

Probability and Stochastics for finance-II
Prof. Joydeep Dutta
Department of Humanities and Social Sciences
Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Lecture - 06
Mean Variance Portfolio Optimization (Part-I)

So, today we are going to speak about mean variance portfolio optimization as promised earlier. Here the investments that we make not on projects, but it is made on assets which are sold in the financial market for example, stocks.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:30)



So, portfolio is essentially a basket of security the stocks of some financial instruments. A asset to be more frank, an asset is an object which is regularly sold and bought in a financial market. So, we are taking about financial assets. So, what is the portfolio portfolio can be viewed in 2 ways. One way is you can line up then assets which are going to be funded by you. Rather basically you can think like this you are going to go to the stock market and buy shares of stocks of certain different companies. So, those particular stocks can represent your portfolio. So, another more convenient way of, I think the portfolio is following. That if you have X_0 amount of initial investment.

Now the idea is that how will I distribute this investment. So, that I buy say n different stocks of different companies, n different companies. So, what I do distribute these things. So, one fraction of the weight of the total money, is for say the company 1,

second another fraction is for company 2, another fraction is for company 3, where w_1 , w_2 , w_3 , are the weights, which are telling what proportion you are going to invest. So, for the n th company, it is w_n the weights of course, finally, they add this total investment on various different a stocks they should adopt to X_0 on that would employ of course, that the weights, the proportion should sum up to 1. So, a portfolio can also be viewed as the collection of these weights. So, what is the way you distribute your initial wealth along various investment or various instruments. So, that you by these instruments various instruments at time say t equal to 0 and time t equal to 1 you sell them.

We are going talk about investment in a single period. So, you buy at time 0 and sell at time 1. Which would 1 month, 1 year whatever, but of course, you can talk about multi stage investment. So, you buy now sell at time 1. Again buy at time 1, sell at time 2. A thing which will very soon come, but as for as portfolio optimization is there we are not going to really bother about multi stage things, multi stage thing complicate matters. So, we are just going to look at look at the things in single window frame work. That is t equal to 0, I buy by investing X_0 . And t equal to 1 I sell those shares and I get return I get I receive X_1 means I make money X_1 . So, return on the investment, we or total return or sometimes call the return on investment, which is written as r divided, is the ratio of how much I have received and how much I buy how much I have invested. So, this is r is greater than equal to 1 then I have received more and investment, invested and hence made a profit, r is less than equal to 1 of course, I have received less than what I have invested. So, I make a loss. So, there is rate of return captures both side the up side and down side of a securities market.

So, what we would be really interested in is called relative rate of return. Let us note this X_0 the initial investment is known to me, but how much I will earned in period 1 at time 1 not known to me it can be varied depend on the nature of the market at that time. Nature of what are the prices at that time. So, this X_1 is actually random variable. Thus, making r the total return also random variable which for short I am writing as r_v , the relative rate of return which says, that I invested X_0 and got X_1 . So, how much is a difference between X_1 and X_0 and the ratio of that with X_0 . So, X_1 minus X_0 , X_1 minus X_0 divided by X_0 , is what is call the relative rate of return. So, this is what is my gain or loss and the rate measures that to what extent

how much is the loss or gain it will be with respect to the initial investment. So, that is; that means, that is the rate of return. So, change in the investment by the original investment like essential at the concept of the derivative right.

So, you can very well write down when usually see that capital R is related to this small r in this fashion, but note that r is itself also random variable. So, now, let us here, here we are talking about the return only on, this is I am talking about the total return. So, let us see how we can view the total return in terms of relate, we have individual return. Because if you are investing in each of these stocks 1 2, 3, 4, 5. N when each of them will have some return. And how can I view the total return as a sum all the individual returns. And then from there we would like to compute the means and variances and all those things which would be important to set up what is called the Markov's port mean variance portfolio model. Markov's is research economics part of the novel prize in 1994 this for. It is important to remember why we are making this investment.

The idea on making this investment is to made money. So, you invest, but since you exposed to risk, you do not know whether you would gain whether you would lose. So, what you do that you expect, you expect certain amount return from the market. And you want to have that amount of money at least that amount of money and you want to minimize your exposure to risk in order to get that money. So, Markov is viewed variance of portfolio as the risk associated with the portfolio. Of course, now there are many other ways of looking at risk through way of value at risk and see all and all those things, the convex value at risk.

So, we will be getting to general study of risk function because that as to take us to something called as infinite dimension of convex analysis. We will not do that, but we will just try to now see how to right the return of the portfolio in terms of the return of these individual assets.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:06)

Handwritten notes on a chalkboard titled "Markowitz Model". The notes show the derivation of the portfolio return formula. At the top, it says $X_{B1} = w_1 X_0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$. Below this, it says "Let R_i denote the total return on asset i ". Then, it shows $R = \sum_{i=1}^n R_i w_i X_0$ and $R_i X_{0i} = \text{money generated by trading in asset } i$. This is followed by $R = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i R_i$ and $R_i X_{0i} = R_i w_i X_0$. Finally, it shows $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1 \Rightarrow r = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i R_i$ and "Total money = $\sum_{i=1}^n R_i w_i X_0$ ".

So, as we were discussing let for each of the individual assets my return is written as R_i . So, if I look at X_{0i} as the amount of money I invested in the asset i then this is nothing, but this one, w_i is proportion of money invested in the asset i of course, I do not have to remind you writing is down this is 1. So, now, let R_i denote the total return on asset i . If this is so, then I can know that $R_i X_{0i}$ is the amount of money I generate by trading in the asset i . So, it is a money generated by trading in the asset i physically selling it, of course, the issue of short selling which I am not coming, but I will tell you at the end what that some other facts see also.

So, R_i , money generated by trading an asset i totally is $R_i w_i X_{\text{naught}}$. So, total money generated by trading in the whole portfolio is just nothing, but the sum in over all the assets. So, the rate of return or in the total portfolio, or the total return on the whole portfolio r which is or which we have written is summation i is equal to 1 to n $R_i w_i X_{\text{naught}}$. Sorry this whole thing divided by X_{naught} the total money that I have put it. Sorry this is X_{naught} . So, now, you can divide this whole thing by X_{naught} . So, return is now summation i is equal to 1 to n may $w_i R_i$. So, knowing that summation w_i equal to 1, since summation w_i is equal to 1 you can immediately calculate that the relative return is nothing, but summation $\omega_i r_i$ either return on the relative return on the individual assets.

So, once you have this formula relative return in your hands that the total return nothing, but the vacate some of the individual assets. We can now proceed to compute the expectation and the variance of this particular quantity r . This random variable of course, we assume there is follow certain distribution etcetera, which we not may not bother at this stage that what exactly the distribution is, will not bother at all about at this movement, but we assume that it follows in the distribution which has of an expectation variance was without that we cannot work because of them. So, the idea is to minimize variance subject to the fact that I can I will have a certain level of expected return and then the basic certain basic condition like summation 1 is equal to 1 should be there. So, I have to find that optimal w not o may I say w_i say find optimal w_i here. So, w_i is my decision variables of the optimization problem that here only set up.

So, we start now talking about computing the expected value and the mean and variance of the portfolio of course, I assume that you know what is mean variance what is co-variance we are not going to bother about that.

(Refer Slide Time: 15:26)

The chalkboard contains the following handwritten formulas:

$$r = w_1 r_1 + w_2 r_2 + \dots + w_n r_n$$

$$\bar{r} = E(r) = w_1 E(r_1) + w_2 E(r_2) + \dots + w_n E(r_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \bar{r}_i$$

$$V_{rr} = E[(r - \bar{r})^2] = E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (r_i - \bar{r}_i)\right)^2\right]$$

$$= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j (r_i - \bar{r}_i)(r_j - \bar{r}_j)\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j E[(r_i - \bar{r}_i)(r_j - \bar{r}_j)]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \sigma_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \sigma_{ij}$$

So, my relative rate of return r is $w_1 r_1$ sorry, $w_2 r_1$, $w_2 r_2$ plus w_n and $w_n r_n$. So, by the standard formula for expectation which we call \bar{r} , expectation of the return is expectation of r_1 plus, it may be basic factor r expectation and just writing in too much detail which I think I should not really, write say if I call them \bar{r}_1 \bar{r}_2 \bar{r}_3 bar like that, then \bar{r} is nothing, but weighted sum r the mean. So, mean of the

portfolio is weighted sum of the means. Now we will compute. So, this is \bar{r} is something which will be fixed. I need this amount expected return. So, I need say there invest 1000 rupees, I expect 1200 rupees at least are expected return. So, that is whole thing. So, now, will compute, it is a mean of the rate of return relative rate of return. Rate of return or relative rate of return is the same. Actually is the total return that we wrote that is essentially relative rate of return, but we are not bothering we have just defined this small r with the rate of return.

Now, when you compute the variance of r . We have to be very clear that here we have n random variables because individual returns also random variables, and each of these random variables there may not be independent, but there may be correlated. So, you have to take into account that correlation. So, this by definition is expectation of r minus \bar{r} . Whole square and this is nothing, but expectation of $\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i (r_i - \bar{r})^2$. And that can be written as expectation $\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i r_i^2 - 2\bar{r} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i r_i + \bar{r}^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i$. And this can be further decomposed as follows expectation $\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i r_i^2 - 2\bar{r} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i r_i + \bar{r}^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i$ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i r_i^2 - 2\bar{r} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i r_i + \bar{r}^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i$, just here decomposing the square is exactly the way square route be taken.

Thus remember of formula $(a + b + c)^2$ that is all. I am sorry the ω_j is $\sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j (r_j - \bar{r})^2$. That is the thing. So, from here from I pick up and write the variance. Variance of r can now be written as I can, because I do the clubbing, I can just take the same in 1. So, usually do the multiplications you can your final layer just one set up sum. So, that can be written as expectation of $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \omega_i \omega_j (r_i - \bar{r})(r_j - \bar{r})$, because they are the same indices it is $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \omega_i \omega_j (r_i - \bar{r})(r_j - \bar{r})$. And if you take the expectation tells you $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \omega_i \omega_j$ expectation $(r_i - \bar{r})(r_j - \bar{r})$ and this is nothing, but the co-variance between the rate of return for the i th asset and rate of return for the j th asset.

So, this is nothing, but $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \omega_i \omega_j$ sorry this is $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \omega_i \omega_j$ and this is nothing, but the co-variance of r_i and r_j . And that is $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \omega_i \omega_j$ sorry, $\omega_i \omega_j$ do not forget. I would like excuse you for calling the ω , but that have been my habit. So, you can call it either ω or w as you feel. So, will have a symbol for the co-variance which I had σ_{ij} and if you look at this this is nothing, but $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \omega_i \omega_j \sigma_{ij}$ is in a single product. And this can be written as, $w^T \Sigma w$. Let us

sigma is a variance co-variance matrix. Sigma is a variance co-variance matrix. And this is given in the following. Sigma 1, 1, square which is nothing, but sigma 1 square which we are not writing; sigma 1, sigma 12 sigma 1 n, sigma n 1 sigma 2 n, sigma n square, along the diagonal of this n cross n matrix, you have all the matrices and then you all the variance and then these are the co-variances. Of course, this sigma is symmetric matrix, is a real symmetric matrix I should write an over real, but you can understand on line real numbers.

So, it is a real symmetric matrix and then we need to understand variance of any random is very well always negative. So, whatever we are chosen omega, what is i w this is always greater than equal to 0. This would imply that the variance co heed matrix is positive semi definite matrix. Now we are going to a talk about the importance of the notion of diverse education of portfolio. That tells is the do not put all your eggs in one basket, in the sense that do not invest in less stocks if you invest in less stocks less number of instruments. Then it is actually increase your variance when you invest in more stocks your variance can become lesser and lesser. So, let us discuss that part what diversification of portfolio. And then we try to settle the Marco is many variance models.

(Refer Slide Time: 24:41)



The chalkboard contains the following handwritten text and equations:

- Portfolio Variance
- Expected return of portfolio
- $$\bar{r} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i r_i$$
- Variance of portfolio
- $$Var(r) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \sigma_i^2 + \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n w_i w_j \sigma_{ij}$$
- Expected return of portfolio
- $$Cov(r_i, r_j) = \sigma_{ij}$$
- Variance of portfolio
- $$Var(r) = E \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (r_i - \bar{r}) \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{n^2} E \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (r_i - \bar{r}) \right)^2 \right]$$
- $$= \frac{1}{n^2} E \left[\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 (r_i - \bar{r})^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n w_i w_j (r_i - \bar{r})(r_j - \bar{r}) \right]$$

So, now we are going to talk about something called diversification of the portfolio. So, when we are talking about diversification of the portfolio. Here meaning you should increase your number of assets. So, suppose now I have set of assets n assets which or

say un correlated for the time being, then your expected rate of return \bar{r} is, suppose I invest equal in all assets. So, for each asset I invest $1/n$. So, it will be $1/n$ summation \bar{r}_i . So, that would be your expected return and your variance because you have un correlated asset now. Variance now are being nothing, but $1/n^2$. So, summation i is equal to 1 to n σ_i^2 σ_i is suppose constant their all of them have constant σ standard deviation. And this will give me $n \sigma^2$ by n^2 which will give me σ^2/n , and that goes to 0 as n does infinite. This can be this this shown for un correlated assets, but you have correlated assets also you can show the same thing. So, it does not matter much.

So, suppose you have assets, that so, each asset i each asset i has each asset i has mean a say m or fix \bar{r} , mean each asset i has mean. So, sorry \bar{r}_i is m and then physically \bar{r}_i is m for this particular case, it will become $n m$. So, this will become fixed $n m$ by n . So, n has variance σ^2 . Each asset i that is σ_i^2 is a σ^2 . Further let this correlated and a co-variance between r_i and r_j which is σ_{ij} is given as say 0.3 -time σ^2 . So, co-variance in if your, σ_{ij} is also fixed it is some percentage of some fraction of σ^2 . So, once you know that if you calculate the variance what will happen. See you see a slight difference when you un correlated assets and when you correlated assets.

So, variance of r should a write directly in terms of it is. So, it will become $1/n$ summation i is equal to 1 to n , $r_i - \bar{r}$ whole square. So I am taking the weights to be equal like an expected value $1/n$ would now, sorry this by $1/n^2$ whole square. So, I will have to take this $1/n$ by now, it will become $1/n^2$ here expectation of same thing summation $r_i - \bar{r}$ equal to 1 to n , this $1/n^2$ equal to $1/n^2$ summation $r_j - \bar{r}$. So, once we have done that. So, here we know that this is $1/n^2$ summation σ_{ij} , i, j equal to 1 to n . So, this can be divided into 2 parts $1/n^2$ summation i equal to j , σ_{ii} , plus summation i not equal to j σ_{ij} . So, in that variance co-variance matrix is n cross n matrix, the n^2 elements n elements in the diagonal r here the σ_{ii} by the variances.

So, that will give me $1/n^2$ $n \sigma^2$ plus now the remaining elements that are left in the matrix is n^2 minus n , remaining take of the variances. So, n^2 minus n correlated things; σ_{ij} with i not equal to j and then you write that as 0.3 times n^2 minus $n \sigma^2$. So, here you have $0.3 n^2$ plus $n \sigma^2$

square. So, whatever here it is. So, it will become $1.3 \sigma^2$ as you add this, sorry minus sorry $0.7, 0.7 \sigma^2$ by n , plus $0.3 \sigma^2$. So, here you see that has n times to infinity to this part goes to 0, but this part does not go to 0. So, n times to infinity. This will go to 0 so; however, whatever amount of you extend my if you keep on increasing the number of asset that we invest, your risk would never go down below $0.3 \sigma^2$. So, you cannot take your risk below $0.3 \sigma^2$; if it will be some point to σ^2 plus some quantities of $0.3 \sigma^2$ minimum risk that your expose to once your correlated stops. So, this is the one to be exposed to just that risk not any additional risk. So, that is the issue.

So, we stop here today. Short of telling you what the Markowitz is mean variance model which will be in the part 2 of the lecture. This lecture which have 3 lectures. So, part 2 of the lecture we are going to talk about optimization aspects. So, what we have going to do essentially is we want to minimize this (Refer Time: 32:45) 1 gradients. So, find the weight distribution weight vector w , where we minimize the variance subject to the expected return r , to be some fixed row summation w_i is equal to 1. Of course, you can write this as summation $w_i \bar{r}_i$, where \bar{r}_i fixed once you know the mean value there known. So, there is a stochastic element into it. There is an issue because we are handling a variable.

For ultimately when you take mean and variance you really have converted into some problem which is the standard problem were we do not have the uncertainty. Of course, the uncertainty be inbuilt itself here in the expectation it says is variable is to here in the variance because it depends on some distribution once you change the distribution may be whole structure this one this σ^2 will change the \bar{r}_i will change. So, once you know the distribution. Here actually got a problem which is completed deterministic. So, this is the portfolio optimization problem of Markowitz model. You want ask that what about this ω summation ω greater than equal to 0. I did not put it that looks will vary natural. So, here we bringing the idea of short selling and this is exactly with what this model and the notion of short selling is exactly with what will start our discussion in the next lecture.

Thank you very much.