

Measure Theory
Prof. Indrava Roy
Department of Mathematics
Institute of Mathematical Science

Lecture – 39
Measurable Functions: Definition and Basic Properties – Part 1

(Refer Slide Time: 00:14)

Measure Theory Lecture 23

Measurable functions
 $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X', \tau')$
 (topological spaces)

f is continuous $\Leftrightarrow U' \in \tau' \Rightarrow f^{-1}(U') \in \tau$.


Defn: (Measurable Map): Let (X, \mathcal{B}) & (X', \mathcal{B}') be measurable spaces.
 Then a fn. $f: X \rightarrow X'$ is called $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$ -measurable if
 $E' \in \mathcal{B}' \Rightarrow f^{-1}(E') \in \mathcal{B}$.

We now come to a new topic which is that of measurable functions. So, we know that if f is a function between two topological spaces X and X' . So, these two are topological spaces then f is continuous if and only if any open set in X' has a pre-image which is open in X . So, f is continuous if and only if pre-images of open sets are open so in the same way we make the following definition.

So this is about measurable functions between two measurable spaces so let (X, \mathcal{B}) and (X', \mathcal{B}') be measurable spaces meaning that \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' are sigma algebras on X and X' then a function f from X to X' is called $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$ -measurable if you take any set E' in \mathcal{B}' then this implies that the pre image of E' via f belongs to the sigma algebra \mathcal{B} . So, this is very much like the definition of a continuous function except that instead of topologies we have sigma algebras.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:41)


Defn: A complex measurable fn. on (X, \mathcal{B}) is a fn. $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that it is $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -measurable, i.e.



if $E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}}$ $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{B}$.
Borel sets in \mathbb{C}

An unsigned measurable fn. on (X, \mathcal{B}) is a fn. $f: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that it is $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_{[0, +\infty]})$ -measurable.

($[0, +\infty)$ is equipped with the order topology induced by the total order on $[0, +\infty)$. (generated by sets of the form $\{x \in [0, +\infty) : a < x < b\}$)



Now so this is again a definition a complex measurable function on a measurable space X , \mathcal{B} these a function f which takes inputs in X and gives you complex numbers such that it is \mathcal{B} and you take the Borel sigma algebra on \mathbb{C} measurable. So, we are equipping \mathbb{C} with the Borel sigma algebra which makes it a measurable space and so f is called a complex measurable function if it is $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}}$ measurable meaning that if E belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}}$ it is a Borel subset of \mathbb{C} .

So, elements of the Borel sigma algebra are called Borel subsets these are called Borel subsets or simply Borel sets in \mathbb{C} . So, if you take a Borel set in \mathbb{C} this implies that $f^{-1}(E)$ belongs to this sigma algebra \mathcal{B} on your measurable space X . Similarly, an unsigned measurable function on X, \mathcal{B} is a function again from X but this time it takes non-negative extended real numbers such that it is again you take \mathcal{B} on the for X and again you take the Borel sigma algebra for subsets Borel subsets of the non-negative extended real numbers.

Since we are talking about Borel subsets of the extended real numbers we have to specify what kind of topology we are putting on the extended non-negative real numbers. So, this is 0 plus infinity so is equipped with the order topology on 0 infinity so well-ordered topology induced by the total order on 0 infinity. So, the order topology is defined for any totally ordered set and it contains open intervals of the form so its generated by this is generated by sets of the form a, x belong to plus infinity says that $a < x < b$. so, here a and b can be any real number as well as plus infinity.

So, this gives you a sub base of the topology and then you can generate a topology on the non-negative real lines. So, in this way we have defined what is a complex measurable

functions and then unsigned measurable function. So, we will be interested in both these kinds of functions and note here that we have not considered Lebesgue measurable sets for the or we are not considered Lebesgue sigma algebra for the space \mathbb{C} the complex numbers or even 0 plus infinity this is because.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:50)

Remark: 1. if $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ was defined to be measurable if it was $(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}))$ -measurable, then a continuous fn. $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ may not be measurable.

2. Pre-images of Lebesgue measurable sets may not be Lebesgue measurable under continuous maps.

$i: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$; i is continuous
 $x \mapsto (x, 0)$.

Take a Vitali set V in \mathbb{R} . Then the pre-image of $V \times \{0\}$ is V under i^{-1} ; i.e. $i^{-1}(V \times \{0\}) = V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ Not Lebesgue measurable.
 Lebesgue null set in \mathbb{R}^2 (measurable)

So I will put this as an remark and it is important to highlight this fact that if you wanted to simply mimic the definition of a continuous function and if you had if F from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} was defined to be measurable to be measurable if it was $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ measurable so we would take not the Borel but the Lebesgue sigma algebra on both sides then a continuous function f may not be measurable.

So, our definition is a bit asymmetric in the sense that we only considered the Borel sigma algebra on the target space and not the Lebesgue sigma algebra precisely because we want continuous functions to be measurable and very easy examples show that the pre images of Lebesgue measurable sets under continuous maps may not be Lebesgue measurable. So pre images so this is the first remark secondary mark is that pre images of continuous of Lebesgue measurable sets may not be Lebesgue measurable under continuous maps.

So, it is not difficult to give an example for this so let me write rather we can consider \mathbb{R} rather than considering functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} you can consider functions from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m and we can put the Lebesgue sigma algebras on \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m respectively here again \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^n . So, in this case also pre images of Lebesgue measurable sets under continuous maps may not be the Lebesgue measurable.

So, this also works for \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} but let me write it for \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m because this example is much simpler. So, suppose that you have a map from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R}^2 which simply takes x to the point $(x, 0)$. So it is an inclusion of \mathbb{R} in \mathbb{R}^2 . So, this inclusion map is of course continuous is continuous and if you would now take non-Lebesgue measurable set let me take the Vitali set in \mathbb{R} then the pre image of so let me call this Vitali set V then the pre image of $V \times \{0\}$ is V under I inverse meaning that I inverse of $V \times \{0\}$ is simply V because it is an inclusion map.

On the other hand, $V \times \{0\}$ this is a Lebesgue measurable in fact a Lebesgue null set in \mathbb{R}^2 with respect to the Lebesgue measure of \mathbb{R}^2 and so measurable Lebesgue measurable but V is not Lebesgue measurable. So, we see that even very simple continuous functions can give you the pre image of Lebesgue measurable sets may not be Lebesgue measurable under such continuous maps.

So, because we want our continuous functions to be measurable, we have this condition that rather than taking the Lebesgue sigma algebra on the target space we only consider the Borel sigma algebra and then the preimage of a Borel sigma measurable set is always Lebesgue measurable. So then there is no problem and we have that continuous functions are in fact measurable.

(Refer Slide Time: 13:35)

Basic properties of Measurable functions: Let (X, \mathcal{B}) be a measurable space.

i) $f: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is unsigned measurable if and only if the sets


$$\{x \in X : f(x) > \lambda\} = f^{-1}((\lambda, +\infty]) \in \mathcal{B}.$$

$$\{x \in X : f(x) \geq \lambda\} = f^{-1}([\lambda, +\infty]) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}((\lambda - \frac{1}{k}, +\infty])$$

$$\{x \in X : f(x) < \lambda\} = (f^{-1}([\lambda, +\infty]))^c$$

$$\{x \in X : f(x) \leq \lambda\} = (f^{-1}((\lambda, +\infty)))^c$$

are measurable for any $\lambda \in [0, +\infty]$.



So now let us come to some basic properties of a measurable functions so we start with the measurable space (X, \mathcal{B}) and we take an unsigned measurable function f an unsigned function meaning that the range is the non-negative extended reals. So, this first property says that it is

measurable if and only if these four kinds of sets $x \in X$ such that $x > \lambda$, $x \geq \lambda$, $x < \lambda$ and $x \leq \lambda$ where λ is any non-negative real number.

These are all measurable so rather than giving a proof I will just mention here in one line what are the reasons? So, $x > \lambda$ is equal to f^{-1} of the set the open interval (λ, ∞) and so this is open and so this is measurable. Similarly, we can show that $x \geq \lambda$ is precisely the set (λ, ∞) and this can be written as the intersection of the sets f^{-1} of open intervals $(\lambda - 1/K, \infty)$ where K is from 1 to infinity.

So, these are all open sets and so these are measurable and so these are Borel subset sorry these are all measurable sets so in the intersection is also measurable because \mathcal{B} is a sigma algebra. So similarly, one can show this for $x < \lambda$ this is f^{-1} of $(-\infty, \lambda)$ and this one is f^{-1} of open $(-\infty, \lambda)$ complement.

So, because these closed under compliments we have that these are all measurable. So, the other way on the other side we have to show that if these one of these is measurable then let us say the first one for the first one if this is measurable then f^{-1} of any Borel set is an element of the sigma algebra. So, this shows what we have done here is just shows that if f is unsigned measurable then these are measurable sets. On the other end, we have to show that if these are measurable then it is unsigned measurable.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:51)

To show: $\{x \in X : f(x) < \lambda\} \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{B}$ for any Borel $E \subseteq [0, +\infty)$.

$\Omega := \{E \subseteq [0, +\infty) \mid f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{B}\}$

then Ω is a σ -algebra:


$f^{-1}([0, +\infty)) = X \Rightarrow X \in \Omega$

$f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \emptyset \in \Omega$

if $E \in \Omega$ then $E^c \in \Omega$ since $f^{-1}(E^c) = [f^{-1}(E)]^c$

if $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \Omega$ then $f^{-1}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(A_n) \in \Omega$

and since Ω contains the open subsets of $[0, +\infty) \Rightarrow \Omega$ contains $\mathcal{B}_{[0, +\infty)}$



So, to show that if x in X such that $f(x) < \lambda$ let us say I will take less than λ this one is measurable this implies that $f^{-1}(E)$ belongs to \mathcal{B} for any Borel set E in $[0, +\infty)$. So, this can be shown using the fact that if you let Ω to be the collection of subsets of $[0, +\infty)$ such that $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{B}$ then I claim that Ω is a sigma algebra because f^{-1} of the whole interval $[0, +\infty)$ is the whole space X .

So, X belongs to Ω f^{-1} of the empty set is empty. So, this implies that the empty set belongs to Ω if E belongs to Ω then E^c also belongs to Ω since $f^{-1}(E^c) = [f^{-1}(E)]^c$. So, it is closed under compliments and if $\{A_n\}$ is a collection in Ω then f^{-1} of the union $n = 1$ to infinity n this is equal to the union of the individual pre images n .

So, this belongs to Ω so this shows that Ω is a sigma algebra and since now Ω contains the open sets of $[0, +\infty)$ this implies that Ω contains the Borel subsets Borel sigma algebra as well and so if you have it is enough to have a measurability for open subsets to get measurability for Borel subsets. So, we have shown that this is an if and only if condition.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:06)


ii) For $B \subseteq X$, $\chi_E: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is unsigned measurable
 if and only if $E \in \mathcal{B}$. $\chi_E^{-1}((\alpha, +\infty]) = \begin{cases} E & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1 \end{cases}$

iii) $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ complex measurable if and only if
 $\text{Re } f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Im } f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -measurable [real measurable].

if B an open box in \mathbb{C}
 $\text{Re } B = I_1 \times I_2$
 $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X \mid \text{Re } f(x) \in I_1 \text{ and } \text{Im } f(x) \in I_2\} \in \mathcal{B}$

if u an open set in \mathbb{R} then
 $\text{Re } f^{-1}(u) = f^{-1} \circ \text{Re}^{-1}(u)$
 $\text{Im } f^{-1}(u) = f^{-1} \circ \text{Im}^{-1}(u)$

iv) $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ real measurable.
 $f_+ : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ and $f_- : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$
 $f_+(x) = \max\{f(x), 0\}$ and $f_-(x) = \max\{-f(x), 0\}$
 are unsigned measurable.




Now we have three more important properties so the first one says that if E is a subset of x and the χ_E is the indicator function then it is unsigned measurable if and only if E itself is a measurable subset of x . So, the proof is quite simple and we can simply take the inverse image of an open interval α to plus infinity included and note that if $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ then this interval contains 1 but does not contain 0.

So, you will have the inverse image should be simply E and if α is greater than or equal to 1 then this interval does not contain 1 or 0 and so this is going to be the empty sets. So, in both cases this is measurable and so of course if E is measurable then the inverse images of all these sets are measurable and if these are measurable then E is measurable. So, in both ways we get that this integrative function is unsigned measurable if and only if E is measurable.

On the other hand, if you have a complex measurable function then the real part so this one is the real part of f and this one is the imaginary part of f both are functions from x to now the real numbers and these are measurable with respect to the sigma algebra \mathcal{B} and the again the Borel sigma algebra on \mathbb{R} . So here f is written as $\text{Re } f$ minus i times the imaginary complex number I . So, in this case the real part and imaginary part are measurable with respect to the Borel sigma algebra on \mathbb{R} and the given sigma algebra \mathcal{B} on x .

So, in this case we also call it real measurable function. So to show this it is enough to note the following that the real part is itself a function from the complex numbers to the real numbers and it is a continuous function from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{R} and so if you take any open set u in \mathbb{R}

then the inverse image under the real part of f of u is nothing but so you can view this as a composition so there is real part of f is a composition of two functions the real part and f itself.

So, the inverse is just the composition reversed so f inverse composed with r inverse of u and because the real part is a continuous function this is open and so this is an inverse image of an open set so this is measurable so we get that the real part is measurable and simply the imaginary part is also measurable. On the other hand, if x is now a real measurable function then the positive part f plus which is defined as the maximum of f_x and 0 and the negative part they are unsigned measurable and this is again an if and only if condition.

So, we see that we have various equivalent conditions in terms of for f to be complex measurable and for f to be real measurable and for an indicator function of a subset of x to be unsigned measurable. On the other hand, if the real and imaginary parts are real measurable then we can conclude that f is complex measurable because we have if B is an open box in the complex plane open box in \mathbb{C} then you can write B as a product of two intervals and then f inverse of B is precisely real part of f inverse of I_1 intersection with the universe of the imaginary part for I_2 and these two are measurable.

So, f inverse B belongs to the sigma algebra \mathcal{B} when you have an open box now if you have any open set V open subset of the complex plane then you can write V as the union of open boxes $I = 1$ to infinity need not even be an open box it just needs to be a box and this is the union of f inverse of the B_i and these are measurable so this belongs to the sigma algebra \mathcal{B} .