Advanced Partial Differential Equations Professor Doctor Kaushik Bal Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur Lecture 21 Heat Equation: Inhomogeneous Problem

(Refer Slide Time: 00:13)

So, in today's video, what we are going to do is look at nonhomogeneous problems for heat equation.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:16)

 $\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccc} \mathbb{Q}^1 & \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{T} & \mathbb{C} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{array}$

$\begin{array}{ccccccc}\n\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}\n\end{array}$

Nonhomogeneous problem
$$
\{4\}
$$
 $\{4\}$ $\{4\}$ $\{4\}$ $\{4\}$ $\{4\}$ $\{4\}$ $\{5\}$

\nu. -0 \emptyset \emptyset \emptyset

\nu. -0 \emptyset \emptyset \emptyset

\nu. 0 \emptyset \emptyset \emptyset

\n20

\n31

\n4M: To produce a formula for a solution

\n(x,t) $\mapsto \oint (x-y, t-3)$ is a solv of $4t-20$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \times 0 \le s \le t$

\n4M: For a fixed 2 $u = u(x, t, 5, 5):$ $\oint (x,y, t-3) f(y, s) dy$

\n50

\n50

\n61

\n62

\n63

\n64

\n65

\n66

\n67

\n68

\n69

\n60

\n61

\n61

\n62

\n63

\n64

\n65

\n66

\n67

\n68

\n69

\n60

\n61

\n61

\n62

\n63

\n64

\n65

\n66

\n67

\n68

\n69

\n60

\n61

\n62

\n63

\n64

\n65

\n66

\n67

\n68

\n69

\n60

\n60

\n61

\n62

\n63

\n64

\n65

\n66

\n67

\n

(Refer Slide Time: 16:34)

$$
m: Let u be q from by 0. Then\n
$$
m: Let u be q from by 0. Then\n
$$
m \in C_{1}^{2}(R^{n} \times (0,0))
$$
\n
$$
m \in R^{n} \times (0,0)
$$
\n
$$
$$

Q Q T Q \otimes Q.

$\begin{array}{ccccccc}\n\circ & & & \circ & & \circ & & \circ\n\end{array}$

 $u(e,t) = \int_{0}^{t} u(v,t;3) d6$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $t \ge 0$) How did we achived this should this diversion of θ or $u(x,t) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(x-y,ts) f(y,s) dy ds$ His is our quess from the experime of θ = $\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{[4\pi (t-s)]^{\frac{2}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{(t-s)^{2}}} f(y,t) dy ds.$ $for x \in \mathbb{R}^N \propto t70$. Almi: Confirm that 1 actually solves 0. Assumption: 4ECq (pmx Lora)) with compact support. es. Liftof is compact

9 T O ® Ō

So, let me write down the theorem first, so the theorem. So, this is the existence theorem.

(Refer Slide Time: 26:29)

$$
u_{1}(x,t) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \Phi(q_{1}s) \frac{\partial^{x} f}{\partial t} (x-y,t-s) dy ds \quad (b) = 42.7.97) \quad (P_{1}C_{1}x^{2} + 32.94) \times 45.42.7.97) \quad (P_{1}C_{1}x^{2} + 32.7.97) \times 46.42.7.97) \times 47.42.7.97) \times 48.42.7.97) \times 49.42.7.97) \times 40.42.7.97) \times 41.42.7.97) \times 41.42.7
$$

$$
u_{\mu}
$$
\n
$$
u_{\
$$

(Refer Slide Time: 37:40)

$$
13x_{1} = \int_{0}^{e} \int_{0}^{e} \left(-\frac{a}{3s} - 6y\right) f(r-y,t,s) - \frac{a}{2}(y,s) dy ds - \int_{0}^{e} \int_{0}^{e} \left(-\frac{a}{3s} - 6y\right) f(r-y,t,s) - \frac{a}{2}(y,s) dy ds - \int_{0}^{e} \int_{0}^{e} \left(-\frac{a}{3s} - 6y\right) f(r-y,t,s) \right) = \int_{0}^{e} \int_{0}^{e} \left(-\frac{a}{3s} - 6y\right) f(r-y,t,s) \right]_{0}^{e} = \int_{0}^{e} \int_{0}^{e} \left(-\frac{a}{3s} - 6y\right) f(r-y,t-s) \,dy \,ds + \int_{0}^{e} \oint_{0} (g_{1} - s) f(r-y,t-s) \,dy \,ds - \int_{0}^{e} \oint_{0} (g_{1} - s) f(r,y,t-s) \,dy \,ds - \int_{0}^{e} \oint_{0} (g_{1} - s) f(r,y,t-s) \,dy \,ds - \int_{0}^{e} \oint_{0} (g_{1} - s) f(r,y,t-s) \,dy \,ds - \int_{0}^{e} \oint_{0} (g_{1} - s) f(r,y,t-s) \,dy \,ds - \int_{0}^{e} \oint_{0} (g_{1} - s) f(r,y,t-s) \,dy \,ds - \int_{0}^{e} \oint_{0} (g_{1} - s) f(r,y,t-s) \,dy \,ds - \int_{0}^{e} \oint_{0} (g_{1} - s) f(r,y,t-s) \,dy \,ds - \int_{0}^{e} \oint_{0} (g_{1} - s) f(r,y,t-s) \,dy \
$$

$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccc} \mathbb{Q}^1 & \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{T} & \mathbb{Q} & \mathbf{0} \end{array}$

$\begin{array}{ccccccc}\n\circ & & & \circ & & \circ & & \circ\n\end{array}$

$$
a(s_{0}, \frac{\partial^{v}u}{\partial a_{i}\partial a_{j}}(x_{i}t) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(y_{i}s) \frac{\partial^{s}f}{\partial a_{i}\partial a_{j}}(x-y_{i}t-s) dy ds \quad (b_{j} = 4/2,...,n) \quad (P_{l}e_{0}t \text{ with } 4/2)
$$
\n
$$
\therefore u_{H}^{s} u_{x(x)} \text{ are continuous in } \mathbb{R}^{3} \times (0,0)
$$
\n
$$
\therefore u \in C_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times (0,0))
$$
\n
$$
\therefore u \in C_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times (0,0))
$$
\n
$$
\text{(ii)} \quad u_{t}(x_{i}t) - \Delta_{x}u(x_{i}t) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(y_{i}s) \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{x} \right) f(x-y_{i}t-s) dy \right] dy ds \quad (P_{l}e_{0}t \text{ with } \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(y_{i}s) \left[\left(-\frac{2}{35} - \Delta_{y} \right) f(x-y_{i}t-s) \right] dy ds \quad (P_{l}e_{0}t \text{ with } \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(y_{i}s) \left[\left(-\frac{2}{35} - \Delta_{y} \right) f(x-y_{i}t-s) \right] dy ds
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(y_{i}s) \left[\left(-\frac{2}{35} - \Delta_{y} \right) f(x-y_{i}t-s) \right] dy ds
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(y_{i}t) \left[\left(-\frac{2}{35} - \Delta_{y} \right) f(x-y_{i}t-s) \right] dy ds
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(y_{i}t) \left[\left(-\frac
$$

(Refer Slide Time: 48:37)

$$
x + 4 = 0
$$
\n
$$
x + 4 = 0
$$
\n
$$
x^2 + 4 = 0
$$
\n
$$
x^3 + 4 = 0
$$
\n
$$
x^4 + 4 = 0
$$
\n
$$
x^5 + 4 = 0
$$
\n
$$
x^6 + 4 = 0
$$
\n
$$
x^7 + 4 = 0
$$
\n
$$
x^8 + 4 = 0
$$
\n
$$
x^8
$$

$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccc} \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{C}} & \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{T} & \mathbb{C} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{array}$

a a shekara

(Refer Slide Time: 58:23)

$$
600 T00
$$
\n
$$
1000 T00
$$
\n
$$
u = 600
$$
\n
$$
u = 200
$$

So, this is how you solve the inhomogeneous heat equation. So, in next lecture, next week, what we are going to do is we are going to end up heat equation part with looking at the maximal principle, regularity of solution and of course how do you prove maximal principle and regularity this is proved using a mean value theorem, just as Laplacian. But the thing is this, for the heat thing or mean value theorem, maximal principle and regularity are quite complicated as opposed to that Laplacian. So, we will do that in next week video. Thank you.