

Regression Analysis and Forecasting
Prof. Shalabh
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Indian Institute of Technology – Kanpur

Lecture -05

Estimation of Parameters In Simple Linear Regression Model (continued)

Welcome to lecture number 5 in this lecture we are going to continue with our earlier lecture number 4, in earlier lecture we had obtain the parameter estimates of beta0 and beta1 keep in mind that we are three parameter beta0, beta1 and sigma square out of them sigma square is still remaining, but before that we would try to investigate the statistical properties of beta0 hat and beta1 hat.

The reason is as follows the parameter beta0 and beta1 they are the values in the entire population and we do not know, we have try to estimate them on the basis of a given sample of data. So how to ensure that the values which are being obtain using beta0 hat and beta1 hat they are good are bad values, so we try to expose them to statistical properties and we try to see whether they satisfy some statistical properties or not, so if you try to recall our model was

(Refer Slide Time: 01:29)

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$$

$$(x_i, y_i) \quad i = 1 \dots n$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x} \quad \text{⊗}$$

Properties:

$\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ are the linear functions of y

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x}) y_i}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} - \bar{y} \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$\rightarrow = 0$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{s_{xx}} \right) y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) = 0 \right)$$

where $c_i = \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{s_{xx}}$

Was $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$, and we had obtain the observation x_i, y_i , i goes from one to n and we had obtain $\hat{\beta}_1$ had as a s_{xy} upon s_{xx} and $\hat{\beta}_0$ hat Was obtain as $\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$, just for you remembrance this s_{xy} was summation $(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})$ upon s_{xx} which is summation $(x_i - \bar{x})^2$ and all this summations are going from 1 to n .

Now let us you try to investigate the properties of $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$, one thing we can see here that both $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ are the linear functions of y what is this mean and how I can show it? So let me try to take it here $\hat{\beta}_1$, $\hat{\beta}_1$ here is given by summation see here $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})$ upon summation $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$

So this can I write down here summation say $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})$ times y_i divided by summation $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})$ and times \bar{y} . So \bar{y} is independent of I , so I can write down over here and this becomes summation $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$, so we know that a summation $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})$ is always = 0 i goes from 1 to n , this terms becomes 0.

Now you can see here that this now only here a function of y_i and so I can write down here it's a summation i goes from one to n , say $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})$ upon say here $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ times y_i or I can write down here i goes from 1 to n say $c_i y_i$ where c_i is $(x_i - \bar{x})$ upon $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ So I can show that this $\hat{\beta}_1$ is a simply a linear function of y_i , 1 question cross of that why I am emphasizing on the linearity of the estimator, you see in this model we have ϵ as a random variable and because of that y also becomes a random variable.

Later on we will see that when we try to find out the expectations or variance and another statistical properties over $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ then doing mathematical manipulation over a linear function is easier than doing it on say any other nonlinear function, that is why if my estimators are linear the algebra and further properties become simpler to derive so that is the advantage of having a linear estimator.

Similarly I can also show here that $\hat{\beta}_0$ is also a linear function now y which is not difficult to show and this can and this can also been shown say directly from this equation you see \bar{y} is nothing but a linear function of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n and $\hat{\beta}_1$, we already have shown that because the linear function and \bar{x} is a fixed value that is the constant value that is non-stochastic value

Again the linear combination of the linear combination Again a linear function, so it is not difficult to prove that $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ both are the linear functions of y .

(Refer Slide Time: 06:20)

Unbiased
 $x \sim f(x, \theta)$ p.d.f
 θ by $\hat{\theta}$
 $\hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ when $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i}$$

$$E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i E(y_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i E[\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i]}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i [\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i]}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i}$$

$$= \beta_0 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i} + \beta_1 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i}$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i (x_i - \bar{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i} = 0 \quad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i} - \bar{x} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i}$$

$$E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_0 \cdot 0 + \beta_1 \cdot 1$$

$= \beta_1 \Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_1$ is an unbiased estimator of β_1

The next property what we try to investigate here is about the unbiased character of beta0 hat and beta1 hat, just for the sake of review I can explain you what is the property of unbiasedness. So if I say if I have here a random variable say x which is following a probability density function f x theta and when we try to estimate theta by some estimator theta hat.

So we say that theta hat is an unbiased estimator of theta when expected value of theta hat = to theta. Right so now if I my question is I want to see whether beta one hat or beta0 hat is an unbiased estimator or not then essentially we have to see whether expected value of beta1 hat = beta1, and expected value of beta0 hat = beta0, So let us try to attempt on it, now we have consider this beta one hat as a sxy over sxx.

And early slide I had shown you that this can be written as summation i goes to now 1 to n, c i, yi. So when I tried to take its expectation, expected value of beta 1 hat, This becomes i goes now 1 to n, c i expatiated value of yi, now the question is what is expatiated value of yi? So let me try to solve it further i goes now 1 to n, says c i and expected value of yi is nothing but your beta0+ beta1 see here xi+epsilon i.

So this becomes i goes from here 1 to n c i and this becomes here beta0, because expected value of beta0 is Same as beta0 because beta0 is a constant that is a fixed value and this becomes beta1 expected value of xi which is again xi because we have assumed that xi is

non-stochastic and then it becomes expected value of ϵ_i and expected of ϵ_i we have assumed that this is going to be 0.

So now if you try to open it this becomes $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$ where ϵ_i goes from one to n . So ϵ_i goes from one to n say $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n$, what is the value of a summation ϵ_i ? So summation ϵ_i if you try to find out here this comes out to be summation $x_i - \bar{x}$ over sxx , so this going to be 0, so the summation of ϵ_i this is actually 0. Let us now try to obtain the value of summation $\epsilon_i x_i$.

So this can be return as $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) x_i$ upon sxx times ϵ_i , so this can be written as $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{x}}{sxx}$. So summation x_i is nothing but n times \bar{x} so this quantity can be return as a summation $(x_i - \bar{x})^2$ over sxx and this quantity is again sxx upon sxx which = 1. So I can write down here that expected value of $\hat{\beta}_1$.

This is = now here β_0 into 0 + β_1 into 1, so this = nothing to but β_1 , so this simplify that $\hat{\beta}_1$ is an unbiased estimator of β_1 . So now we have reason to be happy because the estimator that we have obtained on the basis of principle of least square by using the level observation this terms out to be an unbiased estimator.

Next we try to find out whether $\hat{\beta}_0$ that had that we had obtain has $\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$ whether this is an unbiased estimator or not so we try to find out its expectations,

(Refer Slide Time :11:24)

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$$

$$E(\hat{\beta}_0) = E(\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}) = E[\beta_0 + \beta_1 \bar{x} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}]$$

$$= \beta_0 - \bar{x} E(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)$$

$$= \beta_0 - \bar{x} [E(\hat{\beta}_1) - \beta_1]$$

$$E(\hat{\beta}_0) = \beta_0 \quad \downarrow \quad = \beta_1 - \beta_1 = 0$$

$\hat{\beta}_0$ is an unbiased estimator of β_0

$$\text{Bias}(\hat{\beta}_1) = E(\hat{\beta}_1) - \beta_1 = 0$$

$$\text{Bias}(\hat{\beta}_0) = E(\hat{\beta}_0) - \beta_0 = 0$$

Expected value of $\hat{\beta}_0$ that is nothing but your expected value of $\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$, and so if you try to rewrite it \bar{y} is nothing but $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \bar{x} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$, so this comes out to be again $\beta_0 - \bar{x}$ expected value of $\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1$ because we had assume that x_i are non-stochastic so \bar{x} is again a fix quantity.

So we can write down here β_0 and this \bar{x} and this quantity is nothing but your expected value of $\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1$ and this we have shown that this is an nothing but β_1 , so this become $\beta_1 - \beta_1$, this = 0 and so I can write down that expected value of $\hat{\beta}_0$ hat is β_0 , so this $\hat{\beta}_0$ hat is also an unbiased estimator of β_0 .

So now I can define the bias of $\hat{\beta}_1$ hat as expected value of $\hat{\beta}_1$ hat - β_1 , which =0 and bias of $\hat{\beta}_0$ hat that is expected value of $\hat{\beta}_0$ hat - β_0 that = again 0, so $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_0$ hat they comes out to been unbiased estimator .So next property is a variance, well we have obtain a value of β_0 and β_1 using $\hat{\beta}_0$ hat

And $\hat{\beta}_1$ hat and we also have seen that both of them are unbiased estimator, now our next step is that we would you like to know what about their variability, so we try to find out the variances.

(Refer Slide Time: 13:45)

Variances

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i\right) \quad c_i = \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{S_{xx}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \text{Var}(y_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} c_i c_j \text{Cov}(y_i, y_j)$$

$$\text{Var}(y_i) = \text{Var}(\underbrace{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i}_{\text{constant}} + \varepsilon_i) = \text{Var}(\varepsilon_i) = \sigma^2$$

$$\text{Cov}(y_i, y_j) = 0 \quad \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_i \text{'s are independent} \\ \Rightarrow y_i \text{'s are also independent} \end{array}$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \cdot \sigma^2 = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}^2} = \frac{\sigma^2 S_{xx}}{S_{xx}^2}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{S_{xx}}$$

Once we have obtained the value of β_0 and β_1 using $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ and after that we have established that both of them are going to be unbiased estimators, so the next question is what about their variabilities? So let us try to first find out the variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$. To make it more simple, I would try to express $\hat{\beta}_1$ as $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i$ where your c_i was $\frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{S_{xx}}$.

So you can see here this I can write down here $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \text{Var}(y_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} c_i c_j \text{Cov}(y_i, y_j)$. Now I need to find out these terms, so let me try to find out things, this variance of y_i first, this is separately, so variance of y_i is nothing but variance $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$.

Since I have assumed that β_0 and β_1 are non-stochastic and they are fixed values and x_i is also a fixed it's not random, so this entire part becomes constant, so this various expression becomes same as the variance of ε_i , and we have assumed that the variance of ε_i is σ^2 . So the variance of y_i also becomes σ^2 , this is a very important result, later on you will see that using this result we will try to establish

And we will try to infer many statistical properties, what is the advantage? You had assumed that $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ are the random errors, they are unknown to us that you cannot know what are their values, but we assume that they have got constant σ^2 .

square. Now in case of a real data set you only get a value of x_i and y_i you will never have a value of ϵ_i , but your model depends on sigma square, 0

so now incase if you want to infer about sigma square on the basis of a x_i and y_i , this result will help us at that place, so variance of y_i and variance ϵ_i they are the same. Now the next question is what is the covariance y_i and y_j ? So this I can write this is 0 why because we have assume that ϵ_i are independent, so once ϵ_i 's are independent, so y_i 's are also independent .

So I can write down the covariant between y_i and y_j is 0, so this variance of β_1 hat, this becomes simply summation i goes from 1 to n , c_i^2 times here sigma square, so let us try to simplify this expression further, So I can write down sigma square summation i goes from 1 to n , this is $(x_i - \bar{x})^2$ upon S_{xx} square .So this sigma square S_{xx} upon S_{xx} square so this is nothing but your sigma square upon on S_{xx} .

So this is the variance of β_1 hat. The next question is that, well this is depending on sigma square, sigma square is the population variant again that is unknown to us, so how to know it on the basis given sample of data.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:27)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0) &= \text{Var}(\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}) \\
 &= \text{Var}(\bar{y}) + \bar{x}^2 \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) - 2\bar{x} \text{Cov}(\bar{y}, \hat{\beta}_1) \\
 \text{Var}(\bar{y}) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right) \quad \text{Var}(y_i) = \sigma^2 \\
 &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\
 \text{Cov}(\bar{y}, \hat{\beta}_1) &= E\left[\left(\bar{y} - E(\bar{y})\right)\left(\hat{\beta}_1 - E(\hat{\beta}_1)\right)\right] \\
 &= E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu) - \beta_1\right] \\
 &= 0 \\
 \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0) &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \bar{x}^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{S_{xx}} - 2\bar{x} \cdot 0 \\
 &= \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{S_{xx}} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Right so that we will try to address in the coming slides, but before that before that we try to find now the variance of β_0 hat. So variance of β_0 hat is nothing but variance of \bar{y} –

$\hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$, so this I can write down here using the simple statistical rules variance of \bar{y} + \bar{x}^2 variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ - twice of \bar{x} covariance between \bar{y} and $\hat{\beta}_1$.

So now let us try to find out these expressions one by one, so what about here variance of \bar{y} variance of \bar{y} is we have assume that each observation y_i has got a variance σ^2 , so this becomes variance of one over n summation i goes from one to n , and this equals σ^2 by n . What about this covariance of \bar{y} and say $\hat{\beta}_1$, this can be retained as expected value of \bar{y} -

Expected value of \bar{y} and $\hat{\beta}_1$ - expected value of $\hat{\beta}_1$. Right, so if you try to expand it this becomes \bar{y} - expected value of \bar{y} is ϵ and this $\hat{\beta}_1$ is $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i$ and expected value $\hat{\beta}_1$ we already had obtain β_1 .

So again if you try to substitute here the value of $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$ and if you try to simply open it and then take expectation within the property that expected value of $\epsilon = 0$, it will turn out to be 0. I can write down now here that variance of $\hat{\beta}_0$ is variance of \bar{y} from here, this is σ^2 by $n + \bar{x}^2$ and variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ that we already had obtain.

Right, so this I can write down here as σ^2 over S_{xx} and - this quantity that is twice of \bar{x} into 0, so this comes out $\sigma^2 \frac{1}{n} + \bar{x}^2$ over S_{xx} . So this the variance of $\hat{\beta}_0$ but again you will see that is the depending on the quantity σ^2 and again σ^2 is the population variance that is unknown to us in practice.

So how to cement that will be our next question, but before that we have obtain the mean and variance of $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$, so let us try to find out also the.

(Refer Slide time: 21:53)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1) &= \text{Cov}(\bar{y}, \hat{\beta}_1) - \bar{x} \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) \\ &= 0 - \bar{x} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{\text{Sxx}} \\ &= -\frac{\bar{x} \sigma^2}{\text{Sxx}} \end{aligned}$$

$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\text{Sxy}}{\text{Sxx}}$
 $\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$

Best linear unbiased estimators

* $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$: model
 $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$: fitted model

$\hat{\epsilon}_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$
 $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) = 0$ Normal equations

Covariance between beta0 hat and beta one hat that will help us in establishing some more statistical properties later on, so if you try to see this can be retain us covariance between ybar, beta1 hat - xbar variance beta1 hat, that will be covariance between beta1 hat and beta1 hat, which is nothing but your variance of beta1 hat. This expression we already had obtain in the earlier slide.

This comes out to be 0 and this we already had obtain that variance of beta one this is nothing but your sigma x square over sxx so you can see here very clearly that this - xbar sigma square upon sxx and this quantity is not going to be 0 in general. And that is expected, so don't assume that what we have obtain beta0 hat and beta1 hat they are independent no, under usual circumstance they are not going to be independent unless and until either xbar becomes 0 or sigma square becomes 0.

Right, so there is another thing that we have obtain the value of beta1 has beta one hat which is sxy upon sxx, and we obtain the value of the beta0 hat as a ybar - beta1 hat xbar. Actually I can show that they are the best linear unbiased estimator, which means this beat one hat is the best linear unbiased estimator of beta1, and beta0 hat is the best linear unbiased estimator of beta0.

But after this we would like to investigate some properties of the model based on the values of beat 0 hat and beta1 hat, so one important property that can be observed from here is the following. Right we had got the model which was beta0 + beta one xi + epsilon i this a was

our model and we had obtain the fitted model like this, and based on that we had obtain the fitted values for a given value of x_n and x_i .

So when I try to define my residual as a $y_i - \hat{y}_i$ let us try to see what happens to summation of ϵ_i , so when I try to find out the summation of ϵ_i here this becomes summation $y_i - \hat{y}_i$ right, and this nothing but was a summation i goes from one to n $y_i - \hat{y}_i = \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i$, Now what is the value, I going to write it this = 0.

But how? It does not look like right but if you try to recall when we had obtain the normal equation after differentiate the function $S(\beta_0, \beta_1)$, so from that normal equation that you had obtain here right, you can see here that this summation $y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i = 0$, and now what are you β_0 and β_1 they are the solution of these two equation, this equation and this equation.

So I can also write that $y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i = 0$ and similarly I can also write $y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i$ times $x_i = 0$, so these are your 2 normal equation they have been obtain at the time obtaining the least square estimate, so now using this equation I can write down here directly because this is coming from the normal equation.

So you can see here what I am trying to say in this result is that the sum of residuals is 0. Now here you have to be a little bit cautious when I make an assumption that expected value of $\epsilon_i = 0$ then some time people get confused with the same result, but you have to keep in mind that when I say expected values $\epsilon_i = 0$ that means I am saying that the average of the random errors in the entire population is 0.

But here I am trying to say that we have got a small sample, we have got n data sets, based on that we have obtained the residuals. Now I am trying to show that the sum of those residuals is also 0 and in turn the sample mean of residual will also be 0, sometimes people get confused that what is the different between saying $\bar{\epsilon}$ or the sample mean of ϵ is 0 or the population mean of ϵ is 0. So here you have to be little bit careful.

(Refer Slide Time: 28:20)

The fitted regression line passes through the centroid (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) of the data

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \hat{\epsilon}_i = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) = 0 \quad \text{using normal equations}$$

$$\frac{\partial S(\beta_0, \beta_1)}{\partial \beta_1} = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \hat{\epsilon}_i = 0$$

Next result which I would like to inform you is that the fitted regression line passes through the centroid, centroid is what here in our case the centroid is \bar{x} \bar{y} of the data that you can actually verify if you try to substitute the value of \bar{x} \bar{y} you can easily verify it. Next result which, I want to show you is that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \hat{\epsilon}_i = 0$ right it goes from 1 to n , so now exactly using the same technique.

Which I used in demonstrating the earlier result, I can also do the same thing here, this x_i , this $y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$. Now how I can get this value 0, so if you come here again on this light using this result, the result based on the second normal equation this is simply saying that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) = 0$.

So without doing her much I can write down this value to be 0 using normal equation, right which normal equation that $\hat{\beta}_0$ $\hat{\beta}_1$ with respective $\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1} = 0$ Right similarly it is not difficult to prove that $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \hat{\epsilon}_i = 0$, so these are some simple properties that we will use later on in establishing some more statistical properties of estimators.

So now we have consider the estimation of $\hat{\beta}_0$ and say $\hat{\beta}_1$ and we have investigate there statistical properties, one thing is still left, how to estimate the sigma square that is a parameter that is still remaining for us. Now we stop here in this lecture and in the next lecture we will try to estimate the remaining parameter sigma square till then good bye.