

Analog Circuits
Prof. Nagendra Krishnapura
Department of Electrical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology, Madras

Module – 02
Lecture – 17

This is the small signal equivalent of the common source amplifier. It has these two capacitors C_1 and C_2 . Earlier we did all our analysis assuming that C_1 and C_2 are large, if they are infinitely large they do behave like short circuits. Now, we will see exactly how large they have to be. In order to analyze the circuit which has capacitors and inductors we need to do sinusoidal steady state analysis. We will assume that the input V_s is the sinusoid at a frequency ω , some ω . And we know that while doing sinusoidal analysis, we represent all voltages and currents by phasors that is what I do here. This is the phasor corresponding to the input voltage.

And we have R_s , C_1 will be an impedance $1/j\omega C_1$. And this voltage here V_{GS} again I will represent as a phasor that is V_{GS} ; and the output voltage here is V_o . And we will also need this voltage later, and I will call that V_D , so that is the incremental drain voltage phasor or the voltage between drain and source. Now, I will assume that you are all familiar with sinusoidal steady state analysis, if not please go back to basic electrical circuits and brush up your basics. Now, this current source of course, is g_m times V_{GS} , which is the phasor. Now V_s gets divided between these impedances and R_1 parallel R_2 to give you V_{GS} ; and V_{GS} gives you V_{naught} .

So, I will evaluate these two expressions separately. Essentially V_{GS} by V_s and V_o by V_{GS} ; and I could combine the whole thing to give me V_o by V_s . If I multiply the two, I will get V_o by V_s , I do not want to write lengthy expressions so that is why I stick to this.

So, if you do the analysis correctly, you will see that V_{GS} by V_s , basically the fraction of V_s that appears as V_{GS} , this is equal to R_1 parallel R_2 divided by R_1 parallel R_2 plus R_s plus $1/j\omega C_1$, which can be written as $j\omega C_1 R_1$ parallel R_2 divided by 1 plus $j\omega C_1 R_1$ parallel R_2 plus R_s . Now what do we mean by C_1 is very large, basically it

should behave like a short circuit. We know that if it did behave like a short circuit, V_G by V_s will simply be the ratio of these resistors R_1 parallel R_2 divided by R_1 parallel R_2 plus R_s .

And here we can very easily see that if this part here, if ωC_1 times R_1 parallel R_2 plus R_s is much more than one then we can simply neglect this one in the denominator, and this ωC_1 will cancel out and this expression will reduce to, this, R_1 parallel R_2 divided by R_1 parallel R_2 plus R_s , which is the resistive divider expression. So, this is the criterion for choosing the capacitor or C_1 must be much greater than one by ωR_1 parallel R_2 plus R_s and so on. Or in other words, if I write in terms of the reactance of the capacitor, I will have the reactance of the capacitor one by ωC_1 much smaller than R_1 parallel R_2 plus R_s . This is the criterion for C_1 .

And what does it mean in terms of the circuit, if I reduce this V_s to zero, that is when the input to the circuit is nulled, this is the circuit we have. And you simply see that R_s plus R_2 parallel R_1 , which you see in this expression, is the resistance that appears across C_1 . Now, again if you recall basic electrical circuits, the way you evaluate time constants in a first order system is by looking at the resistance that appears across a capacitor so that is exactly what we are doing here. Now, one more point I have to quickly mention is that this is the second order system, but there are two separate first order systems which are decoupled, so it is correct to treat this as two separate first order systems. So, this is the first order system.

And all you have to do is to find out the resistance that appears across the capacitor and make sure that the capacitive reactance is much smaller than that resistance. Or in other words, another way of saying that is to make sure that the time constant is much more than the reciprocal of the frequency. And when I say frequency here, this ω is in radians per second, so please keep that in mind. So, all these criteria mean the same thing. So, you should be to relate this to what we learnt in basic electrical circuits, so that is how you choose C_1 , you just have to make sure that the reactance of the capacitor C_1 is much smaller than R_s plus R_1 parallel R_2 . Then it behaves like a short circuit that is what we mean by a large enough capacitor.

In practice, what is done is we have inequality that is C_1 much greater than one by ω times R_1 parallel R_2 plus R_s . So, what is the actual value that you choose for C_1 . So, let us say you evaluate this expression and this comes out to ten nano farad. Now what should be C_1 ; if it is

one micro farad, it is more than this; if it is one milli farad, it is also much more than this. But of course, you do not go crazy like that typically if you take C_1 to be let say ten times this constraints, ten times 10 nano farad equals 100 nano farad that is good enough, or you can make it a little more, but you do not have to make it like thousand times or something like that.