

Basic Linear Algebra
Prof. Inder K. Rana
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology – Bombay

Lecture - 33
Diagonalization and Real Symmetric Matrices - III

(Refer Slide Time: 00:26)

The slide is titled "Eigenvalues of Real symmetric matrices". It contains the following text:

Theorem
Let A be a $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix. Then, A has n real eigenvalues (with real eigenvectors).

Proof:
We first observe that we can treat A as a matrix with entries being complex numbers.
Then, its characteristic polynomial $\det(A - zI) = 0$ has n roots in \mathbb{C} , and each root is an eigenvalue for A .
Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be any eigenvalue and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be an eigenvector for A as a matrix over \mathbb{C} .
We show that λ is in fact real and is an eigenvalue for A .

The slide also features logos for NPTEL and GDEEP IIT Bombay at the bottom.

Now the problem comes, we are all along lucky here, in the earlier example we saw that eigenvalue $\lambda=1$, the nullity was 1, so you could not find eigenvectors that was not diagonalizing. There is a class on matrices where everything goes very nicely and they are called the real symmetric matrices. So the theorem says if A is $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix, then A has n real eigenvalues.

See what are eigenvalues? That the roots of the characteristic polynomial right. Even if A is a real matrix, the characteristic polynomial will be a polynomial with the real coefficients. So it may not have as many real roots as is the degree but there will as many roots as the degree, they maybe complex right. So fundamental theorem of algebra says given a polynomial either real or complex does not matter what coefficients, it will have as many roots as the degree.

But some of the roots maybe complex roots and we are given a matrix which is real, so we are interested only in the real roots. So the characteristic polynomial of a real matrix may not have real roots at all, that is also possible. It may have real roots right but not all of them

roots may be real, some roots may be complex right but here is a special type of matrices which are called the real symmetric matrices.

It says if A is a real symmetric matrix that means a matrix with real entries and what is the symmetric matrix? When to say matrix is symmetric? If $A = A^T$, so if it has that property then it says the theorem says for a real symmetric matrix it will have n eigenvalues that means the characteristic polynomial will have all roots real right. They may or may not be repeated, that is a different story.

They will have all and hence there are n eigenvalues that means there will be as many eigenvectors also, each eigenvalue will give you eigenvector right. So important thing is for a real symmetric matrix, all roots will be real okay. There will be as many eigenvalues as is the order of the matrix that is very important okay. So that is so how do you let us prove it. So we will start with the characteristic polynomial is a polynomial with real coefficients right.

So let us treat it as a polynomial with complex coefficients, the real number are part of complex numbers anyway right. So it will have roots which have probably complex and will say will show that each root of the characteristic polynomial has to be a real number right that is what we want to show. That means if λ is the root of the characteristic polynomial then $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ where $\bar{\lambda}$ is a complex conjugate.

If we show that then we are through, so for that so let us assume characteristic polynomial will have n roots right in complex numbers. So let us take any λ okay and see to be an eigenvalue and a vector u if the scalar λ is complex when you write $A - \lambda I$, it is not sure that you will get eigenvector with all real entries only right. If λ is complex, it will turn out to be complex.

If λ is real, then all row operations will give you only real only right. So if λ is assumed to be a complex number, so we cannot say that eigenvector will be real. So by at least eigenvector will be in C^n with entries as complex numbers. So let us start with that. That means A applied to λ is, A applied to u is $= \lambda * u$ right. So that is given too. So we will show that this λ is in fact the real eigenvalue.

So we have to show $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$, that is what is to be shown using the fact that λ is an eigenvalue. A applied to u is λu , only that fact is to be used. Now in complex numbers, how is the dot product we find? That is what is going to be important. In \mathbb{R}^n , the dot product is $\sum a_i b_i$, $A \cdot B$ if A has got components a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , B has got components b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n then what is $A \cdot B$?

That is the $\sum a_i b_i$ that is the complex then what is the definition of the dot product? Then just saying $\sum a_i b_i$ does not help right what you want has to do a modification is $\sum a_i \bar{b}_i$ bar you have to put, you have to take the product $\sum a_i \bar{b}_i$ because there are two reasons for that. One, when you take dot product of vector with itself you should get the magnitude, so if $B = A$ and their complex right then what is $A \cdot A$, will be $\sum a_i \bar{a}_i$ ($\sum |a_i|^2$) (06:03) mod of $|A|^2$ right.

So you get magnitude has to be real right, so if you do not put the bar you will get $\sum a_i a_i$ which is again a complex number. So $A \cdot A$ will be a complex number which does not make sense. Is it clear? That is why the definition of dot product in complex entries is defined as for a vector A with components a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n ; B with components b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n ; $A \cdot B$ is defined as $\sum a_i \bar{b}_i$ okay.

So that is the definition of the dot product and then it has all the properties that dot product has, so will be using that.

(Refer Slide Time: 06:47)

Eigenvalues of Real symmetric matrices

Writing \mathbf{u} as a column vector, we have $A\mathbf{u} = \lambda\mathbf{u}$. Recalling that in \mathbb{C}^n , the inner-product is given by

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = \bar{\mathbf{u}}^t \mathbf{u},$$

and for $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\langle A\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{X}, A^t \mathbf{Y} \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 &= \lambda \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = \langle \lambda \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \\ &= \langle A\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{u}, A^t \mathbf{u} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{u}, \lambda \mathbf{u} \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \|\mathbf{u}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ as $\mathbf{u} \neq 0$.
Thus, every eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix A is real.

NPTEL Prof. Inder K. Rana Department of Mathematics, IIT - Bombay CDEEP IIT BOMBAY

So here it is repeated again. So if you write u as a column vector, if you want to write in terms of matrix multiplication okay then the dot product $u \cdot u$ is nothing but $u^T u$ right, why transpose? Because you are writing as a column, so write it as a row vector $1 \times n \times n \times 1$ the product should be a scalar right. So that is why this is in terms of matrix multiplication and you see the bar coming here right.

So the dot product is $u^T u$ matrix multiplication of if you are writing u as a column vector because reinterpreting the dot product in terms of matrix multiplication when the entries are complex. That is the definition also okay. So using that will proceed, so it is easy to see that with this definition if you take dot product of Ax and y right that is same as the dot product x with $A^T y$.

You can take A but that becomes transpose in the other variable okay. So that is easy to see from this definition. So with this understanding let us proceed. λ is an eigenvalue with eigenvector as u , so let us compute λ times norm of u square. What is norm of u square? Dot product of u with itself okay. Now this λ because of linearity, I can bring it inside here dot product, so it is $\lambda u \cdot u$ right.

But λ is an eigenvalue, u is an eigenvector, so what is this first term? That is Au right and now this A I will take it on the other side okay, that will become as A^T , so $u^T A^T u$ times dot product with $A^T u$ but what is $A^T u$, A is symmetric, keep in mind, till now you have not used that the fact that A is symmetric, so what is A^T , that is A itself, so what is this term?

This is again $\lambda u \cdot u$ right, so that is again $\lambda u \cdot u$ but in the component dot product when this λ comes out from the second component, it comes out as a bar right. So this comes out as $\lambda u \cdot u$. So you get λ times magnitude of u square is $\lambda u \cdot u$ but u is an eigenvector, so it cannot be 0. So this magnitude cannot be 0, so you can cancel out both sides so $\lambda = \lambda^*$ right.

So it is a simple property of the dot product in complex numbers right. In \mathbb{C}^n , the dot product is not just $\sum A_i B_i$, it is $\sum A_i \overline{B_i}$ right, that only gives you that the property that in the second variable when you take λ comes out as λ^* , in the first variable it

remains as lambda. So those are the properties of the dot product for C^n okay, so that gives you lambda should be equal to lambda bar.

So simply using the fact that only we have used symmetric here right, $A^T = A$ this place we have used A is symmetric, otherwise it is just a definition of the dot product nothing more than that. So all the eigenvalues are real, so these are special class of matrices which says if A is real symmetric then be sure you are going to get as many eigenvalues as is the order of the matrix and all if the matrix is real you will get real eigenvalues right okay.

There is a corresponding real for complex also but will do it a bit later (10:38). If A is complex, what is the corresponding thing for saying A is symmetric? It is not just $A^T = A$ transpose, it is $A = \bar{A}^T$ transpose you put in there, so that is definition once you call it as Hermitian matrices, that is the definition of. So a Hermitian matrix if it is real, it is a symmetry matrix right and for that will see what are the results, we are bit later probably.

So for real symmetric all eigenvalues, so eigenvalues will exist because fundamental theorem of calculus says eigenvalue should exist right and this theorem says all eigenvalues have to be real, so $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix will positively have n real eigenvalues and hence n real eigenvectors also okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 11:39)

Real symmetric matrices

If λ is an eigenvalue of A , then the matrix $(A - \lambda I)$ is not invertible and hence there exists a vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{u} \neq 0$, such that

$$(A - \lambda I)\mathbf{u} = 0,$$

i.e., A has a real eigenvector. ■

NPTEL Prof. Inder K. Rana Department of Mathematics, IIT Bombay CDEEP IIT BOMBAY

And the corresponding eigenvector when you find that will be a real eigenvector because you will be solving that homogenous system applications right okay.

(Refer Slide Time: 11:45)



Eigenvectors of Real symmetric matrices

Theorem
 Let A be a real symmetric matrix and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ be distinct eigenvalues of A . Let $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonzero such that $A\mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then, $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ is an orthogonal set.

Proof:
 For $i \neq j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, since $A^t = A$, We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle &= \langle \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle \\ &= \langle A\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{u}_i, A^t \mathbf{u}_j \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{u}_i, A\mathbf{u}_j \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \rangle = \lambda_j \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $i \neq j$, we have $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ and hence $\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle = 0$.

Prof. Inder K. Rana Department of Mathematics, IIT - Bombay

There is actually something more which happens, so I think that also is simple, let us prove it today itself. So if A is real symmetric and there are distinct eigenvalues, we have already shown that for any matrix if the eigenvalues are distinct then the corresponding eigenvectors are linearly independent but now it says something more. If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_k$ are distinct, eigenvalues and pick up eigenvectors corresponding to them \mathbf{u}_i .

Then, they are not only independent, they form an orthogonal set, they are perpendicular also. So you get a special property that distinct eigenvalues give you eigenvectors which are linearly independent for any matrix but if a matrix is real symmetric then the eigenvectors are actually perpendicular to each other okay. So let us again the proof just realizes the fact that what is the dot product right.

So let us just look at. So take any two $i \neq j$ and we are given $A = A^t$. So let us look at $\lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j$. I am taking the dot product of \mathbf{u}_i with what is my aim? Aim is to show that dot product is $\neq 0$, if I want to show them they are perpendicular \mathbf{u}_i must be perpendicular to \mathbf{u}_j means dot product is $= 0$. So that is why I am taking $\mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}_j$ dot product multiplying with λ_i scalar λ_i corresponding eigenvalue, this λ_i I can take it inside.

So take it inside this $\lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i$ right but what is $\lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i$? It is eigenvalue, so this is A applied to right it is A applied to \mathbf{u}_i right. Now this A I will shift it to the other variable now, so I can shift it to other, it becomes A^t but symmetric, so what is this A^t ? That is A itself, again the same idea is used. So $A \mathbf{u}_j$ but what is $A \mathbf{u}_j$? That is $\lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j$ because it is an eigenvector again.

So it is λ_j and this λ_j comes out right, it is λ_j again. Why λ_j not λ_i because of real symmetry, there is real now right. We have already proved. So λ_i is an eigenvalue, so what does this imply? I can cancel out λ_i . I have already resumed right the distinct eigenvalues okay. So what does it imply? If i is not equal to j λ_i is a distinct right.

So if I take it on the other side, λ_i applied to this is λ_j applied to this, taken on one side what is it? $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$ applied to $u_i \cdot u_j = 0$ but they are all distinct right. So the $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$ cannot be 0 so what should happen? $u_i \cdot u_j$ dot product must be equal to 0 right. Is it clear? Because these are distinct λ_i is not equal to λ_j , I can take them other side and divide by that because that is not 0.

So I get $u_i = u_j$ that $i = j$ right. So we get that the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix if they are distinct eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenvectors are not only linearly independent actually they are orthogonal to each other right. So this will play a role later on because once supposing all the eigen for a real symmetric matrix and distinct eigenvalues exist right, will get eigenvectors corresponding to them, we know that.

See for a real symmetric matrix, eigenvalues will exist, they may be repeated but supposing you are lucky and you get distinct, all distinct right then the corresponding eigenvectors will be orthogonal to each other right. You will get as many, so you will get a basis consisting of eigenvectors which are orthogonal right and if you divide each one of them by their norm you get an orthonormal basis right.

So for an ordinary matrix if eigenvalues are distinct, you will get an invertible matrix right but if it is a real symmetric matrix and the eigenvalues are all distinct right where n eigenvalues which are all distinct, you will get a orthogonal matrix which will diagonalize it. So that is the advantage right. So I think will do that next time. Upshot of today's thing is how to find eigenvalues, how to find eigenvectors.

If you are lucky, distinct eigenvalues you will get a matrix which will diagonalize it and important that happens because eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are

linearly independent in general. When it is real symmetric, all eigenvalues will exist. There will be n real eigenvalues and eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues will be not only linearly independent, they will be actually orthogonal to each other right okay. So let us stop here today.