

**A Basic Course in Number Theory**  
**Professor Shripad Garge**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay**  
**Lecture 18**  
**Chinese Remainder Theorem, the General Case and Examples**

Welcome back. We are looking at proof of the Chinese Remainder Theorem. We have done two baby cases  $k$  equal to 2 and  $k$  equal to 3. These two cases were easy, but they tell us how we can do the general cases. So,  $k$  equal to 2 case just to go through that orally very quickly was that we first solve for two systems of solutions  $x_1$  congruent to 1 mod  $n_1$  and 0 mod  $n_2$  and  $x_2$  congruent to 0 mod  $n_1$  and 1 mod  $n_2$ .

So the  $x_1$  which was 0 mod  $n_2$  directly gave you that  $x_1$  has to be a multiple of  $n_2$  and so we write it as  $n_2 k_1$  and the first part of that system of simultaneous linear congruences would then ask you for solving for this  $k_1$ . So we will then have a system  $n_2 k_1$  congruent to 1 mod  $n_1$  and we are solving for  $k_1$  which is guaranteed because  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are co-prime. Similarly, you solve for  $x_2$  because  $x_2$  is multiple of  $n_1$ .

So  $x_2$  will be  $n_1 k_2$  and then we are asking for  $n_1 k_2$  congruent to 1 mod  $n_2$ . So you can solve for  $k_2$  also and once you have solutions to these very special cases of simultaneous congruences you can solve the general case by taking linear combinations of these two. We have a similar situation when we had the case for  $k$  equal to 3,  $x_1$  was the system which was 1 mod  $n_1$  and 0 mod all others  $n_2$  and  $n_3$ . So  $x_1$  was a multiple of  $n_2 n_3$ .

So we wrote  $x_1$  as  $n_2 n_3 k_1$  and we called that  $n_2 n_3$  has  $C_1$  and then  $C_1 n_1$  are co-prime because  $n_1$  had no common factor with  $n_2$  neither did it have a common factor with  $n_3$ . So,  $n_1$  would have no common prime factor with the product  $n_2 n_3$ . So  $C_1$  and  $n_1$  are co-prime and therefore  $C_1 k_1$  congruent to 1 mod  $n_1$  has a solution. Similarly,  $x_2$  was the special system where you had 1 mod  $n_2$  and 0 mod  $n_1$ , 0 mod  $n_3$ .

So,  $x_2$  should be a multiple of  $n_1 n_3$  and then you solve for  $k_2$  because  $n_2 n_3$  the product which we call  $n_1 n_3$  the product which we call  $C_2$  is co-prime with  $n_2$  and then similarly we do  $x_3$  and so on. So we are going to do the general case now. Let me just remind you with the statement of the theorem. We have  $n_1 n_2 \dots n_k$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 03:09)

### Chinese Remainder Theorem:

Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $(n_i, n_j) = 1$  for each  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the system

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{n_1}, x \equiv a_2 \pmod{n_2}, \dots, x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k}$$

has a unique solution modulo  $n = n_1 n_2 \cdots n_k$ .

This is the case that we are now going to prove. We have a  $k$  tuple of natural numbers consisting of pair wise co-prime integers. We have another  $k$  tuple of natural numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$  and we are asking for the solution to the system  $x$  congruent to  $a_1 \pmod{n_1}, a_2 \pmod{n_2}, \dots, a_k \pmod{n_k}$ . The uniqueness part will be seen later. So now we are going to prove this general case.

(Refer Slide Time: 03:37)

**Proof of the CRT:** We now solve the special system for each  $i, i=1, 2, \dots, k$ ,

$$x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i}, x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{n_j} \text{ whenever } i \neq j.$$

$$\text{Define } c_i = n_1 n_2 \cdots \hat{n}_i \cdots n_k = \frac{n_1 \cdots n_k}{n_i} \\ = n_1 n_2 \cdots n_{i-1} n_{i+1} \cdots n_k$$

Observe that since  $(n_i, n_j) = 1$  for each  $j \neq i$ ,

### Proof of the CRT (Contd.):

$$(C_i, n_i) = 1.$$

$$\underline{x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i}, \quad x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{n_j} \text{ for each } j \neq i.}$$

$\swarrow \quad \searrow$   
 $C_i k_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i} \quad x_i = C_i k_i$

This has a solution since  $(C_i, n_i) = 1$ .  
 Thus, each  $x_i$  can be found.

So we now prove or we now solve the special system for each  $i$ ,  $i$  going from 1, 2, up to  $k$  and the special system is  $x_i$  congruent to 1 mod  $n_i$  and  $x_i$  congruent to 0 mod  $n_j$  whenever  $i$  not equal to  $j$ . So this was the system which we had in the last case, the case  $k$  equal to 3 where we had  $x_1$  which was 1 mod  $n_1$ , 0 mod  $n_2$ , 0 mod  $n_3$ ,  $x_2$  which was 1 mod  $n_2$ , 0 mod  $n_1$ , 0 mod  $n_3$  and  $x_3$  which was 1 mod  $n_3$ , but 0 mod  $n_1$ , 0 mod  $n_2$ .

So similarly here we have this  $x_i$  which is 1 mod  $n_i$  and 0 mod  $n_j$  whenever  $i$  is not equal to  $j$ . So if we define  $C_i$  to be  $n_1, n_2 \text{ dot, dot, dot } n_i \text{ hat dot, dot, dot } n_k$ . So here the hat means we are omitting this particular number, so what we are doing is that we are taking the product  $n_1 n_2 n_k$  and dividing by  $n_i$  okay or to make it more precise we are looking at the product  $n_1 n_2 n_i \text{ minus } 1, n_i \text{ plus } 1 \text{ up to } n_k$ .

We are looking at this particular product and now we observe that since  $n_i$  with  $n_j$  is 1 for each  $j$  not equal to  $i$ . So  $C_i$  and  $n_i$  are coprime and let us again recall what we were looking at for  $x_i$ ,  $x_i$  we wanted to be 1 mod  $n_i$  and  $x_i$  was 0 mod  $n_j$  for each  $j$  not equal to  $i$ . So this condition tells us that this  $x_i$  is a multiple of  $C_i$  because each  $n_j$  wherever  $j$  is not equal to  $i$  would divide  $x_i$  again for each  $j$  not equal to  $i$  and therefore the product  $n_j$  where  $j$  not equal to  $i$  divides  $x_i$ , but this is simply  $C_i$  by our definition of  $C_i$ .

So if we have that  $x_i$  can be written as  $C_i$  times some integer  $k_i$  and now we need to solve for this. So, we have  $C_i k_i$  congruent to 1 mod  $n_i$ , but this has a solution since  $C_i$  and  $n_i$  are coprime. So thus each  $x_i$  can be found.

(Refer Slide Time: 08:03)

### Proof of the CRT (Contd.):

Now the general solution is

$$x = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_k x_k.$$

If we go mod  $n_i$  then  $x_j \equiv 0 \pmod{n_i}$  for  $j \neq i$

$$\underline{x \equiv a_i x_i \equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}.$$

And now the general solution is  $x$  equal to  $a_1 x_1$  plus  $a_2 x_2$  plus dot, dot, dot  $a_k x_k$ . So, if you were to look at it modulo any particular  $n_i$  so mod  $n_i$  if we go then  $x$  is congruent to remember mod  $n_i$  each of the  $x_j$  where  $j$  is not equal to  $i$  is going to give you 0. So the only thing which survives in this is  $a_i x_i$  which is congruent to  $a_i$  because  $x_i$  is now 1 mod  $n_i$ . So this is our solution to the general system of the simultaneous linear congruences.

So once again we solved very special systems which were giving 1 mod a particular  $n_i$  and 0 mod all other  $n_j$ s. Then the solution will be multiple of the product of these  $n_j$ s and using the property that since each of the  $n_j$  is coprime to  $n_i$  the product of  $n_j$  is also coprime to  $n_i$ , we have a solution to that particular special system of simultaneous congruences and then we have a general solution.

So now that we have proved the existence of a solution we go towards proving the uniqueness. What is the meaning of uniqueness before that let me write what we have done and what we are yet to do.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:27)

### Proof of the CRT (Contd.):

Thus, we have proved the existence of a solution and now we go towards proving the uniqueness of this solution modulo  $n = n_1 \cdots n_k$ .

To prove  $\exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  
 $\alpha \equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}$  and  $\beta \equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}$ ,  
then  $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{n}$ .

So, thus we have proved the existence of a solution and now we go towards proving the uniqueness of this solution modulo  $n$  which is the product of this  $k$  natural numbers. So what is the meaning to say that we are looking at uniqueness? It means that if you have two solutions. Suppose I have a natural number  $\alpha$  which satisfies that simultaneous system of linear congruences.

So I would have  $\alpha$  congruent to  $a_1 \pmod{n_1}$ ,  $\alpha$  congruent to  $a_2 \pmod{n_2}$  so on up to  $\alpha$  congruent to  $a_k \pmod{n_k}$  and suppose we have one more natural number  $\beta$  satisfying the same then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  should be congruent to each other modulo  $n$  this is what we want to prove. So, let us write it if  $\alpha, \beta$  they exist in let say natural integers meaning why only natural numbers.

Such that  $\alpha$  congruent to  $a_i \pmod{n_i}$  and  $\beta$  also congruent to  $a_i \pmod{n_i}$  then  $\alpha$  is congruent to  $\beta \pmod{n}$ . This is the statement that we are to prove, this is the statement that we want to prove. So, let us see how one can prove such a statement.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:57)

### Proof of the CRT (Contd.):

$$\alpha - \beta \equiv a_i - a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{n_i}$$


$$n_i \mid \alpha - \beta \text{ for all } i.$$

We also have that  $n_i$  are pairwise coprime therefore  $\prod n_i \mid \alpha - \beta \Rightarrow n \mid \alpha - \beta$   
or  $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{n}$ .

So since we want to show that alpha and beta are congruent modulo n we must look at alpha minus beta and we have that alpha is congruent to  $a_i$  modulo  $n_i$  and beta is also congruent to  $a_i$  modulo  $n_i$ . So mod each  $n_i$  we are going to get that alpha minus beta is 0 and this is to say that each of the  $n_i$  is going to divide the product alpha minus beta, but if  $n_i$  divides alpha minus beta and we also have that  $n_i$  are pair wise coprime.

Therefore, the product  $n_i$  is going to divide alpha minus beta which says that n divides alpha minus beta or that we have alpha congruent to beta modulo n. So, let me make some comments about this particular statement that when you have some set of co-prime elements divide an element then the whole product goes and divides it. So, how do we think about this? So let me state that here and prove it for you.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:38)

**Proof of the CRT (Contd.):** We now prove that  
if  $\underline{n_i} \mid \gamma$  for each  $i$  then  $\prod n_i \mid \gamma$  since  $(n_i, n_j) = 1$   
 $\neq i \neq j$ .  
 $n_1 \mid \gamma \Rightarrow \gamma = n_1 m_1$ ,  $\underline{n_2} \mid \gamma = n_1 m_1$ , so  $n_2 \mid m_1$   
and hence  $n_1 n_2 \mid \gamma$ , and then the proof follows  
by induction. 

So we now prove that if  $n_i$  divide  $\gamma$  for each  $i$  then product  $n_i$  divides  $\gamma$  since  $n_i$   $n_j$  is 1 or all  $i$  not equal to  $j$ . Okay so this tells us that first of all you have  $n_1$  dividing  $\gamma$  and therefore  $\gamma$  can be written as  $n_1$  into let say  $m_1$ . Once you have written  $\gamma$  as  $n_1 m_1$  we consider the case that  $n_2$  divides  $\gamma$ .

Once you have  $n_2$  dividing  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma$  is  $n_1 m_1$ . Now each prime factor of  $n_2$  will have to divide  $m_1$  because  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are pair wise co-prime. So all the prime factors of  $n_2$  will divide  $m_1$  with the same power so  $n_2$  will divide  $m_1$  and hence the product  $n_1 n_2$  divides  $\gamma$  and then by induction then the proof follows by induction.

So the only thing to note here is that we have the fundamental theorem of arithmetic which guarantees that the primes occurring in a factorization are unique with the powers also being uniquely determined by the integer  $n$  that is the only thing which will give us all these solutions. So what we have now done is we have completed the proof of the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

We proved the existence of solution by proving the existence of solution for a very special case of simultaneous congruences and then we also have proved the uniqueness modulo  $n$ . So after having proved this impressive theorem the next thing to do is to try our hand at some problems. So we look at this particular problem to begin with.

(Refer Slide Time: 17:15)

**Example:**

1. Solve the system

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}.$$

$$(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (4, 3, 5),$$

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (1, 2, 3).$$

$$C_1 = 15, C_2 = 20, C_3 = 12$$

We solve for  $c_i k_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i}$ .

This systems says that we want to solve for x congruent 1 mod 4, x congruent to 2 mod 3 and x congruent to 3 mod 5. So, here our a1 is 1. Let us compute, let us write all our tuples so n1, n2, n3 are 4, 3, and 5 in that order and clearly we have that they are all pair wise co-prime then we have a1, a2, a3. So, these are 1, 2, and 3 and then if you remember the proof that we had done we had actually looked at C1, C2, C3. So C1 was n2 n3.

Therefore, this is 15, C2 was n1 n3. So that is here 20 and C3 was n1 n2 so that product is 4 into 3 which is 12 and then once again we observe that 4 and 15 are co-prime, 3 and 20 are co-prime and 5 and 12 are co-prime. So we construct three systems from this where we are asking for inverse of each of these Ci modulo ni. So we solve for Ci ki congruent to 1 mod ni. This is the system that we are going to now solve first. So 15, 20 and 12 modulo 4, 3 and 5.



(Refer Slide Time: 19:05)

**Example:**

$$1. x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}.$$

$$15 k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \quad k_1 = 3, \text{ so } x_1 = 45.$$

$$20 k_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \quad k_2 = 2, \text{ so } x_2 = 40.$$

$$12 k_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}, \quad k_3 = 3, \text{ so } x_3 = 36.$$

So the first one is the product of these two so  $15 k_1$  congruent to  $1 \pmod{4}$  and then we see this quite easily that here  $k_1$  has to be 3. So,  $x_1$  equal to 45. After that we look at 4 into 5 which is  $20 k_2$  congruent to  $1 \pmod{3}$  which will give us so remember 20 itself is congruent to  $2 \pmod{3}$ . So if I multiply 20 by 2 I get 40 which is congruent to  $1 \pmod{3}$ . So  $k_2$  is 2 and  $x_2$  is 40 and similarly we solve for  $x_3$  by asking for  $12 k_3$  congruent to  $1 \pmod{5}$  and then we observed that  $k_3$  is 3.

So we have  $x_3$  equal to 36. We can quickly observe that each of these have the required properties that 45 is divisible by 5 and 3 and is congruent to  $1 \pmod{4}$ . Similarly 40 is divisible by 5 and 4, but is congruent to  $1 \pmod{3}$  and 36 is divisible by 4 and 3 and is congruent to  $1 \pmod{5}$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 21:04)

**Example:**

$$1. x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}.$$

$$(45, 40, 36) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

$$x = 45 + 80 + 108 = 233$$

We go modulo  $n=60$

$$\text{Thus, } \boxed{x \equiv 53 \pmod{60}}$$

So let me write it here once again we have 45, 40, and 36. These are the values of  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  and to compute the final answer we have to simply multiply the  $x_i$  by  $a_i$  and compute the final answer. So  $x$  is 1 into 45 that is simply 45 plus 2 into 40 that is 80 plus 3 into 36 which gives you 108. So, we have 5 plus 8 gives you 13 so you have 1 coming out and then 8 plus 4 is 12 plus 1 gives you 13 so you have 3 and 1 more coming out.

And then finally you have 233, but we should also go modulo the product of the 3 moduli which we have here, so 4 into 3 into 5 which is 12 into 5 which is 60 and thus our answer is  $x$  is congruent to 53 mod 60. So I will just write 60 here and we can easily check that when we go modulo this is what we always tell all the school students that once you have computed the answer you should check it again.

So when you go modulo 4 for 53 you see that 52 goes out and what is remaining is 1. When you go mod 3 you see that 51 is subtracted and what is left is 2 and when you go modulo 5 then from 53 you subtract 50 and what you are left with is 3. So the answer is indeed 53 mod 60. Let us do one more problem before we finish this lecture.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:02)

**Example:**

2. Solve the system

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}, x \equiv 7 \pmod{9}, x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.$$

$$(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (7, 9, 4)$$

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (2, 7, 3)$$

$$(C_1, C_2, C_3) = (36, 28, 63)$$

$$x_i = C_i \cdot \underline{k_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i}.$$

So this problem is similar. We want to solve this system of the simultaneous linear congruences and I will now be slightly fast because you know the method already. So you will write  $n_1, n_2,$  and  $n_3$  in the order 7, 9, and 4 and we have  $a_1, a_2,$  and  $a_3$  which are nothing but 2, 7, and 3 and then finally we need to compute  $C_1, C_2, C_3$ . So, remember once again  $C_1$  is the product of  $n_2 n_3$  so this is now 36.

$C_2$  is the product of  $n_1$  and  $n_3$  which is 7 into 4 so that is 28 and  $C_3$  is  $n_1 n_2$  which is the number 63. So, now we want to solve for  $x_i$  to be  $C_i k_i$  congruent to 1 mod  $n_i$ . This is the solution. So, we need to solve for the  $k$ . So, we go to the next slide, but remember we have 36, 28 and 63 as the  $C_i$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 24:27)

**Example:**

$$2. x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}, x \equiv 7 \pmod{9}, x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.$$

$$(C_1, C_2, C_3) = (36, 28, 63)$$

$$k_1 = 1$$

$$1 \pmod{7}, 1 \cdot k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}, k_1 = 1$$

$$k_2 = 1$$

$$\pmod{9}, k_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$$

$$k_3 = 3$$

$$63 k_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, 3 k_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

So  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ , and  $C_3$  are 36, 28, and 63 and I want to solve for  $k_1$ . So  $k_1$  should give me the property that  $36 k_1$  should be  $1 \pmod{7}$ , but 36 is already 1. So we have that this is 1 into  $k_1$  congruent to 1 modulo 7 and therefore  $k_1$  is 1. So, I get the final answer is that  $k_1$  is 1. I will solve similarly for  $k_2$  which should have the property that  $28 k_2$  should be congruent to 1 modulo  $n_2$  which is 9, but 28 already is  $1 \pmod{9}$ .

So this gives me  $k_2$  congruent to  $1 \pmod{9}$  and therefore  $k_2$  is 1. So we get  $k_2$  also to be equal to 1. We should now solve for  $k_3$  with the property that  $63 k_3$  is congruent to  $1 \pmod{4}$ , but 63 is 3 so we should solve for  $3 k_3$  congruent to  $1 \pmod{4}$ , but  $3 k_3$ , the  $k_3$  should be equal to 3 because 3 into 3 is 9 which is  $1 \pmod{4}$  so  $k_3$  has to be equal to 3. So these are the values which we obtain for  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$  and from these we should now obtain the  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ . Remember  $x_1$  is  $C_1 k_1$ ,  $x_2$  is  $C_2 k_2$  and  $x_3$  is  $C_3 k_3$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 26:38)

**Example:**  
2.  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ ,  $x \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ ,  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

$(C_1, C_2, C_3) = (36, 28, 63)$

$k_1 = 1$	$x_1 = 36$
$k_2 = 1$	$x_2 = 28$
$k_3 = 3$	$x_3 = 189$

So  $x_1$  is 36 into 1 therefore this is 36,  $x_2$  is 28 into 1 so that is simply 28 and  $x_3$  is going to be 63 into 3 so I hope you have your multiplication tables with you it gives you the number 189. So 36, 28, and 189 these are the  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 27:09)

**Example:**  
2.  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ ,  $x \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ ,  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

$(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (36, 28, 189)$

$x = \sum a_i x_i$

$= 72 + 196 + 567$

$= 835$  modulo 252

$\begin{array}{r} 835 \\ - 756 \\ \hline 79 \end{array}$   $79 \pmod{252}$

36, 28 and 189 this is  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  and now what we should do to compute the final answer is to take  $x$  to be summation  $a_i x_i$ . So I will multiply to 36 by 2 I get 72 then to 28 I multiply by 7 so 28 into 7, 28 is already 7 into 4, so that gives us 49 into 4 and 49 into 4 is 200 minus 4 because 50 into 4 is 200 so we get it to be 196 plus 189 into 3. So this is the product that we have to do in our head.

9 into 3 gives us 27 so we have 7 and then there are 2 left and 18 into 3 are 54 and we add those two to get 567. So the final answer here is 7 plus 6 is 13 plus 2 is 15, so we write 5 and 1 is left, 6 plus 1 is 7 plus 9 is 16 plus 7 is 23 so we have 3 and then there are 2. So 5 plus 2 is 7 and 1 8. 835 this is the answer, but we have to go modulo the LCM which is 9 into 7, 63 into 4, 63 into 4 is 252.

So, we need to subtract multiples of 252 from this number. We multiply 252 by 3 to get 756 after subtracting this from this we get 5 makes 15 minus 6 is 9, you have 1 here 383 minus 76. This becomes 7. So the answer is  $79 \pmod{252}$ . Let us just verify quickly that 79 is indeed the answer. So, when you go modulo 7 to 79, 11 into 7 are 77 and what we are left with is 2. When you go modulo 9 you will remove 72 what is left is 7.

And when you go modulo 4 of course 76 are removed and what is left is 3. So, we have successfully applied the Chinese Remainder Theorem to apply these two systems of linear congruences. What we are going to do in the next lecture is to look at some more complicated systems and try to use Chinese Remainder Theorem by doing some modifications to the system. So I hope to see you in the next lecture. Thank you.