## Numerical Analysis Prof. Dr. S. Baskar Department of Mathematics Indian Institute of Technology-Bombay

# Lecture-32 Nonlinear Equations: Newton-Raphson's Method

Hi, we are discussing iterative methods for approximating an isolated root of a non-linear equation. In this we have covered bisection method, Regula Falsi method and secant method. In this lecture we will discuss Newton-Raphson's method.

#### (Refer Slide Time: 00:39)



Let us first get a motivation for the Newton-Raphson's method starting from the secant method. If we recall in the last class, we have proved that the order of convergence of the secant method is 1.62. Now the question is can we modify this method to get a quadratic convergence? The answer is yes. Now the question is how to do that?

## (Refer Slide Time: 01:08)



Let us quickly recall from the secant method you can see that for a large *n* if the sequence is converging then you can see that  $x_{n-1}$  will approach  $x_n$ . In that case the expression given like this can be more closer to  $f'(x_n)$ , because as *n* increases you can see that  $x_{n-1}$  is approaching to  $x_n$  and therefore this is actually equal to  $\lim x_{n-1} \to x_n$ . Therefore, as *n* increases this term will be more like  $f'(x_n)$ .

Now look at the formula for secant method. You can see that the secant method involves 1 by this term, you can see that is what is, sitting here 1 divided by this term is what is given here. Therefore, you can see that this term is more behaving like  $1/f'(x_n)$  for large *n* provided the sequence is converging. Now the question is why not we replace this term by  $f'(x_n)$  itself right from the first term of the sequence. That is instead of having this term you just replace that by  $1/f'(x_n)$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 02:56)



And that is what is called the Newton-Raphson's method. Newton-Raphson's method is highly preferred in the practical applications mainly because of the two reasons. One is that the Newton-Raphson's method has quadratic convergence. We will also prove this later in this lecture. Also, Newton-Raphson's method gives us a process called linearization process. This is particularly very important because in many applications we come across complicated non-linear models.

One good idea for these models is to first look for the linearized model of those models and then recovers the non-linearity through an iterative procedure. A Newton-Raphson's method is precisely based on this idea. Therefore, understanding Newton-Raphson's method is very important.

#### (Refer Slide Time: 03:57)



Newton-Raphson's method is first introduced by the well-known scientists Sir Isaac Newton. He formulated this method for obtaining roots for polynomials, he first started with an initial guess and then formulated sequence of error corrections and this way he obtains the roots of polynomials. He introduced this procedure both for numerical computation and also for algebraic calculations.

In the case of algebraic calculations, he in fact showed that he can recover the Taylor polynomial of a given non-linear function, but his procedure is quite complicated and that was further simplified by a mathematician called Joseph Raphson, not much is known about this mathematician, but he gave a much simpler idea of the method which was introduced by Newton.

But he also formulated the method for polynomials. Both Newton and Raphson never connected this method to calculus and gave a closed form of the formula that we have shown in the last slide, it was Thomas Simpson who connected this idea to calculus and gave the formula that we showed in the previous slide and he also introduced this method to solve any non-linear equation.

(Refer Slide Time: 05:33)



With this small historic note let us go to give a formal derivation of the Newton-Raphson's method. Let us start with the initial guess  $x_0$ . Now around that point  $x_0$  let us write the Taylor's formula for the function f(x). If you recall f(x) where x is in a small neighborhood of  $x_0$  can be written as the Taylor polynomial of order 1,  $T_1(x)$  plus the remainder term.

## (Refer Slide Time: 06:13)

	• • • • • E 🥱 -	The Part of the State	ing the basis of the states of
Open Don	nain Method: N	Newton-Raphson's Method (	contd.)
	Der	ivation	
Let $x_0$ be g	given.		
The Taylo $f(x)$ where $\xi = 1$	<b>r's formula</b> gives $x_{1} \approx f(x_{0}) + f'(x_{0})$ ies between $x_{0}$ and	$ \underbrace{ \begin{pmatrix} x & x_0 \end{pmatrix}^2}_{\substack{(x - x_0) \\ d x}} \underbrace{ \begin{pmatrix} (x - x_0)^2 & t''(\xi) \\ 2! & t''(\xi) \end{pmatrix}}_{\substack{(x - x_0) \\ d x}} $	
39	(TPR Bowheat)	NP/TEL Course	Nonlinear Equations

Now if you just neglect this remainder term then you can see that f(x) is well approximately equal to this linear polynomial. So, this is what we meant by linearization of a non-linear function. The non-linear term is inbuilt in this expression which is unknown because this  $\xi$  is a unknown quantity lying between  $x_0$  and x but the non-linearity is inbuilt in this expression which is neglected.

In that way we are only taking the linear part of the function f and this idea is what we call as the linearization. Now the idea is instead of looking for the root of the equation f(x) = 0, we will first look for the root of this polynomial  $T_1(x) = 0$ . You can find the root of this polynomial without any effort.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:21)



Let us call the root of this polynomial by  $x_1$ .

#### (Refer Slide Time: 07:32)



And this  $x_1$  can be written as  $x_0 - \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)}$ , this can be obtained by directly rewriting this linear polynomial equation. That is how we get the first term of our iteration sequence  $x_1$ . Now from here you know how to find the next term of the iteration that is  $x_2$ ; how will you find it? You will plug in this  $x_1$  into the right-hand side expression replacing  $x_0$  by  $x_1$  and you will get  $x_2$ .

Once you get  $x_2$  again you plug in  $x_2$  on the right-hand side get  $x_3$  and like that you can now generate the sequence  $x_n$ . Let us see the geometrical interpretation of the Newton-Raphson method. Let us take a function f whose graph looks like this. This is y = f(x) and it has a simple root r here. Now what we are doing is we are starting our initial guess as  $x_0$  and what we are doing is instead of going along this non-linear function we are going along this straight line.

What is this straight line? This straight line is precisely the tangent line at the point  $x_0$ . So, this tangent line if you recall, it is given by  $y = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0)$ . So, this is the equation of this tangent line and what you are doing precisely is taking y = 0, that is how you are getting this equation and correspondingly, this x is taken as  $x_1$ . What is the geometrical meaning of this? This is precisely the point of intersection of this tangent line with the x-axis.

That is  $x_1$  is precisely the point of intersection of this tangent line with the *x*-axis. That is the geometrical interpretation of this formula. Now if you generalize this you will get the formula for Newton-Raphson's method.

#### (Refer Slide Time: 10:14)



Let us put the Newton Raphson's method in the form of an algorithm. For that the inputs are the function f which defines the equation f(x) = 0 and since we want f'(x) is involved in our formula, therefore we will assume that f' exists and for the theoretical reason we also assume that f' is also continuous function. Also, generally we are interested in computing the simple root of our equation. This is also just for the sake of theoretical purpose.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:58)



And then we have to take the initial guess that is a real number  $x_0$ . Generally, from the implementation point of view  $x_0$  has to be chosen arbitrarily but theoretically we have to choose  $x_0$  sufficiently close to the root *r* in order to have the convergence, but that cannot be achieved practically because we do not know *r*. Therefore, this condition is only theoretically feasible however practically we have to choose  $x_0$  arbitrarily.

(Refer Slide Time: 11:39)



Once we are given f and  $x_0$  then the algorithm goes like this. For any given  $x_0$ , you will compute the iterations using this formula. That is, given  $x_n$  you will find  $x_{n+1}$  using this formula, where n runs from 0 to infinity. So, if you take n = 0 you have  $x_0 - \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)}$  and that gives you  $x_1$ . Then once you get  $x_1$  you will take n = 1. Therefore, this expression will give you  $x_2$  then and so on. (**Refer Slide Time: 12:24**)



So, in this way you can generate a sequence for any given n and on a computer this procedure can go on without n, but practically we have to stop the iteration at some n. For that we need a stopping criterion. If you recall while discussing regula falsi method, we have discussed some stopping criteria in order to break our iteration computationally. One can follow any one of the stopping criteria we have introduced in that lecture.

For instance, a relative Cauchy criterion is preferred of course along with the residual error criteria also, but practically it is often enough for us to check the relative Cauchy criteria to stop the iteration.



(Refer Slide Time: 13:23)

Let us take an example. Let us take this equation  $\sin^3 x + \frac{1}{2}x^{10} - 0.85 = 0$ . Here f(x) is nothing but this expression. Once this is given, we have to first compute f'(x). Remember f'(x) is involved in our formula. Therefore, we have to compute first f'(x) and then also to start the iteration we need an initial guess. I have chosen in this example the initial guess  $x_0$  as 1.25.

Now we are ready to set up our iterative procedure recall the Newton-Raphson's method formula that generates the iteration sequence is given by this. In our example this can be written like this; where this is our f(x) and the denominator is f'(x). So, that is what we have substituted from this expression with  $x = x_n$  and we got the iterative formula for the given equation using Newton-Raphson's method.

Now let us go to compute the iterations; for that first take  $x_0$  and plug in  $x_0$  into this expression with n = 0. Remember sine  $x_0$  is computed with radians and that will give us  $x_1$ . (Refer Slide Time: 15:10)



Let us also see this geometrically. The graph of the function f given like this is shown in blue solid line and the root that we are interested is highlighted here in green colour and its value is approximately 0.9529 and remember we decided that we will start our iteration with  $x_0$  equal to 1.25 and it is here. With all this we can now compute  $x_1$  using this expression and the value of  $x_1$  is given like this.

And let us see the geometrical interpretation of this  $x_1$ , we started with  $x_0$  and you have to take this point and draw the tangent line at this point. If you recall we have already mentioned this geometrical interpretation in our previous slide and what is  $x_1$ ?  $x_1$  is precisely the point of intersection of this tangent line with the x-axis and that is given by this point. Once you get  $x_1$ you go back to that expression.

Now replace  $x_n$  by  $x_1$  in this expression and that you can put the value of  $x_1$  into this expression and you can use a calculator or computer to obtain the value of this expression with  $x_1$  is equal to 1.12767 and that gives you  $x_2$  as approximately 1.02953. Geometrically what is happening? This was  $x_0$  where we started from there we approached to  $x_1$  and now to get  $x_2$  you have to take this point, draw the tangent line and see the point of intersection of that tangent line with the *x*-axis and that is your  $x_2$ .

This is the geometrical viewpoint but analytically you simply take  $x_1$ , substitute in the formula and get the value  $x_2$  by computing the expression. Once you get  $x_2$  again substitute  $x_2$  into the Newton-Raphson's formula for our example and that gives you  $x_3$ . What is  $x_3$ ? Again, you take this point  $x_2$ , draw the tangent line which is given like this and see the point of intersection of this line and that is your  $x_3$ .

Now you see you started from  $x_0$  you got  $x_1$  and from there you got  $x_2$  and from there you got  $x_3$ , you can see how this sequence is converging towards the root of the equation. So, that is the geometrical viewpoint of the Newton-Raphson's method in this particular example. (**Refer Slide Time: 18:36**)



Let us go to the next example. Let us take the equation  $\sin x + x^2 - 1 = 0$  and let us take the initial guess as  $x_0 = 1$ . If you recall we have taken this example to compute a simple root using secant method also, just to compare Newton-Raphson's method with secant method I am considering the same example and if you recall in secant method, we have taken  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_1 = 1$ .

Recall in secant method we have to give two initial guesses. Therefore, we have chosen the initial gases like this, but in Newton-Raphson's method it is enough to give one initial guess therefore I am taking  $x_0$  as 1. Now let us see how Newton-Raphson's method sequence goes on. I have computed first three iterations of the Newton-Raphson's method in this example starting with  $x_0 = 1$ .

You can see that in the third iteration we got the root exactly up to around 6 significant digits. One can see that the root of this equation is up to 6 significant digits is given like this and we have captured the root exactly up to this accuracy very well with just three iterations.

#### (Refer Slide Time: 20:09)

Open Domai	in Met	nou. New	ton-naph	son's Method (t	onta.)
Example:	oquati	20			
Consider the	equation	911			
		$\sin x + x^2 -$	-1 = 0.		
Let $x_0 = 1$ . 'method gives	Then th	ie iteration	s from the	Newton-Raphson	
	n	$x_n$	Error		
	$\frac{n}{1}$	$x_n$ 0.668752	Error 0.032019		
	$\frac{n}{2}$	$x_n$ 0.668752 0.637068	Error 0.032019 0.000335		

If you recall in secant method the third iteration gave us this value which is still slightly away from the root. So, this is what we meant by saying that Newton-Raphson's method is slightly faster than the secant method. If you recall we have proved that the order of convergence of secant method is 1.62. In fact, we can prove that the order of convergence of Newton-Raphson's method is 2.

That is Newton-Raphson's method has quadratic order of convergence and in this example also you can see that Newton-Raphson's method is going little faster than the secant method. (**Refer Slide Time: 20:55**)



With this, let us now state the convergence theorem for Newton-Raphson's method. Let f be a  $C^2$  function, recall to set up the Newton-Raphson's iteration we just need  $f'(x_n)$ . That is, we just need the first derivative of the function f, but for the convergence we need one more order

of smoothness of f that is why we have assumed that f is a  $C^2$  function and also we will assume that the root r which we are interested in is a simple root.

It means what? It means  $f'(r) \neq 0$ . If these two conditions hold for our function f then the theorem concludes that there exist a small neighbourhood of the root r. That is what we mean by saying that there existed  $\delta$  such that  $[r - \delta, r + \delta]$  is a small neighbour  $\delta$  neighbourhood of r and if you start your iteration that is if your initial guess is taken in this small neighbourhood remember we have to find this neighbourhood  $\delta$ .

There exist means we have to find this  $\delta$  neighbourhood, such that if you start your iteration with your initial guess  $x_0$  in this neighbourhood then everything will go nicely. That is what the theorem says. What is mean by everything will go nicely let us see. First thing is each term of the Newton-Raphson's sequence is well defined, what is mean by this? What happens if you started with a  $x_0$  then you went to  $x_1$ .

Then you went to  $x_2$ , like that you kept on going, at some  $x_n$  you see that your  $x_{n+1}$  is infinity then what happens? Then the Newton-Raphson's iteration sequence is not well defined. The theorem says that if you start your initial guess pretty close to the root then this will never happen. That is what it says. The question is when such situation will happen? Let us see suppose we are working with a function f whose graph is like this.

You can see that it is a nice smooth function; at least visually you can see that and suppose we are interested in capturing this root r. Now you started with some  $x_0$  say and you started computing  $x_1, x_2$  and so on at some n say  $x_n$  is this then what happens, your  $f(x_n)$  is this and now  $x_{n+1}$  is nothing but the point of intersection of the tangent line with x-axis. What is this tangent line? Tangent line is parallel to the x-axis.

And therefore, it never intersects x-axis. That means you can never get  $x_{n+1}$  at all if you face such a situation. This is a geometrical illustration. What is happening analytically; you see in this case you will have  $x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$ . What is  $f'(x_n)$  here that is equal to 0. So, this becomes 0 here and that will give you infinity. This is how the Newton-Raphson's iteration sequence may fail to exist. The theorem says that, I can find a small neighbourhood of r as long as I start my initial guess within that neighbourhood then such a situation will never occur. That is what it says. Suppose you see if you restrict yourself to this neighbourhood and start your  $x_n$  here,  $x_0$  here then you see your  $x_1$  will be somewhere here and your  $x_2$  will be somewhere here and your  $x_3$  will be somewhere here.

Everything will lie in this interval only. That is all your  $x_n$ 's will lie in this interval only and they all will be well defined. So, that is what we are going to see. So, as long as you start your iteration with the initial guess  $x_0$  in a small neighbourhood like this then your Newton-Raphson's iteration sequence will be well defined.

(Refer Slide Time: 25:43)



The sequence  $x_n$  will remain within this interval. This is what I have shown geometrically in the previous slide and the important point is that the sequence will also converge to the root and finally this gives us the quadratic convergence of the Newton-Raphson's method. It means what, this quantity is equal to constant, some finite number that is what we have to show in order to conclude that the sequence converges with this order.

You can see now here it is 2, therefore the order is 2. Why this term is finite because we assumed  $f'(r) \neq 0$  and f'' is a continuous function. That is what we assumed here that f is a  $C^2$  function and we are always restricting to a closed and bounded interval therefore this is a finite quantity, this is also non zero finite and therefore this entire thing will be finite.

So, if you prove this expression, it precisely means that the Newton-Raphson's iterative sequence converges quadratically. I hope you understood the statement of the convergence theorem of the Newton-Raphson's method. We will prove this theorem in the next class, thank you for your attention.