

Introduction to Operations Research
Prof. G. Srinivasan
Department of Management Studies
Indian Institute of Technology, Madras

Module – 05
Primal Dual Relationships
Lecture - 02
Dual solution from simplex table; economic interpretation of dual

In this class, we continue the discussion on the complimentary slackness and we, we try to explain how the simplex table is able to capture the complementary slackness. In the last class I gave an example where I said, we took two feasible solutions to the primal, one of which happened to be the optimum and I mentioned, that in the, for the same example in the solution that was not optimum, the corresponding dual became infeasible, whereas the one that was optimum, the corresponding dual was feasible from which we said that the solution is optimum.

Now, let us go back to the same example, revisit the simplex table for this problem and try to understand what the simplex algorithm is actually doing.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:09)

		v_1	v_2	Y_1	Y_2		
		10	9	0	0		
C_B	X_B	X_1	X_2	u_1	u_2	RHS	θ
0	u_1	3	3	1	0	21	7
0	u_2	4	3	0	1	24	6
	$C_j - Z_j$	10	9	0	0	0	
0	u_1	0	3/4	1	-3/4	3	4
10	X_1	1	3/4	0	1/4	6	8
	$C_j - Z_j$	0	3/2	0	-5/2	60	
9	X_2	0	1	4/3	-1	4	
10	X_1	1	0	-1	1	3	
	$C_j - Z_j$	0	0	-2	-1	66	

Maximize $10X_1 + 9X_2$
 Subject to
 $3X_1 + 3X_2 + u_1 = 21$
 $4X_1 + 3X_2 + u_2 = 24$
 $X_1, X_2, u_1, u_2 \geq 0$

Iteration 1: $X_1 = X_2 = 0$; $u_1 = 21$, $u_2 = 24$; $Y_1 = Y_2 = 0$; $v_1 = -10$, $v_2 = -9$;
 primal non optimal and dual infeasible

Iteration 2: $u_2 = X_2 = 0$; $X_1 = 6$, $u_1 = 3$; $Y_1 = v_1 = 0$; $v_2 = -3/2$, $Y_2 = 5/2$;
 primal non optimal and dual infeasible

Iteration 3: $u_1 = u_2 = 0$; $X_1 = 3$, $X_2 = 4$; $v_1 = v_2 = 0$; $Y_1 = 2$, $Y_2 = 1$;
 Primal and dual feasible – hence optimum

So, let us start with this. This is the simplex table. Instead of X_3 and X_4 , we have introduced u_1 and u_2 . So, you will have X_1 , X_2 , u_1 , u_2 as the variables and then, we

start with u_1 and u_2 and then, X_1 comes into the solution replacing u_2 and then, X_2 comes into the solution replacing u_1 . And we have the optimum solution with X_1 equal to 3, X_2 equal to 4 and objective function is equal to 66.

Let us now start doing, look at, looking at the simplex table also with the dual in mind. So, if we write the dual to this problem, initially let us assume, that you will be having inequalities, therefore there are two constraints. The dual will have two variables, Y_1 and Y_2 . The two constraints give us two slack variables, u_1 and u_2 , which are mapped to Y_1 and Y_2 and there are two variables in the primal, which will give rise to two constraints in the dual and they will have minus v_1 , minus v_2 , where v_1 , v_2 are the slack variables. So, the dual will have Y_1 , Y_2 and v_1 , v_2 .

Complimentary slackness also tells us, that there is a relationship between X and v and Y and u . So, $X v$ equal to 0, $Y u$ equal to 0. So, what we do now is, we just map the corresponding dual variable to the primal variable remembering, that the two primal variables X_1 , X_2 resulted in two dual constraints, which resulted in two dual slack variables. So, primal decision variable is mapped to the corresponding dual slack variable. X_1 is mapped to v_1 and so on. So, also u_1 , which is a primal slack is mapped to Y_1 , which is the dual variable.

Now, let us start doing this. The first simplex iteration is with C_j minus Z_j equal to 10, here C_j minus Z_j is equal to 9, here 0, 0 and we realize, that this 10 actually entered the solution. The ten entered the solution, we did 7 by 3 and 6 by 4 and then 6 by 4 actually left.

Now, let us try to understand what is actually happening here through, through this. So, the first iteration we had X_1 equal to 0, X_2 equal to 0. From the solution, u_1 is 21, u_2 is 24 implies X_1 and X_2 are non-basic. We can see that. X_1 and X_2 are non-basic, u_1 is 21, u_2 is 24.

Now, let us apply the complimentary slackness conditions from, for this solution. Now, if X_1 is equal to 0, then v_1 is in the solution. X_2 is equal to 0, v_2 is in the solution. u_1 and u_2 are in the solution in the primal, therefore Y_1 and Y_2 are 0. So, one way is to go back to the, write the dual and then try to solve the dual with v_1 and v_2 solving the dual for v_1 and v_2 keeping Y_1 , Y_2 is equal to 0. If we do that we will get v_1 is equal to minus 10 and v_2 is equal to minus 9. If we do this, we will get v_1 is equal to minus

10, v_2 is equal to minus 9. If we write the dual and put values, Y_1 and Y_2 equal to 0, but let us look at what the simplex table says.

Now, the simplex table shows a 10 here and a 9 here. Now, the negative of the C_j minus Z_j seem to show the corresponding dual solution. Now, if we realize this, if you see u_1 is in the solution in the primal. So, Y_1 has to be equal to 0. We have now mapped Y_1 alongside u_1 , go down, here you get a 0 here, you get a 0 here, this is the Y_1 solution. Similarly, u_2 is in the solution in the primal by complimentary slackness, Y_2 , u_2 is 0. Therefore, Y_2 has to be 0. Now, Y_2 is mapped to u_2 . So, this is the value for Y_2 ; Y_2 is 0. That is precisely why the C_j minus Z_j for every basic variable has to be 0 because when that variable is basic, the corresponding variable in the dual will be non-basic and it will take the value 0.

Now, we realize X_1 is equal to 0, non-basic in the primal. Its corresponding dual variable v_1 is actually basic and has a value minus 10. So, negative of the C_j minus Z_j is able to show the dual solution. Similarly, X_2 is non-basic in this solution. X_2 is 0. So, X_2 , v_2 is 0. We realize v_2 is minus 9, which is this, which we would have obtained otherwise by applying complimentary slackness. So, right here in the very first iteration we are actually solving a feasible solution to the primal with u_1 equal to 21, u_2 equal to 24. This is not only infeasible, but it is basic feasible and through the C_j minus Z_j values we are actually evaluating the dual solution.

Now, the dual solution is Y_1 equal to 0, Y_2 equal to 0, v_1 is equal to minus 10, v_2 is equal to minus 9. Now, v_1 , v_2 are infeasible. So, we look at the C_j minus Z_j and enter that variable with a positive C_j minus Z_j .

Now, let us say we do one more simplex iteration after which we get X_1 equal to 6, u_1 equal to 3 with Z equal to 60. Now, let us see what happens to that. Now, our 2nd iteration is X_1 equal to 6, X_1 equal to 6, u_1 equal to 3. So, when I substitute X_1 equal to 6, u_1 equal to 3, X_1 equal to 6 here will give u_2 equal to 0. X_1 equal to 6 and u_1 equal to 3 will also give me X_2 equal to 0 and it is fairly obvious, that u_1 , X_1 are in the solution, u_2 , X_2 are 0. They are non-basic with 0. So, this is the primal feasible solution, primal basic feasible solution.

Now, let us apply complimentary slackness. When u_1 is in the solution, Y_1 has to be 0. So, Y_1 is mapped to u_1 , you will realize Y_1 is 0. The C_j minus Z_j of a basic variable

is 0. X_1 is in the solution, therefore v_1 has to be 0. Therefore, you see, under X_1 , v_1 is mapped, v_2 is v_1 is 0. Now, if we apply the complimentary slackness with Y_1 and v_1 to 0 and we write the dual and we solve for Y_2 and u_2 , we will get Y_2 is equal to 5 by 2, v_2 is equal to minus 3 by 2. So, go back and see, that 5 by 2 is shown as minus 5 by 2 here, as I said, negative of the C_j minus Z_j . And this 3 by 2 is shown as the minus 3 by 2 is shown as 3 by 2, which is the negative value.

So, again what we do in this iteration is, we are looking at another feasible solution to the primal with X_1 equal to 6, u_1 equal to 3 and we are evaluating the corresponding dual solution. The corresponding dual solution is still infeasible because Y_2 is positive, but v_2 is negative, negative of the C_j minus Z_j . So, v_2 is negative, v_2 is negative, therefore it is infeasible and therefore, we look at C_j minus Z_j . Again, this variable comes into the solution. We now realize, that this variable is coming into the solution with a positive C_j minus Z_j and then we do one more iteration and finally, get X_1 equal to 3, X_2 equal to 4. When X_1 equal to 3, X_2 equal to 4, automatically u_1 , u_2 are 0 and you realize, that u_1 , u_2 are 0.

So, X_1 equal to 3 implies v_1 is equal to 0. So, v_1 is equal to 0. X_2 equal to 4 implies v_2 is equal to 0. And when we put v_1 equal to 0, v_2 equal to 0 into the dual and we actually solve, we will get Y_1 equal to 2, Y_2 equal to 1, negative of the C_j minus Z_j . So, Y_1 equal to 2, Y_2 equal to 1 is actually feasible to the dual and therefore, it is optimum with 66. Now, you realize that is shown here. So, in the iteration three, you realize Y_1 equal to 2, Y_2 equal to 1, it is optimum. So, negative of the C_j minus Z_j is actually the value of the dual and under u_1 , u_2 we will be able to see the value of Y_1 Y_2 with a negative sign. So, 2 and 1 is the solution.

So, what does simplex algorithm do? There are two ways of looking at the simplex algorithm. The first way is, simplex looks at a basic feasible solution to the primal, evaluates C_j minus Z_j as a way to check whether the objective function can be further increased. So, with 10, 9, 0, 0, it can be further increased with 0, 3 by 2, 0, minus 5 by 2. it can be further increased, but with 0, 0, minus 2, minus 1. It cannot be further increased, therefore the optimum is reached. That is one way of looking at the simplex algorithm.

The other way of looking at the simplex algorithm is, I evaluate a basic feasible solution to the primal through the C_j minus Z_j and the negative values of the C_j minus Z_j , we

look at minus 10 and minus 9 as the dual solution. Dual is infeasible. So, when the dual is infeasible and you can see, that the dual slacks are 10 and 9, which means, there is a gap in the dual solution.

For example, the first solution would be from the dual, it will be $3Y_1$ plus $4Y_2$ greater than or equal to 10. So, Y_1 , Y_2 are 0. Therefore, v_1 becomes minus 10. So, there is a gap between the left hand side and the right hand side of the dual constraint. When a slack variable takes a negative value, v_1 takes a negative value, v has to be greater than or equal to 0. But if v_1 takes a negative value, one of the constraints is violated and there is a gap. Now, here both the constraints are violated.

Now, we try to make the dual feasible by bridging the gap. Now, this is the variable that has the most negative value. v_2 is minus 10 where the gap is large and the violation is large, which has resulted in a positive C_j minus Z_j of 10. So, entering a positive C_j minus Z_j is the same as making an infeasible constraint feasible, making an infeasible dual constraint feasible. So, X_1 comes into the solution so that this v_1 that is negative will try to become 0 in the next iteration. So, primal non-optimality, one way of saying a positive C_j minus Z_j indicates non-optimality of the primal. So, most positive put it in.

The other way is to say, a positive C_j minus Z_j indicates infeasibility of the dual because the negative of the C_j minus Z_j is the dual. So, here there are positive C_j minus Z_j 's indicating non-optimality. Here, there are positive C_j minus Z_j 's for the X_2 indicating non-optimality. Here there is no positive C_j minus Z_j , therefore optimum.

Now, same thing is told differently. Here, the dual is infeasible. So, bridge the gap by pushing the one that has the largest gap. Here, the dual is infeasible, bridge the gap by pushing v_2 into the solution so that v_2 from a negative value will become 0. Now, here the dual is feasible, therefore it is optimum. So, simplex does the same thing. In a, in a, in a singled solution it actually solves the primal as well as the dual to a given linear programming problem and that can best understood if we look at complimentary slackness conditions.

Now, let us apply, let us look at some more aspects of the primal and the dual.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:55)

Economic Interpretation of the dual	
Maximize $10X_1 + 9X_2$	Minimize $21Y_1 + 24Y_2$
Subject to	Subject to
$3X_1 + 3X_2 + u_1 = 21$	$3Y_1 + 4Y_2 - v_1 = 10$
$4X_1 + 3X_2 + u_2 = 24$	$3Y_1 + 3Y_2 - v_2 = 9$
$X_1, X_2, u_1, u_2 \geq 0$	$Y_1, Y_2, v_1, v_2 \geq 0$
Optimum	Optimum
$X_1 = 3, X_2 = 4, Z = 66$	$Y_1 = 2, Y_2 = 1, W = 66$
$u_1 = 0, u_2 = 0$	$v_1 = 0, v_2 = 0$
Dual is the worth of the resource at optimum	From Primal Revenue = 66 From dual: worth of resources At optimum = $2 \times 21 + 1 \times 24 = 66$

Now, we start something called the economic interpretation of the dual. Now, what is the meaning of the dual? Does it have a meaning? How is it related to the primal? Is there an economic angle? Is there a money angle, a monetary angle to looking at the dual? So, let us start the economic interpretation of the dual in this class and continue as we move along. So, again we use the same example to illustrate so that we can have a better understanding of linear programming because we have seen this example so many times already and we will continue to see it for some more time. We can understand a lot more things by keeping the same example.

So, we know that primal, we know the dual already, we know the optimum solution X_1 equal to 3, X_2 equal to 4, Z equal to 66, u_1 equal to 0, u_2 equal to 0, y_1 equal to 2, y_2 equal to 1, etcetera. Now, what, what is the first thing we understand? Now, from the primal, the revenue is 66; the revenue is 66. If you look at it, this is the problem with which we started. So, we made two products, there are two resources, these are the revenues associated with selling the product 10 and 9 and finally, we solved to say, make three of the first product and make four of the second product, two types of sweets. So, make three of the first product and four of the second product to get a revenue of 66.

Now, what does the dual tell me? The dual says, y_1 is equal to 2, y_2 equal to 1 with W equal to 66. Now, this W equal to 66 comes from $2 \times 21 + 1 \times 24$ is 66, is one way of looking at it. The other way is $2 \times 21 + 1 \times 24$ is 66. The right hand

side of the primal is the objective function of the dual. So, before explaining what Y_1 and Y_2 are, we also understand, that if Y_1 and Y_2 represent something 21 and 24 are the resources with which we started the problem. And if Y_1 and Y_2 represent something $21Y_1$ plus $24Y_2$ is equal to 66 which is the revenue that we got by selling the products and making this 66.

So, the first understanding is, if 21 into something plus 24 into something is also equal to 66, then this Y_1 and Y_2 have something to do with 21 and 24. It has to do with the resources and we now say, that the work of the resources is 66 at the optimum. It is also to say, that if I have 21 units of the first resource and 24 units of the second resource as resources and then I transform them into products and make three of the first product and four of the second product and sell the products to realize the revenue of 66. It means, the actual worth of the two resources, which is 21 and 24 units of the resource, the worth of these two resources at the optimum is the same 66 that we have. So, the first interpretation is the dual. Variable values are worth of the resources at the optimum.

We will qualify it a little more, but they are the worth of the resources at the optimum. It is also good to say worth of the resources at the optimum. So, first economic interpretation is Y_1 and Y_2 , which are the dual variables, are the worth of the resources at the optimum.

Now, let us understand a few more things about...

(Refer Slide Time: 19:01)

Economic Interpretation of the dual

Maximize $10X_1 + 9X_2$	Minimize $21Y_1 + 24Y_2$
Subject to	Subject to
$3X_1 + 3X_2 + u_1 = 21$	$3Y_1 + 4Y_2 - v_1 = 10$
$4X_1 + 3X_2 + u_2 = 24$	$3Y_1 + 3Y_2 - v_2 = 9$
$X_1, X_2, u_1, u_2 \geq 0$	$Y_1, Y_2, v_1, v_2 \geq 0$
Optimum	Optimum
$X_1 = 3, X_2 = 4, Z = 66$	$Y_1 = 2, Y_2 = 1, W = 66$
$u_1 = 0, u_2 = 0$	$v_1 = 0, v_2 = 0$

Dual is the worth of the resource at optimum

From Primal Revenue = 66
 From dual: worth of resources
 At optimum = $2 \times 21 + 1 \times 24 = 66$

Once again let us go back to the same example. The original problem was $3X_1 + 3X_2 \leq 21$ and $4X_1 + 3X_2 \leq 24$. Now, let us assume, that we are going to solve the same linear programming problem. Instead of having 21 units of the resource, we are going to assume, that we will have 21 plus delta, where delta is a small positive quantity. You can imagine 21.1 or something like that, a small quantity, what if, what the resource increases a little bit, 21 plus delta.

We are also going to make an assumption that because of this increase of delta we will still continue to make both the products, which means, X_1 and X_2 that were in the solution. We know the solution X_1 and X_2 were in the solution. We will, we will assume, that we will continue to make both the products and under that assumption what will happen is, if we, we will assume, that X_1 and X_2 will continue to be basic. We will continue to make the two products, then it is enough to solve for $3X_1 + 3X_2 = 21 + \delta$ and $4X_1 + 3X_2 = 24$. Assume, that delta is known. So, when $3X_1 + 3X_2 = 21 + \delta$ and $4X_1 + 3X_2 = 24$. If we actually solve, we will get $X_1 = 3 - \delta$, $X_2 = 4 + \frac{4}{3}\delta$ and when we substitute, the objective function will be $66 + 2\delta$.

So, what does it tell me? It tells me, that if I have a small delta extra in the first resource, I can now convert this delta into a product and finally, sell these products and the revenue instead of 66 will become $66 + 2\delta$. So, that is what this tells me. Therefore, if we increase the first resource by a small delta, the profit or revenue goes up by 2δ . The worth of one unit of the resource at optimum is 2, which was the dual solution $Y_1 = 2$. If you go back to the previous slide we know, that $Y_1 = 2$. You see here, that $Y_1 = 2$; $Y_1 = 2$. So, this is actually the worth of that resource. Therefore, you realize 2 into $21 + 1$ into 24 was 66. So, $Y_1 = 2$.

(Refer Slide Time: 22:02)

Economic Interpretation of the dual

Maximize $10X_1 + 9X_2$
Subject to
 $3X_1 + 3X_2 \leq 21 + \delta$
 $4X_1 + 3X_2 \leq 24$
 $X_1, X_2 \geq 0$

Assume that X_1 and X_2 continue to be basic.
We solve for $3X_1 + 3X_2 = 21 + \delta$ and $4X_1 + 3X_2 = 24$.
We get $X_1 = 3 - \delta$, $X_2 = 4 + 4\delta/3$ with $Z = 66 + 2\delta$

If we increase the first resource by δ , the profit goes up by 2δ . The worth of one unit of the resource at optimum is 2 (dual value).
Dual is called shadow price or marginal value of resource at optimum

So, we realize, that if we increase the first resource by delta, which is shown here 21 by delta, the thing goes up by 2 delta 66 plus 2 delta. So, therefore this 2, which happens to be the value of X_1 is the marginal value of the resource at optimum. Now, at the optimum, if, at the optimum if I increase the first resource by a small delta, then the profit goes up by 2 delta. Therefore, the worth of this resource, the marginal value of this resource, the worth of this resource at the optimum is indeed 2.

We will see some more aspects of the economic interpretation of the dual and then move on to the dual simplex algorithm in the next class.