

Introduction to Time – Varying Electrical Networks

Professor. Shanthi Pavan

Department of Electrical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology, Madras

Lecture No. 78

Total integrated noise in networks with R, L, C and periodically operated switches

(Refer Slide Time: 00:16)

Total integrated noise in networks with R, L, C, periodically-operated switches

Periodically operated switch

Noise = $4kTR \frac{r_s}{R}$ (single-sided)

$2kTR \frac{r_s}{R}$ (double-sided)

$V_n^2 = \frac{kT}{C}$

The next thing that I would like to draw your attention to is noise in a special class of LPTV networks. So, when we were doing, so this is total integrated noise in networks with R, L, C and periodically operated switches. Earlier, we have seen the total integrated noise in networks with only R, L, and C, now we also add periodically operated switches. So, remember that every periodically operated switch in practice basically can be thought of as having some series resistance and, ideal switch. And this r_s adds noise, and its noise spectral density is $2kTR$ volts square this is $4kTR$ volt square per hertz. This is single-sided or $2kTR$ volt square per hertz double-sided.

So, for example, here is an example circuit. So, let us say we have an ideal I mean a switch. This is the resistance of the switch, and this is a capacitor. The question is, what is the mean square? I mean clearly when you close the switch the there is an, I mean the resistor has got some noise. So, when the switch is closed, well this noise gets, goes through the network and is there on the capacitor. Some noise voltage exists across the capacitor. Then you suddenly open the switch, and whatever noise there is on the capacitor remains there.

So, the therefore, there must be some means square you should be able to evaluate the mean square value of that noise. The average of the noise will be 0, so the mean square noise must be some value. And we would like to find out what that means square value is. We have

already seen this earlier in context of I mean, sample and hold. And this basically what was the result? Very good. So, V_n square, the mean square noise across the capacitor is nothing but KT over C . Now, the question is if you have a more complicated network, so let us say this is some ϕ_1 .

(Refer Slide Time: 04:23)

The slide contains the following content:

- Circuit Diagram:** A circuit with two capacitors, C_1 and C_2 , and a network of resistors and switches. A clock signal ϕ_1 is shown.
- Block Diagram:** A block diagram with two input signals, $v_1(t)$ and $v_2(t)$, entering a network of blocks, and an output signal $v_{out}(t)$.
- Equations:**

$$v_{out}(s) = ?$$

$$\overline{v_{out}^2(t)} = 2kTR_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |H_{22}(j\omega)|^2 d\omega = 2kTR_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |H_{22}(j\omega)|^2 d\omega$$

$$\text{Passive Theorem} \rightarrow 2kTR_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |H_{22}(j\omega)|^2 d\omega = 2kTR_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |H_{22}(j\omega)|^2 d\omega$$

Now, the question is let us say, you have a switch. You have a capacitor, C_1 , you have another switch. You have another capacitor C_2 . Let us say, this is clocked by ϕ_1 this is clocked by ϕ_2 . ϕ_1 is something like this and ϕ_2 is something like this. And they are periodically switched. So, this is say this is TS. And the question we would like to ask is what is for instance, what is the mean squared noise across C_2 ?

So, there are several ways of doing this. And remember that we are only interested in the total mean square noise across the capacitor. Now, the question is, if we are only interested in the total mean square noise it does not seem to make sense to determine all the transfer functions, which are basically functions of frequency, and basically integrate the all of them to get the total mean square noise. And therefore work hard to get a lot of information, and then throwing away most of it, because you are only interested in the mean square noise.

This is exactly similar to the body noise theorem for time invariant networks. Now, it turns out that, for networks with R , L , C and periodically operated switches, we can use the same approach to simplify the noise. So, that is what we are going to be doing next. So, let us try and exploit what we know so far. Namely, that, let us try and find, I will continue with this example. Let us try and find let us say this v out. Let us try and find the mean square noise of this network, at, say, some n times t_s . In other words, I am interested in the.

Student: Mean square noise.

Professor: Mean square noise at the output of the network.

Student: Time.

Professor: But this is the, the key point is that I have converted the mean square noise problem into something where I am only interested in the mean square of the sampled output of the LPTV network. So, in other words, therefore, you can basically use all our results on reciprocity, as well as the equivalent LTI filter. So, what are the noise sources?

Student: $V_n 1$.

Professor: $V_n 1$ and $V_n 2$. So, therefore, we have $V_n 1$, it goes through h equivalent 1 of t which is an LTI filter with an impulse response which is h equivalent 1 of t . $V_n 2$ likewise goes through h equivalent 2 of t . And then, you add these two and you sample the output and that will give you v out of nT_s . You sample it at n times t_s , you will get v out of nT_s . And therefore, what is the mean square noise of nT_s ?

Student: Integrate it.

Professor: You simply integrate. It is basically the noise spectral density. Let us assume that $V_n 1$ this is a switch. So this is nothing but $2 kT r_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h_{\text{equivalent 1}}(j 2 \pi f)|^2 df$, plus $2 kT r_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h_{\text{equivalent 2}}(j 2 \pi f)|^2 df$.

Now by Parseval's theorem. So, it does not matter whether you integrate in the time domain or the frequency domain, so this becomes the same as $\int_0^{\infty} |h_{\text{equivalent 1}}(t)|^2 dt$ plus $2 kT r_2 \int_0^{\infty} |h_{\text{equivalent 2}}(t)|^2 dt$.

(Refer Slide Time: 11:05)

The slide displays a circuit diagram with two nodes, N and N-hat. Node N contains a voltage source $v(t)$ in series with a resistor r_2 and a capacitor C_2 . Node N-hat contains a resistor r_1 in series with a capacitor C_1 . The circuit is connected to ground. Handwritten notes include:

- Parasitic Theorem:**
$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dot{w}_N(t) dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dot{w}_{N-hat}(t) dt$$
- Energy dissipated in the resistors:**
$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} (i_1(t))^2 r_1 dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (i_2(t))^2 r_2 dt$$
- Energy stored in the capacitors:**
$$\frac{1}{2} C_1 (v_{N-hat}(t_1) - v_{N-hat}(t_0))^2 = \frac{1}{2} C_2 (v_N(t_1) - v_N(t_0))^2$$

Now, how do you find the h equivalent 1 and h equivalent 2? We know how to do that already. So, remember this is our network. So, this is r_1 this is V_{n1} , which corresponds to the resistance of the first switch. This this is capacitance C_1 , V_{n2} , r_2 , this is ϕ_2 . And well to find h equivalent 1 and h equivalent 2 what will we do?

Student: We will apply the.

Professor: Our input is a voltage, the output, sampled output voltage we are sampling it at t equal to a timing offset t_{naught} , which is equal to 0. So, what do we do we need to draw the adjoint network. So, this ϕ_1 hat and ϕ_2 hat, we remove these noise sources. These are all now 0 volt sources. What do we do?

Student: Apply impulse.

Professor: Apply a current impulse at t equal to 0 so, this is $\delta(t)$. And therefore, the current that flows through these voltage sources will have a shape that is similar to h equivalent of. But this, I remember that this is a, whatever flows in these sources is a current but to get h equivalent of t you have to divide that current by 1 coulomb correct we use 1 ampere second so that you will get the h equivalent 1 of t .

So, similarly, this is h equivalent 2 of t times. Remember, this is a current so this must be the current that you see will be this times ampere second. Does it make sense? And the reason is that this is a current, and it will have the same shape as the impulse response. Now, what comment can you make about the, if you so the energy dissipated in the resistors is what?

Student: Voltage across the capacitor initial is $\frac{1}{C}$.

Professor: No, no, that is okay. But in terms of the currents flowing through them, what comment can we make about the currents, about the energy being dissipated in the resistors?

Student: $\int_0^{\infty} i^2 dt$.

Professor: It is simply $\int_0^{\infty} i^2 dt$ times $r_1 + r_2$. And this integral has to go from 0 to infinity this is nothing but $\int_0^{\infty} i^2 dt$. And this must be equal to.

Student: $\frac{1}{2} C V^2$.

Professor: Well, the impulse that you apply across the output port, will inject some energy E_0 into the network at $t = 0$. So, this is nothing but energy in. So, if this is the network N , this is the network \hat{N} , \hat{N} at $t = 0$. So, then, as the network keeps running well there something happens to the energy, at $t = \infty$ there must be, there must be.

Student: Some energy.

Professor: There must be some energy in the network at $t = 0$. Now, all this remember applies to the adjoint network at $t = \infty$. So, this the difference between the energy at $t = 0$ and $t = \infty$ must be exactly the.

Student: Only dissipated in the resistors.

Professor: Must only be dissipated in the resistors, it cannot be dissipated anywhere else because the capacitor is lossless. If you had an inductor that would be lossless, this is an ideal switch. So, the switches are lossless. So, the only place where all this energy can be dissipated is the resistor.

So, therefore, we have seen therefore, that $E_0 - E_{\infty}$ must be this. But what are we trying to find? What we are trying to find is this quantity here, which is simply $\int_0^{\infty} i^2 dt$. I mean, you can think of this as being proportional to.

Student: Energy dissipated.

Professor: Energy the energy dissipated in.

Student: Resistor r_1 .

Professor: In r_1 and this is energy dissipated in r_2 . So, what do you say, I mean what do you what therefore can we say about the mean square noise?

Student: You can apply.

Professor: Mean square noise v_{out} is nothing but $2 kT$ times E_0 minus e infinity by 1 coulomb square. Why is that? So, this is actually.

Student: We are using the current.

Professor: Coulomb square and this must also be multiplied by actually I would call this ampere second. This is also ampere second.

So, the mean square noise of the output sequence sampled at n times t_s is simply nothing but E_0 minus e infinity by 1 coulomb square. This is exactly the same form that we got for the time invariant network. So, whether it is time invariant or periodically time varying we get the same result.

Now, this is I mean remember, this v_{out} of the mean square value of the output sequence sampled at integer multiples of t_s , and this must be stationary, because it is not varying from it is the output you can think of it as the output of a linear time invariant filter. And therefore, this is basically not changing from sample to sample.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:39)

The slide contains two circuit diagrams and handwritten notes. The left diagram shows a network with resistors R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4 , a capacitor C , and a current source I_1 . The right diagram shows a similar network with a current source I_2 . Handwritten notes in red and yellow define energy levels E_0 and E_1 and provide a formula for the mean square value of the output sequence.

$E_0 \rightarrow \text{Energy} = \hat{N}$ at $t=0$
 $E_1 \rightarrow \text{Energy} = \hat{N}$ at $t=0$
 $\overline{v_{out}^2(t_s)} = \frac{2kT(E_0 - E_1)}{1 \text{ coulomb}}$

Now, what comment can we make if we wanted? So, to summarize therefore, if you have a network with R, L, C and periodically operated switches, and you want to find the mean

square noise of the sequence, so what do you do? You first form the adjoint network. So, to do that, what do you do?

Well, you form the adjoint. So, your time reverse the timing of the switches, that's the only thing that you need to do, then, you inject an impulse current here E_0 is the energy in n hat at t equal to 0, e infinity is the energy in n hat at t equal to infinity and mean square value of nTs is simply $2 kt$ times E_0 minus e infinity by 1 column square.

(Refer Slide Time: 22:51)

The slide contains a circuit diagram with two capacitors, C_1 and C_2 , and a current source $I(t)$. The NPTEL logo is in the top left. Handwritten mathematical notes are as follows:

- $E_0 = \text{Energy} - Q \text{ at } t=0$
- $Z_{\hat{}}(t) = \frac{\det(E_0 - E_0)}{(1 - \alpha)^2}$
- $E_0 = \frac{1}{2C_2}$, $E_{\infty} = 0$, $Z_{\hat{}}(t) = \det\left(\frac{1}{C_2} - 0\right) = \frac{kT}{C_2}$
- $Z_{\hat{}}(t+T) = ?$, $E_0 = \frac{1}{2C_2}$, $E_{\infty} = 0$, $Z_{\hat{}}(t+T) = \frac{kT}{C_2}$

Now, let us do some examples. So, I am showing switches here without the resistance, but every switch is associated with the resistance. This is C_2 , this is ϕ_1 , this is ϕ_2 and we are interested in the mean square noise here. So, what do we form the adjoint, you apply a current at t equal to 0. So, what is the E_0 ?

Student: E_0 is 1 by $2C$.

Professor: 1 by. So, if you inject 1 coulomb charge 1 by C_2 is the voltage developed. So, this basically becomes 1 by $2 C_2 Q$ square by $2C$. And E infinity what is the E infinity? Well, there is a voltage developed across C_2 when ϕ_2 hat is high the charge is shared between C_2 and C_1 then C_1 is connected to ground.

Student: So, it will discharge.

Professor: So, C_1 will discharge. So, as you can see eventually charge is getting.

Student: Discharge from C_2 .

Professor: From C_2 and also C_1 . So, eventually both the capacitors will have.

Student: 0.

Professor: 0 charge and therefore 0 energy, so infinity must therefore be 0. So, the mean square value nT_s is nothing but $2kt$ times 1 over $2C^2$ minus 0 which is nothing but kt over C^2 . Now, what comment can we make? If for instance, if we wanted V_{naught} square of mean square value of nT_s plus t_{naught} what would we do?

Student: We have to apply the impulse at the t_{naught} we have to apply.

Professor: No.

Student: T . T plus t , t minus t_{naught} .

Professor: What is t ?

Student: Delta of t plus t .

Professor: We have to apply delta of t plus t_{naught} . So, you have to apply the impulse at what time?

Student: At minus t_{naught} .

Professor: At minus t_{naught} . So, in this if you apply the impulse at minus at minus t_{naught} what is going to change?

Student: Initial, like we have to find the initial charge.

Professor: Yeah, the initial charge. So, what happens? The only thing that changes is that I mean, this switch, which is basically is either an open switch or closed switch with resistance r_s . In either case, what comment can we make about the voltage developed across C_2 ?

Student: That is 1 by C_2 .

Professor: It is always going to be?

Student: 1 by C_2 .

Professor: 1 by C_2 , 1 by $2C_2$. I mean, sorry the voltage developed across C_2 is going to be 1 by C_2 . So, the initial energy is going to be E_0 is still going to be 1 over $2C_2$. E_{infinity} is going to be 0 . So, the mean square noise is going to be kt over C_2 . So, regardless of when you sample the output, the mean square noise is always going to be kt over C_2 .

(Refer Slide Time: 27:30)

The slide contains the following equations and text:

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2C_2} \quad E_\infty = 0 \quad \hat{\phi}_1 = -2kT \left(\frac{1}{2C_2} - 0 \right) = \frac{kT}{C_2}$$

$$\hat{\phi}_2 = \frac{kT}{C_2}$$

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2C_2} \quad E_\infty = 0 \quad \hat{\phi}_1 = \frac{kT}{C_2}$$

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2C_2} + \frac{1}{2} C_2 \left(\frac{kT}{C_2} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2C_2}$$

Steady state $\rightarrow \phi_1 = \phi_2 = \phi_3$

$$\phi = \frac{1}{C_1 + C_2 + C_3} \quad \text{across all capacitors}$$

$$E_\infty = \frac{1}{2} (C_1 \phi^2 + C_2 \phi^2 + C_3 \phi^2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{C_1 + C_2 + C_3}$$

So, let me take one last example, where e infinity is not 0. Let us call this C_1 , this is C_2 this is C_3 . So, what is the mean square noise here let us say of nT_s . What will we do? We will form the adjoint then, so that basically is $\hat{\phi}_1$, $\hat{\phi}_2$ then apply an impulse here δ of t . What is E_0 ?

Student: $\frac{1}{2} C_2$.

Professor: So, when you inject an impulse the voltage across C_3 is 1 or C_3 so, E_0 is nothing but $\frac{1}{2} C_3$, which is nothing but half C_3 times $\frac{1}{C_3}$ the whole square. Now, once the network started, I mean you let the whole thing operate what happens at t equal to infinity?

Student: Voltage at all the capacitors should be equally charged instead.

Professor: So, in steady state, the voltage across all the capacitors is identical. So, we see one equals VC_1 equals VC_2 equals VC_3 . And what is that voltage?

Student: That initial voltage?

Professor: Initial. See there is nowhere for the charge to go. So, whatever charge you put in initially, which is 1 coulomb will be distributed across.

Student: Across 3 capacitors.

Professor: Across 3 capacitors, and all the three capacitors have the same voltage, so the voltage will be 1 divided by C_1 plus C_2 plus C_3 across all the capacitors. So, V infinity across all capacitors. So, what comment can we make about E infinity therefore?

Student: 1 over 2.

Professor: Half into C1 times V infinity the whole square plus C2 V infinity the whole square plus C3, V infinity the whole square, which is nothing but one half into C1 plus C2 plus C3 times V infinity the whole square so this is nothing, but 1 over 2 times C1 plus C2 plus C3.

(Refer Slide Time: 30:55)

The slide contains the following content:

- NPTEL logo** in the top left corner.
- Handwritten notes:**
 - Steady state $\rightarrow V_1 = V_2 = V_0$
 - $V_0 = \frac{1}{C_1 + C_2 + C_3}$ across all capacitors
 - $E_0 = \frac{1}{2} (C_1 V_0^2 + C_2 V_0^2 + C_3 V_0^2) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{C_1 + C_2 + C_3}$
 - Boxed equation: $H(s) = \frac{1}{C_3} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + sR(C_1 + C_2)} \right\}$
- Circuit diagram:** A circuit with a voltage source V_0 , a resistor R , an inductor L , and two capacitors C_1 and C_2 in parallel. The output voltage is $V_0(s)$.
- Equations:** $E_0 = \frac{1}{2C_3}$ and $E_0 = 0$.

So, the mean square output noise is nothing, but it is kt times 1 over C_3 minus 1 over C_1 plus C_2 plus C_3 . So, therefore, thanks to this observation and energy conservation. Just like how we saw in the case of linear time invariant networks, the process of evaluating the total mean square noise can be done actually by simply looking at the network without calculating any transfer function at all.

And, as you keep I mean, here is one final example. So, let us say so, this is ϕ_1 , this is ϕ_2 , this is R this is L , this is C_1 and this is C_2 . And we are interested in the mean square noise here. What would this be by inspection?

Student: Kt by C_2 .

Professor: It will simply be kt over. So, E_0 is nothing but 1 over 2 C_2 , e infinity is 0 and therefore, means square noise is simply kt over C_2 . And does not depend on L or C_1 or R or anything else. So, with that I will stop.