

Fourier Analysis and its Applications
Prof. G. K. Srinivasan
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay
20 Plancherel's theorem

Theorem (Riemann-Lebesgue lemma for Fourier transforms): Suppose $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ tends to zero as $\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

Proof: First imitate the above argument to show that if f is continuous on $[-A, A]$ then

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty} \int_{-A}^A f(x)e^{-ix\xi} dx = 0.$$

Call the integral I and set $x = y + \frac{\pi}{\xi}$ and proceed as we did before. Use Luzin's theorem to prove it for all $f \in L^1[-A, A]$. Now suppose $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Select $A > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}-[-A,A]} |f(x)| dx < \epsilon/2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-ix\xi} dx \right| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}-[-A,A]} f(x)e^{-ix\xi} dx \right| + \left| \int_{[-A,A]} f(x)e^{-ix\xi} dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}-[-A,A]} |f(x)| dx + \left| \int_{[-A,A]} f(x)e^{-ix\xi} dx \right| \\ &\leq \epsilon/2 + \left| \int_{[-A,A]} f(x)e^{-ix\xi} dx \right| \end{aligned}$$

Since we know that $\int_{[-A,A]} f(x)e^{-ix\xi} dx \rightarrow 0$, $\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty$ there is a $\xi_0 > 0$ such that for all $|\xi| > \xi_0$, we have $\left| \int_{[-A,A]} f(x)e^{-ix\xi} dx \right| < \epsilon/2$ and accordingly, $\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-ix\xi} dx \right| < \epsilon$

Estimates in L^2 norm. We would like to get estimates for the Fourier transform in the L^2 norm due to the pleasant feature that $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a Hilbert space. However the argument is not so straightforward.

Theorem (The Parseval formula also known as Plancherel's theorem): Suppose $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are in \mathcal{S} then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)\overline{g(t)} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)} d\xi \quad (4.11)$$

Again we need to employ the $\exp(-\epsilon\xi^2)$ trick. First, let us try to prove it directly:

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)\overline{g(y)} e^{-i\xi(x-y)} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)\overline{g(y)} dx dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi(x-y)} d\xi \end{aligned}$$

This suggests introduction of the $\exp(-\epsilon\xi^2)$. We need the Fourier transform of the Gaussian along the way:

$$\begin{aligned}
RHS &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)} \exp(-\epsilon\xi^2) d\xi \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) \overline{g(y)} dx dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-i\xi(x-y)) \exp(-\epsilon\xi^2) d\xi \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi\epsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{g(y)} \exp(-(x-y)^2/4\epsilon) dy
\end{aligned}$$

In the inner integral put $y = x + 2\sqrt{\epsilon}z$ and we get

$$RHS = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{g(x + 2\sqrt{\epsilon}z)} e^{-z^2} dz$$

Now appealing to the dominated convergence theorem we get the result.

Fourier transform as an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ Form the Plancherel's theorem it immediately follows taking $f = g$:

Theorem: If $f \in \mathcal{S}$ then

$$\|f\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\widehat{f}\|_2 \tag{4.12}$$

Theorem: The Fourier transform extends as a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and further it is a linear isomorphism onto $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. To prove this result we use the fact that the space \mathcal{S} is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. *We shall not prove this here.* Now that we have established (4.12), let us denote by $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ the Fourier transform as an operator on \mathcal{S} . It is onto thanks to the inversion theorem. Observe that the map \mathcal{F} being linear, we get

$$\|\mathcal{F}f - \mathcal{F}g\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2\pi} \|f - g\|_2, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S},$$

and thanks to the inversion theorem,

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}f - \mathcal{F}^{-1}g\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|f - g\|_2, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S}.$$

which shows that \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} are both uniformly continuous with respect to the L^2 metric. We now prove a general lemma on metric spaces:

Lemma: Suppose X is a complete metric space, Y is a dense subspace of X and $T : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a uniformly continuous map then T extends continuously as a map $X \rightarrow X$.

Proof: Let $x \in X$ and (y_n) be a sequence of points of Y converging to x . Then the sequence $T(y_n)$ is Cauchy since T is uniformly continuous. Thus $T(y_n)$ converges to say $Tx \in X$. We show that if (y_n) and (z_n) are two sequences converging to x then the corresponding sequences $T(y_n)$ and $T(z_n)$ both converge to the same limit. To see this interlace the sequences as

$$y_1, z_1, y_2, z_2, y_3, z_3, \dots$$

which evidently converges and the so the corresponding sequence

$$T(y_1), T(z_1), T(y_2), T(z_2), \dots$$

also converges and so its subsequences all converge to the same limit. Thus $T(x)$ is unambiguously defined. The continuity of the extension is an exercise.

Fourier transform as an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ Using the above result we see that the Fourier transform which hitherto is defined as an operator from \mathcal{S} onto itself extends as a continuous linear map from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ onto itself and satisfies the estimate

$$\|f\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\widehat{f}\|_2, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \quad (4.13)$$

We now introduce the notion of convolution of two functions:

Definition (Convolution of two functions): Suppose f and g are two absolutely integrable functions on \mathbb{R} their convolution $f * g$ is the function defined by

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y)g(x - y)dy.$$

Exercises: Check that $f * g = g * f$ and that $f * g$ is absolutely integrable.

Theorem (The convolution theorem): Suppose $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are both in \mathcal{S} then so is their convolution $(f * g)(t)$. Further

$$\widehat{f * g}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi). \quad (4.14)$$

We shall not prove that the convolution is in \mathcal{S} . Observe the analogy with the corresponding result for Laplace transforms that you may have seen in undergraduate courses on ordinary differential equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f * g}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix\xi} (f * g)(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix\xi} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y)g(x - y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix\xi} g(x - y) dx \end{aligned}$$

Put $x - y = z$ in the inner integral and we get

$$\widehat{f * g}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i(y+z)\xi} g(z) dz = \widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi).$$

The Heat equation again. The heat kernel. Let us now solve the initial value problem for the heat equation in the half-plane

$$u_t - u_{xx} = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = f(x).$$

Let us assume to begin with $f(x) \in \mathcal{S}$ and compute the Fourier transform with respect to x :

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\widehat{u}(\xi, t)) + \xi^2 \widehat{u} = 0, \quad \widehat{u}(\xi, 0) = \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

This is an ODE in \widehat{u} where ξ is regarded as a parameter.

$$\widehat{u}(\xi, t) = C \exp(-t\xi^2)$$

Putting in $t = 0$ we see that $C = \widehat{f}(\xi)$. Thus

$$\widehat{u}(\xi, t) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \exp(-t\xi^2)$$