## Fourier Analysis and its Applications Prof. G. K. Srinivasan Department of Mathematics Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

36 Sturm-Liouville Problems. Existence of eigen-values

Existence of eigen-values and eigen-functions: Returning to the Sturm-Liouville problem  $y'' + \lambda \rho(x)y = 0$  with Dirichlet BC at 0 and 1, let us consider the solution  $y(x, \lambda)$  of the ODE with *initial* conditions

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y'(0) = 1.$$

The solution  $y(x, \lambda)$  is continuously differentiable with respect to the parameter  $\lambda$  and we are interested in those values of  $\lambda$  such that

$$y(x,\lambda) = 0$$
, when  $x = 1$ . (6.10)

Now  $\rho(x)$  is continuous and non-negative. We now assume that it is strictly positive and that  $m^2$  and  $M^2$  are its infimum and supremum respectively.

Idea is to appeal to the Sturm comparison theorem with  $y'' + \lambda m^2 y = 0$  and  $y'' + \lambda M^2 y = 0$  respectively. Note that for each  $\lambda$ , the equation

$$y(x,\lambda) = 0 \tag{6.11}$$

has a discrete set of zeros

$$\zeta_1(\lambda), \zeta_2(\lambda), \zeta_3(\lambda), \dots$$

and the zeros are simple. Well, suppose  $x_0$  is a double zero then we have in addition to (6.11) the equation

$$y'(x_0, \lambda) = 0 \tag{6.12}$$

Now the uniqueness clause in the fundamental existence uniqueness theorem for the IVP with zero intial conditions at  $x_0$  implies that the function  $y(x, \lambda)$  must be identically zero which is a contradiction.

Continuity of the zeros: We now show that each of the zeros  $\zeta_k(\lambda)$  varies continuously with respect to  $\lambda$ . To do this we employ the implicit function theorem. Let us prove the continuity at an arbitrary value  $\lambda_0$  and call  $\zeta_k(\lambda_0) = \zeta_0$ . Then we have,

$$y(\zeta_0, \lambda_0) = 0.$$

We also know that  $y'(\zeta_0, \lambda_0) \neq 0$  where the prime indicates derivative of  $y(x, \lambda)$  with respect to x. The implicit function theorem now tells us that there are intervals  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  of  $\lambda_0$  and  $\zeta_0$  respectively such that we can solve the equation

$$y(x,\lambda) = 0$$

uniquely for each  $\lambda \in J_1$  for a value  $\zeta(\lambda) \in J_2$ . This unique solution is also continuously differentiable with respect to  $\lambda$ . We are now ready to prove the existence of an infinite sequence of eigen-values. We compare the function  $y(x,\lambda)$  with  $\sin M\sqrt{\lambda}x$ . Between any two zeros of  $y(x,\lambda)$ , there must be a zero of  $\sin M\sqrt{\lambda}x$ . For small values of  $\lambda$  the function  $\sin M\sqrt{\lambda}x$  has no zeros in (0,1] Whereby the first zero of  $y(x,\lambda)$  must be larger than 1.

Now look at large values of  $\lambda$  and compare with  $\sin m\sqrt{\lambda}x$ . Between two zeros of the latter there must be a zero of  $y(x,\lambda)$  and the latter has zeros in (0,1] for large  $\lambda$  which implies that the first zero of  $y(x,\lambda)$  must be in (0,1).

In other words  $\zeta_1(\lambda) > 1$  for small values of  $\lambda$  and  $\zeta_1(\lambda) < 1$  for large values of  $\lambda$ . By continuity of  $\zeta_1(\lambda)$  we see that there is a value  $\lambda_1$  such that  $\zeta_1(\lambda_1) = 0$  which means

$$y(1, \lambda_1) = 0.$$

and this  $\lambda_1$  is evidently the first eigen-value of the Sturm Liouville problem. The argument for the second eigen value is similar and proceeds by looking at  $\zeta_2(\lambda)$  for small and large values of  $\lambda$  respectively. Proof of the existence of eigen-values is thereby completed.

Zeros of eigen-functions: It is evident from our construction that the first eigen-function has no zeros on the open fundamental interval (0,1). All other eigen-functions must have at least one zero in (0,1). This follows at once from the orthogonality of eigen-functions. The zeros of the eigen-function are called the *nodes* of the eigen-function. It is not difficult to show that the n-th eigen-function has exactly n-1 nodes in the fundamental interval.

These notions also make sense for boundary value problems in higher dimensions. In higher dimensions they assume a more spectacular aspect. See *Rayleigh's theory of sound Vol 1 and 2* for details on this. Proofs of many of these results can be found in *Courant-Hilbert's methods of mathematical physics*.

With these remarks we close this chapter.

12. Prove the mean value theorem for integrals:

Suppose f, g are continuous on [a, b] and g > 0 on (a, b) show that there is a  $c \in (a, b)$  such that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)g(x)dx = f(c) \int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx.$$

Hint: First prove that if f is continuous

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = f(c)(b-a), \quad \text{for some } c \in (a,b).$$

Now use the integral of g over [a, x] as a variable of integration.

13. Let  $u(x) = \sqrt{kx}J_n(kx)$ . Show that u satisfies the ODE

$$u'' = -\left(k^2 - \left(\frac{n^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{x^2}\right)\right)u$$

The last equation suggests that when x is very large u(x) must behave like the sine function and  $J_n(kx)$  must behave like  $\sin kx/\sqrt{kx}$  and as such must have infinitely many zeros. We shall see that this is indeed so if k > 1. The last condition can be removed later.

14. Let  $v(x) = \sin(x - a)$ . Show that

$$\frac{d}{dx}(-vu' + uv') = uv\left(k^2 - 1 - \left(\frac{n^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{x^2}\right)\right)$$

15. Let a be so large that  $k^2 - 1 - (n^2 - 1/4)/x^2 > 0$  on  $[a, a + \pi]$ . Integrate the equation obtained in the previous exercise over  $[a, a + \pi]$  and use the MVT for integrals with

$$g(x) = \sin(x-a)\left(k^2 - 1 - \left(\frac{n^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{x^2}\right)\right)$$

which is positive on  $(a, a + \pi)$ . So for some  $c \in (a, a + \pi)$  we have

$$-(u(a+\pi) + u(a)) = u(c) \int_{a}^{a+\pi} v(x) \left(k^2 - 1 - \left(\frac{n^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{x^2}\right)\right) dx$$

Thus we see that u(a), u(c) and  $u(a + \pi)$  cannot all have the same sign. Thus u must have a zero in every interval  $(a, a + \pi)$  for all a >> 1. We have proved,

Theorem (Zeros of Bessel's Functions): For k > 1, the function  $J_n(kx)$  has infinitely many zeros for each  $n \ge 0$ .

Explain why the condition k > 1 can be replaced by k = 1 or even k > 0? We have seen an application of this theorem to the theory of wave propagation. Another interesting proof via the integral representation is on pp. 76 - 78 of D. Jackson, Fourier series and orthogonal polynomials, Dover, New York, 2004. See also G. N. Watson, Treatise on the theory of Bessel functions, p. 500 ff for a discussion of the techniques used by L. Euler and Lord Rayleigh to compute the zeros of  $J_p(x)$ .

Hill's equation and the functions of Mathews I mention in passing that the case of an elliptical membrane has been considered by  $\acute{E}mile$   $L\acute{e}onard$  Mathieu in 1868 and the resulting ODE known as the Mathieu equation:

$$y'' + (a + b\cos 2x)y = 0.$$

where b is given and a is an eigen-parameter. The equation has led to a long and rich chapter in the theory of analytic ODEs, generalized and studied by G. W. Hill in 1886 in his researches on Lunar motion. Unfortunately we are not in a position to say anything about these exciting theory in this elementary course!