

Introduction To Adaptive Signal Processing
Prof. Mrityunjoy Chakraborty
Department of Electronics and Electrical Communication Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur

Lecture No # 15

Optimal FIR Filter (Contd.)

We are considering optimal filter right. We had this these are the filter coefficients there is a zero beam WSS process, real WSS, y_n and it should be a good estimate of a targeted sequence called desired response so that that error its variance is minimized ok. Epsilon square which was is real so mod e square or e square they are same that is e square n expected value that is the average power because of WSS nature, this thing epsilon square is independent of n ok. And then you have shown that this is if we worked out the formula for epsilon square and we had W vector was is $W_0 W_1 \dots W_{n-1}$ all the filter coefficients stacked input data vector all these were defined in the last class. So, y_n is $W^T x_n$ this we have seen yesterday in the last class and we also worked out this expression that is σ_d^2 which is the variance of d_n minus twice $W^T P$ which is a linear first order terms in terms of $W_0 W_1$ all that this is not second order. But there was a second order term $W^T R W$, R matrix into W, R matrix into W so all components of W will be present in the real thing vector elements or W is a column vector in every element of the column vector all the components of W will contribute and then they will get multiplied by another term another W term from this.

Lecture-15, Optimal FIR Filter (Contd.)

Watch later Share

Lecture 15

$\underline{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$
 $\underline{x}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} x(n) \\ x(n-1) \\ \vdots \\ x(n-N+1) \end{bmatrix}$
 $x(n)$: zero mean, real
 $N \times 1$

Block diagram: $x(n)$ enters a block labeled w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{N-1} , which outputs $y(n) = \underline{w}^T \underline{x}(n)$. This is added to $d(n)$ to produce $e(n)$.

$\tilde{e} = E[e^2(n)]$
 $\tilde{e} = \underline{d}^T \underline{d} - 2 \underline{w}^T \underline{p} + \underline{w}^T \underline{R} \underline{w}$

MORE VIDEOS

3:16 / 28:02

CC YouTube

So, essentially it will be a second order thing either W_0^2 W_1^2 W_2^2 kind of terms or $W_0 W_1$, $W_1 W_2$, $W_0 W_2$ like that ok. Together this is a second order thing and if we can then minimize if we take partial derivative equal to 0 with respect to each of the weights ok. Then we get n equations because n derivatives and second order stuff when derived it will give us to first order equation. So, we get first order equations total n capital N in number we solve them and find out the optimal one this is what we are doing.

And r was though defined yesterday it is the input autocorrelation matrix it follows that r is symmetric $r_{mn} = r_{nm}$ and p was the cross-correlation vector $E[x(n)d(n)]$ of this is $x(n)$, $x(n)$ above this, $x(n)$ will be here all the components of $x(n)$ the $x(n)$ vector times the scalar $d(n)$. So, it is $x(n)$ and $d(n)$ they are jointly stationary that is why this vector also independent of N like, capital R is independent of N because $x(n)$ is jointly stationary $x(n)$ is stationary W_{ss} ok. So, then I defined this total derivative operator ok which is what that if you have a function f it is nothing, but you are taking the partial derivatives and stacking them in a column $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \underline{w}}$ with respect to \underline{w} $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_0} \dots \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{N-1}}$ ok. So, then each of the partial derivative of F standard square with respect to W_0 W_{N-1} W_{N-1} minus 1 will be 0. That means, if I have instead of f this equal to 0.

So, basically it will mean capital N number of partial derivatives which equal to 0. So, I will get and second order stuff derived will give us first order stuff will give rise to first order stuff. So, I will have capital N number of first order equations linear equations I solve them that is my game plan. Now to do this, first see if this sigma d square is differentiated with respect to any of the weights, I get 0 because sigma d square is independent of weights. So, this term I do not have to bother about W transpose P, W transpose P is what W0 P0 W1 P1 like that ok.

So, P is like you can name them P0 1 element P1 dot dot dot P N minus 1. So, W transpose P we know it is W0 P0 dot dot dot dot general term maybe Wk Pk and lastly W N minus 1 P N minus 1. So, if I take the partial derivative of this with respect to any of the weights say del del Wk of this W transpose P. So, right hand side if I find I find that only here I got Wk present no other term has Wk. So, other terms will disappear Wk Pk when differentiated with respect to Wk will give rise to Pk.

Lecture 15

$\underline{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ \vdots \\ w_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$

$\underline{x}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} x(n) \\ x(n-1) \\ \vdots \\ x(n-N+1) \end{bmatrix}$

zero mean, real WSS

$y(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} w_k x(n-k) = \underline{w}^T \underline{x}(n)$

$e(n) = d(n) - y(n)$

$\tilde{e} = E[e(n)]$

$\tilde{e} = \sigma_d^2 - 2 \underline{w}^T \underline{p} + \underline{w}^T \underline{R} \underline{w}$

$\underline{R} = E[\underline{x}(n) \underline{x}^T(n)]$

$\underline{p} = E[\underline{x}(n) d(n)]$

$\nabla_{\underline{w}} J = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_0} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{N-1}} \end{bmatrix}$

$\nabla_{\underline{w}} \tilde{e} = 0$

$\frac{\partial (\underline{w}^T \underline{p})}{\partial w_k} = p_k$

$\underline{w}^T \underline{p} = w_0 p_0 + \dots + w_{N-1} p_{N-1}$

So, if I now stack the derivatives like del del W0 that will be P0 del del W1 that will be P1 this P0 P1. That means if I stack that is del W this term W transpose P it will be all this P0 P1 P2 like this which is P vector itself ok. So, one term will be minus 2 P. So, one term is

minus 2 P, minus 2 W transpose P differentiated give rise to P so minus 2 P and then another term will come from here, but that requires more involved derivation which I will do now W transpose R W.

Lecture -15 Optimal FIR Filter (Contd.)

Watch later Share

Lecture 15

$$\underline{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ \vdots \\ w_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{x}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} x(n) \\ x(n-1) \\ \vdots \\ x(n-N+1) \end{bmatrix}$$

zero mean, real WFS

$$y(n) = \underline{w}^T \underline{x}(n)$$

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = E[\tilde{\epsilon}(n)]$$

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = \underline{G}\underline{a} - 2\underline{W}^T\underline{P} + \underline{W}^T\underline{R}\underline{W}$$

$$\underline{R} = E[\underline{x}(n)\underline{x}^T(n)]$$

$$\underline{P} = E[\underline{x}(n)d(n)]$$

$$\underline{P} = \begin{bmatrix} p_0 \\ p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla_w f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_0} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{N-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla_w \tilde{\epsilon} = \underline{0}$$

$$\frac{\partial (\underline{W}^T\underline{P})}{\partial w_n} = p_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla_w (\underline{W}^T\underline{P}) = \underline{P}$$

$$\nabla_w \tilde{\epsilon} = -2\underline{P} +$$

MORE VIDEOS

7:33 / 28:02

cc YouTube

We have got this is nothing, but $W^T R W$ I take it together.

So, it is a matrix into a column vector. So, it is a column vector ok you can call it V . So, this is nothing, but $W_0 V_0, W_1 V_1, W_2 V_2$ and their summation. So, it is $W_i V_i$ ok V is all the terms are indexed like this V is a column vector $R^T W$ transpose V . So, we will have W^T transpose V row vector column vector if you do this multiplication, we will have $W_0 V_0 + W_1 V_1 + \dots$ this what you have, but V_i now consider V alone V_i means what this is your R matrix and this is your W vector W vector means $W_0 W_1 \dots W_N$ minus 1.

So, R into W will be a V vector i th component of that vector means if I is here that means you have to take this row i th row times this that will be the i th component V_i this i th row will be $R_{i0}, R_{i1}, R_{i2}, \dots, R_{iN-1}$ ok. So, $R_{i0}W_0 + R_{i1}W_1 + R_{i2}$

W_2 like that. So, this product R_i you bring another term j and $W_j R_i j$ will be from 0 to N minus 1. So, $R_i 0 W_0, R_i 1 W_1, R_i 2 W_2$ like that that it and this W_i will be here this is what it is. So, suppose I want to apply just this partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial W_k}$ for some k , a general weight I have taken W_k , k could be 0 1 up to N minus 1 on this this what I have to apply on this.

So, once W_k has been fixed by you my next step is first in this summation i goes from 0 to N minus 1. So, 0 1 2 dot dot dot N minus 1. So, it will become i equal to k also once that case I separate out separate out on this side W_k . W_k that is i equal to k and R_i equal to k . So, $R_k j W_j$ you understand i equal to k case. So, W_k where i is k and then again put k here i is k and so k is a W_j the same summation that is i equal to k case I separately write.

And then here I write i equal to 0 to N minus 1, but I also write i not equal to k . So, it goes from 0 to N minus 1, but it skips k th case and W_i inside there is no change whatever i you choose that i comes here. Now if I differentiate this with respect to W_k you remember outside I have $W_0 W_1$ up to W_{N-1} , but never I have W_k . So, this is like a constant because it is not W_k inside this summation for every W_i inside the summation I have $R_i 0 W_0, R_i 1 W_1, \text{dot dot dot } R_i k W_k$. So, there is one W_k inside $R_i k W_k$ and other terms.

Lecture -15 : Optimal FIR Filter (Contd.)

$$\underline{W}^T R \underline{W} = \underline{W}^T \underline{(R \underline{W})}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} W_i v_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} W_i \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} R_{ij} W_j$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} W_i \sum_{j=0, j \neq k}^{N-1} R_{ij} W_j + W_k \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} R_{kj} W_j$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial W_k} \rightarrow$$

$$\underline{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{v} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} W_0 \\ W_1 \\ \vdots \\ W_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

MORE VIDEOS

11:49 / 28:02

YouTube

So, if I differentiate these with respect to W_k I will get R_{ik} others go they disappear because they are not, I mean no W_k present there. So, $R_{ik} W_k$ when I differentiate that particular term in this summation with respect to W_k I get R_{ik} . So, this summation give rise to upper derivative that is if you do this it gives rise to summation R_{ik} , i equal to 0 to N minus 1, but i not equal to k . And now here I have got W_k here also and inside the summation j goes from 0 to N minus 1. So, j will become k once that time I have W_k or W_k .

So, W_k and this. So, it is a product of 2 this one and this one. So, you know the differentiation rule I will first differentiate with respect to this without changing this and then differentiate this without changing this ok. So, if I differentiate this with respect to W_k I get this term. And now if I hold this fix W_k if I differentiate this with respect to W_k I get $R_{kk} W_k$ differentiated W_k goes R_{kk} . So, R_{kk} and W_k fixed here.

So, $R_{kk} W_k$. Now you see i equal to k is not present here. Suppose i equal to k was to be present then that would have been a term $W_k R_{kk}$ and that is what it is $W_k R_{kk}$. So, I can as well absorb this in the summation and remove this i not equal to k ok. So, it will be R_{ik}

W_i and i from 0 to n minus 1 no longer i not equal to k that is absorbed in summation and another term $R_{kj} W_j$ and j equal to 0 to n minus 1 ok. Then R is symmetric matrix Hermitian real Hermitian so symmetric.

Lecture -15 : Optimal FIR Filter (Contd.)

$$\underline{W}^k R \underline{W} = \underline{W}^k \underline{(R \underline{W})}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} W_i \underline{v}_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} W_i \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} R_{ij} W_j$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} W_i \sum_{j=0, j \neq k}^{N-1} R_{ij} W_j + W_k \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} R_{kj} W_j$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial W_k} \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial W_k} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=0, i \neq k}^{N-1} W_i R_{ik} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} R_{kj} W_j + R_{kk} W_k$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} R_{ik} W_i + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} R_{kj} W_j$$

$\underline{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$ Watch later Share

$\underline{v} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} W_0 \\ W_1 \\ \vdots \\ W_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$

$R = \begin{bmatrix} R_{00} & R_{01} & \dots & R_{0,N-1} \\ R_{10} & R_{11} & \dots & R_{1,N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_{N-1,0} & R_{N-1,1} & \dots & R_{N-1,N-1} \end{bmatrix}$

MORE VIDEOS

14:44 / 28:02

CC YouTube

So, R_{ik} is same as R_{ki} . So, $R_{ki} W_i$ and $R_{kj} W_j$ both j and k and i are from 0 to n minus 1. So, the two sums will be same whether you put j here you can change the index from j to i you still have the same number of term same terms $R_{k0} W_0$, $R_{k1} W_1$ like that. So, if you change the index from j to i here in the summation it does not alter anything you are covering the same range. So, $R_{k0} W_0$ when i equal to 0, $R_{k1} W_1$ and like that.

So, no change whether you are using j as the index or i to replace it. So, I replace j by i here and R_{ik} is same as R_{ki} . So, I will have $R_{ki} W_i$ again $R_{ki} W_i$ same summation. So, it will be it will give me i should twice R_{ki} or maybe instead of replacing i, j by i I replace i by j ok. I am just fonder of that replace i by j .

So, it will be $R_{kj} R_{kj} W_j$ R_{ik} is R_{ki} and then replace i by j . So, $R_{kj} W_j$ same summation. So, j equal to 0 to N minus 1 ok. Now, look at this summation $R_{k0} W_0$ $R_{k1} W_1$ like that

what does it mean that if I have the R matrix and k th row and then W vector. So, I have got k th row R_k 0 W_0 , R_k 0 W_0 , R_k 1 W_1 , R_k 1 W_1 and so on and so forth.

So, basically it is nothing, but k th row times this vector that will be the derivative of this W transpose R_w with respect to W_k . So, if I want to differentiate W transpose R_w with respect to W_k I have to target the k th row and then this product. So, when it is W_0 I have to target the top row when it is W_1 I have to target the second row. So, top row times this that will give me derivative of this with respect to W_0 next row times this that will give me derivative of this with respect to W_1 and dot dot dot. So, if I want to put all the derivatives and stack them it will be just this resulting vector R times W this row times this will be if you carry out the product R_w this is the top element, but what is the top element this times this will be the derivative of W transpose R_w with respect to W_0 .

So, del of this del W_0 will come in the first guy then next row times this that is same as from this theory derivative of this with respect to W_1 . So, this matrix into vector if I carry out the product next guy from top will be derivative of this with respect to W_1 and dot dot dot. So, derivatives are stacked that means, if I put if I now take all the derivatives and put them in the stack of this quantity W transpose R_w it will be nothing, but there is a 2 and R and W all right. So, $2R_w$.

So, all the derivatives are stacked here this is the expression of the derivative. So, that should be equal to 0 vector. So, now then that means, $\frac{\partial \tilde{\epsilon}}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = 0$ which means \mathbf{w} which is the optimal weight down is $\mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{p}$. \mathbf{R} is invertible because we are assuming \mathbf{R} to be positive definite not just Hermitian or symmetric it is positive definite, which is a very standard assumption and this we discussed at length earlier. So, this is that optimal filter expression $\mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{p}$ ok.

So, \mathbf{w}_{opt} I write separately is $\mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{p}$ ok. then only this error power error variance expected power is minimized. If I choose this coefficient of this vector \mathbf{w}_{opt} here w_0, w_1 and w_{N-1} if I choose from this vector all right.

Lecture 15, Optimal FIR Filter (Contd.)

$\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$

$\mathbf{x}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} x(n) \\ x(n-1) \\ \vdots \\ x(n-N+1) \end{bmatrix}$

zero mean, real

$y(n) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}(n)$

$e(n) = d(n) - y(n)$

$\tilde{\epsilon} = E[\tilde{\epsilon}^2(n)]$

$\tilde{\epsilon} = d^2 - 2\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{w}$

$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \tilde{\epsilon} = 0$

$\frac{\partial (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{p})}{\partial w_k} = p_k$

$\Rightarrow \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p}$

$\mathbf{w}_{opt} = \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{p}$

$\mathbf{R} = E[\mathbf{x}(n)\mathbf{x}^T(n)]$

$\mathbf{p} = E[\mathbf{x}(n)d(n)]$

$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} p_0 & p_1 & \dots & p_{N-1} \\ p_1 & p_2 & \dots & p_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_{N-1} & p_N & \dots & p_{2N-2} \end{bmatrix}$

$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \tilde{\epsilon} = -2\mathbf{p} + 2\mathbf{R} \mathbf{w} = 0$

$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_{opt} = \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{p}$

One thing you see if I know that error e is d_N sorry e_N is d_N minus $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_N$ all right. At \mathbf{w} if you choose \mathbf{w}_{opt} then this is minimized, e^2 minimized ok \mathbf{w}_{opt} . Now, if I have to find out correlation between every component of \mathbf{x}_N vector that is x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} with e_N ok then what is the result. So, I take expected value of \mathbf{x}_N vector into e_N .

So, if you write the x_N vector fully here then first term will be x of N into e_n . So, correlation between x of N into e_n expected value then x_N minus 1 e_n another correlation between x_N minus 1 to e_n , then x_N minus 2 into e_n correlation between x_N minus 2 to e_n and like that. So, let us try to calculate this then e_n is a scalar. So, scalar is like a 1 by 1 matrix. I can write it also as e transpose N because for a scalar which I can view as 1 by 1 matrix its transposition is itself only one row one column. So, e_n is same as e transpose N if I substitute that e transpose N here that is e e transpose N that is transpose.

So, $x_N d_N$, d_N transpose is same as d_N . So, E of $x_N d_N$ transpose that is x of d_N , $x_N d_N$ that is my p vector minus E of x_N and transpose of this will be like A matrix B matrix AB transpose is B transpose A transpose. So, it will be x_N and then x_N transpose W transpose transpose that is W .

Lecture -15 : Optimal FIR Filter (Contd.)

$$d(n) = d(n) - w^T x(n)$$

$$E[x(n)e(n)] = E\left[x(n) e(n)^T\right]$$

$$= E\left[x(n) [d(n) - w^T x(n)]^T\right]$$

$$= p - E\left[x(n) x(n)^T w^T\right]$$

Another day I told you since this is the random part and this is constant you will get the same thing if you take W out and apply the E on this matrix x_N into x transpose is a matrix column vector row vector. So, if you apply E on every element of the matrix and then carry out the product you will get the same thing if you do not do that if you do this x_N into x

transpose in this matrix multiply this vector by the matrix then apply E. E will not work on the elements of this you will still work on the elements of this product matrix x^N into x transpose will get the same thing.

So, I can put it here and this is my R and this W is W_{opt} that is when I use W_{opt} which is $R^{-1}p$. So, $R R^{-1}p$, R and R^{-1} cancels identity matrix identity matrix times p is p and p minus p is 0 which means every element x^N , x^N minus 1 dot dot dot are uncorrelated with E_n this is an important result all right. Now, we have seen that optimal filter depends on two things R and p , R matrix and p vector, R matrix is a input autocorrelation matrix. Now, that may not be fixed always because input statistics can change depending on circumstance like say one person is speaking his voice has some kind of I mean autocorrelation and the person changes or even the words spoken by the person changes from you know time to time ok. So, autocorrelation matrix changes p vector changes like that.

Lecture -15: Optimal FIR Filter (Contd.)

$$e(n) = d(n) - W^T x(n)$$

$$W = W_{opt} = E[x(n)e(n)]$$

$$E[x(n)e(n)] = E[x(n) e^T(n)]$$

$$= E[x(n) [d(n) - W^T x(n)]^T]$$

$$\Rightarrow x(n), x(n-1), \dots, x(n-N+1) \text{ are uncorrelated with } e(n)$$

$$= 0$$

$$W_{opt} = R^{-1} p$$

MORE VIDEOS

25:30 / 28:02

CC YouTube

So, if they keep changing then I have a problem because if they do not change I know R matrix I know p vector $R^{-1}p$ I calculate offline. So, I get the if IR filter coefficients W_{opt} vector in that vector. So, constructed if IR filter forever construct the hardware

forever using those coefficients and carry on filtering, I will be very happy. But if R matrix and p vector keeps changing from time to time then right now maybe I have an optimal filter for the corrector correct p , but after sometime this will not be the optimal filter because R has changed p has changed point is can I like a mad guy every 10 seconds or every 10 minute or whatever you know from time to time recalculate W_{opt} then break up destroy I mean break up my hardware and reassemble my hardware again running for some time I cannot do that nobody can do that. Rather better will be if the filter has an learning mechanism or training mechanism by which it can learn about the changing statistics of input and cross correlation and you know I mean autocorrelation things from the data itself and it adjusts the filter coefficients you know clock to clock to clock n th clock to n plus 1th clock to n plus 2th clock.

So, that finally, filter weights again converse to new value of R inverse p because R has changed p has changed. So, W_{opt} has changed. So, that error pressure mechanism will make sure that the coefficients now again change more adapting clock after clock, but finally, they go to again this optimal one which is a new optimal because R is now new, p is new and it will continue. Such a filter is a filter of our interest and that is called adaptive filter. Now to go to adaptive filter there is an intermediate step where we still obtain this optimal filter only, but not by this method of R inverse p by an iterative method called steepest descent.

Lecture -15 : Optimal FIR Filter (Contd.)

$e(n) = d(n) - \underline{w}^t \underline{x}(n)$

$\underline{w} = \underline{w}_{opt} = E \{ \underline{x} \tilde{e}^t(n) \}$

Watch later Share

$$E \left[\underline{x}(n) e(n) \right] = E \left[\underline{x}(n) e^t(n) \right]$$

$$= E \left[\underline{x}(n) [d(n) - \underline{w}^t \underline{x}(n)] \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow x(n), x(n-1), \dots, x(n-N+1) \text{ are uncorrelated with } e(n).$$

$$= \underline{p} - E \left[\underbrace{\underline{x}(n) \underline{x}^t(n)}_{\underline{R}} \right] \underline{w} = \underline{0}$$

$$\underline{w}_{opt} = \underline{R}^{-1} \underline{p}$$

Steepest Descent

MORE VIDEOS

27:46 / 28:02

CC HD YouTube

We will move to this first this is an intermediate step to get at the adaptive filter belonging to least mean square LMS family. So, we will cover that in the next class. Thank you very much.