#### **Remarks of Conditional Expectation and Adaptability**

by

#### Dr. S Dharmaraja Department of Mathematics, IIT Delhi

(Refer Slide Time 00:03)



To verify the given stochastic process as the Markov, sorry, as the Martingale property, each random variable  $X_t$  must be a  $F_t$  measurable. So we will see the definition of when the random variable  $X_t$  is going to be the  $F_t$  measurable. For that the definition is as follows.

Let  $F_t$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\Omega$ . A random variable  $X_t$  is  $F_t$  measurable if every set in  $\sigma$ -field generated by the random variable X of t is also in  $F_t$ . We have a non-empty set, that is a collection of possible outcomes and we have created the  $\sigma$ -field on  $\Omega$  and the random variable is said to be a  $F_t$  measurable, the random variable  $X_t$  is said to be a  $F_t$  measurable, the random variable  $X_t$  is also in F of t. If this condition is satisfied, then this random variable is going to be call it as a  $F_t$  measurable.

Obviously, F of t is contained in  $\sigma(X_t)$ . So here every element, every set in  $\sigma$ -field generated by the random variable  $X_t$  is also in  $F_t$ . That means it's other way around. So if that property is also satisfied, then this random variable is a  $F_t$  measurable.

(Refer Slide Time 01:56)



Some remarks on  $X_t$  is  $F_t$  measurable. The first remark, a random variable is -- a random variable  $X_t$  is  $F_t$  measurable if and only if the information in F of t is sufficiently to determine the value of X of t. The F of t is nothing but the collection of the information up to the time. So whenever we say the random variable is  $F_t$  measurable if and only if the information in  $F_T$  is sufficient to determine the value of X of t.

The second remark, when a random variable  $X_t$  is not a  $F_t$  measurable, then the information contained in  $\sigma$ -field  $F_t$  cannot determine the values of the random variable  $X_t$ . Whenever the random variable is not a  $F_t$  measurable, the conclusion is the information contained in the  $\sigma$ -field F of t cannot determine the values of the random variable whereas  $X_t$  is a random -- is a  $F_t$  measurable if and only if it has the sufficient information to determine the value of X of t.

Third remark, if  $X_t$  is  $F_t$  measurable and g is a Borel measurable function, then  $g(X_t)$  is also  $F_t$  measurable. We know that X is a random variable. Then, and g is a Borel measurable function. Then g(X) is a random variable. So here we are saying if  $X_t$  is  $F_t$  measurable and g is a Borel measurable function, then  $g(X_t)$  is also a  $F_t$  measurable. Obviously,  $g(X_t)$  is also a random variable. Since XT is  $X_t$  is  $F_t$  measurable, then  $g(X_t)$  is also  $F_t$  measurable.

The fourth remark, we are not giving the proof for this remark. The fourth one, when  $F_t$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of all subsets of  $\Omega$ , that is the power set of  $\Omega$  and the  $\Omega$  is finite or countably infinite, then the random variable defined on  $\Omega$  is always  $F_t$  measurable. Whenever the  $\sigma$ -field  $F_t$  is the power set or the largest  $\sigma$ -field, in that case the random variable defined on  $\Omega$  is always a  $F_t$  measurable. We know that whenever  $F_t$  is the largest  $\sigma$ -field, then any real valued function is going to be a random variable. Here, whenever  $F_t$  is a  $\sigma$ -field, which is a largest  $\sigma$ -field or the power set of  $\Omega$  and additional condition, and  $\Omega$  is a finite or countably infinite, then the random variable is always  $F_t$  measurable.

(Refer Slide Time 05:20)

# Adaptability Definition The stochastic process $\{X_t, t \ge 0\}$ is said to be adapted to the filtration $\{F_t, t \ge 0\}$ if $\sigma(X_t) \subset F_t$ , for all $t \ge 0$ i.e., $X_t$ is $F_t$ -measurable for each $t \ge 0$ . In such case, all events concerning the sample paths of an adapted process until time t are contained in $F_t$ . In discrete case, we say that a stochastic process $\{X_n, n = 0, 1, ...\}$ is adapted to the filtration $\{F_n, n = 0, 1, ...\}$ if $\sigma(X_n) \subset F_n$ for every n. For instance, suppose $S_n$ is the price of a stock at the end of nth for then the price process $\{S_n, n = 0, 1, ...\}$ is adapted to natural iteration $\{F_n, n = 0, 1, ...\}$ where $F_n$ is the history up to the end atopic the day.

Based on  $X_t$  is  $F_t$  measurable, we discuss adaptability of the stochastic process. The stochastic process  $X_t$  over the t greater than or equal to zero is said to be adapted to the filtration F of t if the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the random variable  $X_t$ , which is contained in F of t for all t greater than or equal to zero. So this condition is nothing but  $X_t$  is  $F_t$  measurable. Whenever  $X_t$  is  $F_t$  measurable, then the collection of random variable X of t is adapted to the filtration F of t. If this condition is not satisfied, in that case  $X_t$  is not a  $F_t$  measurable. If  $X_t$  is not a  $F_t$  measurable, then the collection of random variable, the collection of random variable to the filtration F of t is not a for the filtration.

Suppose it is  $X_t$  is  $F_t$  measurable, in such cases, all events concerning the sample paths of adapted process until time t are contained in F of t. It says  $F_t$  has the collection -- has the information up to the time t. Whenever  $X_t$  is  $F_t$  measurable, then all the events concerning the sample paths of the adapted process until time t are contained in  $F_t$ . It's the same meaning here. The  $\sigma$ -field generated by the X of t which is contained in F of t whenever the stochastic process is adapted.

In a discrete case, we say that stochastic process X suffix n, n can takes a value 0, 1, 2 and so on is adapted to the filtration F suffix n. So instead of F of t, I am using F suffix n for discrete type and similarly the random variable is also discrete type. Instead of t I'm using small n. If the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the random variable X<sub>n</sub> which is contained in the  $\sigma$ -field F<sub>n</sub> for every n, it has to satisfy for every n, then only this collection of a stochastic, sorry, this collection of random variable or this stochastic process is adapted to this filtration F<sub>n</sub>.

For instance, suppose  $S_n$  is the price of a stock at the n<sup>th</sup> day, then the price process  $S_n$ , n is equal to 0, 1 and so on is adapted to the natural filtration  $F_n$ , n 0, 1 and so on where  $F_n$  is the history up to the end of n<sup>th</sup> day.

(Refer Slide Time 08:30)



Now we see the definition of a Conditional Expectation of random variable X given  $\sigma$ -field F. Earlier we have defined the conditional expectation of the random variable X given other random variable Y takes the value small y. The definition as follows.

Let  $(\Omega, S, P)$  be the given probability space. Let X be an integrable random variable and F be a sub  $\sigma$ -field of S. So here I am defining a probability space with the  $\Omega$  and this is the  $\sigma$ -field S and P is the probability measure and the random variable which is integrable and F be the sub  $\sigma$ -field of S.

The conditional expectation of X given F, so to distinguish the  $\sigma$ -fields F and S, I am giving the S is a  $\sigma$ -field and F is the sub  $\sigma$ -field, and we are defining the conditional expectation for the random variable X given the sub  $\sigma$ -field of S, that is F, that is denoted by X, expectation of X given F. It's a unique random variable. The way I discuss the conditional expectation is a random variable. So here the conditional expectation of X given the  $\sigma$ -field, that is also a random variable. It's a unique random variable satisfying the conditional expectation of X given  $\sigma$ -field is a measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -field F. This is a measurable.

Also the integration over any set A where A is belonging to F, the expectation of X given F integration with respect to the probability measure P, that is same as integration with respect to the probability measure P of the integrand is simply X integration over A. Both are one and the same.

Note that the conditional expectation given the  $\sigma$ -field F is a random variable satisfying these two properties and we are defining the conditional expectation given the sub  $\sigma$ -field of S where this is the probability space.

(Refer Slide Time 11:33)



Notes on conditional expectation of X given the  $\sigma$ -field F. Note that the conditional expectation, expectation of X given F is a random variable while expectation X is a real number. Similarly, conditional expectation of X given other random variables also a random variable, not a constant.

When F is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by Y, then we also write conditional expectation of X given F for the random variable X, expectation of X given Y. Whenever F is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by Y, you can replace F by Y. Thus expectation of X given F is the expected value of X given the information F. That means you can replace the random variable Y by F whenever the F is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the random variable Y. That is same as the expectation -- expected value of X given the information F. Whenever we say the  $\sigma$ -field, that is nothing but the information.

(Refer Slide Time 13:07)



We discussed the conditional expectation of the random variable given  $\sigma$ -field through two examples. The first example is as follows. Omega consists of four elements. S, that is a largest  $\sigma$ -field, power set on  $\Omega$  and the P of {w} is equal to 1/4 where w belonging to  $\Omega$ . So, therefore, this is the set function probability measure. We are defining a real valued function. You can cross-check this is a random variable.

Let F that's a  $\sigma$ -field, it's a trivial one. It consists of two elements, empty set and the whole set. Given F is a trivial  $\sigma$ -field, the only random variables which are measurable with respect to the trivial  $\sigma$ -fields or constant. The only random variables which are measurable with respect to the trivial  $\sigma$ -fields are constant. Hence, the expectation of X given the  $\sigma$ -field F where F is a trivial one, that is same as the expectation of X that is equal to constant. That constant you can find out by using the probability and the possible values of 0, -1 and 1, you can find out what is the constant.

So here the conclusion is whenever the  $\sigma$ -field is a trivial one, then the conditional expectation over the trivial  $\sigma$ -field, that is a constant and that constant is same as the expectation of X because it is no more random variable.

(Refer Slide Time 15:02)



The second example is as follows. Here the  $\Omega$  consists of three elements. S is the largest  $\sigma$ -field. Probability measure is defined on  $\Omega$  in each sample itself with the probability 1/3. X is the random variable. F is not the trivial one here. F is the  $\sigma$ -field which is not a trivial one, and I am defining another random variable Y and that takes a value 0 or 1, and here I am claiming that expectation of X given F is same as expectation of X given Y because the Y is the  $\sigma$ -field generated -- because the F is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the random variable Y.

If you -- if you create the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the Y, you may land up empty set, element a, element b and c and the whole set and that is same as F. Therefore, you can replace expectation of X given F by expectation of X given Y. Here Y is the F measurable. You can check Y is a random variable also by finding the inverse images.

(Refer Slide Time 16:40)



The other properties or the first one, the conditional expectation is always greater than or equal to zero if X is greater than or equal to zero. Then the linear property similar to the conditional expectation as I discussed earlier. Then this also I have discussed. Instead of a  $\sigma$ -field, I have discussed with the random variable. If they are independent, then both are same.

```
(Refer Slide Time 17:15)
```



The last two properties, if  $X_1$  is  $F_t$  measurable, then the multiplication, the  $X_1$  taken out which is what is known. So since  $X_1$  is known because  $X_1$  is a F measurable, so  $X_1$  will be coming outside,  $X_1$  times the conditional expectation.

If G is a sub  $\sigma$ -field, then this expectation, conditional expectation is same as the conditional expectation and this is called the tower property. I am not going to give the proof of this.

# (Refer Slide Time 17:47)



#### Here is the reference. Thanks.

(Refer Slide Time 17:59)



(Refer Slide Time 18:01)



# (Refer Slide Time 18:06)

