

Economic Operation and Control of Power System

Prof Narayana Prasad Padhy

Department of Electrical Engineering

Director MNIT Jaipur and Professor IIT Roorkee

Week - 03

Lecture – 12

Very good morning. Welcoming all to the NPTEL course on Economic Operation and Control of Power System. Today we will be talking about formulation of AC power flow, lecture number 12. Now all of you please try to understand we discussed about the DC power flow in our previous lecture, where an easy way of identifying the power flow in each and every transmission or distribution corridor of a power network can easily be determined. However, that will be a solution, but not necessarily to be an accurate solution considering the reactive power flow. So, for a practical applications we always use AC power flow technology or techniques to determine both AC and DC power flow or real and reactive power flow in the transmission as well as distribution corridors.

Now one of the very common method that is popularly known as Newton-Raphson method, which is generally an optimizing tool, but being used to obtain load flow solution of a power network. The main objective of this AC load flow or AC power flow problem is the Newton-Raphson method, but which is also popularly known as Newton's point form method in which what we say the solution of a vector matrix which is nonlinear in nature, where

$$F(X) = 0 \quad \dots(12.1)$$

But F is the vector valued nonlinear function of a vector valued argumented x. We'll get into the details of the procedures and we'll try to solve a numerical example at the end to make sure or build confidence on our theoretical understanding. Now, what is Newton-Raphson method? Just a basic, when you say F of x equal to 0, that is equation number 12.2.

$$F(X) = 0 = F(X^{(0)}) + J\Delta X + \text{higher order terms} \quad \dots(12.2)$$

which talks about majorly the F of x, its initial value as you could see, and then plus J times delta x and J is popularly known as the Jacobian matrix and delta x is the first order correction to x naught plus its higher order terms which are normally neglected because of its magnitude and J of ij which is the partial differentiation of f of i with respect to x of j.

Now, excluding the slack bus, now in any power network, I'll show you an example and then come back very quickly. In any power network let us look into this network. You could see it is a three bus network. This is my bus number one, this is my bus number two and bus number three. Now, each and every bus do possess four variables, okay, which is real power, reactive power, voltage and angle. Now, what happens in any given bus, only two parameters are known to me at a given point of time based on its nature and rest of the two parameters that need to be calculated. So, imagine if P and Q is known to me, then normally we call it as a PQ bus or a load bus, that is my bus number two. Now, if I say that P and V is known to me, then I have to calculate Q and delta and that is known as my PV bus which is my bus number two. And what is PV bus? Only for a generator, if a generator is connected to a particular location, then both real power output and voltage of that bus is known to me and hence a generator bus which is popularly known as PV bus and when P and Q are known to me, they are the load buses or known as PQ buses.

But moving to a slack bus where V and delta, when V is known to me and delta is known to me and I have to calculate P and Q, then that bus is known as slack bus which is my bus number one. So, in any power system or power network, especially to obtain the voltage solutions, we have to consider one bus which is slack bus where V and delta is known to me and rest of the buses are PQ buses or PV buses depending upon the parameters which are known to me at that particular bus, whether it is load connected or generator connected, we decide whether it is a PV bus or PQ bus. So, with this background, now I am going back to my original discussion, excluding the slack bus means if you ignore the bus where V and delta are actually known to me, there are usually two equations for each node of the following form. So, one is your P_i and the second one is actually ΔP_i and ΔQ_i . So, what is ΔP_i ? ΔP_i is nothing but summation of partial differentiation of P_i with respect to $\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta V_j$ plus $\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta P_j$ upon $\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta V_j$.

So, this equation is basically representing your series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q. Now, the question here, what is ΔP_i and what is ΔQ_i ? So, ΔP_i is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by delta. So, ΔP_i is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by delta. Now, the question here, what is ΔP_i and what is ΔQ_i ? So, ΔP_i is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by delta of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q. Now, the question here, what is ΔP_i and what is ΔQ_i ? So, ΔP_i is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and

something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by delta of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q. Now, the question here, what is delta Pi and what is delta Qi? So, delta Pi is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by delta So, this equation is basically representing your series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q. Now, the question here, what is delta Pi and what is delta Qi? So, delta Pi is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by delta So, this equation is basically representing your expansion series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q. Now, the question here, what is delta Pi and what is delta Qi? So, delta Pi is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by delta second one is actually delta Pi and delta Qi. So, what is delta Pi? Delta Pi is nothing but summation of partial differentiation of Pi with respect to $\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial V_j} \Delta V_j + \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial V_j} \Delta V_j$. So, this equation is basically representing your expansion series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q.

Now, the question here, what is delta Pi and what is delta Qi? So, delta Pi is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by delta second one is actually delta Pi and delta Qi. So, what is delta Pi? Delta Pi is nothing but summation of partial differentiation of Pi with respect to $\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial V_j} \Delta V_j + \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial V_j} \Delta V_j$. So, this equation is basically representing your expansion series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q. Now, the question here, what is delta Pi and what is delta Qi? So, delta Pi is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation.

So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by delta excluding the slack bus means if you ignore the bus where V and delta are actually known to me, there are usually two equations for each node of the following form. So, one is your Pi and the second one is actually delta Pi and delta ky. So, what is delta Pi? Delta Pi is nothing but summation of partial differentiation of Pi with respect to $\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial V_j} \Delta V_j + \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial V_j} \Delta V_j$. So, this equation is basically representing your expansion series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q. Now, the question here, what is delta Pi and what is delta Qi? So, delta Pi is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have

calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by ΔS_o , with this background, now I am going back to my original discussion, excluding the slack bus means if you ignore the bus where V and Δ are actually known to me, there are usually two equations for each node of the following form. So, one is your P_i and the second one is actually ΔP_i and Δk_y . So, what is ΔP_i ? ΔP_i is nothing but summation of partial differentiation of P_i with respect to $\text{doub } J \text{ times } \Delta J \text{ plus } VJ \Delta P_i J \text{ upon } VJ \text{ times } \Delta VJ \text{ upon } VJ$. So, this equation is basically representing your expansion series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q . Now, the question here, what is ΔP_i and what is ΔQ_i ? So, ΔP_i is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by Δ we decide whether it is a PV bus or PQ bus. So, with this background, now I am going back to my original discussion, excluding the slack bus means if you ignore the bus where V and Δ are actually known to me, there are usually two equations for each node of the following form. So, one is your P_i and the second one is actually ΔP_i and Δk_y . So, what is ΔP_i ? ΔP_i is nothing but summation of partial differentiation of P_i with respect to $\text{doub } J \text{ times } \Delta J \text{ plus } VJ \Delta P_i J \text{ upon } VJ \text{ times } \Delta VJ \text{ upon } VJ$. So, this equation is basically representing your expansion series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q .

Now, the question here, what is ΔP_i and what is ΔQ_i ? So, ΔP_i is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by Δ rest of the buses are PQ buses or PV buses depending upon the parameters which are known to me at that particular bus, whether it is load connected or generator connected, we decide whether it is a PV bus or PQ bus. So, with this background, now I am going back to my original discussion, excluding the slack bus means if you ignore the bus where V and Δ are actually known to me, there are usually two equations for each node of the following form. So, one is your P_i and the second one is actually ΔP_i and Δk_y . So, what is ΔP_i ? ΔP_i is nothing but summation of partial differentiation of P_i with respect to $\text{doub } J \text{ times } \Delta J \text{ plus } VJ \Delta P_i J \text{ upon } VJ \text{ times } \Delta VJ \text{ upon } VJ$. So, this equation is basically representing your expansion series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q . Now, the question here, what is ΔP_i and what is ΔQ_i ? So, ΔP_i is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by Δ So, in any power system or power network, especially to obtain the voltage solutions, we have to consider one bus which is slack bus where V and Δ is known to me and rest of the buses are PQ buses or PV buses depending upon the parameters which are known to me at that particular bus, whether it is load connected or generator connected, we decide

whether it is a PV bus or PQ bus. So, with this background, now I am going back to my original discussion, excluding the slack bus means if you ignore the bus where V and δ are actually known to me, there are usually two equations for each node of the following form. So, one is your P_i and the second one is actually ΔP_i and $\Delta \delta_i$.

So, what is ΔP_i ? ΔP_i is nothing but summation of partial differentiation of P_i with respect to δ_j times $\Delta \delta_j$ plus $\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial V_j} \Delta V_j$ upon $\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial V_j} \Delta V_j$. So, this equation is basically representing your expansion series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q . Now, the question here, what is ΔP_i and what is ΔQ_i ? So, ΔP_i is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by Δ . So, in any power system or power network, especially to obtain the voltage solutions, we have to consider one bus which is slack bus where V and δ is known to me and rest of the buses are PQ buses or PV buses depending upon the parameters which are known to me at that particular bus, whether it is load connected or generator connected, we decide whether it is a PV bus or PQ bus. So, with this background, now I am going back to my original discussion, excluding the slack bus means if you ignore the bus where V and δ are actually known to me, there are usually two equations for each node of the following form.

So, one is your P_i and the second one is actually ΔP_i and $\Delta \delta_i$. So, what is ΔP_i ? ΔP_i is nothing but summation of partial differentiation of P_i with respect to δ_j times $\Delta \delta_j$ plus $\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial V_j} \Delta V_j$ upon $\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial V_j} \Delta V_j$. So, this equation is basically representing your expansion series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q . Now, the question here, what is ΔP_i and what is ΔQ_i ? So, ΔP_i is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by Δ . But moving to a slack bus where V and δ , when V is known to me and δ is known to me and I have to calculate P and Q , then that bus is known as slack bus which is my bus number one.

So, in any power system or power network, especially to obtain the voltage solutions, we have to consider one bus which is slack bus where V and δ is known to me and rest of the buses are PQ buses or PV buses depending upon the parameters which are known to me at that particular bus, whether it is load connected or generator connected, we decide whether it is a PV bus or PQ bus. So, with this background, now I am going back to my original discussion, excluding the slack bus means if you ignore the bus where V and δ are actually known to me, there are usually two equations for each node of the following form. So, one is your P_i and the second one is actually ΔP_i and $\Delta \delta_i$. So, what is ΔP_i ? ΔP_i is nothing but summation of partial differentiation of P_i with respect to

δV_i times δV_j plus $V_j \delta P_i$ upon V_j times δV_j upon V_j . So, this equation is basically representing your expansion series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q. Now, the question here, what is δP_i and what is δQ_i ? So, δP_i is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by delta and when P and Q are known to me, they are the load buses or known as PQ buses. But moving to a slack bus where V and delta, when V is known to me and delta is known to me and I have to calculate P and Q, then that bus is known as slack bus which is my bus number one. So, in any power system or power network, especially to obtain the voltage solutions, we have to consider one bus which is slack bus where V and delta is known to me and rest of the buses are PQ buses or PV buses depending upon the parameters which are known to me at that particular bus, whether it is load connected or generator connected, we decide whether it is a PV bus or PQ bus. So, with this background, now I am going back to my original discussion, excluding the slack bus means if you ignore the bus where V and delta are actually known to me, there are usually two equations for each node of the following form.

So, one is your P_i and the second one is actually δP_i and δQ_i . So, what is δP_i ? δP_i is nothing but summation of partial differentiation of P_i with respect to δV_j times δV_j plus $V_j \delta P_i$ upon V_j times δV_j upon V_j . So, this equation is basically representing your expansion series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q. Now, the question here, what is δP_i and what is δQ_i ? So, δP_i is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by delta and when P and Q are known to me, they are the load buses or known as PQ buses. But moving to a slack bus where V and delta, when V is known to me and delta is known to me and I have to calculate P and Q, then that bus is known as slack bus which is my bus number one. So, in any power system or power network, especially to obtain the voltage solutions, we have to consider one bus which is slack bus where V and delta is known to me and rest of the buses are PQ buses or PV buses depending upon the parameters which are known to me at that particular bus, whether it is load connected or generator connected, we decide whether it is a PV bus or PQ bus. So, with this background, now I am going back to my original discussion, excluding the slack bus means if you ignore the bus where V and delta are actually known to me, there are usually two equations for each node of the following form. So, one is your P_i and the second one is actually δP_i and δQ_i . So, what is δP_i ? δP_i is nothing but summation of partial differentiation of P_i with respect to δV_j times δV_j plus $V_j \delta P_i$ upon V_j times δV_j upon V_j . So, this equation is basically representing your expansion series expansion of my P and similarly, I can generate one more equation for Q. Now, the question here, what is δP_i and what is δQ_i ? So,

ΔP_i is nothing but the specified value at a particular bus which is known to me and something that I have calculated through my numerical equation. So, the difference between specified and calculated is given by ΔP_i and the difference between specified and calculated for Q is known as ΔQ_i . So, you can obtain ΔP_i and ΔQ_i as and when required through a cyclic method. Now, when you apply a Jacobian matrix, we need to understand bit of matrix inversions, but let us see that if the equation is given by $Ax = b$, then simply you can calculate x which is $A^{-1}b$. We have been taught from a very long back, but please try to understand, here my b , A , x , they are slightly different. What is b here? b is nothing but all the change in real power as well as change in reactive power at each and every bus and its transpose is represented by b and x is nothing but all the change in Δ , the angles and the change in voltage upon V_2 because here I could have gone simply ΔV_2 , but the reason of going ΔV_2 upon V_2 is for my numerical benefit that you will see how does it help by taking ΔV_2 upon V_2 but the reason of going ΔV_2 upon V_2 is for my numerical benefit that you will see how does it help by taking ΔV_2 upon V_2 upon V_2 because here I could have gone simply ΔV_2 , but the reason of going ΔV_2 upon V_2 is for my numerical benefit that you will see how does it help by taking ΔV_2 upon V_2 all the change in Δ , the angles and the change in voltage upon V_2 because here I could have gone simply ΔV_2 , but the reason of going ΔV_2 upon V_2 is for my numerical benefit that you will see how does it help by taking ΔV_2 upon V_2 is represented by b and x is nothing but all the change in Δ , the angles and the change in voltage upon V_2 because here I could have gone simply ΔV_2 , but the reason of going ΔV_2 upon V_2 is for my numerical benefit that you will see how does it help by taking ΔV_2 upon V_2 instead of simply ΔV_2 . So, this is change of V_2 upon V_2 and total transpose. So, now b is known to me, x is known to me and what is A ? A is a matrix consisting of i elements, a_{ii} , a_{jj} , diagonal elements and then cross diagonal elements are a_{ij} and a_{ji} . Now, if you get into the details of a_{ii} , a_{ii} , so a_{ii} is nothing but the variation of P with respect to Δ and b as well as Q with respect to Δ and b .

So, the a_{ii} element which is the partial differentiation of P_{ii} upon ΔI , V_i times P_{ii} upon V_i and Q_{ii} upon ΔI as well as Q_i upon Δb . So, you have taken the partial differentiation of P_{ii} with respect to Δi and V_i . Similarly, you've taken the partial differentiation of Q with respect to Δi and V_i . Now, in the case of a_{ij} , you have take the partial differentiation of actually P_{ij} with respect to Δj and P_{ij} with respect to the V_j and similarly Q_{ij} with respect to Δj and Q_{ij} with respect to V_j . Now, with this fundamental, now let us try to understand the basics of a transmission line model.

So, you have a physical system. We need to model each and every line and each and every device, the buses we have understood. Now, let us focus a little bit on transmission line models. As you know, transmission line models are either P_i models or they are actually T models, but considering it is a purely p_i model as you could see, the line resistance is known

to me, line reactance is known to me and you can also experience bit of capacitance between transmission line and ground. So, you could see this capacitance which are present here. Now, this is my transmission line representation. Now, if I have to understand what is voltage at each end of the transmission line, so I can experience some V_i here and we can experience some V_j here as well as some angle δ_i and δ_j and similarly, we can also experience the series impedance of the line as well as shunt impedance of the line which is Y_{ij} and Y_{ij} with different magnitudes of series as well as shunt elements. Now, once you understand the series impedance, shunt impedance and the angle and voltage at each bus, so that represents my transmission line. So, then you can easily calculate what is the current flowing between ij , you can calculate the current model which is i_{ij} . Theoretically, as you could see from the equation number 12.11:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ij} &= V_i y_{ii} + (V_i - V_j) y_{ij} \\ &= V_i (y_{ii} - y_{ij}) - V_j y_{ij} \quad \dots(12.11) \end{aligned}$$

The current which is flowing in the line ij , the current between bus number i to j which is V_i , Y_{ii} plus V_i minus V_j times Y_{ij} . So, the initial the current which is being injected plus the current which has been created because of voltage difference upon the admittance. So, once you know the current, we can also calculate the apparent power using the expression S_{ij} which is $V_i I_{ij}$ conjugate. Now, i_{ij} is known to me, V_i is known to me, so I can apply and get my equation 12.13 which is given by:

$$S_{ij} = |V_i|^2 y_{ii}^* + |V_i|^2 y_{ij}^* - V_i V_j^* y_{ij}^* \dots 12.13$$

for my apparent power. So, once I get my apparent power, I can expand it, get the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15 given by:

$$P_{ij} = |V_i|^2 |y_{ii}| \cos(\gamma_{ij}) - |V_i| |V_j| |y_{ij}| \cos(\delta_i - \delta_j - \gamma_{ij}) \quad \dots(12.14)$$

$$Q_{ij} = -|V_i|^2 |y_{ii}| - |V_i|^2 |y_{ij}| \sin(\gamma_{ij}) - |V_i| |V_j| |y_{ij}| \sin(\delta_i - \delta_j - \gamma_{ij}) \dots(12.15)$$

So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as through equation number 12.14 and 12.15. So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15. So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as once I get my apparent power, I can expand it, get the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15. So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as Now, i_{ij} is known to me, V_i

is known to me, so I can apply and get my equation 12.13 for my apparent power. So, once I get my apparent power, I can expand it, get the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15. So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as calculate the apparent power using the expression S_{ij} which is V_i $i j$ conjugate. Now, iij is known to me, V_i is known to me, so I can apply and get my equation 12.13 for my apparent power. So, once I get my apparent power, I can expand it, get the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15. So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as the current which is flowing in the line iij , the current between bus number i to j which is V_i , Y_{ii} plus V_i minus V_j times Y_{ij} . So, the initial the current which is being injected plus the current which has been created because of voltage difference upon the admittance.

So, once you know the current, we can also calculate the apparent power using the expression S_{ij} which is V_i $i j$ conjugate. Now, iij is known to me, V_i is known to me, so I can apply and get my equation 12.13 for my apparent power. So, once I get my apparent power, I can expand it, get the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15. So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as the current which is flowing in the line iij , the current between bus number i to j which is V_i , Y_{ii} plus V_i minus V_j times Y_{ij} . So, the initial the current which is being injected plus the current which has been created because of voltage difference upon the admittance. So, once you know the current, we can also calculate the apparent power using the expression S_{ij} which is V_i $i j$ conjugate. Now, iij is known to me, V_i is known to me, so I can apply and get my equation 12.13 for my apparent power. So, once I get my apparent power, I can expand it, get the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15. So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as Theoretically, as you could see from the equation number 12.11, the current which is flowing in the line iij , the current between bus number i to j which is V_i , Y_{ii} plus V_i minus V_j times Y_{ij} . So, the initial the current which is being injected plus the current which has been created because of voltage difference upon the admittance. So, once you know the current, we can also calculate the apparent power using the expression S_{ij} which is V_i $i j$ conjugate. Now, iij is known to me, V_i is known to me, so I can apply and get my equation 12.13 for my apparent power. So, once I get my apparent power, I can expand it, get the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15. So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as and voltage at each bus, so that represents my transmission line. So, then you can easily calculate what is the current

flowing between ij , you can calculate the current model which is i_j . Theoretically, as you could see from the equation number 12.11, the current which is flowing in the line ij , the current between bus number i to j which is V_i , Y_{ij} plus V_i minus V_j times Y_{ij} . So, the initial the current which is being injected plus the current which has been created because of voltage difference upon the admittance. So, once you know the current, we can also calculate the apparent power using the expression S_{ij} which is $V_i i_j$ conjugate. Now, i_j is known to me, V_i is known to me, so I can apply and get my equation 12.13 for my apparent power. So, once I get my apparent power, I can expand it, get the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15. So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as Y_{ij} with different magnitudes of series as well as shunt elements. Now, once you understand the series impedance, shunt impedance and the angle and voltage at each bus, so that represents my transmission line. So, then you can easily calculate what is the current flowing between ij , you can calculate the current model which is i_j . Theoretically, as you could see from the equation number 12.11, the current which is flowing in the line ij , the current between bus number i to j which is V_i , Y_{ij} plus V_i minus V_j times Y_{ij} . So, the initial the current which is being injected plus the current which has been created because of voltage difference upon the admittance. So, once you know the current, we can also calculate the apparent power using the expression S_{ij} which is $V_i i_j$ conjugate. Now, i_j is known to me, V_i is known to me, so I can apply and get my equation 12.13 for my apparent power. So, once I get my apparent power, I can expand it, get the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15. So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as shunt impedance of the line which is Y_{ij} and Y_{ij} with different magnitudes of series as well as shunt elements.

Now, once you understand the series impedance, shunt impedance and the angle and voltage at each bus, so that represents my transmission line. So, then you can easily calculate what is the current flowing between ij , you can calculate the current model which is i_j . Theoretically, as you could see from the equation number 12.11, the current which is flowing in the line ij , the current between bus number i to j which is V_i , Y_{ij} plus V_i minus V_j times Y_{ij} . So, the initial the current which is being injected plus the current which has been created because of voltage difference upon the admittance. So, once you know the current, we can also calculate the apparent power using the expression S_{ij} which is $V_i i_j$ conjugate. Now, i_j is known to me, V_i is known to me, so I can apply and get my equation 12.13 for my apparent power. So, once I get my apparent power, I can expand it, get the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15.

So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as shunt impedance of the line which is Y_{ij} and Y_{ij} with different magnitudes of series as well as shunt elements. Now, once you understand the series impedance, shunt impedance and the angle and voltage at each bus, so that represents my transmission line. So, then you can easily calculate what is the current flowing between ij , you can calculate the current model which is ij . Theoretically, as you could see from the equation number 12.11, the current which is flowing in the line ij , the current between bus number i to j which is V_i , Y_{ii} plus V_i minus V_j times Y_{ij} . So, the initial the current which is being injected plus the current which has been created because of voltage difference upon the admittance. So, once you know the current, we can also calculate the apparent power using the expression S_{ij} which is V_i ij conjugate. Now, ij is known to me, V_i is known to me, so I can apply and get my equation 12.13 for my apparent power. So, once I get my apparent power, I can expand it, get the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15. So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as and we can experience some V_j here as well as some angle δ_i and δ_j and similarly, we can also experience the series impedance of the line as well as shunt impedance of the line which is Y_{ij} and Y_{ij} with different magnitudes of series as well as shunt elements. Now, once you understand the series impedance, shunt impedance and the angle and voltage at each bus, so that represents my transmission line. So, then you can easily calculate what is the current flowing between ij , you can calculate the current model which is ij . Theoretically, as you could see from the equation number 12.11, the current which is flowing in the line ij , the current between bus number i to j which is V_i , Y_{ii} plus V_i minus V_j times Y_{ij} .

So, the initial the current which is being injected plus the current which has been created because of voltage difference upon the admittance. So, once you know the current, we can also calculate the apparent power using the expression S_{ij} which is V_i ij conjugate. Now, ij is known to me, V_i is known to me, so I can apply and get my equation 12.13 for my apparent power. So, once I get my apparent power, I can expand it, get the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15. So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as and we can experience some V_j here as well as some angle δ_i and δ_j and similarly, we can also experience the series impedance of the line as well as shunt impedance of the line which is Y_{ij} and Y_{ij} with different magnitudes of series as well as shunt elements. Now, once you understand the series impedance, shunt impedance and the angle and voltage at each bus, so that represents my transmission line. So, then you can easily calculate what is the current flowing between ij , you can calculate the current model which is ij . Theoretically, as you could see from the equation number 12.11, the current which is flowing in the line ij , the current between bus number i to j which is V_i , Y_{ii} plus V_i minus V_j times Y_{ij} .

So, the initial the current which is being injected plus the current which has been created because of voltage difference upon the admittance. So, once you know the current, we can also calculate the apparent power using the expression S_{ij} which is $V_i I_{ij}$ conjugate. Now, I_{ij} is known to me, V_i is known to me, so I can apply and get my equation 12.13 for my apparent power. So, once I get my apparent power, I can expand it, get the real component and reactive component that will give me the real power flow as well as the reactive power flow through equation number 12.14 and 12.15. So, one can easily say what is the real power flow between bus number i and j as well as the reactive power flow between bus number i to j based on these two equations 12.14 and 12.15. I do strongly recommend all of you to derive the expression and kind of separate them real and reactive components and then the imaginary components will go to Q and the real component will move to P side. Now, as you can also see that in any power system along with the transmission line, we do also have few devices which is commonly known as transformers. So, those transformers also need to be modeled. Similar to transmission line, we need to model the transformers. Transformers are always at the end of the line or in the beginning of the line. So, how do we model transformers are becoming very interesting. The modeling of transformer is also same as my transmission line with minor differences. So, what we do, we have a transmission line with the impedance with resistance and reactance and then you have a tap and the tap actually we keep on varying, we keep on varying and then connected to bus number j .

So, it is almost similar to my transmission line model, but this end point instead of connecting to the directly bus number j , now it is connecting to a variable coil. So, the voltage can easily be varied. Now, the flow as measured at each end of the transformer is given by the following equation. As you could see, now the potential at the V_m which is now slightly different which is α times V_j depending upon your tap ratio in the transformers. So, you can calculate what is your series impedance, the tap ratio, the series impedance is given by this, the tap ratio is also known to me which is a phasor mainly and once you know the series impedance and phase tap ratio, then you can calculate the current I_{ij} in a different way. So, finally 12.18 represent the current in the transmission line between bus i to j is given by:

$$I_{ij} = (V_i - V_m) y_{ij} \quad \dots(12.16)$$

$$I_{ij} = V_i y_{ij} - V_m y_{ij} \quad \dots(12.17)$$

$$I_{ij} = V_i y_{ij} - \alpha_{ij} V_j y_{ij} \quad \dots(12.18)$$

Even for the same equation, the current is known to you, the voltage is known to you. So, you can calculate S_{ij} for the transformer modeling 12.19, then you get two components separate them out, you get real power and reactive power.

So, for separate for any bus actually for ij and for the real power and reactive power, you derive what is 12.20 and 12.21.

$$P_{ij} = |V_i|^2 |y_{ij}| \cos(\theta_{ij}) - |\alpha_{ij}| |V_i| |V_j| |y_{ij}| \cos(\delta_i - \delta_j - \theta_t - \gamma_{ij}) \dots(12.20)$$

$$Q_{ij} = -|V_i|^2 |y_{ij}| \sin(\theta_{ij}) - |\alpha_{ij}| |V_i| |V_j| |y_{ij}| \sin(\delta_i - \delta_j - \theta_t - \gamma_{ij}) \dots(12.21)$$

where you can easily understand having a transformer modeling into in a mathematical expression, the real power flow and reactive power flow can easily be calculated. So, once you have the transformer real and reactive power equation as well as transmission line real and reactive power equations, the probability is easy to move for the Newton-Raphson model because the real power is known to me, reactive power is known to me. Now, to differentiate to create the Jacobian. Now, I am connecting.

So, when you move here, when you get into this equation, please try to understand. So, what you are doing to solve this equation? So, for me, once I know the delta and once I know the V, then probably I know everything and so these variables need to be calculated. So, X need to be calculated by inverting A times B assuming B is known to me and A is known to me, then only I can calculate X. So, to calculate X actually I need to know what is A and B. So, B is known to me, but what is A? A is nothing but my Jacobian for an example. So, where actually I have to substitute these elements, so what is partial differentiation of P ii upon delta i? So, for that I must know what is P i i.

So, once I know P ii, I can also understand the partial differentiation of P ii with respect to delta i. Similarly, if I know what is P ij, I can differentiate with respect to delta j and get this element and that is the only reason. Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P ij, Q ij is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations.

Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have HVDC corridors because for huge power transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then so this is what this because the transmission line do have HVDC corridors because for huge power transformer

transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have XVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have XVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have XVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P_{ij} , P_{ij} is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have XVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then Similarly, if I know what is P_{ij} , I can differentiate with respect to δ_j and get this element and that is the only reason. Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P_{ij} , P_{ij} is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have XVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of

having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then the partial differentiation of P_{ii} with respect to δ_i . Similarly, if I know what is P_{ij} , I can differentiate with respect to δ_j and get this element and that is the only reason. Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P_{ij} , P_{ij} is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have HVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then So, once I know P_{ii} , I can also understand the partial differentiation of P_{ii} with respect to δ_i . Similarly, if I know what is P_{ij} , I can differentiate with respect to δ_j and get this element and that is the only reason. Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P_{ij} , P_{ij} is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have HVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then so what is partial differentiation of P_{ii} upon δ_i ? So, for that I must know what is P_{ii} .

So, once I know P_{ii} , I can also understand the partial differentiation of P_{ii} with respect to δ_i . Similarly, if I know what is P_{ij} , I can differentiate with respect to δ_j and get this element and that is the only reason. Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P_{ij} , P_{ij} is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have HVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form.

So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then So, where actually I have to substitute these elements, so what is partial differentiation of P_{ii} upon Δ_i ? So, for that I must know what is P_{ii} . So, once I know P_{ii} , I can also understand the partial differentiation of P_{ii} with respect to Δ_i . Similarly, if I know what is P_{ij} , I can differentiate with respect to Δ_j and get this element and that is the only reason. Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P_{ij} , P_{ij} is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle.

Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have XVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then so what is partial differentiation of P_{ii} upon Δ_i ? So, for that I must know what is P_{ii} . So, once I know P_{ii} , I can also understand the partial differentiation of P_{ii} with respect to Δ_i . Similarly, if I know what is P_{ij} , I can differentiate with respect to Δ_j and get this element and that is the only reason. Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P_{ij} , P_{ij} is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have XVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then So, B is known to me, but what is A? A is nothing but my Jacobian for an example. So, where actually I have to substitute these elements, so what is partial differentiation of P_{ii} upon Δ_i ? So, for that I must know what is P_{ii} . So, once I know P_{ii} , I can also understand the partial differentiation of P_{ii} with respect to Δ_i . Similarly, if I know what is P_{ij} , I can differentiate with respect to Δ_j and get this element and that is the only reason. Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P_{ij} , P_{ij} is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have XVDC corridors because for huge power transformer

transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then So, to calculate X actually I need to know what is A and B. So, B is known to me, but what is A? A is nothing but my Jacobian for an example. So, where actually I have to substitute these elements, so what is partial differentiation of P_{ij} upon δ_i ? So, for that I must know what is P_{ii} . So, once I know P_{ii} , I can also understand the partial differentiation of P_{ii} with respect to δ_i . Similarly, if I know what is P_{ij} , I can differentiate with respect to δ_j and get this element and that is the only reason.

Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P_{ij} , P_{ij} is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have HVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then So, to calculate X actually I need to know what is A and B.

So, B is known to me, but what is A? A is nothing but my Jacobian for an example. So, where actually I have to substitute these elements, so what is partial differentiation of P_{ij} upon δ_i ? So, for that I must know what is P_{ii} . So, once I know P_{ii} , I can also understand the partial differentiation of P_{ii} with respect to δ_i . Similarly, if I know what is P_{ij} , I can differentiate with respect to δ_j and get this element and that is the only reason. Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P_{ij} , P_{ij} is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have HVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then So, X need to be calculated by inverting A times B assuming B is known to me and A is known to me, then only I can calculate X. So, to calculate X actually I need to know what is A and B. So, B is known to me, but what is A? A is nothing but my Jacobian for an example. So, where actually I have to substitute these

elements, so what is partial differentiation of P_{ii} upon Δ_i ? So, for that I must know what is P_{ii} . So, once I know P_{ii} , I can also understand the partial differentiation of P_{ii} with respect to Δ_i . Similarly, if I know what is P_{ij} , I can differentiate with respect to Δ_j and get this element and that is the only reason. Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P_{ij} , P_{ij} is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have HVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then everything and so these variables need to be calculated. So, X need to be calculated by inverting A times B assuming B is known to me and A is known to me, then only I can calculate X. So, to calculate X actually I need to know what is A and B. So, B is known to me, but what is A? A is nothing but my Jacobian for an example. So, where actually I have to substitute these elements, so what is partial differentiation of P_{ii} upon Δ_i ? So, for that I must know what is P_{ii} . So, once I know P_{ii} , I can also understand the partial differentiation of P_{ii} with respect to Δ_i . Similarly, if I know what is P_{ij} , I can differentiate with respect to Δ_j and get this element and that is the only reason. Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P_{ij} , P_{ij} is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because the transmission line do have HVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then to calculate X actually I need to know what is A and B. So, B is known to me, but what is A? A is nothing but my Jacobian for an example. So, where actually I have to substitute these elements, so what is partial differentiation of P_{ii} upon Δ_i ? So, for that I must know what is P_{ii} . So, once I know P_{ii} , I can also understand the partial differentiation of P_{ii} with respect to Δ_i . Similarly, if I know what is P_{ij} , I can differentiate with respect to Δ_j and get this element and that is the only reason. Now, you are very confident the moment you have this 12.14 and 15, where P_{ij} , P_{ij} is known to me, I can easily partially differentiate with respect to any variable that is either voltage or angle. Similarly, for transformer flow equations also, I can do similar job by differentiating these equations. Now, sometimes you may have a model like a DC link model, so this is what this because

the transmission line do have HVDC corridors because for huge power transformer transmission, instead of having overhead lines, we go underground cables or sometimes we go for HVDC transmission for huge power transformer, where all the energy is being transmitted in a DC form. So, what we do, we get the power at AC, we convert into DC, take it to 500 miles or 5000 kilometers and then we convert DC to AC and then cater to a particular city.

For example, if I do have a HVDC corridor between Delhi to Mumbai, so what I can do, I can generate the power in AC, no doubt. Once it is available to me, I convert them into DC, take that corridor till Mumbai and then convert that power DC to AC and then cater the Mumbai city without any AC transmission. So, there is the advantage of that actually, you know, you need not put any physical transformers here and there and then probably you can avoid, you know, the overhead lines which is certainly a challenging as of now, because we do not have any more space, so we need to move to a HVDC corridor in the near future or near the gate. So, this is what the DC link model look like, where you have Vdc plus and minus which could be any range, probably it is 500 kilovolt plus or minus and then you have different configurations. Now, assuming you have transmission line, transformers and HVDC corridors, these are the maximum three things that you can experience in a transmission system and then you have generator buses, load buses and slack buses, so that is how the overall system will look like physically and those physical system need to be converted into mathematical equations and then solved so that the voltage and angle each and every bus will be known to me.

So, these are the input data and then we do bit of process and then output. So, this table will help you to understand more in detail how the process actually moves theoretically. This is one important part, the step by step procedure of Newton Raphson. Here I will go little slow to make you understand why these steps are important? First of all, what you have to do, given a system you have to immediately calculate the bus admittance matrix.

You have been taught how to calculate bus admittance matrix. In my previous lecture, it is quite simple. So, why bus? You can easily determine for a given matrix. Previously, we had only admittances, now we have the resistance plus admittances, so they become impedances. So, you can calculate the bus admittance matrix. Once the bus admittance matrix is available to me, that is step number one, then you move to step number two. Step number two, you have to set initial values of variables, they are δ I and V I, at each and every bus, wherever possible, you have to set the initial values δ I and V I, with zero, super suffix kind of representation, they are the initial values.

So, with this, Y is known to me, initial with zero, so for suffix zero kind of representation, they are the initial values. So, with this, Y is known to me, initial initial values of variables,

they are ΔI and V_i , at each and every bus, wherever possible, you have to set the initial values ΔI and V_i , with zero, so for suffix zero kind of representation, they are the initial values. So, with this, Y is known to me, initial Previously, we had only admittances, now we have the resistance plus admittances, so they become impedances. So, you can calculate the bus admittance matrix. Once the bus admittance matrix is available to me, that is step number one, then you move to step number two. Step number two, you have to set initial values of variables, they are ΔI and V_i , at each and every bus, wherever possible, you have to set the initial values ΔI and V_i , with zero, so for suffix zero kind of representation, they are the initial values. So, with this, Y is known to me, initial so they become impedances. So, you can calculate the bus admittance matrix. Once the bus admittance matrix is available to me, that is step number one, then you move to step number two. Step number two, you have to set initial values of variables, they are ΔI and V_i , at each and every bus, wherever possible, you have to set the initial values ΔI and V_i , with zero, so for suffix zero kind of representation, they are the initial values. So, with this, Y is known to me, initial lecture, it is quite simple. So, why bus? You can easily determine for a given matrix. Previously, we had only admittances, now we have the distance plus admittances, so they become impedances. So, you can calculate the bus admittance matrix. Once the bus admittance matrix is available to me, that is step number one, then you move to step number two. Step number two, you have to set initial values of variables, they are ΔI and V_i , at each and every bus, wherever possible, you have to set the initial values ΔI and V_i , with zero, so for suffix zero kind of representation, they are the initial values. So, with this, Y is known to me, initial First of all, what you have to do, given a system you have to immediately calculate the bus admittance matrix. You have been taught how to calculate bus admittance matrix. In my previous lecture, it is quite simple. So, why bus? You can easily determine for a given matrix. Previously, we had only admittances, now we have the distance plus admittances, so they become impedances. So, you can calculate the bus admittance matrix. Once the bus admittance matrix is available to me, that is step number one, then you move to step number two. Step number two, you have to set initial values of variables, they are ΔI and V_i , at each and every bus, wherever possible, you have to set the initial values ΔI and V_i , with zero, so for suffix zero kind of representation, they are the initial values. So, with this, Y is known to me, initial lecture, it is quite simple. So, why bus? You can easily determine for a given matrix. Previously, we had only admittances, now we have the distance plus admittances, so they become impedances. So, you can calculate the bus admittance matrix. Once the bus admittance matrix is available to me, that is step number one, then you move to step number two. Step number two, you have to set initial values of variables, they are ΔI and V_i , at each and every bus, wherever possible, you have to set the initial values ΔI and V_i , with zero, so for suffix zero kind of representation, they are the initial values.

So, with this, Y is known to me, initial values of ΔI and V is known to me, now I can

calculate P_i and Q_i at each load bus from the following. So, the P_i , that is real power injected at a particular bus i , the reactive power injected at a particular bus i , can be calculated based on this parameter. So, we have assumed, δ you have assumed, Y buses are known to me, so Y_{ik} , Y_i , all the elements are known to me, so you can easily calculate P_i and Q_i . Compute the schedule error, once you calculate, then you calculate what is δP , based on the known parameters and calculated parameters, take the difference, calculate δP , calculate δQ , using the equation number 12.6 and 12.7. Once they are known, then you move to the Jacobian matrix. So, once you can calculate Jacobian matrix, so what has happened now, the Jacobian matrix is ready with me and the errors are also available with me, schedule errors and once they are known, obtain the value of $\delta \delta P$, using the equation number 12.8, 9, 10. So, you can use the Jacobian matrix and the error δP δQ will give you the value of $\delta \delta$ and δP . Now, using the step number 6, modify the voltage magnitude and phase angle at each load bus. So, you had a initial value, you calculated for example, δI you have assumed, now you calculated $\delta \delta$, then you can go for a new, the initial set of δI , which is the previous value plus $\delta \delta$.

So, you set a new value and repeat next iteration from the point number 2 to point number actually 6 continuously and see to that, the $\delta \delta$ and δP you keep on calculating till they fall between a very tolerable margin. So, once they are there, then you can say the last iteration will give me all the voltage and angle solutions. Now, we will also try to see a very simple example and try to solve how the, you know, using Newton Raphson, we can obtain voltage and angle at each and every bus as well as the power flow and reactive and real power flow in each and every line. Now, already I discussed this diagram, where we know there are three buses, one is slack bus, then bus number 2, which is a PQ bus and bus number 3 is a PV bus. Don't get confused, it is very simple. For a load bus, the both P and Q is known to me and hence we call it as a PQ bus and you're supposed to calculate the V and δ at that particular bus. For a generator bus, P and V is known to me, you have to calculate actually Q and δ . Similarly, for a slack bus, V and δ is known to me, you have to calculate the P and Q at that particular bus. Each and every bus out of four variables, two are known to me and two need to be calculated. The impedances of each line is known to me. So, what is the step number one? If you have been asked to solve using Newton Raphson, the voltage solutions of this diagram, the step number one is formulate the Y bus matrix, okay, step number one. You form the Y bus, all the line impedances are given to you, you can form the Y bus. So, first is, first step is your Y bus, so you can calculate Y bus. Please check whether the solutions that we have provided and your solution they do match and if it is not matching, probably you can put me questions through your inquiry chat where actually why there is an error that will be corrected.

I'm confident that the solution must be right. And once you calculate Y bus, then you have

to assume delta and V. Now, please tell me, so if you look into delta 2 and delta 3, so the first bus, the delta is known. Only the second and third bus, the delta is not known to me and hence you have to assume, okay, the delta is known to you, then no need to assume. So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me. Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0. Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay. So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0.

So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to okay, the delta is known to you, then no need to assume. So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me. Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0. Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay. So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to Only the second and third bus, the delta is not known to me and hence you have to assume, okay, the delta is known to you, then known it was you. So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me. Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0. Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay. So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to And once you calculate Y bus, then you have to assume delta and V.

Now, please tell me, so if you look into delta 2 and delta 3, so the first bus, the delta is known. Only the second and third bus, the delta is not known to me and hence you have to assume, okay, the delta is known to you, then known it was you. So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me. Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0. Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay.

So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to we have provided and your solution they do match and if it is not matching, probably you can put me questions through your inquiry chat where actually why there is an error that will be corrected. I'm confident that the solution must be right.

And once you calculate Y bus, then you have to assume delta and V. Now, please tell me, so if you look into delta 2 and delta 3, so the first bus, the delta is known. Only the second and third bus, the delta is not known to me and hence you have to assume, okay, the delta is known to you, then known it was you. So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me. Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0.

Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay. So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to first step is your Y bus, so you can calculate Y bus. Please check whether the solutions that we have provided and your solution they do match and if it is not matching, probably you can put me questions through your inquiry chat where actually why there is an error that will be corrected.

I'm confident that the solution must be right. And once you calculate Y bus, then you have to assume delta and V. Now, please tell me, so if you look into delta 2 and delta 3, so the first bus, the delta is known. Only the second and third bus, the delta is not known to me and hence you have to assume, okay, the delta is known to you, then known it was you. So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me.

Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0. Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay. So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to

me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to first step is your Y bus, so you can calculate Y bus. Please check whether the solutions that we have provided and your solution they do match and if it is not matching, probably you can put me questions through your inquiry chat where actually why there is an error that will be corrected. I'm confident that the solution must be right. And once you calculate Y bus, then you have to assume delta and V. Now, please tell me, so if you look into delta 2 and delta 3, so the first bus, the delta is known. Only the second and third bus, the delta is not known to me and hence you have to assume, okay, the delta is known to you, then known it was you. So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me.

Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0. Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay. So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to diagram, the step number one is formulate the Y bus matrix, okay, step number one.

You form with the Y bus, all the line impedances are given to you, you can form the Y bus. So, first is, first step is your Y bus, so you can calculate Y bus. Please check whether the solutions that we have provided and your solution they do match and if it is not matching, probably you can put me questions through your inquiry chat where actually why there is an error that will be corrected. I'm confident that the solution must be right. And once you calculate Y bus, then you have to assume delta and V. Now, please tell me, so if you look into delta 2 and delta 3, so the first bus, the delta is known.

Only the second and third bus, the delta is not known to me and hence you have to assume, okay, the delta is known to you, then known it was you. So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me. Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0. Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay. So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0.

So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to So, what is the step number one? If you have been asked to solve using Newton Raphson, the voltage solutions of this diagram, the step number one is formulate

the Y bus matrix, okay, step number one. You form with the Y bus, all the line impedances are given to you, you can form the Y bus. So, first is, first step is your Y bus, so you can calculate Y bus. Please check whether the solutions that we have provided and your solution they do match and if it is not matching, probably you can put me questions through your inquiry chat where actually why there is an error that will be corrected.

I'm confident that the solution must be right. And once you calculate Y bus, then you have to assume delta and V. Now, please tell me, so if you look into delta 2 and delta 3, so the first bus, the delta is known. Only the second and third bus, the delta is not known to me and hence you have to assume, okay, the delta is known to you, then known it was you.

So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me. Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0. Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay. So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to P and Q at that particular bus.

Each and every bus out of four variables, two are known to me and two need to be calculated. The impedances of each line is known to me. So, what is the step number one? If you have been asked to solve using Newton Raphson, the voltage solutions of this diagram, the step number one is formulate the Y bus matrix, okay, step number one. You form with the Y bus, all the line impedances are given to you, you can form the Y bus. So, first is, first step is your Y bus, so you can calculate Y bus. Please check whether the solutions that we have provided and your solution they do match and if it is not matching, probably you can put me questions through your inquiry chat where actually why there is an error that will be corrected. I'm confident that the solution must be right. And once you calculate Y bus, then you have to assume delta and V. Now, please tell me, so if you look into delta 2 and delta 3, so the first bus, the delta is known. Only the second and third bus, the delta is not known to me and hence you have to assume, okay, the delta is known to you, then known it was you.

So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me. Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0. Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay. So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to you have to calculate actually Q

and delta. Similarly, for a slack bus, V and delta is known to me, you have to calculate the P and Q at that particular bus. Each and every bus out of four variables, two are known to me and two need to be calculated. The impedances of each line is known to me. So, what is the step number one? If you have been asked to solve using Newton Raphson, the voltage solutions of this diagram, the step number one is formulate the Y bus matrix, okay, step number one. You form with the Y bus, all the line impedances are given to you, you can form the Y bus. So, first is, first step is your Y bus, so you can calculate Y bus. Please check whether the solutions that we have provided and your solution they do match and if it is not matching, probably you can put me questions through your inquiry chat where actually why there is an error that will be corrected.

I'm confident that the solution must be right. And once you calculate Y bus, then you have to assume delta and V . Now, please tell me, so if you look into delta 2 and delta 3, so the first bus, the delta is known. Only the second and third bus, the delta is not known to me and hence you have to assume, okay, the delta is known to you, then known it was you.

So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me. Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0. Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay. So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to you have to calculate actually Q and delta. Similarly, for a slack bus, V and delta is known to me, you have to calculate the P and Q at that particular bus. Each and every bus out of four variables, two are known to me and two need to be calculated. The impedances of each line is known to me. So, what is the step number one? If you have been asked to solve using Newton Raphson, the voltage solutions of this diagram, the step number one is formulate the Y bus matrix, okay, step number one. You form with the Y bus, all the line impedances are given to you, you can form the Y bus. So, first is, first step is your Y bus, so you can calculate Y bus. Please check whether the solutions that we have provided and your solution they do match and if it is not matching, probably you can put me questions through your inquiry chat where actually why there is an error that will be corrected.

I'm confident that the solution must be right. And once you calculate Y bus, then you have to assume delta and V . Now, please tell me, so if you look into delta 2 and delta 3, so the first bus, the delta is known. Only the second and third bus, the delta is not known to me and hence you have to assume, okay, the delta is known to you, then known it was you. So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me. Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0.

Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay. So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to you have to calculate actually Q and delta. Similarly, for a slack bus, V and delta is known to me, you have to calculate the P and Q at that particular bus.

Each and every bus out of four variables, two are known to me and two need to be calculated. The impedances of each line is known to me. So, what is the step number one? If you have been asked to solve using Newton Raphson, the voltage solutions of this diagram, the step number one is formulate the Y bus matrix, okay, step number one. You form with the Y bus, all the line impedances are given to you, you can form the Y bus. So, first is, first step is your Y bus, so you can calculate Y bus. Please check whether the solutions that we have provided and your solution they do match and if it is not matching, probably you can put me questions through your inquiry chat where actually why there is an error that will be corrected. I'm confident that the solution must be right. And once you calculate Y bus, then you have to assume delta and V. Now, please tell me, so if you look into delta 2 and delta 3, so the first bus, the delta is known. Only the second and third bus, the delta is not known to me and hence you have to assume, okay, the delta is known to you, then known it was you. So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me. Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0.

Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay. So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to Q and delta. Similarly, for a slack bus, V and delta is known to me, you have to calculate the P and Q at that particular bus.

Each and every bus out of four variables, two are known to me and two need to be calculated. The impedances of each line is known to me. So, what is the step number one? If you have been asked to solve using Newton Raphson, the voltage solutions of this diagram, the step number one is formulate the Y bus matrix, okay, step number one. You form with the Y bus, all the line impedances are given to you, you can form the Y bus. So, first is, first step is your Y bus, so you can calculate Y bus. Please check whether the solutions that we have provided and your solution they do match and if it is not matching, probably you can put me questions through your inquiry chat where actually why there is

an error that will be corrected. I'm confident that the solution must be right. And once you calculate Y bus, then you have to assume delta and V. Now, please tell me, so if you look into delta 2 and delta 3, so the first bus, the delta is known. Only the second and third bus, the delta is not known to me and hence you have to assume, okay, the delta is known to you, then known it was you. So, bus number two, bus number one, the delta is known to me. Bus number two, delta is not known, so assume delta 2 equal to 0.

Ideally, we assume 0 angle for delta and 1 per unit for voltage, okay. So, delta 2 is 0 and if you move to delta 3, delta is not known, so the bus number 3 again, delta 3 equal to 0. So, delta 1 is known to me, delta 2 you have assumed to 0, delta 3 you have assumed to 0. What about voltages? Voltages bus number one is known to me, voltage at bus number two is not known to me, okay, that I have to assume because what need to be calculated, we have to assume to start the iteration and then you land with a solution. So, V2 which is 1 per unit at bus number two, but fortunately at bus number three, the voltage is known to me. So, the voltage at bus number one is known to me, at bus number three is known to me, bus number two is not known to me and hence I have assumed the voltage at bus number two is 1 per unit. So, now the problem is clear, we know very well that delta P2, delta P3, delta Q2 which is Jacobian times delta 2, delta Q3 and delta V2. Using this equation, using this equation, you can calculate what is P2, the value of P2 is known to me, the previous expressions if you substitute the bus 2, you will get this equation and once P2 is known to me, you can calculate what is delta P2, substitute different assumed values and you will get the initial value of P2 which changes, that is delta P20 which has been calculated as minus 1.13 per unit. Similarly, you can calculate what is change in P3 that is delta P3 initial value which is 0.5616. Before you start the delta P3 initial value which is 0.5616. Before you start the minus 1.13 per unit. Similarly, you can calculate what is change in P3 that is delta P3 initial value which is 0.5616. Before you start the that is delta P20 which has been calculated as minus 1.13 per unit. Similarly, you can calculate what is change in P3 that is delta P3 initial value which is 0.5616. Before you start the substitute different assumed values and you will get the initial value of P2 which changes, that is delta P2 0 which has been calculated as minus 1.13 per unit. Similarly, you can calculate what is change in P3 that is delta P3 initial value which is 0.5616. Before you start the Using this equation, using this equation, you can calculate what is P2, the value of P2 is known to me, the previous expressions if you substitute the bus 2, you will get this equation and once P2 is known to me, you can calculate what is delta P2, substitute different assumed values and you will get the initial value of P2 which changes, that is delta P2 0 which has been calculated as minus 1.13 per unit. Similarly, you can calculate what is change in P3 that is delta P3 initial value which is 0.5616. Before you start the Using this equation, using this equation, you can calculate what is P2, the value of P2 is known to me, the previous expressions if you substitute the bus 2, you will get this equation and once P2 is known to me, you can calculate what is delta P2, substitute different assumed values and

you will get the initial value of P2 which changes, that is ΔP_2^0 which has been calculated as minus 1.13 per unit. Similarly, you can calculate what is change in P3 that is ΔP_3 initial value which is 0.5616. Before you start the iteration, using this equation, you can calculate what is P2, the value of P2 is known to me, the previous expressions if you substitute the bus 2, you will get this equation and once P2 is known to me, you can calculate what is ΔP_2 , substitute different assumed values and you will get the initial value of P2 which changes, that is ΔP_2^0 which has been calculated as minus 1.13 per unit. Similarly, you can calculate what is change in P3 that is ΔP_3 initial value which is 0.5616. Before you start the iteration, these values need to be calculated. So, once you know, so what you know, voltage at each bus, ΔP_2 initial, ΔP_3 initial and also you can calculate Q2. As you know, the initial value for Q2. Once you know this, then you can calculate what is ΔP_2 initial. So, what is ΔP_2 initial which is ΔP_2 specified minus ΔP_2 initial calculated. So, you get this value which is ΔP_2 initial, ΔP_3 initial and ΔQ_2 initial. So, these three values ΔP_2 is known to me. So, what is happening, slowly if you go to this equation, so the first ΔP_2^0 , ΔP_3^0 , ΔQ_2^0 you have calculated. If you multiply that with Jacobian inverse, then you will get actually ΔV_2 , ΔV_3 , ΔV_2 and repeat this and then you go back to initial values, update them with new ΔP_2 s, new ΔP_3 s and then you come back, repeat the cycle. You keep on doing it until unless you land with a solution. I am very confident in Jacobian Newton-Raphson load flow solution, maximum there will be two to three iterations, and repeat this and then you go back to initial values, update them with new ΔP_2 s, new ΔP_3 s and then you come back, repeat the cycle.

You keep on doing it until unless you land with a solution. I am very confident in Jacobian Newton-Raphson load flow solution, maximum there will be two to three iterations, with Jacobian inverse, then you will get actually ΔV_2 , ΔV_3 , ΔV_2 and repeat this and then you go back to initial values, update them with new ΔP_2 s, new ΔP_3 s and then you come back, repeat the cycle. You keep on doing it until unless you land with a solution. I am very confident in Jacobian Newton-Raphson load flow solution, maximum there will be two to three iterations, equation, so the first ΔP_2^0 , ΔP_3^0 , ΔQ_2^0 you have calculated. If you multiply that with Jacobian inverse, then you will get actually ΔV_2 , ΔV_3 , ΔV_2 and repeat this and then you go back to initial values, update them with new ΔP_2 s, new ΔP_3 s and then you come back, repeat the cycle. You keep on doing it until unless you land with a solution. I am very confident in Jacobian Newton-Raphson load flow solution, maximum there will be two to three iterations, you will get your solution. However, in Gauss-Seidel, it takes multiple iterations. So, once you know this, please look into this equation, ΔP_2^0 , ΔP_3^0 , ΔQ_2^0 , this is my Jacobian times ΔV_2 . So, I can calculate each and every Jacobian elements now and then these nine elements I can

1.

calculate and then once they are known to me, all the elements have been calculated and then you take Jacobian inverse as I told you and then multiply with ΔP_2 , ΔP_3 , ΔQ_2 and which will give you the value for $\Delta \theta_2$, $\Delta \theta_3$ and ΔV_0 . Once you know the value of $\Delta \theta_2$, $\Delta \theta_3$, ΔV_0 , you can move to the first iteration by using this equation.

What you will do? You will say for the first iteration, θ_{21} , θ_{31} , V_{01} will be your initial assumed values plus your calculated value, just now you have calculated above and you will get a new initial set. Use this set, you again calculate the same equation and repeat it till you get the final solution. So, this is what all about Newton-Raphson load flow for AC networks for obtaining power flows in a transmission or distribution lines or systems. So, with this we stop today. So, probably we will get into decoupled and other new load flow solutions during the next class. Thank you very much.