

**Measure Theory**  
**Prof. Indrava Roy**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Institute of Mathematical Science**

**Module No # 07**  
**Lecture No # 35**  
**Abstract measure and Hahn-Kolmogorov Extension**

(Refer Slide Time 00:16)

Measure Theory - Lecture 21

Pre-measures and the Hahn-Kolmogorov Extension theorem:

The diagram illustrates the following relationships:

- Elementary measure on  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$**  leads to **Lebesgue outer measure  $m^*$** .
- Lebesgue outer measure  $m^*$**  leads to **Measure  $m_0$  & a  $\sigma$ -alg.  $\mathcal{C}_{m^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$**  via **C.E.T.**
- Elementary measure on  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$**  leads to **Pre-measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0$** .
- Pre-measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0$**  leads to **Abstract outer measure  $\mu^*$  on  $X$ ,  $\mu^*|_{\mathcal{B}_0} = \mu_0$**  via **Hahn-Kolmogorov Extension thm.**
- Abstract outer measure  $\mu^*$  on  $X$ ,  $\mu^*|_{\mathcal{B}_0} = \mu_0$**  leads to **Measure  $\mu$  and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$ ,  $\mu = \mu^*|_{\mathcal{B}}$**  via **Caratheodory extension thm.**
- Measure  $\mu$  and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$ ,  $\mu = \mu^*|_{\mathcal{B}}$**  leads to **Caratheodory measurable subsets of  $X$**  ( $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}_\mu(X)$ ).
- Caratheodory measurable subsets of  $X$**  leads to **Measure  $m_0$  & a  $\sigma$ -alg.  $\mathcal{C}_{m^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$**  via **C.E.T.**
- Measure  $m_0$  & a  $\sigma$ -alg.  $\mathcal{C}_{m^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$**  leads to  **$\mathcal{B}$  is  $m_0 = m^*|_{\mathcal{B}}$  &  $\mathcal{C}_{m^*}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{B}$** .

The topic of this lecture is premeasures and Hahn Kolmogorov extension theorem. So let me briefly recall what we have done and we will put this new topic today's topic in that context as well. So recall that we have defined what are called abstract outer measures and from abstract outer measures we have given a theorem which is the Caratheodory extension theorem. Which once you have an abstract outer measure it the Caratheodory extension theorem via the notion of the Caratheodory measurability.

This gives you a measure a sigma algebra under countability additive measure on that sigma algebra. So let me write here abstract outer measure on  $\mu^*$  on  $X$  and this gives you the Caratheodory extension theorem gives you a measure  $\mu$  and sigma algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$ . And here we have  $\mu$  is equal to simply the restriction of the outer measure to this sigma algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . So we denoted our  $\mathcal{B}$  was  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu^*}$  of  $X$  which was the collection of Caratheodory measurable subset is collection was Caratheodory measurable subsets of  $X$ .

So this was the restriction of the Carathéodory extension theorem once you have an outer measure how to produce sigma algebra and measure which satisfies not only countable subadditivity but also countable additivity for disjoint sets in the sigma algebra. Ok so here of course there is a parallel with what we have seen before so the abstract outer measures are exactly generalization of the Lebesgue outer measure and so the Carathéodory extension theorem gives you measure.

So let me write it as  $m^*$  and the Carathéodory extension theorem let me write it C.E.T for short it gives you measure  $m_C$  for Carathéodory and a sigma algebra  $C$   $m^*$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . So now the question arises whether this measure in  $m_C$  is precisely our Lebesgue measure is  $m_C = m$  Lebesgue measure and  $C = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . So the answer to this question is affirmative and this gives you another way to define Lebesgue measure as the measure induced by the Carathéodory extension theorem the Lebesgue measure is the measure induced by the Carathéodory extension theorem from the Lebesgue outer measure.

And the sigma algebra that it gives is exactly the sigma algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and the measure is exactly the Lebesgue measure. So we will answer this question here but this specific instance of Lebesgue measure is when a generalization for the sorry so this measure  $\mu$  that we get and the sigma algebra  $C_\mu$   $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  on an arbitrary  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is then a generalization of the Lebesgue measure that we have already seen.

On the other hand we could remember that our abstract our Lebesgue outer measure came from something more basic which was the elementary measure of a Jordan measure. In fact we define the Lebesgue outer measure using only boxes and so it was given by the elementary measure on the elementary subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

So then the question arises whether you can take abstract version of what is an elementary measure such that it will give you this abstract outer measure  $m^*$  on  $X$ . So this concept is precisely what is called pre measure on a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$   $\mathcal{N}$ . And this passes from pre measure to abstract outer measure is exactly this a Hahn Kolmogorov extension theorem. So this bottom row which goes from the elementary measure to the Lebesgue outer measure and then to

Lebesgue measure provided we prove that we get exactly the Lebesgue measure using the Carathéodory condition as well.

This is generalized to this concept of premeasure and the Boolean algebra which then gives you the abstract of outer measure. Which then gives you a measure on this on the sigma algebra on the sigma algebra of Carathéodory measurable subsets. And in fact this measure  $\mu^*$  this outer measure  $\mu^*$  given by the Hahn Kolmogorov extension theorem also satisfies that the restriction of this outer measure on this Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  naught on which we started is precisely this  $\mu$  naught which is the pre measure.

So let me write it here  $\mu$  naught pre measure on a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  naught and this gives you an abstract outer measure which when restricted to sets  $\mathcal{B}$  naught gives you  $\mu$  naught. Which is why it is called as an extension theorem which because it is extending this pre measure to outer measure. And then the further extension theorem due to Carathéodory then gives you that the measure on the full sigma algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  or  $\mathcal{C}$   $\mu^*$  X

So this is the layout of our conceptual layout of our plan which is to either start from an abstract outer measure. If you are given an abstract outer measure then you can use the Carathéodory extension theorem to go to a measure. But if you are already given a premeasure then you can get an outer measure and then a measure using the Hahn Kolmogorov extension theorem. So we will also answer for in this course that even if you do not have a pre measure you can get an outer measure given a very mild condition.

So abstract outer measures are quite easy to achieve and then we will also answer that for the specific case of Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  whether the measure induced by Carathéodory extension theorem from the Lebesgue outer measure is precisely the Lebesgue measure or not. So this is our plan for today's lecture. So let me begin by defining what is a pre measure?

**(Refer Slide Time 10:02)**



Defn: (Finitely additive measure on a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0$  on a set  $X$ ):  
 A finitely additive measure on a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0$  on  $X$  is a

fn.  $\mu_0 : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  such that

(i) [Empty set]  $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$

(ii) [Finite additivity] if  $E, F \in \mathcal{B}_0$  and  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ ,  
 then  $\mu_0(E \cup F) = \mu_0(E) + \mu_0(F)$ .

Ex: If  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and define  $\bar{m} : \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  as:  

$$\bar{m}(E) := \begin{cases} m(E) & \text{if } E \text{ is elementary} \\ +\infty & \text{if } E \text{ is co-elementary.} \end{cases}$$
 is a finitely additive measure.



First before defining what is a premeasure? We define what is called finitely additive measure on a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  on a set  $X$ . So on a finitely additive measure on Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$  is a function  $\mu$  which takes elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ . So the subset of  $X$  lying in the Boolean algebra and gives you a non-negative extended real number. So it can lie between 0 and infinity with both end points included and, such that it satisfies two axioms.

So the first one is the empty set axioms, which is that  $\mu$  of the empty set should be 0 and the second one is finite additivity axioms. The finite additivity axioms says that if  $E$  and  $F$  belong to  $\mathcal{B}$  and they are disjoint  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then  $\mu(E \cup F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F)$ . So for example if you take the elementary algebra  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and define  $m$  from this elementary algebra  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $0 + \infty$  here I am using the annotation  $m$ .

So it should not come as surprise it is going as an elementary measure which is defined as  $m(E) =$  the elementary measure of  $E$  well I should may be change  $m$  to  $\bar{m}$ . Here  $\bar{m}(E)$  is the elementary measure of  $E$  if  $E$  is elementary and equals plus infinity if  $E$  is co elementary. So we call it as the elementary algebra was the collection of elementary and co elementary subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . So for the elementary subset we have the elementary measure.

And for the co elementary subsets we have the value plus infinity. So this is a finitely additive measure. As one can check quite easy to check because we have already proved that it is finitely additive on elementary set subset disjoint elementary subsets. But if one of them is co elementary

then both sides are plus infinity end basically you have done. So this is a finitely additive measure.

Similarly if you take the Jordan measure algebra and you can similarly define as extended Jordan measure for Jordan and co Jordan measurable subset and you will get again finite subsets. So this is a prototypical example that we will be working with.

**(Refer Slide Time 14:22)**

Defn: (Pre-measure) If  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is a Boolean algebra on  $X$ , and  $\mu_0: \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a finitely additive measure, then  $\mu_0$  is called a pre-measure if:

Given  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0$  which is disjoint and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{A}_0$ .

then 
$$\mu_0\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n).$$



So, now a premeasure is defined as follows so if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Boolean algebra on  $X$  and  $\mu_0$  is a pre measure is a finitely additive measure then  $\mu_0$  is called a pre measure if it satisfies one further property. If given a collection  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  which is disjoint collection of sets within  $\mathcal{B}$ . And the union  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  also lies in  $\mathcal{B}$  then the  $\mu_0$  of this union countable union now is equal to the sum  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n)$ .

So of course if you want to extend a pre measure to a measure then they should be a necessary condition this countable additivity on the Boolean algebra itself should be a necessary condition meaning exactly this part that I wrote here. That if you have a disjoint collection such that the union also belongs to the Boolean algebra then you should have the countable additivity property satisfied by a pre measure so that it can become a measure. So if you expecting something to become a measure then it should already satisfy this countable additivity property for unions which again lie in the Boolean algebra.

(Refer Slide Time 16:49)

Thm. (Hahn-Kolmogorov Extension Thm): If  $\mu_0: \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a pre-measure on  $X$  ( $\mathcal{B}_0$  is a Boolean alg. on  $X$ ), then there exists a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{B}_0$  and a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  which extends  $\mu_0$ , i.e.  $\mu|_{\mathcal{B}_0} = \mu_0$ .  $\Leftrightarrow$  if  $E \in \mathcal{B}_0$ , then  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)$ .



pf: First note that if we define for any set  $E \subseteq X$ ,  

$$\mu^*(E) := \inf_{\text{den}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_i) : E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i, E_i \in \mathcal{B}_0 \text{ for each } i \right\}.$$

$\Sigma_1$ : Check that  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure.



So now we are ready to state the Hahn Kolmogorov extension theorem and this says that if  $\mu_0$  is a premeasure on  $X$ . So here  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is a Boolean algebra on  $X$ . Then there exist a sigma algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  containing  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  which extends  $\mu_0$ . Which means that when you restrict  $\mu$  to elements of  $\mathcal{B}_0$  you get  $\mu_0$  or in other words if  $E$  is the elements of  $\mathcal{B}_0$  then  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)$ .

So this is the extension part in the Hahn Kolmogorov extension theorem because we are extending a premeasure to a measure on full sigma algebra. So let see the proof for this theorem. First note that if we define for any set  $E$  in  $X$   $\mu^*(E)$  to be so this is the definition we define it to be the infimum of the sums  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_i)$  such that  $E$  can be covered by this collection of sets  $E_i$ . And each  $E_i$  belongs to the Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0$  for each  $i$ .

So as you can see this is almost replica for the definition of the Lebesgue outer measure so here I should rather write  $\mu_0$  because we do not have the elementary measure but rather the premeasure. So this my mistake says that of course we just repeating the definition of the Lebesgue outer measure which was define using coverings of sets by countably boxes and then taking the infimum of the sums 1 to infinity of this of each elementary box.

So here we are replacing the boxes by elements of our Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  which is given. And then we are doing exactly the same thing as before. So we can immediately check that  $\mu^*$  is in fact an outer measure. So this I will leave you to do this as an exercise. And in fact we need not even have this premeasure to define the outer measure. In fact if you go to the proof of the definition of the Lebesgue outer measure and the proof that it satisfies all the axioms of outer measure.

**(Refer Slide Time 21:07)**

We have to show that if  $E \in \mathcal{B}_0$ , then for any set  $A \subseteq X$   

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \setminus E).$$
 This will show that  $E \in \mathcal{B} := \mathcal{C}_\mu(X)$   
 Since  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure we have  

$$\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \setminus E) \text{ (by sub-additivity).}$$
 So it suffices to show that  $\mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \setminus E)$ . (trivial if  $\mu^*(A) = +\infty$ )  
 Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . So suppose that  $\mu^*(A) < \infty \Rightarrow \exists \{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}_0$  s.t.  

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu_0(E_n) \leq \mu^*(A) + \epsilon.$$

Then you will see that the following lemma is also quite easy to show which is that if  $E$  is a subset of the sub collection of the power set of  $X$  and such that  $\phi$  and  $X$  both belong to this collection  $\mathcal{E}$ . And  $\rho$  is a map from  $\mathcal{E}$  to the non-negative extended reals such that the empty set gives you the value 0 when you apply  $\rho$  to it. So this is the empty set axioms. So  $\rho$  is the any map need not be finitely additive but it is any map which satisfies the empty set axioms.

Then  $\mu^*$  is defined as the infimum of the values  $\rho(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i)$  such that  $E$  is covered by this union  $i = 1$  to infinity  $E_i$  and each  $E_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}$  is defines an outer measure on  $X$ . So this is exactly just a repeating the same arguments for the proofs that we gave after lebesgue outer measure that was define using the elementary measure and you can basically repeat each argument here to show that this is an outer measure.

So in fact when we define it using a pre measure of course the pre measure satisfies this empty set axiom. And the Boolean algebra satisfies this property that empty set and the whole set  $X$

belong to the Boolean algebra and so we can define an outer measure using this prescription. So by the theorem the Carathéodory extension theorem implies that  $\mu^*$  gives us a sigma algebra  $\mathcal{C} \mu^* X$  and a measure  $\mu$  which is the restriction of  $\mu^*$  to this sigma algebra  $\mathcal{C} \mu^* X$ .

So once we have a premeasure we can define an outer measure from the outer measure we use the Carathéodory extension theorem to get a measure. So now we have to show that this measure that we get extends  $\mu_0$ . So first we have to show that  $\mathcal{B}$  the Boolean algebra is the sub collection of the sigma algebra  $\mathcal{C} \mu^* X$ . So let me denote it by  $\mathcal{B}$  which will be the required sigma algebra.

And for any  $E$  in  $\mathcal{B}$   $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{B}$   $\mu(E)$  is equal to  $\mu_0(E)$ . This means that  $\mu$  when restricted to  $\mathcal{B}$  gives you is given by the exactly the premeasures  $\mu_0$ . So we have to show this so remember that our sigma algebra was defined using the Carathéodory measurability condition. So we have to show that if  $E$  is a element of  $\mathcal{B}$  then  $\mu^*$  then for any subset  $A$  of  $X$   $\mu^*(A)$  is equal to  $\mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A - E)$ .

So this was the condition that was required to define the Carathéodory measurability. So this will show that so this will show that  $E$  belongs to the sigma algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  which was by definition this  $\mathcal{C} \mu^*$  of  $X$ . So since  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure we have that  $\mu^*(A)$  is less than or equal to  $\mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A - E)$  this is by sub additivity. This is finite sub additivity and of course finite sub additivity is a consequence of countable sub additivity and so we have this in equality.

So it suffices so it suffices to show that  $\mu^*(A)$  is greater than or equal to  $\mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A - E)$ . So let us use the fact that  $E$  belongs to this  $\mathcal{B}$  so we start with an epsilon greater than 0 and now we are going to use a definition of the outer measure. So suppose that further that  $\mu^*(A)$  is finite. Of course if,  $\mu^*(A)$  is plus infinity this in equality holds trivially so this is trivial if  $\mu^*(A)$  is equal to plus infinity.

So we suppose that it is finite and so there exist this implies there exist a collection  $E_n$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $A$  is covered by the union of this  $E_n$ 's and  $\mu^*(A)$  is equal to the sum  $n = 1$  to infinity  $\mu^*(E_n)$ .



star  $E_n$  is less than or equal to  $\mu^* A + \epsilon$ . So this we can do by the definition of so this should be  $\mu$  naught here by definition of the outer measure that we have just defined.

**(Refer Slide Time 29:17)**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } A \cap E &\subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \cap E \text{ and since } E \in \mathcal{B}_0 \text{ and } \{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{B}_0 \\ &\text{So } E_n \cap E \in \mathcal{B}_0 \text{ for each } n \geq 1. \\ \Rightarrow \mu^*(A \cap E) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n \cap E). \\ \text{Similarly, } \mu^*(A \setminus E) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n \setminus E). \\ \Rightarrow \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \setminus E) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu_0(E_n \cap E) + \mu_0(E_n \setminus E)). \\ \text{But since } E \in \mathcal{B}_0, \text{ we have } \mu_0(E_n) &= \mu_0(E_n \cap E) + \mu_0(E_n \setminus E). \\ &\text{(finite additivity property of } \mu_0). \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n) \leq \mu^*(A) + \epsilon. \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}. \end{aligned}$$



So now  $A \cap E$  is then covered by this union  $E_n \cap E$   $n = 1$  to infinity and since  $E$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$  naught and  $E_n$ 's belong to  $\mathcal{B}$  naught so this collection is in  $\mathcal{B}$  naught. So  $E_n \cap E$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$  naught for each  $n$  greater than equal to 1. This means that the outer measure of  $A \cap E$  is bounded above by this infinite sum of values  $\mu$  naught  $E_n \cap E$ . Similarly we can show that  $\mu^*$  of  $A \setminus E$  is less than or equal to this sum  $n = 1$  to infinity  $\mu$  naught of  $E_n \setminus E$ .

So therefore if you add this to in equalities we get  $\mu^*$  of  $A \cap E + \mu^*$  of  $A \setminus E$  is bounded above by the sum  $n = 1$  to infinity  $\mu$  naught  $E_n \cap E + \mu$  naught  $E_n \setminus E$ . Where, we have collected the  $n$ th term in the series in the 2 series in a single term. So we have a single sum with the  $n$ th terms given by the sum of the individual  $n$ th terms. But since  $E$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$  naught we have that  $\mu$  naught  $E_n = \mu$  naught  $E_n \cap E + \mu$  naught  $E_n \setminus E$  because this is using the finite additivity property of the premeasure  $\mu$  naught.

So remember that  $\mu$  naught was a premeasure and to define a premeasure we add assume that we satisfy already a finite additivity property. So therefore this is less than or equal to the sum  $n = 1$  to infinity  $\mu$  naught  $E_n$  and this is less than equal to  $\mu^* A + \epsilon$ . This is because

this is how we chose our  $E_n$  so that the sum is bounded above  $\mu^*(A) + \epsilon$ . So we are done and we have shown that  $\mathcal{B}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$  is a sub collection of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**(Refer Slide Time 32:40)**

To show: For  $E \in \mathcal{G}_0$ ,  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)$ . ( $\mu$  extends  $\mu_0$ ).  
 If  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_0$  s.t.  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  then  

$$\mu^*(E) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n)$$
 in particular  $\{E\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_0 \Rightarrow \mu(E) \leq \mu_0(E)$   
 It suffices to show that given any  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_0$  s.t.  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$   
 we have  $\mu_0(E) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n) \Rightarrow \mu_0(E) \leq \mu(E)$ .  
 we have that  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (E_n \cap E) \in \mathcal{G}_0$  so by the pre-measure axiom:  

$$\mu_0(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n \cap E) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n)$$
 [Monotonicity of a fin. add. measure]  
 Assume wlog that  $\{E_n\}$  is disjoint. [this ends the proof].

Now we have to prove that for so this is again to show that for  $E$  in  $\mathcal{B}$   $\mu E = \mu$   $\mathcal{B}$   $E$ . So, that our measure  $\mu$  that we got from Carathéodory extension theorem when restricted to  $\mathcal{B}$  is given by  $\mu$   $\mathcal{B}$ . So  $\mu$  extends  $\mu$   $\mathcal{B}$  so this means inverse that  $\mu$  extends  $\mu$   $\mathcal{B}$ . So let see how this can be shown. So if  $E_n$   $n = 1$  to infinity is a collection of elements in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $E$  is covered by this collection the union of this collection.

Then  $\mu^*$  of  $E$  is of course less than or equal to the sum of these  $\mu$   $E_n$   $n = 1$  to infinity. So in particular you if you take the collection of this single set  $E$  this is the collection in  $\mathcal{B}$  and if you want to take a countable collection you can take the rest of the set as empty. So then it implies that  $\mu^*$  of  $E$  is less than or equal to  $\mu$   $E$  because by the empty set axioms all are the terms are 0.

So we are automatically this bound which says that  $\mu^*$  of  $E$  which is  $\mu$  of  $E$  because  $E$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$  which we have shown that it belongs to the sigma algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . And we have this in equality. So it suffices to show that given any collection of this  $E_n$ 's in  $\mathcal{B}$  is such that  $E$  is covered by  $E_n$ 's given any such collection we have  $\mu$   $E$  is less than or equal to this sum of  $\mu$   $E_n$ 's  $n = 1$  to infinity. Because then you can take the infimum on the right side and this would imply that  $\mu$   $E$  is less than or equal to  $\mu^*$   $E$ .

So why is this true? Of course we can have we have that  $E$  can be written as an union  $E_n$  intersection  $E_{n=1}$  to infinity because  $E_n$   $(\cap)$  (36:17) when you take the intersection becomes an equality rather than an inclusion. So this implies by and this belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$  naught. So by pre measure axiom we have that  $\mu$  naught of equal to the sum  $n = 1$  to infinity  $\mu$  naught of  $E_n$  intersection  $E$ .

And one can show that once you have a finitely additive measure it is automatically monotone I did not specify explicitly but this is by monotonicity property this is due to monotonicity of finitely additive measure. So one can show that once map satisfies the empty set axiom and the finite additivity axiom it also satisfies monotonicity axiom. So by monotonicity we have that each individual term is bounded above by  $\mu$  naught  $E_n$  and so we are done.

So this ends the proof so we have shown that just one more thing we have add here is that this equality here can be used. Because we can assume without loss of generality that is this correction  $E_n$  is disjoint. So in fact can write assume without loss of generality that  $E_n$  is a disjoint collection for example by reducing it to  $(\cap)$ (38:25) so that the union gives you the same union but with disjoint spaces and then again you can use monotonicity.