamma_k &= \alpha_i + \beta_j \text{ and } C_k = s^{-1}(\alpha_i) \cap r^{-1}(\beta_j) = A_i \cap B_j \\ \int_E (s+r) d\mu &= \sum_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,n\} \times \{1,2,\dots,m\}} (\alpha_i + \beta_j) \mu(A_i \cap B_j \cap E) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (\alpha_i + \beta_j) \mu(A_i \cap B_j \cap E) \end{aligned}$$




Now let us try to compute the LHS so this is the simple Lebesgue integral of $s + r$ and note that $s + r$ is also a simple function and what we need is a representation of so let me say if $s + r$ is represented as a sum $k = 1$ to l $\gamma_k \chi_{C_k}$ where the range of $s + r$ is $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l$ and so on up to γ_l and C_k is equal to the set x set of points in X such that $(s+r)(x) = \gamma_k$.

So now since we know the ranges of s and r individually the set of γ_k case is easy to compute which is simply the set $\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \alpha_1 + \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_1 + \beta_m, \alpha_2 + \beta_1, \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_2 + \beta_m, \dots, \alpha_n + \beta_1, \alpha_n + \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_n + \beta_m$. So, these are the possible ranges for the function $s + r$ and so let $\gamma_k = \alpha_i + \beta_j$.

So of course, one has to reorder this set up to 1 to l and then we have to write γ_k for $\alpha_i + \beta_j$. So, $\gamma_k = \alpha_i + \beta_j$ so and C_k is exactly the same as set $s^{-1}(\alpha_i) \cap r^{-1}(\beta_j)$ which is nothing but $A_i \cap B_j$. So, the integral of $s + r d\mu$ is going to be equal to the double sum $\sum_{i,j} (\alpha_i + \beta_j) \mu(A_i \cap B_j \cap E)$. So i, j belonging to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ then you have $\alpha_i + \beta_j$ and then you have the measure new of $A_i \cap B_j \cap E$ and this is nothing but the double sum $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (\alpha_i + \beta_j) \mu(A_i \cap B_j \cap E)$. So, this is the RHS the LHS which is the LHS.

(Refer Slide Time: 29:24)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RHS: } \int_E f d\mu + \int_E f d\nu &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i \cap E) + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \nu(B_j \cap E) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_i \cap B_j \cap E) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \nu(B_j \cap A_i \cap E) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (\alpha_i + \beta_j) \mu(A_i \cap B_j \cap E) = \underline{\text{LHS}}.
\end{aligned}$$





And now for the RHS we have individually $\sum \alpha_i \mu(A_i \cap E) + \sum \beta_j \nu(B_j \cap E)$ which is nothing but the sum $i = 1$ to n $\alpha_i \mu(A_i \cap E) + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \nu(B_j \cap E)$ and now we are going to use the finite additivity property $\alpha_i \mu(A_i \cap E)$ can be written as $\sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_i \cap B_j \cap E) + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \nu(B_j \cap A_i \cap E)$ and then again you can write $i = 1$ to n $\mu(B_j \cap A_i \cap E)$. So, now we can collect the terms so both are intersected with E here also here.

So, everywhere you have intersection further intersection with E and so we can collect the terms with A_i and B_j so you will get again the double sum $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (\alpha_i + \beta_j) \mu(A_i \cap B_j \cap E)$ and then you have $\mu(A_i \cap B_j \cap E)$. So, from the first line to the second line we used finite additivity of the measure μ and so we see that this is also equal to the LHS and so we are done. So, this establishes the first few basic properties of the simple Lebesgue integral.