

Algebra - I
Prof. Amritanshu Prasad
Department of Mathematics
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences
Indian Institute of Technology, Madras

Lecture - 70
Finitely generated modules and the Noetherian condition

In this lecture, I am going to discuss Finitely generated modules and the relationship with the ascending chain condition for modules, which is also known as the Noetherian condition. So, let us start with a finitely generated modules.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:30)

Finitely generated modules

R : commutative ring, M : R -module


Defn: M is said to be finitely generated, if $\exists m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$
Such that every $m \in M$ is of the form

$$m = a_1 m_1 + a_2 m_2 + \dots + a_n m_n$$

for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$.

Thm: Suppose M is an R -module, $N \subseteq M$ is a submodule.
If N and M/N are finitely generated, then M is finitely generated.

Pf: Let u_1, \dots, u_r be generators for N , v_1, \dots, v_s generators for M/N .
Let $\tilde{v}_i \in M$ be such that $v_i = \tilde{v}_i + N$.
Claim: $\{u_1, \dots, u_r, \tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_s\}$ generates M .



So, we will take R to be a commutative ring and we define an R -module M to be finitely generated. So, M is an R module, if you can find a finite number of elements of M that generated. In the sense, if there exist elements m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n for some finite n such that every

element m in M is of the form m is equal to $a_1 m_1$ plus $a_2 m_2$ plus $a_n m_n$ for some elements a_1, a_2, a_n in R .

For example, if R is a field, then R modules are just vector spaces over the field and a finitely generated R -module is just a finite dimensional vector space over the field. In frontend example of an R -module that is not finitely generated, you could again just take R to be a field and take an infinite dimensional vector space over the field.

Now, a very help useful property of R modules that we will use later on is the following. So, let us put it down as a theorem. So, suppose a M is an R module; N , a sub module of M and suppose, we know that N and $M \text{ mod } N$, the quotient module are finitely generated, then we can conclude that M is also finitely generated.

The proof of this is not very difficult. You just write down generators of N , generators of $M \text{ mod } N$ and somehow using them, you construct a finite set of generators for M itself. So, firstly, you write down generators. Let u_1 up to u_r be generators for N , v_1 dot dot dot v_s generators for $M \text{ mod } N$ and now, we will put these together to construct a generating set for M .

Now, the problem is that these v_1, v_2, v_s , they are in the quotient space $M \text{ mod } N$, they are not in M . So, what we will do is we will just lift them up to M . So, let v_i tilde in M be such that v_i is v_i tilde plus N . So, it is the image; v_i is the image of v_i tilde in $M \text{ mod } N$.

Then, I claim that this set you take u_1 up to u_r and then, you take v_1 tilde up to v_s tilde; this generates M . So, what do I need to show? I need to show that given any element m of M , I can write it as combination of these things multiplied by scalars; so, a linear combination of these elements.

(Refer Slide Time: 05:17)

Given any $m \in M$,

$$m + N = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_s v_s \quad \text{for some } a_1, \dots, a_s \in R$$

$$\overset{M/N}{m} = a_1 \tilde{v}_1 + \dots + a_s \tilde{v}_s + n \quad \text{for some } n \in N.$$

$$= a_1 \tilde{v}_1 + \dots + a_s \tilde{v}_s + b_1 u_1 + \dots + b_r u_r \quad \text{for some } b_1, \dots, b_r \in R.$$

Corollary: If M & N are finitely gen. R -modules, then $M \oplus N$ is also finitely generated.

Pf: $M \subset M \oplus N$, $(M \oplus N)/M \cong N$.



So, take any given any m in M , let. So, its image in $M \text{ mod } N$ is m plus N ok. So, this is an element of $M \text{ mod } N$ and this because this v_1, v_2, v_s generate $M \text{ mod } N$ is of the form m plus N is of the form $a_1 v_1$ plus $a_s v_s$ and so that means, that m is of the form $a_1 v_1$ tilde plus $a_s v_s$ tilde plus some element n of N .

But then, this element n is in N . So, it can be written as. So, this is for some a_1, a_s in R and this N can be written as $a_1 v_1$ tilde plus $b_1 u_1$ plus $b_r u_r$ for some b_1, b_r in R . So, at the end of the day, we have written M as a linear combination of the vectors, when the module elements v_1 tilde up to v_s tilde and u_1 up to u_r .

So, a corollary of this is if M and N well rather a special case, if M and N are finitely generated R modules; then, M plus N is also finitely generated. Of course, this may be much easier to prove than as a deducing it as a corollary of the previous theorem, you could just

take a generating set of M a generating set of N and take their union and that would be a generating set of M direct sum N.

ah But this is I will just explain the proof using this, how can we think of M plus N as a module with some sub module and some quotient module. The point is M is a sub module of M plus N and M plus N mod M is isomorphic to N. So, this sub module is finitely generated; this quotient is finitely generated. So, by the previous theorem, M direct sum N is also finitely generated. It is a slightly different proof..

(Refer Slide Time: 08:42)

Suppose M is generated m_1, \dots, m_n .
 Define an R-module homomorphism
 $\varphi: R^n \rightarrow M$
 $e_i \mapsto m_i$

$e_i = (0, \dots, 0, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{ith place}}}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$

Let $K = \ker \varphi$.
 Then $M \cong R^n / K$.



And one observation about finitely generated R modules; so, suppose our module M is generated by m_1, m_2, m_n ; then define an R-module homomorphism. So, this R^n itself R to the power n which is R direct sum R direct sum R n times this is an R-module and we define an R-module homomorphism, this to M by taking.

So, in this you let e_i denote the element which is 0 at all places except for a 1 at the i th place; a coordinate vector; so, to speak by taking e_i to m_i . And what we have is that we will call this map ϕ and let K be the kernel of ϕ , then M is isomorphic to R^n / K as an R module.

Because whenever you have surjective homomorphism of R modules, then the target R -module is a quotient of the domain modulo its kernel. A very useful condition in studying finitely generated R modules is that of Noetherianness, you have already seen the Noetherian condition for rings. Remember a ring is said to be Noetherian, if every increasing chain of ideals eventually stabilizes. So, we can use the same idea for modules. So, let us define Noetherian modules.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:49)

Noetherian modules

Defn: R commutative ring. An R -module M is Noetherian if it satisfies the ascending chain condition:

\forall set of submodules of M
 $M_1 \subset M_2 \subset M_3 \subset \dots$

$\exists n \geq 1$ such that for all $k \geq n$, $M_k = M_n$.

$\left. \begin{array}{l} z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in M \\ \langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle \\ = \{ a_1 z_1 + \dots + a_n z_n \} \\ a_1, \dots, a_n \in R \end{array} \right\}$

Lemma: If M is Noetherian, then M is finitely generated.

Suppose M is not finitely gen.
 Take $0 \neq x_1 \in M$ define $M_1 = \langle x_1 \rangle$
 $x_2 \in M \setminus M_1$ define $M_2 = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$
 $x_3 \in M \setminus M_2$ define $M_3 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Get} \\ M_1 \subsetneq M_2 \subsetneq M_3 \subsetneq \dots \\ \text{Contradicting the} \\ \text{Noetherian hypothesis.} \end{array} \right\}$



So, again R is a commutative ring and an R -module here M is Noetherian. It is said to be Noetherian, if it satisfies what is called the ascending chain condition; ascending chain condition. What is this condition? For every increasing sequence of modules, so for every set of sub module of M , maybe I should say sequence of sub module of M , see it starts with M_1 which is contained in a sub module M_2 which is contained in the sub module M_3 and this goes on indefinitely, there exist a number N greater than or equal to 1 such that for all k greater than or equal to n , M_k is equal to M_n .

So, what we are saying is that this ascending chain of modules eventually stabilizes. So, you cannot keep getting larger and larger and larger sub modules indefinitely and the relation to finite generation is quite straightforward to start with. We can show an easy lemma that if M is Noetherian, then M is finitely generated.

Well, the way to prove this is by contradiction. If M is not finitely generated, then it is quite straightforward to construct an ascending chain that never stabilizes ok. So, suppose M is not finitely generated ok. So, obviously that means that M is not the zero-module. So, there exist some non zero element x_1 in M ok.

So, yeah; so, let me introduce some notation over here. So, given set of elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in M , I will define this angular brackets $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ to be the set of all elements of the form $a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n$; where, a_1 up to a_n belongs to R .

This is called the sub module of M generated by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is the smallest sub module of M that contains all the elements x_1, x_2 up to x_n ok. So, now, since M is not the trivial module, I can take a non-zero element in M and let us define M_1 to be the sub module generated by x_1 . So, it is just all elements of form a times x_1 , where a runs over R .

Now, M_1 cannot be equal to M because M_1 is finitely generated and M is not finitely generated. So, then I can take x_2 belongs to M minus M_1 and now, let us define M_2 to be the module generated by x_1 and x_2 . Now, M_2 is strictly larger than M_1 because x_2 is not in M_1 . Now, M_2 cannot be equal to M .

So, I can define take x_3 in M_2 minus M_1 . M_2 cannot be a M_1 because M_1 is not finitely generated, but M_2 here is generated by two elements. So, now, you get the idea right? So, now, I take x_3 in M_2 minus M_1 and I define M_3 to be the module sub module generated by x_1, x_2, x_3 .

Proceeding in this manner, I get M_1 properly contained in M_2 properly contained in M_3 . So, on an infinite chain, this process will never stop because at each stage, I cannot have that $M_n = M$ because M_n will always be generated by n elements will be a finitely generated R module. So, we get this strictly increasing chain of R modules which never stabilizes. So, this contradicts the Noetherian hypothesis ok. So, that is the first relationship between Noetherianness and finite generation ok.

So, Noetherian modules are finitely generated; is that all there is to it? Is the Noetherian condition just the same as being finitely generated? The answer is no. The Noetherian condition is actually much stronger than the condition of being finitely generated and one nice thing about the Noetherian condition is that it goes easily from modules to sub modules ok.

(Refer Slide Time: 17:21)

Easy: M Noetherian, $N \subseteq M$ a submodule, then N is Noetherian.

Thm: M is Noetherian iff every submodule of M is finitely generated.

Pf: Suppose M is Noetherian, N any submodule.

Then N is Noetherian. So N is finitely generated.

Conversely: Suppose every submodule of M is fin. gen.

Let $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3 \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending chain

Let $M_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$. M_∞ is an R -module.

So M_∞ is generated by $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in M$.

$x_i \in M_{n_i}$ $i=1, \dots, k$. $x_i \in \max\{n_1, \dots, n_k\} =: N$

$M_N = M \Rightarrow M_L = N_N \forall L \geq N$.



So, here is something that is easy to see that if M is Noetherian N a sub module, then N is Noetherian that is the very nature of this Noetherian condition. Because so, we want to show that N is Noetherian. So, if you take sub modules an ascending chain of sub modules of N , they will also be an ascending chain of sub modules of M . So, they will stabilize. So, a sub module of a Noetherian module is Noetherian.. However, it is not always true that a sub module of a finitely generated module is finitely generated.

So, this is where the Noetherian condition becomes very helpful. So, let us prove the stronger result about Noetherian and finite generation. So, theorem and this is actually a characterization of Noetherianness. An R -module M is Noetherian if and only if every sub module of M is finitely generated ok. So, not only is M finitely generated every sub module

of M should also be finitely generated. Proof, if M is Noetherian and N is any sub module; so, let us prove that you know the sub modules are finitely generated.

So, suppose M is Noetherian and N is any sub module, then of course, N is Noetherian, but we have just seen that every Noetherian R -module is finitely generated. So, N is finitely generated ok. So, this side is easy; let us try the other side conversely. So, we have to show that if every sub module is finitely generated, then M is Noetherian.

So, let us start with an ascending chain. So, suppose every sub module of M is finitely generated and let us start with the chain M_1, M_2, M_3 be an ascending chain. Now, what you can do is you can take M_∞ to be defined to be just the union n goes from 1 to infinity of M_n .

And it is not difficult to show that M_∞ is an R -module and all this is happening inside M right. It is the union inside M of M_1, M_2 . These are sub modules in M ok. So, it is a sub module of M and so, M_∞ is a sub module of M ; M_∞ is finitely generated.

So, let us say M_∞ sub module of M is generated by some elements x_1, x_2, x_k of M ok. So, each of these elements must be in M_∞ . So, x_i belongs to M_∞ which means that it is in one of these M_n . So, x_i belongs to let us say M_{n_i} for i equals 1 to k and just means that x_i belongs to the max of n_1, n_2, n_k . Let us call this number N .

So, all the x_i 's belong to M_N , but then these x_i 's generate M . So, that means that M_N is equal to M . It cannot be any smaller because these elements x_1, x_2, x_k , they generate M ; they generate M and they are all in M_N . So, M_N must be equal to M because that is the smallest R -module containing x_1, x_2, x_k which means that M_k is equal to M_N for all k greater than or equal to N ; maybe I should not call this N ; maybe I should call this l , for all l greater than or equal to N .

So, here we have characterized Noetherian modules in terms of finite generation. An R -module is Noetherian if and only if every sub module is finitely generated. We saw earlier that if M is in R -module and it has a sub module N , such that the sub module N is finitely

generated and also, $M \text{ mod } N$ is finitely generated, then the module M itself must be finitely generated. Now, I claim that the same property holds for a Noetherian modules as well.

(Refer Slide Time: 24:05)

Thm: M R -module, $N \subseteq M$ submodule
 If N & M/N are Noetherian. Then M is Noetherian.
Pf: We will show that every submodule of M is finitely generated.
 Take $M' \subseteq M$ submodule.
 $M' \cap N$ is a submodule of N , so finitely generated
 $M'/M' \cap N$ is a submodule of M/N , so finitely generated.
 $\therefore M'$ is finitely generated.
 $\therefore M$ is Noetherian.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M' & \longrightarrow & M \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M'/M' \cap N & \longrightarrow & M/N
 \end{array}$$

6/6



The theorem is that. So, you have this commutative ring R and suppose M is Noetherian; no, M is an R -module, any R -module N is a sub module of M and suppose we know that N and $M \text{ mod } N$ are Noetherian; then, I claim that M is Noetherian.

In fact, this theorem will follow easily from the earlier result that I stated about finite generation and the characterization of Noetherian modules, as those modules for which every sub module is finitely generated. So, proof is we will just show that every sub module of M is finitely generated.

So, yeah; suppose M' is a sub module of M , then we will try to find a sub module of M' which is finitely generated and such that M' modulo that sub module is finitely generated. So, take $M' \cap N$ this is a sub module of M' and this is finitely generated because it is a sub module also of M/N ; N is Noetherian.

So, $M' \cap N$ is finitely generated. And now, I want to take M' modulo N , but N is not a sub module of M' . So, I have to take M' modulo $M' \cap N$. Now, the inclusion map of M' into M ; so, you have M' goes into M , it is just a sub module.

So, I just take the inclusion map and I have a $M \text{ mod } N$ the quotient map and I have the quotient map $M' \text{ mod } M' \cap N$. And it is not hard to show that this is an injective map that is if something in M' goes to 0 and $M \text{ mod } N$, then it must lie in $M' \cap N$. Well, that basically I have said it. So, the only things in M' that go to 0 in $M \text{ mod } N$ are those which are in N . So, they are in $M' \cap N$. So, this is a sub module of $M \text{ mod } N$. So, it is also finitely generated.

So, this M' has a sub module which is finitely generated and the quotient by that sub module is also finitely generated. Therefore, M' is finitely generated. This means that M is Noetherian because every sub module is finitely generated. So, we have been proving all these technical results about Noetherian modules and finite generation.

(Refer Slide Time: 28:45)

Thm: If R is a Noetherian ring, then every finitely generated R -module is Noetherian.

Consequently, any submodule of a finitely generated R -module is finitely generated.

① R is an R -module.

$m \in R, r \in R$

$$r \cdot m = r m$$

↑ module ↑ ring

② Submodules of the R -module $R \leftrightarrow$ ideals in R

${}_R R$

③ R is a Noetherian ring \leftrightarrow ${}_R R$ is a Noetherian R -module.



Now, it is time to harvest the fruits of our hard work. The first result that we can prove is that in some sense, the main result that we will be proving from all this is that if R is a Noetherian ring; then, every finitely generated R -module is Noetherian.

In particular, it will follow that if you have a sub module of a finitely generated R -module, then that will again be finitely generated. Any sub module of a finitely generated R -module is finitely generated. See for Noetherianness, it is very easy to see that if a module is Noetherian, then every sub module is Noetherian that is the Noetherian condition is like that.

But for finitely finite generation that is not at all obvious. Why would a sub module of a finitely generated module be finitely generated and that is not even true in general; but when R is a Noetherian ring this always holds and Noetherian ring abound right?

We have already seen lots of examples of Noetherian rings, all our Euclidean domains are Noetherian, all our principal ideal domains are Noetherian in fact, a rings of polynomials infinitely many variables are Noetherian. Noetherian rings are everywhere and this very general theorem gives us a very strong result. So, how do we prove this? So, the proof is now very simple.

So, first point is what is the relation between R being a Noetherian ring and R modules. So, the first point is that. So, suppose R is a ring, then R can be thought of as an R -module itself. How? Well, you just if give an M in R and r in R . So, this is the module version of R and this is the ring R , then you just define $r \cdot m$ to be rm , the product in R itself and you can check that r is in R -module this is sometimes called the regular R -module ok. And then, what are the sub modules of R ? Sub modules of the R -module R .

So, maybe I will use it is very awkward to keep saying the R -module R . So, I will just this thing I will write as R and on the left I will put a small R saying that this R is acting on R . So, R is in left R module. Sub modules of the R -module R , these correspond to ideals in R . Here, we are working with commutative ring. So, all ideals are two-sided ideals and so, R being Noetherian ring is the same as R as a left R -module is a Noetherian R -module ok.

(Refer Slide Time: 33:22)

- ④ R^n is a Noetherian R -module.
 " $R \oplus R \oplus \dots \oplus R = R^{n-1} \oplus R$
 n times. \cup R^{n-1} $R^n/R^{n-1} \cong R$
- ⑤ Every finitely generated R -module is isomorphic to R^n/N
- ⑥ If M is Noetherian, $N \subset M$ submodule, then M/N is Noetherian
 Submodules of $M/N \iff$ Submodules of M containing N .
 $q: M \rightarrow M/N$ $S \iff q^{-1}(S)$
- So R^n/N is Noetherian, i.e., every fin. gen. R -module is Noeth.



Now, I claim that R to the n is a Noetherian R -module. So, this is just R as an R -module direct sum R as an R -module direct sum R as an R -module. This direct sum is taken n times and why is this true? Well, you can prove it by induction on R . This is R to the N minus 1 direct sum R , this has a sub module R n minus 1 and the quotient is R .

So, this contains R n minus 1 as a sub module and R n mod R n minus 1 is isomorphic to R . So, by this theorem that we proved that if you have an R -module which has a sub module that is Noetherian and the quotient with respect to that sub module is Noetherian, then the R -module is Noetherian.

So, it follows that R^n is a Noetherian R -module. Now, finally, every finitely generated R -module is of the form isomorphic to R^n / N for some sub module N of R^n , we already saw this when we talked about finitely generated modules.

You take if there are n generators, then you just take a homomorphism from R^n to your module which take the i th generator to the i th copy in R ; to the i th take the i th coordinate vector in R^n to the i th generator in your module and you will get an isomorphism with R^n / N .

Now, this R^n is Noetherian. So, let us just we need a small result here, if M is Noetherian and N is a sub module of M ; then, M / N is Noetherian. This is easy because sub modules of M / N are the same as sub modules of M containing N ok. So, if you have an increasing chain of sub modules of M / N , then you just take their pre images in M that is an increasing chain of sub modules containing N .

Just if you have a sub module here S , then you just take it to a q inverse s ; where, q is the quotient map from M to M / N . So, Noetherian condition for M / N is a special case of the Noetherian condition for M ; just applied to sub modules containing N .

So, now we know that R is Noetherian, we know that R^n is Noetherian and we know that R^n / N is Noetherian. So, we conclude that R^n / N is Noetherian every, but every finitely generated R -module is the form R^n / N . So, every finitely generated R -module is Noetherian. So, what we have is any sub module of a finitely generated R -module is finitely generated. So, that is the main theorem of this lecture.